

13.icets 2016-2

By Fitri maya Puspita

WORD COUNT

1650

TIME SUBMITTED

23-JUL-2020 08:51AM

PAPER ID

61464471

SOLUTIONS OF INTERNET PRICING SCHEME BASED MULTI SERVICE MUTI LINK NETWORKS WITH VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS FOR THE BASE COST AND QUALITY PREMIUM

Fitri Maya Puspita^{1*)}, Bella Arisha¹⁾

¹⁾Mathematics Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences,
Sriwijaya University, Inderalaya 30662

^{*)}email: fitrimayapuspita@unsri.ac.id

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the improved model of wired internet on multi service multilink networks are proposed by varying base cost and varying and fixing quality premium. The previous research focused only on modeling the model without considering the variety of base cost and quality premium. So, in this paper, we seek to improve that models that fit to various condition of base cost and quality premium. The optimal solution of each case then is compared to previous research which only focused on limited number of services offered to maintain ISPs' goal in achieving the highest revenue. Using Lingo 11.0, the results show that **the improved model using 4 services and 3 links, the network achieved highest optimal solution by varying the base price and fixing the quality premium.** This model is considered to be the option for ISPs if ISPs intend to promote certain services while having competition in information service markets.

Keywords: multi service multilink network, base cost, quality premium, pricing scheme.

INTRODUCTION

ISPs have purpose to satisfy the users and maximize the advantage. Based on Puspita et al.(2013) and Sain and Herpers (2003), the optimal pricing scheme of internet is obtained by comparing QoS multilink bottleneck on multilink and multi service and then by adding the parameters and variables. Bottleneck is narrowing path that result in slow internet connection for large data accessed while the path provided is not able to accommodate the data accessed. Models that have been formed by Yang (2004), Yang et al., (2004; 2005; 2003) and Byun and Chatterjee (2004) are modified by forming a model with a base price (α) as variable and premium quality (β) as parameter or as variable to produce optimal solution.

Based on research conducted on the pricing schemes of wired network multi-service multi-link (Puspita et al., 2014; Puspita et al., 2014; Puspita et al., 2014) then this paper is to discuss the comparison of 4 service models and 2 links that will be compared with previous research proposed by previous authors, by using new data with 3 service and 2 links and then defined base price as variable that are expected to balance the conditions of the service provider in order not to loss. The purpose of the comparison to be observed is to obtain the best optimal results with defined base price as variable to ISP be able to compete in the market and promote certain services. Paper is expected to facilitate the ISP in selecting services that can maximize profits and allow users to choose the service according to their preferences.

METHODS

In this research we use Lingo 11.0 program to get optimal solution from non-linier equation. Models defined are based on parameters and variables that used to solve optimization

problems. To analyze the case on multi service, we need the data. The data used in this study come from one of the local server in Palembang. The optimal solution can help in showing the existing problems involving pricing, service network, capacity and QoS levels.

MODELS

Models that used is based on Puspita et al. (2015) and with defined base price (α) as variables and quality premium (β) as variable or constant of 2 models for case 1, we have base price as variable and quality premium as variable for 27 sub cases and case 2 for base price as variable and quality premium as parameter for 9 sub cases.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

To complete the case we need to run LINGO.11.0 The model is Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programming which completed the iterations by using branch and bound solver.

Based on model Puspita et al. (2014; 2015) with $i=1,2,3,4$, then we obtain as follows.

For case 1 (α and β variable)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max } R &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^4 (\alpha_i + \beta_i \cdot I_i) \cdot p_{ik} \cdot x_{ik} \\ &= (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 \cdot I_1) 3x_{11} + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 \cdot I_2) 45x_{21} + (\alpha_3 + \beta_3 \cdot I_3) 15x_{31} + (\alpha_4 + \beta_4 \cdot I_4) 11x_{41} + \\ & (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) 6x_{12} + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 \cdot I_2) 21x_{22} + (\alpha_3 + \beta_3 \cdot I_3) 24x_{32} + (\alpha_4 + \beta_4 \cdot I_4) 18x_{42} + \\ & (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) 9x_{13} + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 \cdot I_2) 30x_{23} + (\alpha_3 + \beta_3 \cdot I_3) 26x_{33} + (\alpha_4 + \beta_4 \cdot I_4) 12x_{43} \end{aligned}$$

