PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

The Committees of the 5th Seminar Nasional Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika (SENATIK) 2020

To cite this article: N D Rahmawati et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1663 011002

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



IOP ebooks[™]

Bringing together innovative digital publishing with leading authors from the global scientific community.

Start exploring the collection-download the first chapter of every title for free.

The Committees of the 5th Seminar Nasional Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika (SENATIK) 2020

N D Rahmawati¹, M Muhtarom¹, R C I Prahmana², N Happy¹, D Wulandari¹, A S Pramasdyahsari¹, F Nursyahidah¹, I U Albab¹, Y H Murtianto¹, A N Aini¹ and S Sutrisno¹

¹Universitas PGRI Semarang, Indonesia ²Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia

Corresponding author's email: nurinahappy@upgris.ac.id

Organizing committee

Noviana Dini Rahmawati Yanuar Hery Murtianto Sutrisno Conference Chair Conference Co-Chair Publication Chair

Steering committee

Nur Khoiri Eko Retno Mulyaningrum Supandi Lilik Ariyanto Universitas PGRI Semarang Universitas PGRI Semarang Universitas PGRI Semarang Universitas PGRI Semarang

The committee of the 5th Seminar Nasional Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika (SENATIK) 2020 would like to say gratitude to all editorial board and scientific committee fo the volunteering support and contribution in the process of editing and reviewing.

Editorial Board

Muhtarom	Universitas PGRI Semarang
Rully Charitas Indra Prahmana	Universitas Ahmad Dahlan
Farida Nursyahidah	Universitas PGRI Semarang
Nurina Happy	Universitas PGRI Semarang
Dewi Wulandari	Universitas PGRI Semarang
Agnita Siska Pramasdyahsari	Universitas PGRI Semarang
Irkham Ulil Albab	Universitas PGRI Semarang
Aurora Nur Aini	Universitas PGRI Semarang

5th Seminar Nasional Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika (SENATIK) 2020IOP PublishingJournal of Physics: Conference Series1663 (2020) 011002doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1663/1/011002

Scientific Commitee

Prof. Zulkardi Universitas Sriwijaya Prof. Tatag Yuli Eko Siswono Universitas Negeri Surabaya Prof. Utari Sumarmo **IKIP** Siliwangi Prof. Dr. Heri Retnawati, S.Pd., M.Pd. Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta Universitas Gadjah Mada Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Dedi Rosadi, M.Sc. Prof. Dr. Widowati, S.Si., M.Si. Universitas Diponegoro Universitas Negeri Semarang Prof. St. Budi Waluya, M.Si., Ph.D Prof. Lilia Halim Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Dr. Yusri Bin Kamin Dr. Nor Fadila Amin Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Dr. Mahani Mokhtar Dr. Najua Syuhada Binti Ahmad Alhassora Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Dr. Mohd Rustam Mohd Rameli Univerisiti Teknologi Malaysia Dr. Syamsul Ahmad Arifin Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember Al Jupri, S.Pd., M.Sc., Ph.D. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia Dr. Rully Charitas Indra Prahmana Universitas Ahmad Dahlan Yogyakarta Dr. Muhtarom, M.Pd. Universitas PGRI Semarang Dr. Yoppy Wahyu Purnomo Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta Dr. Dhoriva Urwatul Wustqa, M.S. Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta Dr. Nur Inayah, M.Si **UIN Hidayatullah** Ahmad Wachidul Kohar, M.Pd. Universitas Negeri Surabaya Muchamad Subali Noto, S.Si., M.Pd., Universitas Swadaya Gunung Djati Universitas Sarjanawiyata Tamansiswa Muhammad Irfan, M.Pd. Supandi, M.Si. Universitas PGRI Semarang Scolastika Mariani, M.Si Universitas Negeri Semarang Middle East Technical University Nenden Octavarulia Shanty, S.Pd., M.Sc Bustang, S.Pd., M.Sc. Loughborough University

