









Cover Page : Editors : Advisory Board : Technical Committee : Organising Committee : Table of Contents :

Editors

Zulikha Jamaludin Nur Haryani Zakaria

Graphic Designer

Syamsul Bahrin Zaibon

Copyright © 2017 UUM College of Arts and Sciences. All Rights reserved. Published by School of Computing, Sintok

This work is subject to copyright. All right are reserved. Whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the right of translating, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on papers, electronics or any other way, and storage in data banks without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate fees as charged by the Publisher. Due care has been taken to ensure that the information provided in this proceeding is correct. However, the publisher bears no responsibility for any damage resulting from any inadvertent omission or inaccuracy of the content in the proceedings.

This proceeding is published in electronic format.

Library cataloging – publication data
Universiti Utara Malaysia, 2017
International Conference on Computing and Informatics 2017/ Universiti Utara Malaysia
1. Computing 2. Informatics 3. Computer Science 4. Eco-Friendly Computing

eISSN 2289-7402 e-ISBN 978-967-0910-33-8

Published in Malaysia.

ICOCI2017 URL: http://looci.uum.er



ICOG 2017, International Conference on Computing and Informatics, Universitä Utara Malayaia, 86016 UUM Sintok, Kedari Darul Aman, Malayaia



‡ Cover Page : Editors : Advisory Board : Technical Committee : Organising Committee : Table of Contents :

CHAPTER 1: Data Science/Analytics

 TEXT ANALYTICS OF UNSTRUCTURED TEXTUAL DATA: A STUDY ON MILITARY PEACEKEEPING DOCUMENT USING R TEXT MINING PACKAGE Zuraini Zaknol, Puteri N.E. Nohuddin, Tengku A.T. Mohd, and Omar Zakaria 	1
 PREDICTIVE ANALYTIC IN HEALTH CARE USING CASE-BASED REASONING (CBR) Sukumar Letchmunan, Zulkefli Mansor, Nikki Lee Wan Yan, Low Kah Meng, and Nur Farhana Izwani Tahir 	8
 IMPACT OF TWITTER ON HUMAN INTERACTION Anis Naseerah Shaik Osman, Sharilah Sakinah Syed Ahmad, and Halizah Basiron 	16
 AN ENHANCEMENT OF SLIDING WINDOW ALGORITHM FOR RAINFALL FORECASTING SIti Nor Fathihah Azahari, Mahmod Othman, and Rizauddin Salan 	23
 EFFECT OF FUZZY DISCRETIZATION IN THE ASSOCIATION PERFORMANCE WITH CONTINUOUS ATTRIBUTES Nor Idayu Ahmad Azami, Nooraini Yusoff, and Ku Ruhana Ku-Mahamud 	<u>29</u>
 FACTORS OF EMERGING INFECTIOUS DISEASE OUTBREAK PREDICTION USING BIG DATA ANALYTICS: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW Nur Laila Ab Ghani, Sulfeeza Mohd Drus, Noor Hafizah Hassan, and Aliza Abdul Latif 	<u>37</u>
 3D FACIAL EXPRESSION INTENSITY MEASUREMENT ANALYSIS Alicia Cheong Chiek Ying, Hamimah Ujir, and Irwandi Hipiny 	43
 ENHANCING SECURITY ELEMENTS FOR MAPREDUCE PROCESSING WITH WHITELIST Adilah Sabtu and Nurulhuda Firdaus Mohd Azmi 	49
 FUSSCYIER: MAMMOGRAM IMAGES CLASSIFICATION BASED ON SIMILARITY MEASURE FUZZY SOFT SET Saima Anwar Lashari, Roszlati Ibrahim, and Norhalina Senan 	56
 EXPONENTIAL SMOOTHING TECHNIQUES ON DAILY TEMPERATURE LEVEL DATA Noor Shahifah Muhamad and Aniza Mohamed Din 	62
CHAPTER 2: Optimization Algorithm	
 ENHANCED SELECTION METHOD FOR GENETIC ALGORITHM TO SOLVE TRAVELING SALESMAN PROBLEM Mohammed Bin Jubeir, Mishal Almazrooie, and Rosni Abdullah 	69
 DERIVING SKYLINE POINTS OVER DYNAMIC AND INCOMPLETE DATABASES Ghazaleh Babanejad, Hamidah Ibrahim, Nur Izura Udzir, Fatimah Sidi, and Ali Amer Alwan 	<u>77</u>
 SKYLINE COMPUTATION OF UNCERTAIN DATABASE: A SURVEY Ma'aruf Mohammed Lawal, Hamidah Ibrahim, Fazilda Mohd Sani, and Razali Yaakob 	84
 QUERY COST-REDUCTION FOR QURANC-ARABIC INFORMATION RETRIEVAL USING HEXADECIMAL 	91
icoci.cms.net.my/PROCEEDINGS/2017/TOC.html	