With problem :

$$\begin{aligned} 5 I_1 x_{11} &\leq 838 a_{11} & (1) \\ 17 I_2 x_{21} &\leq 838 a_{21} & (2) \\ 815 I_3 x_{31} &\leq 838 a_{31} & (3) \\ 1 I_4 x_{41} &\leq 838 a_{41} & (4) \\ 7 I_1 x_{12} &\leq 13.244 a_{12} & (5) \\ 75 I_2 x_{22} &\leq 13.244 a_{22} & (6) \\ 13.244 I_3 x_{32} &\leq 13.244 a_{32} & (7) \\ 1 I_4 x_{42} &\leq 13.244 a_{42} & (8) \\ 5 I_1 x_{13} &\leq 7.922 a_{13} & (9) \\ 56 I_2 x_{23} &\leq 7.922 a_{23} & (10) \\ 7.861 I_3 x_{33} &\leq 7.922 a_{33} & (11) \\ 1 I_4 x_{43} &\leq 7.922 a_{43} & (12) \\ 5 I_1 x_{11} + 17 I_2 x_{21} + 815 I_3 x_{31} + 1 I_4 x_{41} &\leq 838 & (13) \\ 7 I_1 x_{12} + 75 I_2 x_{22} + 13.244 I_3 x_{32} + 1 I_4 x_{42} &\leq 13.326 & (14) \\ 5 I_1 x_{13} + 56 I_2 x_{23} + 7.861 I_3 x_{33} + 1 I_4 x_{43} &\leq 7.922 & (15) \\ a_{11} + a_{21} + a_{31} + a_{41} &= 1 & (16) \\ a_{12} + a_{22} + a_{32} + a_{42} &= 1 & (17) \\ a_{13} + a_{23} + a_{33} + a_{43} &= 1 & (18) \\ 0 &\leq a_{ij} \leq 1 & (19) \\ 0,01 &\leq I_{1,2,3,4} \leq 1 & (20) \\ 0 &\leq x_{ij} \leq 10 & (21) \\ \{x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{31}, x_{41}, x_{12}, x_{22}, x_{32}, x_{42}, x_{13}, x_{23}, x_{33}, x_{43}\} &\subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+ & (22) \\ 0,01 &\leq \beta_{1,2,3,4} \leq 0,5 & (23) \\ \alpha_i + \beta_i I_i &\geq \alpha_{i-1} + \beta_{i-1} I_{i-1} & (24) \\ 0 &\leq \alpha_{1,2,3,4} \leq 1 & (25) \\ I_i - I_{i-1} &= 0 & (26) \\ I_i - I_{i-1} &> 0 & (27) \\ I_i - I_{i-1} &< 0 & (28) \\ \beta_i - \beta_{i-1} &= 0 & (29) \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_i - \beta_{i-1} > 0 \quad (30)$$

$$\beta_i - \beta_{i-1} < 0 \quad (31)$$

$$\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1} = 0 \quad (32)$$

$$\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1} > 0 \quad (33)$$

$$\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1} < 0 \quad (34)$$

Case 2 (α variable dan β constant)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max } R &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^4 (\alpha_i + \beta_i \cdot I_i) \cdot p_{ik} \cdot x_{ik} \\ &= (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 \cdot I_1) 3x_{11} + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 \cdot I_2) 45x_{21} + (\alpha_3 + \beta_3 \cdot I_3) 15x_{31} + (\alpha_4 + \beta_4 \cdot I_4) 11x_{41} + \\ & (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) 6x_{12} + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 \cdot I_2) 21x_{22} + (\alpha_3 + \beta_3 \cdot I_3) 24x_{32} + (\alpha_4 + \beta_4 \cdot I_4) 18x_{42} + \\ & (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) 9x_{13} + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 \cdot I_2) 30x_{23} + (\alpha_3 + \beta_3 \cdot I_3) 26x_{33} + (\alpha_4 + \beta_4 \cdot I_4) 12x_{43} \end{aligned}$$

With follow problems (1)-(22) and (25-27,31-33) and then add problem:

$$\alpha_i + I_i \geq \alpha_{i-1} + I_{i-1} \quad (24)$$

Applying LINGO 11.0, we have optimal solution from modified model. Optimal solution from cases show in Table 1 and Table 2:

Table 1 solution Model for Case 1 ($\alpha_i = \alpha_{i-1}, \beta_i = \beta_{i-1}, I_i > I_{i-1}$)

i	Total Capacity	Profit
1	15.3	106.2
2	133.2	566.4
3	19728	383.5
4	30	205
Σ	19906	1261.1

Table 2 Solution Model for Case 2 ($\alpha_i = \alpha_{i-1}, \beta$ constant, $I_i > I_{i-1}$)

i	Total Capacity	Profit
1	15.3	188.1
2	133.2	1003.2
3	19728	679.25
4	30	615
Σ	19906	2485.55

In Table 1 and 2, we can see that the higher total profit obtained when we set up based price as the variables and quality premium as the parameter with various condition of quality index which is greater than previous service.

Next in Table 3 and Table 4, we obtain the summary of our results for each case where we have four services and 3 links; 3 services and 3 links to be offered. The total capacity used for each case in Table 3 is achieved with the same value of 19,906.5 with different value of profit obtained. This difference is due to the setting up of the quality premium in order to meet the ISP s' goal to achieve the maximum profit. Again , for the different service offered, the Case 2 still reach the higher profit for ISP like stated in Table 4.

