

6/29/2020

Editorial Team





Home > About the Journal > Editorial Team

# **Editorial Team**

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Qing Wang, Shandong University of Science and Technology, China

Managing Editors

Prof. Chene-Yuan Chen, National Pingtung University of Education, Talwan Dr. Guangming Yao, Karbin Normal University, China Dr. Habibolls Latifizadeh, West Virginia University, United States Dr. M.S. Shakhabath Khan, RMIT University, Australia Dr. Mohammad Hossein Ahmadi, Shahrood University of Technology, Iran, Islamic Republic of

HOME ABOUT LOGIN REGISTER SEARCH CURRENT ARCHIVES ANNOUNCEMENTS

ijaas.iaescore.com/index.php/UAAS/about/editorialTeam

#### 6/29/2020

#### Editorial Team

Editorial Board Members

vof, Dr. Alireza Heidari, California South University, United States
rof. Dr. Ho Soon Min, INTI International University, Malaysia
rof, Dr. Kewen Zhao, Qiongzhou University, China
rof, Dr. Kirk R., Smith, University of California, United States
vof. Dr. Laith Ahmed Najam, College of Science Mosul University, Iraq
ssoc. Prof. Dr. Abdelhamid Bensafi, Abou Bekr Belkaid University of Tierncen, Algeria
ssoc. Prof. Dr. EL Mahdi Ahmed Haroun, University of Bahri, Sudan
ssoc. Prof. Dr. Guruswamy Revana, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, India
ssoc. Prof. Dr. Mu-Song Chen, Da-Yeh University, Taiwan, Province of China
ssoc. Prof. Dr. Özen Özer, Kırklareli University, Turkey
x. Ajit Behera, National Institute of Technology Rourkela, India
x. Aabha Jain, Prestige Institute of Engineering Management and Research, India
x. Andrews Jevaraj, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, India
er Bing Yang, China State Shipbuilding Corporation, China
x. B.V.S.N. Hari Prasad, Chaitanya Group of Colleges (Autonomous), India
<u>r. Eka Cahya Prima</u> , Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia
r. Fardin Dashty Saridarq, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, Netherlands
r. K.V.L.N. Acharyulu, Bapatla Engineering College, India
r. Prabang Setyong, University of Sebelas Maret, Indonesia
r. Matthew Vechione, The University of Texas at El Paso, United States
<u>r. Rutuja Shivraj Pawar</u> , Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engineering, India
K. Shuaichen Ye, Beijing Institute of Technology, China
c. Shubham Sharma, Punjab Technical University, India
X. Siamak Hoseinzadeh, Islamic Azad University West Tehran Branch, Iran, Islamic Republic of
r. Totok R Biyanto, Institute Technology Sepuluh Nopember (ITS), Indonesia
variab Ghalandari, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Iran, Islamic Republic of
V VPS Naku, National Aerospace Laboratories India, India
<u>Pr. Waleed Khall Amed</u> , United Arab Emirates University, United Arab Emirates
r. ree-Loo Poo, Mutomedia University, Malaysia
<u>r Yonathan Asikin</u> , University of the Ryukyus, Japan

Stat III. Counter View IJAAS Stats

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

6/29/2020

Val 2, No 4

CITATION Scopus Google Scholar Scinapse Dimensions Nicrosoft Academic ..... QUICK LINKS Author Guideline
 Editorial Boards
 Reviewers
 Online
 Submissions
 Abstracting and
 Indexing
 Publication Bhics
 Visitor Statistics
 Contact Us USER Usemame Password Remember me NOTIFICATIONS View
Subscribe JOURNAL CONTENT Search Search Scope All Search Browse By Issue
 By Author
 By Title FONT SIZE INFORMATION For Readers
For Authors
For Librarians

Home > Archives > Vol 2, No 4

Vol 2, No 4

December 2013

DOI: http://doi.org/10.11591/ijaas.v2.i4

Table of Contents

 Management of Automotive Engine Based on Stable Fuzzy Technique with Parallel
 PDF