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Solving capacitated vehicle routing problem using of Clarke and Wright algorithm and LINGO in LPG distribution

To cite this article: E Yuliza et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1663 012027

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- A Survey for Vehicle Routing Problems and Its Derivatives Ming Han and Yabin Wang
- <u>Bi-objective routing model with speed</u> variation and consideration of emissions: Case study of solid waste collection in Coveñas, Sucre J Ruiz-Meza, J R Montoya-Torres, D Mejía-Ayala et al.
- On the multiple depots vehicle routing problem with heterogeneous fleet capacity and velocity F Hanum, A P Hartono and T Bakhtiar

Free the Science Week 2023 April 2-9 Accelerating discovery through open access! **Discover more!** www.ecsdl.org

This content was downloaded from IP address 110.137.190.247 on 16/03/2023 at 09:26

Solving capacitated vehicle routing problem using of Clarke and Wright algorithm and LINGO in LPG distribution

E Yuliza*, F M Puspita, S Yahdin and R Emiliya

Department of Mathematics, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia

*Corresponding author e-mail: eviyuliza@mipa.unsri.ac.id

Abstract. Capacitated vehicle routing problem is a vehicle routing problem that has constraints in the form of vehicle capacity. It is a matter of optimization to find the optimal route for some vehicles with a specific capacity and homogeneous vehicles that serve some agents with several known requests before the distribution process takes place. LPG distribution is the application of capacitated vehicle routing problems. This research aims to determine the LPG distribution route based on the Clarke and Wright Algorithm and LINGO. The capacitated vehicle routing problem model will be solved in two phases. The first stage completes the capacitated vehicle routing the results of the first stage in the form of LINGO-based sub tours to obtain the optimal solution. The solution of the capacitated vehicle routing problem in distribution LPG using the Clarke and Wright algorithm has a total distance of 151.94 km. The solution of the capacitated vehicle routing problem in distribution LPG using LINGO has a total distance of 161.59 km.

1. Introduction

The distribution of products from sources (depots) to several destinations is a complex problem. The existence of several destinations for product delivery will cause several distribution channels that consider the distance and travel time. The distribution of LPG gas products at PT Lebong Terang to several customers in the Palembang city area is expected to be able to create reliable delivery performance in the distribution of gas products. The problem under investigation is how homogeneous vehicles can serve oil demand from some gas stations from the depot and minimize mileage. The purpose of this optimization is to find a series of routes that include n customers with a minimum overall distance [1]. A series of vehicle routes that aim at the minimum cost of several customer locations so that each route starts and ends at the same location, and several obstacles are met [2]. Laporte [2] summarizes the results for the classic vehicle routing problem (VRP) on existing vehicle capacity constraints. Classic VRP aims to find a set of vehicle routes that begin and end at a depot, some vehicles of the same capacity, and every customer is visited exactly once. The solution of the classic VRP is a set of routes that all start and end at the depot and meet the obstacle that all customers are served only once. Real-life VRP problems are often far more complex than classic VRP. In 1959, VRP on the issue of modeling truck deliveries was introduced by Dantzig and Ramser [3]. In 1964, Clarke & Wright generalized the VRP problem for linear optimization problems in logistics and transportation problems. The problem studied is how to serve a set of customers scattered around a central depot, using a fleet of trucks of various capacities [4]. VRP addresses logistical and transportation problems. VRP is a generalization of the traveling salesman problem (TSP). Unlike TSP, VRP has a goal to make a total trip, total distance, or



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

total time for all trips with the total amount used [5]. The VRP problem has evolved in accordance with real-world problems [6].