1/7

7/1/2020	ICOCI2017: Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Computing and Informatics 2017, Universiti Utara Mala CONVERSION ALGORITHM Ahmad Akmaluddin Mazlan, Norita Md Norwawi, Fauziah Abdul Wahid, Roesnita Ismail, and Ashraf Al Omoush	ysia
	RESERVOIR GATE OPENING CLASSIFICATION USING MULTIPLE CLASSIFIER SYSTEM WITH ANT SYSTEM- BASED FEATURE DECOMPOSITION Abdullah Husin and Ku Ruhana Ku-Mahamud	99
	THE COMPARISON OF INTERNET PRICING SCHEME IN MULTI LINK BOTTLENECK MULTI SERVICE NETWORK Fitri Maya Puspita, Kamaruzzaman Seman, Bachok M. Taib, and Ismail Abdullah	<u>105</u>
	HEURISTIC METHOD FOR OPTIMUM SHIFT SCHEDULING DESIGN Lee Kong Weng, Sze San Nah, and Phang Keat Keong	112
	HEURISTIC FACULTY COURSE TIMETABLING WITH STUDENT SECTIONING Chia-Lih Bong, San-Nah Sze, Noor Alamshah Bolhassan, and Kang-Leng Chiew	119
	COURIER DELIVERY SERVICES VISUALISOR (CDSV) WITH AN INTEGRATION OF GENETIC ALGORITHM AND A* ENGINE Mohammad Fariduddin Jalaluddin, Ezzatul Akmal Kamaru-Zaman, and Shuzlina Abdul-Rahman, and Sofianita Mutalib	<u>126</u>
	TRUCK QUEUING ANALYSIS AT LANDFILL SITES IN A WASTE COLLECTION VEHICLE ROUTING PROBLEM Aida Mauziah Benjamin, Ku Ruhana Ku-Mahamud, and Zanariah Idrus	132
сн	APTER 3: Software Engineering	
	A CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR SERVICE-ORIENTED ARCHITECTURE ADOPTION MATURITY MODEL Mohd Hamdi Irwan Hamzah, Fauziah Baharom, and Haslina Mohd	139
	LIBRARY ADVISOR - AN INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ON STANDARD CELL LIBRARY Lim Wei Pin, Kuay Chong Lee, Lim Klang Leng, and Nurul Hashimah Ahamed Hassain Malim	146
	SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT TEAM COMPOSITION: PERSONALITY TYPES OF PROGRAMMER AND COMPLEX NETWORKS Abdul Rehman Gilal, Mazni Omar, Jafreezal Jaafar, Kamal Imran Sharif, Abdul Waheed Mahessar, and Shuib Basri	153
	AGENT ORIENTED METHODOLOGY FOR MALARIA TRANSMISSION MODELLING AND SIMULATION Loh CheeWyai, Shane Nissom, Cheah WaiShiang, and Nurfauza binti Jali	<u>160</u>
	STORAGE OPTIMIZATION FOR DIGITAL QURAN USING SPARSE MATRIX WITH HEXADECIMAL REPRESENTATION Ashraf Al. Omoush, Norita Md Norwawi, Roesnita Ismail, Fauziah Abdul Wahid, and Ahmad Akmaluddin Mazlan	<u>167</u>
	A CONCEPTUAL PRIVACY FRAMEWORK FOR PRIVACY-AWARE IOT HEALTH APPLICATIONS Kavenesh Thinakaran, Jaspaljeet Singh Dhillon, Saraswathy Shamini Gunasekaran, and Lim Fung Chen	<u>175</u>
	EXTRACTING SOFTWARE FEATURES FROM ONLINE REVIEWS TO DEMONSTRATE REQUIREMENTS REUSE IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING	184
	Noor Hasrina Bakar, Zarinah M. Kasirun, Norsaremah Salleh, and Azni H. Halim HADITH COMMENTARY REPOSITORY: AN ONTOLOGICAL APPROACH	
	Anir Hamzah Jaafar and Noraini Che Pa	<u>191</u>
	SOLVING THE PREFERENCE-BASED CONFERENCE SCHEDULING PROBLEM THROUGH DOMAIN TRANSFORMATION APPROACH Siti Khatijah Nor Abdul Rahim, Amir Hamzah Jaafar, Andrzej Bargiela, and Faridah Zulkipli	<u>199</u>
СН	APTER 4: Intelligent Systems & Robotics	
	SELF-TUNING FUZZY PID CONTROLLER USING ONLINE METHOD IN ESSENTIAL OIL EXTRACTION PROCESS Zakiah Mohd Yusoff, Zuraida Muhammad, Amar Faiz Zainal Abidin, Mohd Azri Abdul Aziz, Nurlaila Ismail, and Mohd Hezri Fazaiul Rahiman	208
	APPLYING A NEW HYBRID MODEL OF EMDEDDED SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT METHODOLOGY ON A FLOOD DETECTION SYSTEM Azizah Suliman, Nursyazana Nazri, and Surizai Nazeri	<u>215</u>
	SPATIAL LAYOUT DESIGN FACTORS DURING PANIC SITUATIONS KNajihah Ibrahim and Fadratul Hafinaz Hassan	<u>222</u>