 Stiding Mode Optimization
 171-184

 Farsin Pittan, Mansour Bazregar, Mehdi Akbari, Mojdeh Piran
 171-184

 Total views : 61 times
 171-184

HOME ABOUT LOGIN REGISTER SEARCH CURRENT ARCHIVES ANNOUNCEMENTS

ijaas.iaescore.com/index.php/UAAS/issue/view/36

Anne and a Color delab Marian In New Managements Onthe tracks Mark Concer Florida	
Andia Under The Effect Of Magnetic Field Rajnesh Kakar	185-
Total views : 73 times	
The Preprocessing and Probing Technisue of Open Caracitated Vehicle Routing Problem with Split and Time Deadline (OCVRP-St) Model In Rubbish Transportation	193-
Problem Irmeilyana Irmeilyana, Fitri Maya Puspita, Indrawati Indrawati, Fitra Nur Azizah	
Total views : 84 times	
Development of Hardware Interfacing System for Visual C++	201
Total views : 72 times	201
Martensitic Transformation Characteristic of Ni-Mn Based Ferromagnetic Shage	
Agenory Alleys Ajit Behera, Asit Behera, S.C. Mishra	205-
Total views : 75 times	
Can the Number of Correlation Coefficient (R Value) as a Statistical Indicator of	200
	209*
Metal-Polluted Ecosystem? A Comparison between Polluted and Uncolluted Ecosystems	
Metal-Polluted Ecosystem? A Comparison between Polluted and Uncolluted Ecosystems C. K. Yap Total views : 72 times	
Metal-Polluted Ecosystem? A Comparison between Polluted and Unpolluted Consustems C, K. Yap Total views : 72 times Synthesis a Sol-Gel for Chemical Fiber Sensor	

ons Attrib

tion-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Comm</u>

6/29/2020

ijaas.iaescore.com/index.php/UAAS/issue/view/36

# The Preprocessing and Probing Technique of Open Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem with Split and Time Deadline (OCVRP-St) Model In Rubbish Transportation Problem

#### Irmeilyana, Fitri Maya Puspita, Indrawati, Fitra Nur Azizah

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sriwijaya University, Inderalaya, South Sumatera, Indonesia

# **Article Info**

Article history:

# ABSTRACT

Received May 12, 2013 Revised Jul 7, 2013 Accepted Jul 26, 2013

## Keyword:

Open Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (OCVRP) Optimal routes Preprocessing technique Probing technique The activity of rubbish transportation in Palembang is one of the applications of *Vehicle Routing Problem* (VRP) in transporting rubbish in Sako Palembang by applying preprocessing and probing techniques to obtain simplest OCVRP model. The solution is conducted by using LINDO software. The results show that the optimal routes in Sukarami before and after applying the tehniques are the same routes. In addition, we obtain the reduction of constraints and variables, the reduction of iteration numbers and the optimal value did not change.

Copyright © 2013 Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science. All rights reserved.

### Corresponding Author:

Fitri Maya Puspita, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sriwijaya University, Inderalaya, South Sumatera, Indonesia. Email: pipit140201@yahoo.com.au

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rubbish problem is a major problem in a city that should be handled by the government especially by Dinas Kebersihan dan Pemakaman (DKP). The rubbish disposal in Palembang is conducted into steps. The rubbish were collected from homes to the nearest Temporary Rubbish Disposal (TRD). Then, the rubbish will be transported by the officers from Palembang Hygiene Service Unit by using vehicles such as dump trucks or amroll to Final Rubbish Disposal (FRD) or depot in Sukawinatan or Karya Jaya. The rubbish transportation is grouped into Working Area for each driver of vehicle.

The rubbish transportation is one of the example of *Vehicle Routing Problem* (VRP) to find minimum routes. IF it is focussed on one depot and general vehicle capacity, then it is called *Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem* (CVRP). If the customers can be visited clockwise or anti clockwise routes along the arcs, then the problem is called *Symmetric Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem* (SCVRP).

In the classic VRP, the vehicles should go back to the depot after finishing the journey. However, in more improved case, after finishing the journey, the vehicles need not to go back to the depot. This will result in open path where the vehicle starts from the depot and ends in one of the customers [1]. That situation occurs in rubbish transportation in Palembang. The vehicles usually are not in depot after transporting the rubbish. The routes will be open routes and we call it Open Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (OCVRP). If we focus on one depot and the capacity of the vehicles then the problem will be Open Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (OCVRP).

Savelsbergh [2] gave detail explanation about tightening the relaxed Linear Program in Mixed Integer Liniear Program (MILP) by utilizing probing and preprocessing techniques which result in the reduction in bounds and size of coefficient of constraint matrices. In this case, Savelsbergh [2] generate the framework to draw various techniques preprocessing and probing in MILP so that set of the feasible solutions of relaxed linear programming can be reduced but the set of the feasible solutions of MILP will not change.

In fact, the probing and preprocessing technique basically attempt to check and change a formulation of the constraints so that that formulation can be solved easily. For instance, in real case of Fixed-Charge Network Flow Problems [3]. The preprocessing technique is already applied in previous research on CVRP [4]-[9].

So, in this paper, the contribution will be based on applying probing and preprocessing of OCVRP especially for identifying nonfeasible constraints, redundant variables, improving bounds and coefficient and arrangement of variable values. We then validate the model and compare to initial model. He rubbish transportation model is focused on rubbish transportation in Kecamatan Sako Palembang.