The process of distributing goods will run smoothly if an optimal vehicle route can be determined. CVRP is a vehicle route problem to determine the optimal vehicle route located in a depot in delivery commodities to several customer locations, which combines requests from each customer related to vehicle capacity. The purpose of CVRP is to minimize transportation costs and overall time. CVRP is a VRP which has constraints in the form of vehicle capacity. CVRP is an optimization problem to find the optimal route for some vehicles with a certain capacity and homogeneous (having the same capacity), which serves some agents with the number of requests known before the distribution process takes place. The distribution in each vehicle can only be carried out once, namely from the depot to each agent and then back to the depot. It aims to service systems in determining distribution routes to be more effective, efficient and can increase the ability of companies to be able to meet product demand more quickly so that consumer trust and satisfaction increases. Capacitated vehicle routing problem is also known as the variants of the vehicle routing problem [7]. Ibrahim, Abdulaziz and Ishaya [7] solve the CVRP using The exact method and column generation then compare their solutions. Akhand, Peya, Sultana, and Al-Mahmud [8] solve CVRP in finding the optimal route of a vehicle using swarm intelligence. Mamat, Jaaman, and Ahmad [9] discussed two methods commonly used in logistics and transportation, VRP and CVRP to solve investment allocation problems. CVRP designs an optimal delivery route where each vehicle only takes one route, each vehicle has the same characteristics, each customer has a request, and there is only one central depot. Azad and Hasin [10] have researched to find a solution to the vehicle routing problem using a genetic algorithm that is able to determine the optimal route for the vehicles.

There are various models of Integer Linear Programming (ILP) from CVRP [11][12]. The CVRP model formed in this study uses the CVRP model proposed by Borcinova [12]. One of the main differences lies in how to eliminate sub-tours, namely the cycle that does not go through the depot. Solutions from VRP can be solved by exact methods, classic heuristic methods, and metaheuristic methods. Achutha, Caccetta, and Hill [13] developed new cutting planes on CVRP problems and used them in the branch and cut algorithms.

CVRP on LPG distribution is completed in two stages. In the first phase, CVRP on LPG distribution was completed using Clarke and Wright Algorithm. Clarke and Wright algorithm is a heuristic approach to solving CVRP. The principle of Clarke and Wright algorithm is sub-routes formed related to vehicle capacity. If the sub-routes formed exceed the capacity of the vehicle, then create a new sub-route [14]. Caccetta [14] has improved the Clarke and Wright algorithm to search the capacitated vehicle routing problem. The CVRP model in this study aims to minimize the total distance of LPG gas distribution. For the second stage, sub-routes of the results using Clarke and Wright Algorithm are solved using LINGO software. LINGO software is a tool to solve non-linear programming problems and has a strong function of operational research software [15][16]. The purpose of this stage will be investigated whether the sub-routes formed are optimal. The CVRP model in this research was completed using Clarke and Wright algorithm and software LINGO.

2. Methods

The Clarke and Wright algorithm is used to solve the LPQ gas distribution problem so that the LPG gas distribution route is optimal. CVRP mathematical models can be solved using LINGO software. LINGO software is built for optimization problems such as integer programming and linear programming [16][17]. The gas-based data collection was carried out at 24 gas base locations.

There are five steps taken in this study. They are collecting data in the form of demand of LPG gas base and the distance of each gas base from the agent, determining the distance matrices, solving CVRP with the Clarke and Wright algorithm, formulating CVRP models, and solving the CVRP model based on LINGO 13.0.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Data

Here, we have the distance of the agent to each gas base data and the gas demand for each gas data. The agent distributes LPG gas to n locations, which n = 24. The type of vehicle used is a truck with a capacity Q of 4000 kg. **A** is a distance matrix (km) of the agent to each gas base, which 0-agent and i-gas base to i with i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, ..., 24.

