M Gmail

fitri maya puspita <pipitmac140201@gmail.com>

[ICoDSE 2017] Your paper #1570404037 ('Utility Function Based-Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programming (MINLP) Problem Model of Information Service Pricing Schemes')

1 message

ICoDSE 2017 <icodse2017-chairs@edas.info>   Wed, Oct 25, 2017 at 8:09 PM
To: Fitri Maya Puspita <pipitmac140201@gmail.com>, Robinson Sitepu <robinsonsitepu14@gmail.com>, Shintya Apriliyani <shintyaapriliyani95@gmail.com>

Dear Dr. Fitri Maya Puspita:

Congratulations - your paper #1570404037 ('Utility Function Based-Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programming (MINLP) Problem Model of Information Service Pricing Schemes') for ICoDSE 2017 has been **accepted** and will be presented in the session.

The reviews are below or can be found at https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570404037.

======= Icodse2017-review 1 =======

> *** Originality: the originality and novelty of the ideas or concepts presented in the paper Some interesting and new ideas presented (2)

> *** Quality: the technical and structural quality of the paper based on the research questions and proposed solution The quality of the paper is quite fair (2)

> *** Relevance: the relevance of the paper with the scope of the conference The paper is quite relevant with the scope of the conference (2)

> *** Presentation: a presentation of the paper that delivers information written in a good English to support readers understanding to the idea and substance of the paper The paper is written in fair English (2)

> *** Detailed Comments: Add your detailed comments to the authors

This paper is not well organized, for example it does not explained related research as basic of the research performed. Some paragraphs seem to be missing, causing incomplete explanation of research procedure and prompt its procedure continuity.

======= Icodse2017-review 2 =======

> *** Originality: the originality and novelty of the ideas or concepts presented in the paper There is no new idea or concept (0)

> *** Quality: the technical and structural quality of the paper based on the research questions and proposed solution The quality of the paper is poor. Major revisions are needed (1)

> *** Relevance: the relevance of the paper with the scope of the conference The paper has minor relationships with the scope of the conference (1)

> *** Presentation: a presentation of the paper that delivers information written in a good English to support readers understanding to the idea and substance of the paper The paper is written in fair English (2)

> *** Detailed Comments: Add your detailed comments to the authors

Too many formulas and table without sufficient explanation. The paper must be rewritten to describe the proposed simulation, the optimization problem, the method used, and the simulation result in more detailed.

The title: "Utility Function Based-Mixed..." or "Utility Function-Based Mixed..." ?

The new idea of the proposed pricing scheme should be explicitly defined in abstract and the method used.

The simulation objective and scenarios must be described.

The optimization problem in the form of equation must be explained.

The solution tables (XIII, XIV, XV) must be explained in more detail.

The recapitulation tables (XVI, XVII) must be explained in more detail. Some of the table cells must be merged (data and type of pricing column).

There are some language and readability issue. Please proofread the paper.

======= Icodse2017-review 3 =======

> *** Originality: the originality and novelty of the ideas or concepts presented in the paper A little variations on a well-known research area (1)

> *** Quality: the technical and structural quality of the paper based on the research questions and proposed solution The quality of the paper is very poor and hard to accept (0)

> *** Relevance: the relevance of the paper with the scope of the conference The paper is quite relevant with the scope of the conference (2)

> *** Presentation: a presentation of the paper that delivers information written in a good English to support readers understanding to the idea and substance of the paper The paper is written in poor English (1)

> *** Detailed Comments: Add your detailed comments to the authors

- There are no analysis about the initial price establishment scheme
- There are no price establishment scheme formula, such as an expected contribution.
- Only shown calculated results by using LINGO tools, nonetheless it didn't shown how the LINGO tools formula that being used.
- It didn't shown references from every calculating formula that being used.
- The format is unpresentable

======= Icodse2017-meta-review 4 =======

> *** Decision: Final decision Accepted (1)

> *** Detailed Comments: Add your detailed comments to the authors

This paper is accepted on the condition that reviewers' comments should be addressed on the camera ready paper by Oct 27, 2017.

Please also note that all tables and equations require sufficient description or explanation.

Regards,
The conference chairs