#### 2. **RESEARCH METHOD**

To simplify the model of that transportation system, we conduct three stages as follows.

1. Form the OVCRP model

The model is formed according to rubbish transportation data in Kecamatan Sako Palembang such as routes, distance between FRD and TRDs, vehicle's capacity, number of vehicles used and rubbish volume. We obtain the data through surveying and interviewing in details' to staff of rubbish management in FRD of Sukabangun area and several drivers of rubbish trucks.

2. Simplify the OCVRP model

To simplify the model, we conduct steps such as strengthening the bounds of constraint variables, eliminating redundant constraints and fixing variables.

3. Solve the OCVRP model

The solution is to obtain optimal objective function and each decision variables of the model. The solution is based on non-simplified model and simplified model and we seek to compare that simplified model yield efficient result.

#### **RESULTS AND ANALYSIS** 3.

In this part, we describe the steps of simplifying OCVRP model using preprocessing techniques.

# For Working Area 1 Sako

Model OCVRP after setting up the probing technique is

 $Min z = 6,5y_{01} + 2y_{02} + 6y_{03} + 8,5y_{04} + 8.5x_{12} + 13,5x_{13} + 15x_{14} + 8,5x_{21} + 4x_{23} + 6,5x_{24} + 13,5x_{31} + 4x_{32} + 2,5x_{34} + 15x_{41} + 8,5x_{12} + 13,5x_{13} + 15x_{14} + 8,5x_{12} + 15x_{14} + 8,5x_{12} + 15x_{14} + 8,5x_{12} + 15x_{14} + 8,5x_{14} + 15x_{14} + 15$  $+6.5 x_{42}+2.5 x_{43}$ (1)

Subject to

$$+x_{13} = 1$$
 (2a)

 $\begin{array}{c} x_{12} + x_{13} - \mathbf{1} \\ y_{03} + y_{30} = 1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \end{array}$ (4a)

$$x_{31} + x_{32} = 1 \tag{4b}$$

$$y_{04} + y_{40} = 2 \tag{5a}$$

$$y_{01} + y_{02} + y_{03} + y_{04} + y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} + x_{21} + x_{23} + x_{24} + x_{31} + x_{32} + x_{34} + x_{41} + x_{42} + x_{43} \ge 4.2$$
(6)

$$y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} - y_{01} - y_{02} - y_{03} - y_{04} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} + x_{21} + x_{23} + x_{24} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} + x_{21} + x_{23} + x_{24} + x_{14} + x$$

$$x_{31} + x_{32} + x_{34} + x_{41} + x_{42} + x_{43} \ge 0 \tag{7}$$

$$y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} = 1 \tag{8}$$

Nonnegativity requirements:

 $x_{12} \ge 0 ; x_{13} \ge 0 ; x_{14} \ge 0 ; x_{21} \ge 0 ; x_{23} \ge 0 ; x_{24} \ge 0 ; x_{31} \ge 0 ; x_{32} \ge 0 ; x_{34} \ge 0 ; x_{41} \ge 0 ; x_{42} \ge 0 ; x_{43} \ge 0 ; y_{01} \ge 0 ; x_{14} \ge 0 ; x_{$  $y_{02} \ge 0$ ;  $y_{03} \ge 0$ ;  $y_{04} \ge 0$ ;  $y_{10} \ge 0$ ;  $y_{20} \ge 0$ ;  $y_{30} \ge 0$ ;  $y_{40} \ge 0$ .  $x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{21}, x_{23}, x_{24}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{34}, x_{41}, x_{42}, x_{43} \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ 

 $y_{01}, y_{02}, y_{03}, y_{04}, y_{10}, y_{20}, y_{30}, y_{40} \in \{0, 1\}$ 

We continue to preprocessing tehnique as follows.

$x_{12} + x_{13} \le 1$	(2a*)
$y_{03} + y_{30} \le 1$	(4a*)
$x_{31} + x_{32} \le 1$	(4b*)

IJAAS

195

$$\begin{array}{c} y_{04} + y_{40} \leq 1 \\ y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} \leq 1 \end{array} \tag{5a*} \\ (8*)$$