	-	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24]
	0	-	8.7	1.5	12	9	1.1	2.5	2	1.6	0.3	3.2	1.5	7.9	2.9	0.85	16	5.3	2.5	4.2	3	3.4	0.24	0.5	0.7	3.3
	1	8.7	-	12	21	4.7	15	16	10	11	12	8.9	12	6.6	15	12	24	8	15	9.4	12	18	12	13	13	18
	2	1.5	12	-	13	10	3.3	3.2	3.1	2.6	1	4.5	0.05	9.2	3.6	1.9	16	6.6	3.3	5.5	1.9	4.2	0.9	1.1	0.45	4.1
	3	12	21	13	-	15	8.1	8.3	11	10	9.6	12	10	13	7	9.6	9.5	14	6.6	13	9.5	10	9.6	9.6	10	9.8
	4	9	4.7	10	15	-	11	13	8.2	8.5	10	6.9	10	3.7	13	10	22	3.5	13	7.5	10	14	10	11	11	13
	5	1.1	15	3.3	8.1	11	-	1.4	2.7	2.2	1.5	3.9	2.2	8.6	1.8	1.5	14	6	1.4	4.9	0.7	2.4	1.5	1.6	1.9	2.2
	6	2.5	16	3.2	8.3	13	1.4	-	4.5	4.1	3.4	5.7	4.1	11	0.75	3.4	13	7.9	0.4	6.7	3.3	3.8	3.3	3.4	3.8	3.6
	7	2	10	3.1	11	8.2	2.7	4.5	-	0.45	3.5	1.6	4.7	7.5	6	1.3	19	5	5.7	2.4	2.7	6.6	3.4	3.7	3.8	6.4
	8	1.6	11	2.6	10	8.5	2.2	4.1	0.45	-	3	2.4	4.2	7.1	5.6	1	18	4.5	5.2	3.4	2.9	6.1	3	3.2	3.4	6
	9	0.3	12	1	9.6	10	1.5	3.4	3.5	3	-	3.3	1	8	2.9	0.95	16	5.4	2.6	4.2	0.85	3.5	0.23	0.21	0.6	3.4
	10	3.2	8.9	4.5	12	6.9	3.9	5.7	1.6	2.4	3.3	-	4.3	6.3	5.6	3	18	3.7	5.2	1.2	3	6.2	3	3.2	3.4	6
A =	11	1.5	12	0.05	10	10	2.2	4.1	4.7	4.2	1	4.3	-	9.2	3.7	1.9	16	6.6	3.3	5.4	1.9	4.3	0.9	0.9	0.45	4.1
	12	7.9	6.6	9.2	13	3.7	8.6	11	7.5	7.1	8	6.3	9.2	-	10	13	19	3	10	9.3	13	13	13	13	13	13
	13	2.9	15	3.6	7	13	1.8	0.75	6	5.6	2.9	5.6	3.7	10	-	3.4	13	7.8	0.4	6.7	3.3	1.7	3.3	3.4	3.8	1.8
	14	0.85	12	1.9	9.6	10	1.5	3.4	1.3	1	0.95	3	1.9	13	3.4	-	16	4.9	3.1	2.2	0.35	4	0.8	1.1	1.2	3.8
	15	16	24	16	9.5	22	14	13	19	18	16	18	16	19	13	16	-	20	13	19	16	16	16	16	16	16
	16	5.3	8	6.6	14	3.5	6	7.9	5	4.5	5.4	3.7	6.6	3	7.8	4.9	20	-	13	6.8	9.3	13	9.3	9.6	9.8	12
	17	2.5	15	3.3	6.6	13	1.4	0.4	5.7	5.2	2.6	5.2	3.3	10	0.4	3.1	13	13	-	6.3	2.9	3.3	2.9	3	3	32
	18	4.2	9.4	5.5	13	7.5	4.9	6.7	2.4	3.4	4.2	1.2	5.4	9.3	6.7	2.2	19	6.8	6.3	-	3.8	7	3.8	4.1	4.2	6.8
	19	3	12	1.9	9.5	10	0.7	3.3	2.7	2.9	0.85	3	1.9	13	3.3	0.35	16	9.3	2.9	3.8	-	4.1	0.95	1.2	1.4	4
	20	3.4	18	4.2	10	14	2.4	3.8	6.6	6.1	3.5	6.2	4.3	13	1.7	4	16	13	3.3	7	4.1	-	3.9	3.9	4.3	1
	21	0.24	12	0.9	9.6	10	1.5	3.3	3.4	3	0.23	3	0.9	13	3.3	0.8	16	9.3	2.9	3.8	0.95	3.9	-	0.45	0.45	3.5
	22	0.5	13	1.1	9.6	11	1.6	3.4	3.7	3.2	0.21	3.2	0.9	13	3.4	1.1	16	9.6	3	4.1	1.2	3.9	0.45	-	0.45	3.4
	23	0.7	13	0.45	10	11	1.9	3.8	3.8	3.4	0.6	3.4	0.45	13	3.8	1.2	16	9.8	3	4.2	1.4	4.3	0.45	0.45	-	3.9
	_24	3.3	18	4.1	9.8	13	2.2	3.6	6.4	6	3.4	6	4.1	13	1.8	3.8	16	12	32	6.8	4	1	3.5	3.4	3.9	-]