7/1/2020 ICOCI2017: Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Computing and Informatics 2017, Universiti Utara Malaysia

AN ENHANCEMENT OF LIGHTWEIGHT ENCRYPTION FOR SECURITY OF BIOMETRIC FINGERPRINT DATA FOR SMART HOME ENMRONMENT Taqiyah Khadijah Ghazali and Nur Haryani Zakaria

THE AUTHENTICATION TECHNIQUES FOR ENHANCING THE RPL SECURITY MODE: A SURVEY Mohamad Faiz Razali, Mohd Ezanee Rusil, Norziana Jamil, Roslan Ismail, and Salman Yussof

PRIVACY PRESERVATION FRAMEWORK FOR ADVANCED METERING INFRASTRUCTURE Fiza Abdul Rahim, Asmidar Abu Bakar, Salman Yussof, Roslan Ismail, and Ramona Ramii

EMAL SPAM DETECTION: A METHOD OF METACLASSIFIERS STACKING MI ZhiWei, Manmeet Mahinderjit Singh, and Zarul Fitri Zaaba

TIME CONSUMPTION IMPROVEMENT OF MATRIX MULTIPLICATION BY HYBRID EXECUTION OF MESSAGE PASSING INTERFACE AND OPEN MULTI-PROCESSING Sajad Sajadpour, Jaspaljeet Singh Dhillon, and Abdul Rahim Ahmad

ICOCI2017 URL: http://coci.uum.edu.m.y



ICOCI 2017, International Conference on Computing and Informatics, Universiti Utara Malaysia, 06010 UUM Sintok, Kedah Darul Aman, Malaysia

How to cite this paper:

Fitri Maya Puspita, Kamaruzzaman Seman, Bachok M. Taib, & Ismail Abdullah. (2017). The comparison of internet pricing scheme in multi-link bottleneck multi service network in Zulikha, J. & N. H. Zakaria (Eds.), Proceedings of the 6th International Conference of Computing & Informatics (pp 105-111). Sintok: School of Computing.

