



ICECOS 2019



#19 (1570555898): Requirement Engineering for Traffic Information Application Using GPS Based on Motivations to Contribute

#19 (1570555898): Requirement Engineering for Traffic Information Application Using GPS Based on Motivations to Contribute

[Hide details](#)

BIBTeX

	Drag to change order	Author name	Author affiliation (edit for paper)	Author email	Email	Delete
Authors	⋮	Rahmat Izwan Heroza	Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia	rahmatheroza@unsri.ac.id	✉	🗑️
	⋮	Mgs Afriyan Firdaus	Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia	afriyan_firdaus@unsri.ac.id	✉	🗑️
	⋮	Ali Ibrahim	Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia	aliibrahim@unsri.ac.id	✉	🗑️



Paper title *Requirement Engineering for Traffic Information Application Using GPS Based on Motivations to Contribute* Only the chairs can edit

Conference and track **2019 International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (ICECOS) - Information Technology**

Abstract Only the chairs can edit This study identified the motivation to contribute traffic information based on the theory of...

Keywords no keywords Only the chairs can edit

Topics Software Engineering; Information Systems; Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining Only the chairs can edit

Similarity On Jul 31, 2019 00:06 Asia/Jakarta, ithenticate computed a similarity score of 3 for the review manuscript.

Personal notes

Roles You are an author for this paper.
You have authored an accepted paper in this conference.

Status Accepted

Copyright IEEE; IEEE: Sep 9, 2019 22:21 Asia/Jakarta

Presented by Rahmat Izwan Heroza

Review manuscript **Final manuscript** **Presentation**



ICECOS Review

Actions	Relevance and timeliness	Technical content and scientific rigour	Novelty and originality	Quality of presentation
completed	Acceptable 3	Valid work but limited contribution. 3	Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. 3	Well written. 4

Weak aspects

There is not concrete conclusion in the abstract. It is really hard to understand what has the work achieved.

Recommended changes

Please make clearer the conclusion part in the abstract.

completed	Good 4	Solid work of notable importance. 4	Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. 3	Well written. 4
-----------	---------------	--	---	------------------------

Weak aspects

- 1) The T Statistics values claimed in Abstract (2,045, 2,646 and 2,787) do not match the values explained in Result.
- 2) There is no description of the work originality because the paper does not include a discussion of related works that can be compared to

Actions	Relevance and timeliness	Technical content and scientific rigour	Novelty and originality	Quality of presentation
----------------	---------------------------------	--	--------------------------------	--------------------------------

this work.

3) There are a few grammatical errors.

4) Fonts of Fig.1 are too small. If necessary, a large figure can use two columns of the page.

5) The composing of paragraphs in hypothesis formulation is not flowing.

6) Table numbers in the main text (Arabic) do not take the table numbers of the captions (Roman).

Recommended changes

Please check again, whether the T statistics values in the abstract match the values discussed in the result section.

Add two or more works related to RE for traffic information application, to get the research originality.