Table 3 Recapitulation Results of Case 1 and Case 2 for $i=4$ and $j=3$

i	Case 1				Case 2			
	α, β variable $I_i > I_{i-1}$				α variable β parameter $I_i > I_{i-1}$			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Capacity used(%)	0.08	0.67	99.1	0.15	0.08	0.67	99.1	0.15
Total capacity	19,906.5				19,906.5			
Total capacity (%)	100				100			
Total income	1,261.1				2,485.55			

Table 4. Recapitulation Results of Case 1 and Case 2 for $i=3$ $j=2$

i	Case 1			Case 2		
	α, β variable $I_i > I_{i-1}$			α variable, β constant $I_i = I_{i-1}$		
	1	2	3	1	2	3
Capacity used(%)	9.1	0.01	90	90	8.1	0.6
Total capacity	3,097.94			3,080		
Total capacity (%)	100			98.7		
Total capacity (%)	1,045.2			1,710		

So, after all, with varied base price, ISP will get maximize income not only to ISP but also to user. Then ISP can choose other condition, and the users are given choice to choose service which their want in accordance with the budget that users have and ISP can promote a particular service to get maximum profit.

CONCLUSION

Optimal solution is case 2 model with α variable and β constant case and $I_i > I_{i-1}$ which mean internet service provider can vary base price and defined premium quality in terms of the index of the quality of service in the beginning so service provider can compete in the market and allows users to choose the service that suits users' needs so that there is continuity between the providers and users in utilization of the internet.

REFERENCES

- Byun, J., & Chatterjee, S. (2004). *A strategic pricing for quality of service (QoS) network business*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Tenth Americas Conference on Information Systems, New York.
- Puspita, F. M., Irmeilyana, & Indrawati. (2014). *An Improved Model of Internet Pricing Scheme of Multi Link Multi Service Network with Various Value of Base Price, Quality Premium and QoS Level*. Paper presented at the 1st International Conference on Computer Science and Engineering, Palembang, South Sumatera, Indonesia.
- Puspita, F. M., Irmeilyana, Indrawati, Juniwati, & Sapitri, R. O. (2014). *Model Modifikasi Improved Skema Pembiayaan Internet Multi Link Bottleneck pada Jaringan Multi Layanan (Multi Service Network)*. Paper presented at the Seminar Nasional Bisnis dan Teknologi (SEMBISTEK), Lampung.

- Puspita, F. M., Irmeilyana, Indrawati, Susanti, E., Yuliza, E., & Sapitri, R. O. (2014). Model and optimal solution of multi link pricing scheme in multiservice network. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, September, 106-112.
- Puspita, F. M., Seman, K., & Taib, B. M. (2014). *The Improved Models of Internet Pricing Scheme of Multi Service Multi Link Networks with Various Capacity Links*. Paper presented at the 2014 International Conference on Computer and Communication Engineering (ICOCOE'2014), Melaka, Malaysia.
- Puspita, F. M., Seman, K., & Taib, B. M. (2015). The Improved Models of Internet Pricing Scheme of Multi Service Multi Link Networks with Various Capacity Links. In H. A. Sulaiman, M. A. Othman, M. F. I. Othman, Y. A. Rahim & N. C. Pee (Eds.), *Advanced Computer and Communication Engineering Technology* (Vol. 315). Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Puspita, F. M., Seman, K., Taib, B. M., & Shafii, Z. (2013). *An Improved Model of Internet Pricing Scheme of Multi Service Network in Multiple Link QoS Networks*. Paper presented at the The 2013 International Conference on Computer Science and Information Technology (CSIT-2013), Universitas Teknologi Yogyakarta.
- Sain, S., & Herpers, S. (2003). *Profit Maximisation in Multi Service Networks- An Optimisation Model*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 11th European Conference on Information Systems ECIS 2003, Naples, Italy
- Yang, W. (2004). *Pricing Network Resources in Differentiated Service Networks*. Phd Thesis. Georgia Institute of Technology.
- Yang, W., Owen, H., & Blough, D. M. (2004). *A Comparison of Auction and Flat Pricing for Differentiated Service Networks*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Communications.
- Yang, W., Owen, H. L., & Blough, D. M. (2005). *Determining Differentiated Services Network Pricing Through Auctions*. Paper presented at the Networking-ICN 2005, 4th International Conference on Networking April 2005 Proceedings, Part I, Reunion Island, France, .
- Yang, W., Owen, H. L., Blough, D. M., & Guan, Y. (2003). *An Auction Pricing Strategy for Differentiated Service Network*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference.

13.icets 2016-2

ORIGINALITY REPORT

2%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	ajbasweb.com Internet	20 words — 2%
2	eprints.unsri.ac.id Internet	10 words — 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE ON

BIBLIOGRAPHY

EXCLUDE MATCHES < 1%