The gas demand for each gas base is shown in table 1.

	Tube/month	Kg/month
<i>d</i> 1	1600	4800
<i>d</i> 2	450	1350
d3	910	2730
d4	840	2520
<i>d</i> 5	400	1200
<i>d</i> 6	1300	3900
<i>d</i> 7	1080	3240
d8	480	1440
d9	250	750
d10	520	1560
<i>d</i> 11	350	1050

Table 1. Gas demand.

5th Seminar Nasional Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika (SENATIK) 2020

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

1663 (2020) 012027 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1663/1/012027

IOP Publishing

	Tube/month	Kg/month
<i>d</i> 12	720	2160
<i>d</i> 13	150	450
<i>d</i> 14	650	1950
<i>d</i> 15	4480	13440
<i>d</i> 16	480	1440
<i>d</i> 17	780	2340
<i>d</i> 18	960	2880
<i>d</i> 19	900	2700
d20	500	1500
d21	300	900
d22	500	1500
d23	200	600
<i>d</i> 24	2100	6300

Which *di* is the number of requests from gas base *i* for i = 1, 2, 3, ..., 24.

3.2. Clarke and Wright algorithm

CVRP in LPG gas distribution can be determined as a graph G = (V, E) with V = (0, 1, 2, 3, ..., 23) as the set of vertices and $E = \{(i, j) | i, j \in V, i \neq j\}$ as the set of arcs, where vertex represents the depot for a fleet of vehicles with the same capacity, and the remaining *n* vertices represent customers.

Based on table 2, the route for distributing gas to PT Terang Lebong uses Clarke and Wright algorithm as follows: agent -5^{th} gas base -11^{th} gas base - agent, agent -3^{rd} gas base -13^{th} gas base - agent, agent -17^{th} gas base -15^{th} gas base - agent, agent -2^{nd} gas base -20^{th} gas base 20 - agent, agent -8^{th} gas base -10^{th} gas base - agent, agent -14^{th} gas base -18^{th} gas base - agent, agent -19^{th} gas base -21^{st} gas base - agent, agent -22^{nd} gas base -23^{rd} gas base - agent, agent -14^{th} gas base -16^{th} gas base -23^{rd} gas base - agent, agent -14^{th} gas base -16^{th} gas base -30^{th} gas base -30^{th} gas base -21^{st} gas base -21^{st} gas base -9^{th} gas base -23^{rd} gas base -30^{th} gas base -16^{th} gas base -23^{rd} gas base -30^{th} gas base -16^{th} gas base -30^{th} gas base -30^{th} gas base -22^{rd} gas base -30^{th} gas base -22^{th} gas base -30^{th}

