

ICSADU 2019 REVIEWER FORM

Paper code: 023	
Paper title: Study on urban spatial pattern of riverside settlement, case study: Musi riverside, Palembang	
<p>Abstracts: The riverside settlements in Palembang are located in wetlands in that form of tidal swamps. This natural condition affects the formation of the urban spatial pattern because all local people activities are carried out on swamp land. Studies on the urban spatial pattern of riverside settlements are intended to obtain the consideration in wetland urban spatial planning, especially in Zoning Regulations, as a tool in building permission. The research method is a case study method consisting of field research and questionnaires distribution to the local community. The location take place in three riverside settlements. The analysis uses descriptive analysis for field surveys result and likert scale measurements for questionnaires result. The analysis shows that the riverside settlements have unique characteristics because most of the people activities are take place above the water. The boundaries of neighborhood units are formed by rivers and roads. The pattern of villages and buildings has two orientations, the road and to the river. The urban spatial patterns in riverside settlement consist of three patterns, the are linear patterns, grid patterns, cluster patterns and combinations, due to the natural conditions and the limitations of the material used to form the structure of the road. Buildings and roads in the area are made above the water (on stilts structure), and the height of the stilts considering the water level at the highest tide. The results of this study can be used as consideration in determining the design criteria of urban spatial planning in the riverside settlement.</p>	
Keywords: spatial patterns, settlements, river banks	
OVERALL EVALUATION	
Your overall evaluation for this submission	
<input type="checkbox"/> 5: excellent paper <input type="checkbox"/> 4: good paper <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3: borderline paper <input type="checkbox"/> 2: poor paper <input type="checkbox"/> 1: fail paper	Reason/s: Already mentioned on reasons below
REVIEWER'S CONFIDENCE	
Confidence of your expertise in the subject areas of the submission	
<input type="checkbox"/> 5: expert <input type="checkbox"/> 4: high <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3: medium <input type="checkbox"/> 2: low <input type="checkbox"/> 1: not familiar	
PRESENTATION	
Writing and expression	
<input type="checkbox"/> 5: excellent <input type="checkbox"/> 4: good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3: fair <input type="checkbox"/> 2: poor <input type="checkbox"/> 1: fail	Reason/s: well structured minus description of likert scale use (why mention the scale if author didnt put at all)
ANALYSIS	
The depth of the analysis and the use of empirical data	
<input type="checkbox"/> 5: excellent	

<input type="checkbox"/> 4: good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3: fair <input type="checkbox"/> 2: poor <input type="checkbox"/> 1: fail	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> Reason/s: 3.2-3.3 didnt relate with the discussion about spatial pattern and authors have no effort to relate (spatial-social) </div>
DISCUSSION The quality of discussion of the related work	
<input type="checkbox"/> 5: excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4: good <input type="checkbox"/> 3: fair <input type="checkbox"/> 2: poor <input type="checkbox"/> 1: fail	
TECHNICAL SOUNDNESS The soundness of the proposed works	
<input type="checkbox"/> 5: excellent <input type="checkbox"/> 4: good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3: fair <input type="checkbox"/> 2: poor <input type="checkbox"/> 1: fail	
ORIGINALITY The novelty of the work	
<input type="checkbox"/> 5: excellent <input type="checkbox"/> 4: good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3: fair <input type="checkbox"/> 2: poor <input type="checkbox"/> 1: fail	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> Reason/s: nice urban spatial pattern report however i couldnt found any further discussion on it </div>
REVIEW Please provide a detailed review, including justification for your score. This review will be sent to the authors. This field is required.	
Prepared writing but forgot to erase the likert scale in method Each discussion almost has no transition the keypoint was the settlement patterns, for further development I suggest author to deepen this point with more discussions	
FINAL DECISION	
<input type="checkbox"/> ACCEPT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MINOR CHANGE <input type="checkbox"/> MAJOR REVISION <input type="checkbox"/> REJECT	