THE COMPARISON OF INTERNET PRICING SCHEME IN MULTI LINK BOTTLENECK MULTI SERVICE NETWORK

Fitri Maya Puspita¹, Kamaruzzaman Seman², Bachok M. Taib² and Ismail Abdullah²

²Sriwijaya University, South Sumatera, Indonesia, fitrimayapuspita@unsri.ac.id ³Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, {drkzaman, bachok, isbah}@usim.edu.my

ABSTRACT. In this research we set up pricing scheme of multilink internet bottleneck for multi-service network by giving the modified models and the solution. This model is based on the local server data in Palembang. Internet Service Provider (ISP) requires the appropriate pricing schemes in order to maximize revenue and provide quality services that can satisfy the Internet users. The model established by setting the base price (α) as constants and the premium quality of service (β) as variables and constants. Then the model will be solved using Program LINGO 13.0 to obtain the optimal solution. From the results obtained shows the optimal solution that ISP can use the models to generate maximum revenue and gives options according to the user needs in accordance with the goal of ISP. The optimal solution results compared to previous work show that the larger dimension of the problem, the goals can also change according to the needs. From LINGO 13.0, the solution for four services and 3 links offered were maximized when we set up the base price (α) as constants and the premium quality of service (β) as constants for Ii = Ii-1. So ISP can use the modification scheme to achieve its The realistic case to be solved by LINGO 13.0 is limited to have only four services and three links.

Keywords: pricing scheme, multilink internet bottleneck, maximum revenue

INTRODUCTION

Along the progress of time, human in the modern era is not separated from the internet. Almost all society use the internet to support life. The increasing numbers of Internet users, the demands on quality are also getting bigger. The work discussed by He et al. (2012) explained that internet pricing can be classified according to cost analysis or as the economic models (Lee et al. 2013; Pal and Hui, 2013). The categories are flat pricing (Fruchter & P.Sigué, 2013), where ISPs charge the users with equal price and access. Other category is based on usage pricing, where the charges are based on the usage (S.-y. Wu & Banker, 2010) with the discussion of the fixed and the usage based pricing were discussed in Sen (2013) In addition, some research also focused on pricing in multiple QoS class networks in single link (Puspita et al., 2013b) or multiple links (Puspita et al., 2013a).

This is a big task for ISP to provide better and different Quality of Service (QoS), ISPs are required to provide a mechanism for proper planning of internet pricing where ISP as service providers and user as internet users (Malinowski et al. 2010; Marzolla and Mirandola, 2010;

Wu et al. 2010). Internet pricing schemes that are often used are the internet flat rate, usage-based and two-part tariff (Wu et al., 2010; Wu and Banker2002).

Pricing schemes based on QoS levels in different allocations that control congestion and load balance is also critical (Gu et al. 2011) or the ability of user sensitivity in network through user's utility forms of form probability of packet loss, average packet delay, probability of packet tail, delay of maximum packet and also throughput (Gottinger, 2011). Other form of pricing scheme is based on the strategy as function of time (Safari et al. 2014). The optimal pricing strategy can also be considered to be dynamic pricing scheme in Castillo et al. (2013) and solution done numerically as partial differential equations.

Previous research about internet pricing single link multi service and multi-link and multi-service has been carried out by Seman et al. (2012), Puspita et al. (2015), Puspita et al. (2012) which uses an improved model based on the results of Byun and Chatterjee (2004) and Sain and Herpers (2003) In this paper, we intend to extend the models into larger number of services required up to LINGO 13.0 (2008) solver' ability to solve the model. The generalized models are useful to get more information on how the LINGO 13.0 super edition software application solves the model. So our contribution here is to generalize the more realistic case of internet pricing scheme problem to maximize the provider profit based on multilink multiservice networks. The previous work done is only able to show that the models can be adopted to more realistic case of internet pricing.