Sub Tours	Distribution routes	Total gas	Distance traveled
Sub Tours	Distribution foutes	(tube)	(km)
1	0-4-16-0	1320	17.8
2	0-3-13-9-0	1310	22.2
3	0-10-12-0	1240	17.4
4	0-5-19-0	1300	4.8
5	0-2-11-23-21-0	1300	2.69
6	0-8-14-0	1130	3.45
7	0-17-20-0	1280	9.2
8	0-1-0	1600	17.4
9	0-6-0	1300	5
10	0-7-0	1080	4
11	0-15-0	4480	32
12	0-18-0	960	8.4
13	0-22-0	500	1
14	0-24-0	2100	6.6
To	tal distance		151.94

Table 2. Distribution of LPG vehicle routes using Clarke and Wright Algorithm.

Next, the CVRP mathematical model formulation is formed, which is solved using LINGO.

1663 (2020) 012027 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1663/1/012027

3.3. Solution of the CVRP model using LINGO

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

The CVRP model for LPG gas distribution is the CVRP model proposed by Borcinova [12]. CVRP Model for route 2 is as follows.

Minimize:

 $12x_{03} + 2.9x_{013} + 0.3x_{09} + 12x_{30} + 7x_{313} + 9.6x_{39} + 2.9x_{130} + 7x_{133} + 2.9x_{139} + 0.3x_{90} + 9.6x_{93} + 2.9x_{913}$ Subject to:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{03} + x_{133} + x_{93} &\leq 1 \\ x_{013} + x_{313} + x_{913} &= 1 \\ x_{09} + x_{39} + x_{139} &= 1 \\ x_{03} + x_{013} + x_{09} &= 1 \\ x_{03} + x_{133} + x_{93} - x_{30} - x_{313} - x_{39} &= 0 \\ x_{013} + x_{313} + x_{913} - x_{130} - x_{133} - x_{139} &= 0 \\ x_{09} + x_{39} + x_{139} - x_{90} - x_{93} - x_{913} &= 0 \\ 2730x_{03} + 2730x_{133} + 2730x_{93} + 450x_{013} + 450x_{313} + 450x_{913} + 750x_{09} + 750x_{39} + 750x_{139} \leq 4000 \\ x_{133} + x_{93} \leq 2 \\ x_{313} + x_{913} \leq 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$x_{39} + x_{139} \le 2$$

The results of calculations from the CVRP model using LINGO, as shown in table 3.

Sub Tours	Distribution Routes	Total gas	Distance traveled
		(tube)	(km)
1	0-16-4-0	1320	17.8
2	0-13-9-0	400	6.1
3	0-3-0	910	24
4	0-12-10-0	1240	17.4
5	0-5-19-0	1300	4.8
6	0-2-11-0	800	3.05
7	0-23-21-0	500	1.39
8	0-14-8-0	1130	3.45
9	0-17-20-0	1280	9.2
10	0-6-0	1300	5
11	0-1-0	1600	17.4
12	0-7-0	1080	4
13	0-15-0	4480	32
14	0-18-0	960	8.4
15	0-22-0	500	1
16	0-24-0	2100	6.6
Total distance			161.59

Table 3. Distribution of LPG vehicle routes using LINGO.

The route for distributing gas to PT Terang Lebong uses the LINGO as follows: agent -16^{th} gas base -4^{th} gas base - agent, agent -13^{th} gas base -9^{th} gas base - agent, agent -3^{rd} gas base - agent, agent -12^{th} gas base - agent, agent -5^{th} gas base -19^{th} gas base - agent, agent -2^{nd} gas base -10^{th} gas base - agent, agent -2^{nd} gas base -11^{th} gas base - agent, agent -23^{rd} gas base -21^{st} gas base - agent, agent -14^{th} gas base -8^{th} gas base - agent, agent -17^{th} gas base -20^{th} gas base - agent, agent -16^{th} gas base -20^{th} gas base - agent, agent -18^{th} gas base - agents, agent -22^{rd} gas base - agents and agents -22^{th} gas base - agents with a total distance of 161.59 km and 16 sub tours.