# 1. Strengthen the bounds of constraint variables

 $x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{21}, x_{23}, x_{24}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{34}, x_{41}, x_{42}, x_{43} \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  dan setiap variabel mempunyai batasan nonnegatif  $\geq 0$ , maka, apabila dimisalkan  $x_{12}=0$ ;  $x_{13}=1$ ;  $x_{14}=0$ ;  $x_{21}=2$ ;  $x_{23}=0$ ;  $x_{24}=0$ ;  $x_{31}=1$ ;  $x_{32}=0$ ;  $x_{34}=0$ ;  $x_{41}=0$ ;  $x_{42}=1$ ;  $x_{43}=2$ .  $y_{01}$ ,  $y_{02}$ ,  $y_{03}$ ,  $y_{04}$ ,  $y_{10}$ ,  $y_{20}$ ,  $y_{30}$ ,  $y_{40} \in \{0, 1\}$  dan setiap variabel mempunyai batasan nonnegatif  $\geq 0$ , maka, dimisalkan  $y_{01}=1$ ;  $y_{02}=1$ ;  $y_{03}=1$ ;  $y_{04}=1$ ;  $y_{10}=0$ ;  $y_{2}=0$ ;  $y_{30}=0$ ;  $y_{40}=1$ .

- Strengthen the bound for variable  $x_{12}, x_{13}$  in (2a\*).
- Strengthen the bound for variable  $y_{03}$ ,  $y_{30}$  in (4a\*).
- Strengthen the bound for variable  $x_{31}$ ,  $x_{32}$  in (4b\*).
- Strengthen the bound for variable  $y_{04}, y_{40}$  in (5a\*).
- Variable  $y_{01}, y_{02}, y_{03}, y_{04}, y_{10}, y_{10}, y_{20}, y_{30}$  and  $y_{40}$  in (6) cannot be strengthened.
- Variable  $x_{12}$ ,  $x_{13}$ ,  $x_{14}$ ,  $x_{21}$ ,  $x_{23}$ ,  $x_{24}$ ,  $x_{31}$ ,  $x_{32}$ ,  $x_{34}$ ,  $x_{41}$ ,  $x_{42}$  and  $x_{43}$  in (6) cannot be strengthened.
- Variable  $y_{10}$   $y_{20}$   $y_{30}$   $y_{40}$   $y_{01}$   $y_{02}$   $y_{03}$   $y_{04}$   $x_{12}$   $x_{13}$   $x_{14}$   $x_{21}$   $x_{23}$   $x_{2}$   $x_{31}$   $x_{32}$   $x_{34}$   $x_{41}$   $x_{42}$   $x_{43}$  in (7) cannot be strengthened.
- Strengthen the bound for variable  $y_{10} y_{20} y_{30} y_{40}$  in (8).

#### Eliminate Redundant variables 2.

# For Constraint (6)

- a. We got the nonnegative bound after strengthening the bounds that are  $x_{12} \ge 0$ ;  $0 \le x_{13} \le 1$ ;  $x_{14} = 0$ ;  $x_{21} = 2$ ;  $x_{23}=0; x_{24}=0; 0 \le x_{31}\le 1; x_{32}\ge 0; x_{34}=0; x_{41}=0; x_{42}=1; x_{43}=2; y_{01}=1; y_{02}=1; 0 \le y_{03}\le 1; 0 \le y_{04}\le 1; y_{10}=0; x_{10}=0; x_{10}=0$  $y_{20}=0$ ;  $y_{30}\geq0$ ;  $0\leq y_{40}\leq1$ . By using upper bound, we obtain that (6) satisfy the upper bound of nonnegativity constraint.
- We got the nonnegative bound after strengthening the bounds that are  $x_{12}=0$ ;  $x_{13}=1$ ;  $x_{14}=0$ ;  $x_{21}=2$ ; b.  $x_{23}=0; x_{24}=0; x_{31}=1; x_{32}=0; x_{34}=0; x_{41}=0; x_{42}=1; x_{43}=2; y_{02}=1; y_{03}=1; y_{04}=1; y_{10}=0; y_{20}=0; y_{30}=0; y_{40}=1.$ By using lower bound, we obtain that (6) satisfy the lower bound of n onnegativity constraint. We conclude that (3.6) is redundant. Hence, we can eliminate (6).

# For Constraint (7)

We obtain that (7) satisfy the upper bound and lower of nonnegativity constraint. Hence, it can be eliminated since it is redundant.

## 3. Fix the variables

- **Evaluate variable**  $x_{13}$ . Since the constraint (2a<sup>\*</sup>) has the number of biggest constraints exceed RHS, a. then that constraint should be eliminated.
- **b.** Evaluate variable  $x_{31}$ . Since the constraint (4b\*) has the number of biggest constraints exceed RHS, then that constraint should be eliminated.

We obtain new OCVRP model as follows.