RESEARCH METHOD

By forming a model based on parameters and variables that are used to settle the case we can create the mathematical programming problem. Then the model is solved using LINGO 13.0 to produce an optimal solution. The optimal solution is expected to assist ISP in obtaining the revenue with known quality of service. The models are considered as mixed integer nonlinear programming.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We set up two cases, parameters and variables definitions as Puspita et al. (2015) explained. After several trials to generate models according to number of services and number of link, we finally come up with the limitation of number of parameter and variables of LINGO solvers to be only 4 services and 3 links offered.

Model Formulations

Case 1 (α and β constant)

The model equations are used for case 1 using the objective function and constraints of the equations (Puspita et al. 2015) with input parameter values of constraints with a large number of service (s) by 4 with i = 1, 2, 3, 4.Based on the objective function as following.

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathit{Max} \ R = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{4} (\alpha + \beta. \, I_i). \ p_{ik}. \ x_{ik} = & 0.3 x_{11} + 1.5 I_1 x_{11} + 4.5 x_{21} + 22.5 I_2 x_{21} + 1.5 x_{31} + \\ 0.75 I_3 x_{31} + 1.1 \ x_{41} + 5.5 \ I_4 x_{41} + 0.6 \ x_{12} + 3 \ I_1 x_{12} + 2.1 \ x_{22} + 10.5 \ I_2 x_{22} + 2.4 x_{32} + \\ 12 I_3 x_{32} + 1.8 \ x_{42} + 9 \ I_4 x_{42} + 0.9 \ x_{13} + 4.5 \ I_1 x_{13} + 3 x_{23} + 15 \ I_2 x_{23} + 2.6 x_{33} + \\ 13 I_3 x_{33} + 1.2 x_{41} + 6 I_4 x_{41} \end{array}$$

Subject to:

$$5 I_1 x_{11} \le 838 a_{11} \tag{1}$$

$$17 I_2 x_{21} \le 838 a_{21} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 815 \ I_3 x_{31} \leq 838 \ a_{31} & (3) \\ 1I_4 x_{41} \leq 838 \ a_{41} & (4) \\ 7 \ I_1 x_{12} \leq 13244 \ a_{12} & (5) \\ 75 \ I_2 x_{22} \leq 13244 \ a_{22} & (6) \\ 13244 \ I_3 x_{32} \leq 13244 \ a_{32} & (7) \\ 1I_4 x_{42} \leq 13244 \ a_{42} & (8) \\ 5 \ I_1 x_{13} \leq 7922 \ a_{13} & (9) \\ 56 \ I_2 x_{23} \leq 7922 \ a_{23} & (10) \\ 7861 \ I_3 x_{33} \leq 7922 \ a_{33} & (11) \\ 1I_4 x_{43} \leq 7922 \ a_{43} & (12) \\ 5 \ I_1 x_{11} + 17 \ I_2 x_{21} + 815 \ I_3 x_{31} + 1I_4 x_{41} \leq 838 & (13) \\ 7 \ I_1 x_{12} + 75 \ I_2 x_{22} + 13.244 \ I_3 x_{32} + 1I_4 x_{42} \leq 13326 & (14) \\ 5 \ I_1 x_{13} + 56 \ I_2 x_{23} + 7.861 \ I_3 x_{33} + 1I_4 x_{43} \leq 7922 & (15) \\ a_{11} + a_{21} + a_{31} + a_{41} = 1 & (16) \\ a_{12} + a_{22} + a_{32} + a_{42} = 1 & (17) \\ a_{13} + a_{23} + a_{33} + a_{43} = 1 & (18) \\ 0 \leq a_{ij} \leq 1 & (19) \\ 0,01 \leq I_{1,2,3,4} \leq 1 & (20) \\ 0 \leq x_{ij} \leq 10 & (21) \\ \{x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{31}, x_{41}, x_{12}, x_{22}, x_{32}, x_{42}, x_{13}, x_{23}, x_{33}, x_{43}\} \subseteq Z^+ & (22) \\ \end{array}$$

vice
$$i(I_i)$$
, the added constraints are as follows.