The formulation of CVRP solved using LINGO produces more efficient results than using Clarke and Wright algorithm. Borcinova [12] discussed that the formulation of CVRP has more efficient results

when it is computationally solved. LPG gas distribution route using LINGO is more optimal than the Clarke and Wright algorithm.

4. Conclusion

In this research, VRP is solved using Clarke and Wright algorithm so that sub-routes are obtained. Next, the sub-routes formed are completed using LINGO software. There are differences in sub-routes formed by using Clarke and Wright algorithm with LINGO software. This is because the sub-routes completed with LINGO must be related to several inequalities. The solution of capacitated vehicle routing problem in distribution LPG using Clarke and Wrigth algorithm has a total distance of 151.94 km. The solution of the capacitated vehicle routing problem in distribution LPG using LINGO has a total distance of 161.59 km. For further research, the CVRP model can pay attention to time windows, and the CVRP model can be solved with other non-linear programming problem software such as CPLEX.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Sriwijaya through Science and Technology Research Grant Scheme, the year 2019.

References

- [1] Jepsen M K and Pisinger D 2011 *Branch-and-cut and branch-and-cut-and-price algorithms for* solving vehicle routing problems Thesis Technical University of Denmark
- [2] Laporte G 2007 Res. Logist. 54 811–9
- [3] Clarke G and Wright J W 1964 Oper. Res. 12 568–81
- [4] Braekers K, Ramaekers K and Van Nieuwenhuyse I 2016 Comput. Ind. Eng. 99 300–13
- [5] Beresneva E N and Avdoshin S 2018 *Proc. Inst. Syst. Program. RAS* **30** 235–50
- [6] Atefi R, Salari M, C. Coelho L and Renaud J 2018 Eur. J. Oper. Res. 265 316–27
- [7] Ibrahim A A, Lo N, Abdulaziz R O and Ishaya J A 2019 Int. J. Res. 7 310–27
- [8] Akhand M A H, Peya Z J, Sultana T and Al-Mahmud 2015 *Proc. Int. Conf. Electr. Inf. Commun. Technol.* (Khulna, Bangladesh: IEEE)
- [9] Mamat N J Z, Jaaman S H and Ahmad R 2016 AIP Conf. Proc. 1784 pp 50001-1-050001-7
- [10] Azad T and Hasin M A A 2019 Int. J. Logist. Syst. Manag. 32 132-46
- [11] Alipour M M 2012 J. Comput. Sci. Issue 9 138-45
- [12] Borcinova Z 2017 Croat. Oper. Res. Rev. 8 463-9
- [13] Achuthan N R, Caccetta L and Hill S 2003 Transp. Sci. 37 153-69
- [14] Caccetta L, Alameen M and Abdul-niby M 2013 A Eng. Technol. Appl. Sci. Res. 3 413–5
- [15] Liangjun M, Peilin Z and Kechao S 2010 Int. Conf. Mach. Vis. Hum-Mach Interface (Kaifeng, China: IEEE)
- [16] Liu B and Jin N 2015 IEEE Adv. Inf. Technol. Electron. Autom. Control Conf. (Chongqing, China: IEEE)
- [17] Zhang Y Z and Xie S Y 2017 2nd Asia-Pacific Conf. Intell. Robot Syst. (Wuhan, China: IEEE)



diberikan Kepada

Evi Yuliza, M.Si

sebagai PEMAKALAH

dalam kegiatan Seminar Nasional Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika Tahun 2020 dengan tema "Merdeka Belajar: Integrasi Teknologi dalam Pembelajaran Matematika" pada tanggal 12 - 13 Agustus 2020 yang diselenggarakan oleh Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika FPMIPATI Universitas PGRI Semarang. Semarang, 13 Agustus 2020



Ketua Panitia **SENPTUA** Pendidikan Matematika UP Cris Noviana Dini Rahmawati, S.Pd., M.Pd. NPP. 118701355