 $\operatorname{Min} z = 6,5 \ y_{01} + 2 \ y_{02} + 6 \ y_{03} + 8,5 \ y_{04} + 8.5 \ x_{12} + 13,5 \ x_{13} + 15 \ x_{14} + 8,5 \ x_{21} + 4 \ x_{23} + 6,5 \ x_{24} + 13,5 \ x_{31} + 1$  $4 x_{32} + 2,5 x_{34} + 15 x_{41} + 6,5 x_{42} + 2,5 x_{43}$  $(1^*)$ 

Subject to

$x_{12} \le 1$	(2a**)
$y_{03} + y_{30} \le 1$	(4a*)
$x_{32} \le 1$	(4b**)
$y + y \leq 2$	(50*)

$$y_{04} + y_{40} \le 2 \tag{3a}$$

 $y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} \le 1$ (8\*)

and

 $x_{12} \ge 0; \ 0 \le x_{13} \le 1; \ x_{14} = 0; \ x_{21} = 2; \ x_{23} = 0; \ x_{24} = 0; \ 0 \le x_{31} \le 1; \ x_{32} \ge 0; \ x_{34} = 0; \ x_{41} = 0; \ x_{42} = 1; \ x_{43} = 2; \ y_{01} = 1;$  $y_{02} = 1; 0 \le y_{03} \le 1; 0 \le y_{04} \le 1; y_{10} = 0; y_{20} = 0; y_{30} \ge 0; 0 \le y_{40} \le 1.$  $x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{21}, x_{23}, x_{24}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{34}, x_{41}, x_{42}, x_{43} \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  $y_{01}, y_{02}, y_{03}, y_{04}, y_{10}, y_{20}, y_{30}, y_{40} \in \{0, 1\}$ 

## We transform into initial form as follows.

Min z = 6,5  $y_{01}$  + 2  $y_{02}$  + 6  $y_{03}$  + 8,5  $y_{04}$  + 8.5  $x_{12}$  + 13,5  $x_{13}$  + 15  $x_{14}$  + 8,5  $x_{21}$  + 4  $x_{23}$  + 6,5  $x_{24}$  + 13,5  $x_{31}$  + 4  $x_{32}$  $+2,5 x_{34}+15 x_{41}+6,5 x_{42}+2,5 x_{43}$ (9)Subject to

The Preprocessing and Probing Technique of Open Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (Irmeilyana)

$$x_{12} = 1$$
 (10)

$$y_{03} + y_{30} = 1 \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} x_{32} - 1 \\ y_{04} + y_{40} = 2 \end{array} \tag{12}$$

$$y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} = 1$$
(13)  
(14)

and

 $\begin{array}{l} x_{12} \geq 0; \ 0 \leq x_{13} \leq 1; \ x_{14} = 0; \ x_{21} = 2; \ x_{23} = 0; \ x_{24} = 0; \ 0 \leq x_{31} \leq 1; \ x_{32} \geq 0; \ x_{34} = 0; \ x_{41} = 0; \ x_{42} = 1; \ x_{43} = 2; \ y_{01} = 1; \ y_{02} = 1; \ 0 \leq y_{03} \leq 1; \ 0 \leq y_{04} \leq 1; \ y_{10} = 0; \ y_{20} = 0; \ y_{30} \geq 0; \ 0 \leq y_{40} \leq 1. \end{array}$ 

 $x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{21}, x_{23}, x_{24}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{34}, x_{41}, x_{42}, x_{43} \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$ 

 $y_{01}$ ,  $y_{02}$ ,  $y_{03}$ ,  $y_{04}$ ,  $y_{10}$ ,  $y_{20}$ ,  $y_{30}$ ,  $y_{40} \in \{0, 1\}$ . For the rest of Working Area, we conduct the same steps as above, and it is summarized into Table 1 below.