By modifying the index quality of service $i(I_i)$, the added constraints are as follows.

$$I_i = I_{i-1}$$
 (23)
 $I_i > I_{i-1}$ (24)
 $I_i < I_{i-1}$ (25)

$$I_{i} < I_{i-1} \tag{25}$$

Case 2 (α constant and β variable)

Based on the objective function as follows.

Subject to Eq. (1) to Eq. (25), and added constraints:

$$\beta_{2}I_{2} \ge \beta_{1}I_{1} \qquad (26)
\beta_{3}I_{3} \ge \beta_{2}I_{2} \qquad (27)
\beta_{4}I_{3} \ge \beta_{3}I_{3} \qquad (28)
0,01 \le \beta_{1,2,3,4} \le 0,5 \qquad (29)
\beta_{i} = \beta_{i-1} \qquad (30)
\beta_{i} > \beta_{i-1} \qquad (31)
\beta_{i} < \beta_{i-1} \qquad (32)$$

Model Solution in Multi Service Network using LINGO Program

Case 1 : α and β constant

Table 1 and 2 Display the results and the recapitulation with other cases.

Table 1. Optimal Solution of Case 1.

	$I_i=I$	I_{i-1}	I_{i}	$< I_{i-1}$	
i	Total	Profit	Total	Profit	
	Capacity		Capacity		
1	170	918	170	918	
2	1480	4896	1480	4896	
3	219200	3315	203856	3087.5	
4	30	2091	27.9	1947.5	
\sum	220880	11220	205533.9	10849	

Table 2. Recapitulation of Capacity dan Total Profit of Case 1.

Case	$I_i = I_{i-1}$	$I_i < I_{i-1}$
Total Capacity Used	220880	205533.9
Percentage of Total Capacity Used	100%	93.05%
Total Profit	11220	10849

Case 2 : α constant and dan β Variable

In Table 3 and 4, ISP get maximum profit if ISP set up $\beta_i = \beta_{i-1}$ and $I_i > I_{i-1}$.

Table 3. Optimal Solution of Case 2.

	$I_i=I$	i-1	I_i	$< I_{i-1}$
i	Total	Total Profit		Profit
	Capacity		Capacity	
1	170	918	159.8	187.2
2	1480	1055.1	1391.2	998.4
3	219200	715	206048	676
4	30	451	28.2	426.4
Σ	220880	3276.2	207627.2	2288

Table 4. Recapitulation of Capacity dan Total Profit of Case 2.

Case	$I_i = I_{i-1}$	$I_i > I_{i-1}$
Total Capacity used	220880	207627.2
Percentage of Total Capacity used	100%	94,02%
Total Profit	3276.2	2288

Comparison between Model Modifikation in Multi Service Multilink Network

Table 5 and Table 6 describe the recapitulation of our results and the results conducting by Puspita et al. (2015) respectively.

Based on the Table 5 and 6, the biggest profit obtained with 4 services and 3 links certainly the biggest solution when we increase the number of services and the links. So basically here, the providers are able to achieve their goals if they extend or design many numer of services and links offered. The case when the base price is set up to be constant means that the provider is able to recover the cost and the quality premium to be varied means that the user can select the service for this case, the user is able to choose the service when $I_i = I_{i-1}$.

If we examine, both Table 5 and 6 only show the result when setting the index quality of $I_i=I_{i-1}$ and $I_i< I_{i-1}$ since other requirement can be solved optimally or in other words cannot achieve the optimal solution.

Table 5. Recapitulation of Capacity and Total Profit for 4 services (i) and 3 links (j).