	Model OCVRP Model Before Applying Preprocessing Technique			
WA	Iteration Number	Variable Number	Cosntraint Number	Model
Ι	9	24	7	$Z = 6,5 \ y_{01} + 2 \ y_{02} + 6 \ y_{03} + 8,5 \ y_{04} + 8,5 \ x_{12} + 13,5 \ x_{13} + 15 \ x_{14} + 8,5 \ x_{21} + 4 \ x_{23} + 6,5 \ x_{24} + 13,5 \ x_{31} + 4 \ x_{32} + 2,5 \ x_{34} + 15 \ x_{41} + 6,5 \ x_{42} + 2,5 \ x_{43}$ Kendala : $x_{12} = 1$ $y_{03} + y_{30} = 1$ $x_{32} = 1$ $y_{04} + y_{40} = 2$ $y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} + y_{01} + y_{02} + y_{03} + y_{04} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} + x_{21} + x_{23} + x_{24} + x_{31} + x_{32} + x_{34} + x_{41} + x_{42} + x_{43} \ge 4,2$
				$\begin{array}{l} y_{10}+y_{20}+y_{30}+y_{40}-y_{01}-y_{02}-y_{03}-y_{04}+x_{12}+x_{13}+x_{14}+x_{21}+x_{23}+x_{24}+x_{31}+x_{32}+x_{34}+x_{41}+x_{42}+x_{43}\geq 0\\ y_{10}+y_{20}+y_{30}+y_{40}=1 \end{array}$
Ш	6	21	8	$ Z = 6,5 \ y_{01} + 9 \ y_{02} + 8,5 \ y_{03} + 9 \ y_{04} + 15,5 \ x_{12} + 15 \ x_{13} + 15,5 \ x_{14} + 15,5 \ x_{21} + 0,5 \ x_{23} + x_{24} + 15 \ x_{31} + 0,5 \ x_{32} + 0,5 \ x_{34} + 15,5 \ x_{41} + x_{42} + 0,5 \ x_{43} \ \text{Kendala}: \\ x_{13} + x_{14} = 1 \ y_{02} + y_{20} = 1 \ x_{24} = 1 \ y_{03} + y_{40} = 1 \ y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} + y_{01} + y_{02} + y_{03} + y_{04} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} + x_{21} + x_{23} + x_{24} \ + x_{31} + x_{32} + x_{34} + x_{41} + x_{42} + x_{43} \ge 4,6 \ y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} - y_{01} - y_{02} - y_{03} - y_{04} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} + x_{21} + x_{23} + x_{24} + x_{31} + x_{32} + x_{34} + x_{41} + x_{42} + x_{43} \ge 0 \ Y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} = 1 \ $
Ш	6	42	11	$\begin{split} \overline{Z} &= 9 \ y_{01} + 6 \ y_{02} + 5 .5 \ y_{03} + 8 \ y_{04} + 9y_{05} + 15y_{06} + 3 \ x_{12} + 3 .5 \ x_{13} + 6 .5 \\ x_{14} + 7 .5 \ x_{15} + 13 .5 \ x_{16} + 3 \ x_{21} + 0 .5 \ x_{23} + 3 \ x_{24} + 4 \ x_{25} + 10 .5 \ x_{26} + 3 .5 \ x_{31} + 0 .5 \ x_{32} + 2 .5 \ x_{43} + 3 .5 \ x_{35} + 10 \ x_{36} + 6 .5 \ x_{41} + 3 \ x_{42} + 2 .5 \\ x_{43} + x_{45} + 7 .5 \ x_{46} + 7 .5 \ x_{51} + 4 \ x_{52} + 3 .5 \ x_{53} + x_{54} + 6 .5 \ x_{56} + 13 .5 \ x_{61} \\ &+ 10 .5 \ x_{62} + 10 \ x_{63} + 7 .5 \ x_{64} + 6 .5 \ x_{65} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$
IV	6	20	8	$ \begin{array}{c} z_{01} + y_{02} - y_{03} + y_{04} + y_{05} + y_{06} + z_{12} \\ Z = 2 y_{01} + 8 y_{02} + 10 y_{03} + 11 y_{04} + 9 x_{12} + 11 x_{13} + 12 x_{14} + 9 x_{21} + 2 \\ x_{23} + 3 x_{24} + 11 x_{31} + 2 x_{32} + x_{34} + 12 x_{41} + 3x_{42} + x_{43} \\ \hline \\ \text{Kendala:} \\ x_{12} + x_{13} = 1 \\ y_{02} + y_{20} = 1 \\ y_{03} + y_{30} = 1 \\ y_{04} + y_{40} = 1 \\ x_{43} = 1 \\ y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} + y_{01} + y_{02} + y_{03} + y_{04} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} + x_{21} + x_{23} + x_{24} \\ + x_{31} + x_{32} + x_{34} + x_{41} + x_{42} + x_{43} \ge 4,2 \\ y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} - y_{01} - y_{02} - y_{03} - y_{04} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} + x_{21} + x_{23} + x_{24} + x_{31} + x_{32} + x_{34} + x_{41} + x_{42} + x_{43} \ge 0 \\ Y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} = 1 \end{array} $
V	3	12	6	$Z = 1,6 x_{01} + 2 x_{02} + 3 x_{03} + 11 x_{04} + 1,6 x_{10} + x_{12} + 2 x_{13} + 2 x_{20} + x_{21} + x_{23} + 3 x_{30} + 2 x_{31} + x_{32}$ Kendala : $x_{01} + x_{02} + x_{03} = 2$ $x_{12} + x_{13} = 1$ $x_{20} + x_{21} + x_{23} = 1$ $x_{30} + x_{31} = 2$ $x_{01} + x_{02} + x_{03} + x_{04} + x_{10} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{20} + x_{21} + x_{23} + x_{30} + x_{31} + x_{32} \ge 0$

 Tabel 2. OCVRP Model Before Applying Preprocessing Technique

XV A	Model OCVRP Model After Applying Preprocessing Technique			
WA	Iteration Number	Variable number	Constraint Number	Model
Ι	4	4	6	$Z = 6,5y_{01}+2y_{02}+6y_{03}+8,5y_{04}+8,5x_{12}+15x_{14}+8,5x_{21}+4x_{23}+6,5x_{24}+4x_{32}$ +2,5x <sub>34</sub> +15x <sub>41</sub> +6,5x <sub>42</sub> +2,5x <sub>43</sub> Kendala : $x_{12} = 1$ $y_{03} + y_{30} = 1$ $x_{32} = 1$ $y_{04} + y_{40} = 2$ $y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} = 1$
Π	2	5	6	$Z = 6,5 \ y_{01} + 9y_{02} + 8,5y_{03} + 9y_{04} + 15x_{13} + 15,5x_{14} + 0,5 \ x_{23} + x_{24} + 15x_{31} + 0,5 \ x_{32} + 0,5 \ x_{34} + 15,5 \ x_{41} + x_{42} + 0,5 \ x_{43}$ Kendala : $x_{13} + x_{14} = 1$ $y_{02} + y_{20} = 1$ $x_{24} = 1$ $y_{03} + y_{30} = 1$ $y_{04} + y_{40} = 1$ $y_{10} + y_{20} + y_{30} + y_{40} = 1$
III	4	8	9	$Z = 9 y_{01} + 8 y_{04} + 9y_{05} + 15y_{06} + 3 x_{12} + 3,5 x_{13} + 6,5 x_{14} + 7,5 x_{15} + 13,5 x_{16} + 3 x_{21} + 0,5 x_{23} + 3 x_{24} + 4 x_{25} + 10,5 x_{26} + 3,5 x_{31} + 0,5 x_{32} + 2,5 x_{34} + 3,5 x_{35} + 10 x_{36} + 6,5 x_{41} + 3 x_{42} + 2,5 x_{43} + x_{45} + 7,5 x_{46} + 7,5 x_{51} + 4 x_{52} + 3,5 x_{53} + x_{54} + 6,5 x_{56} + 13,5 x_{61} + 10,5 x_{62} + 10 x_{63} + 7,5 x_{64} + 6,5 x_{65}$ Kendala : $y_{02} + y_{20} = 1 x_{25} = 1 y_{03} + y_{40} = 1 x_{46} = 1 y_{90} + y_{50} = 1 y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} = 1 y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} = 1 y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} = 1 y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} = 1 y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} = 1 y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} = 1 y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} = 1 y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} = 1 y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} = 1 y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} + y_{90} = 1 y_{90} + y$
IV	2	6	6	$Z=2 y_{01}+8 y_{02}+10 y_{03}+11 y_{04}+9 x_{12}+11 x_{13}+9 x_{21}+2 x_{23}+3 x_{24}$ +11 x <sub>31</sub> +2 x <sub>32</sub> +x <sub>34</sub> +3x <sub>42</sub> +x <sub>43</sub> Kendala : $x_{12}+x_{13}=1$ $y_{02}+y_{20}=1$ $y_{03}+y_{30}=1$ $y_{04}+y_{40}=1$ $x_{43}=1$ $y_{10}+y_{20}+y_{30}+y_{40}=1$
V	2	5	5	$Z = 1,6 x_{01} + 2 x_{02} + 3 x_{03} + 11 x_{04} + 1,6 x_{10} + 2 x_{13} + 2 x_{20} + x_{23} + 3 x_{30} + 2 x_{31} + x_{32}$ Kendala : $x_{01} + x_{02} + x_{03} = 2 x_{13} = 1$ $x_{20} + x_{23} = 1 x_{30} + x_{31} = 2$

# Tabel 3. OCVRP Model AfterApplying Preprocessing Technique

Table 2 and Table 3 explain that OCVRP model by applying preprocessing technique will yield simpler OCVRP model compared to model by not applying that technique by showing the reduction in number of iteration and reduction in number of constraints.

Figure 1 below shows us the comparison between OCVRP model before and after applying the preprocessing and probing technique. We can see that the number of iteration, variable and constraint reduce significantly after applying the preprocessing technique. For example, in term of number of variable , we have tremendous reduction in number of variables after applying the preprocessing and probing technique.



Figure 1. Comparison of Number of Iterations, Variables and Cosntraints Before and After Applying Preprocessing and Probing Techniques

# 4. CONCLUSION

We can conclude that by applying preprocessing tehenique in the problem of transporting the rubbish, the simpler OCVRP model can be obtained, the faster optimal solution can be achieved compared to not applying the preprocessing technique. In addition, by applying the preprocessing technique, the number of iteration and constraint is also reduced.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was partially supported by Hibah Bersaing Grant of Directorate General of Higher Education of Indonesia (DIKTI) year 2011. The authors would also like to thank to the editor and referees for their valuable comments and suggestion.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Letchford, A.N., J. Lysgaard., and R.W. Eglese. *A branch and cut algorithm for capacitated open vehicle routing problem*, 2006. [cited 2009 11 July]; Available from: http://www.lancs.ac.uk/staff/letchfoa/articles/ovrp/pdf.
- [2] Savelsbergh, M.W.P. "Preprocessing and probing techniques for mixed integer programming problems", ORSA J. on Computing, Vol. 6. Pp. 445-454, 1994.
- [3] Nemhauser, G.L. and L.A. Wolsey, *Integer and Combinatorial Optimization*, Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 1999.
- [4] Irmeilyana, et al. "Analisis Penggunaan Model SCVRP untuk Menentukan Rute Optimal Transportasi Pengangkutan Sampah di Kota Palembang", Laporan Penelitian Hibah Penelitian PHK A2 Jurusan Matematika FMIPA Unsri. 2007.
- [5] Sari, Y.M., Indrawati, and Irmeilyana. "Penerapan Model Open Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (OCVRP) dalam Mencari Rute Minimum Transportasi Pengangkutan Sampah di Kecamatan Sako Kota Palembang, in Jurusan Matematika", *Skripsi S1*, Jurusan Matematika FMIPA Universitas Sriwijaya: Indralaya, 2009.
- [6] Nuratika, Indrawati, and Irmeilyana. "Penentuan Rute Minimum Open Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (OCVRP) pada Masalah Transportasi Sampah di Kota Palembang, in Jurusan Matematika FMIPA, Skripsi SI, Universitas Sriwijaya, 2009.
- [7] Irmeilyana, F.M. Puspita, and Indrawati. "The determination of optimal route of open capacitated vehicle routing problem (OCVRP) on garbage transportation model in Kecamatan Seberang Ulu II Kota Palembang", in *Proceeding of International Conference on Applied Analysis and Algebra (ICAAA) 2011*. Yildiz University, Istanbul, 2011.
- [8] Irmeilyana, et al. "Modeling and Optimal Solution of Open Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (Ocvrp) in Garbage Transportation in Kecamatan Seberang Ulu I Kota Palembang", *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, Vol/Issue: 5(5). Pp. 9-17, 2011.

The Preprocessing and Probing Technique of Open Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (Irmeilyana)

#### 200

[9] Irmeilyana, et al. Preprocessing techniques in SCVRP model: case of rubbish transportation problem in Kecamatan Ilir Barat II Palembang South Sumatera Indonesia", *International Journal of Advances in Applied Sciences(IJAAS)*, Vol/Issue: 1(3). Pp. 108-115, 2012.

# **BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS**



Irmeilyana received her S.Si (Undergraduate Degree in Science) in Mathematics from Bogor Agriculture Institute (IPB) Indonesia in 1997. Then she received her Master Degree in Mathematics from Bandung Technology Institute (ITB) Indonesia in 1999. She has been a Mathematics Department member at Faculty Mathematics and Natural Sciences Sriwijaya University South Sumatera Indonesia. Her research interests include Statistics, optimization and its applications.



Fitri Maya Puspita received her B.S. degree in Mathematics from Sriwijaya University, South Sumatera, Indonesia in 1997. Then she received her M.Sc in Mathematics from Curtin University of Technology (CUT) Western Australia in 2004. She is currently PhD candidate of Faculty of Science and Technology Islamic Science University of Malaysia (USIM), Nilai, Negeri Sembilan Darul Khusus, Malaysia. She has been a Mathematics Department member at Faculty mathematics and Natural Sciences Sriwijaya University South Sumatera Indonesia since 1998. Her research interests include optimization and its applications such as vehicle routing problems and QoS pricing and charging in third generation internet.



Indrawati received her received her B.S. degree in Mathematics from Sriwijaya University, South Sumatera, Indonesia in 1996. Then she received M.Si in Mathematics Actuarial from Bandung Institute of Technology, Indonesia in 2004. She has been a Mathematics Department member at Faculty mathematics and Natural Sciences Sriwijaya University South Sumatera Indonesia since 1998. Her research interest includes actuarial science and its applications in insurance and risk theory.



Fitra Nur Azizah received her B.S. degree in Mathematics from Sriwijaya University, South Sumatera, Indonesia in 2011. Her research interest includes Optimization, Graph Theory and its applications.