Case 1 α , β Constant					Case 2	α Constant	$t, \beta_i = \beta_{i-1}$			
	Link 1				Link 1					
i	$I_i = I_{i-1}$		I_i <	$I_i \!\!< I_{i-1}$		I_i :	$=I_{i-1}$	$I_i <$	$I_i < I_{i-1}$	
ı	Total	Profit	Total	Profit		Total	Profit	Total	Profit	
	Capacity		Capacity			Capacity		Capacity		
1	50	153	50	153		50	33	47	31.2	
2	170	2295	170	2295		170	495	159.8	468	
3	8150	765	7579.5	712.5		8150	165	7661	156	
4	10	561	9.3	522.5		10	121	9.4	114.4	
		Li	nk 2				Link	2		
1	70	306	70	306		70	66	65,8	62,4	
2	750	1071	750	1071		750	230,1	705	218,4	
3	132440	1224	123169.2	1140		132440	264	124493.6	249.6	
4	10	918	9.3	855		10	198	9.4	187.2	
		Li	nk 3				Link	3		
1	50	459	50	459		50	99	47	93.6	
2	560	1530	560	1530		560	330	526.4	312	
3	78610	1326	73107.3	1235		78610	286	73893.4	270.4	
4	10	612	9.3	570		10	132	9.4	124.8	
Σ	220880	11220	205533.9	10849		220880	3276.2	207627.2	2288	

Table 6. Recapitulation of Capacity and Total Profit for 3 services (i) and 2 links (j).

Case 1 α , β Constant					Case 2 α constant, $\beta_i = \beta_{i-1}$				
]	Link 1		Link 1			ink 1	
i	$I_i = I_{i-1}$		$I_i \!\!<\! I_{i-1}$			$I_i = I_{i-1}$		$I_i \!\!< I_{i\text{-}1}$	
·	Total	Profit	Total	Profit		Total	Profit	Total	Profit
	Capacity		Capacity			Capacity		Capacity	
1	210	15.105	50	153		210	23,5	600	39
2	2625	226.575	170	2295		2625	351	3375	387
3	1155	75.525	7579.5	712.5		1155	117	0	75
	Link 2						Lir	ık 2	
1	600	30.6	70	30,6		210	46,8	210	46.8
2	3375	282.52	750	282.52		2625	436.8	2625	436.8
3	0	120	123169.2	120		1155	187.2	1155	187.2
\sum_{i}	7965	750.325	7950	750.445		7980	1162.2	7965	1171.8

CONCLUSION

From the results, it can be concluded that genralized models have difference solutions that depends on the number services and links offered. In multi service network generate optimal solution at case 1 α and β constant for $I_i = I_{i-1}$ with total revenue 11022 unit price (per kbps) while in case 2 (α constant and β variable) for $I_i < I_{i-1}$ with total revenue 3276.2 unit price (per kbps).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research leading to this paper was financially supported by MOHE for support through Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) 2014 code :USIM/FRGS/FST/32/50214.

REFERENCES

- Byun, J., & Chatterjee, S. (2004). A Strategic Pricing For Quality Of Service (Qos) Network Business. Paper Presented At The Proceedings Of The Tenth Americas Conference On Information Systems, New York.
- Castillo, D., Ferreiro, A. M., García-Rodríguez, J. A., & Vázquez, C. (2013). Numerical Methods To Solve Pde Models For Pricing Business Companies In Different Regimes And Implementation In Gpus. *Applied Mathematics And Computation*, 11233-11257.
- Fruchter, G. E., & P.Sigué, S. (2013). Dynamic Pricing For Subscription Services. *Journal Of Economic Dynamics & Control*, 37, 2180-2194.
- Gottinger, H. (2011). Network Economies For The Internet-Application Models. *Ibusiness*, 3, 313-322.
- Gu, C., Zhuang, S., & Sun, Y. (2011). Pricing Incentive Mechanism Based On Multistages Traffic Classification Methodology For Qos-Enabled Networks. *Journal Of Networks*, 6(1), 163-171.
- He, H., Xu, K., & Liu, Y. (2012). Internet Resource Pricing Models, Mechanisms, And Methods. *Networking Science*, 1(1-4), 44-68.
- Lee, S.-H., Jeong, H.-Y., & Seo, S.-W. (2013). Optimal Pricing And Capacity Partitioning For Tiered Access Service In Virtual Networks. *Computer Networks*, *57*, 3941-3956.
- Lingo. (2008). Lingo 13.0. Chicago: Lindo Systems, Inc.
- Malinowski, K., Niewiadomska-Szynkiewicz, E., & Jakóla, P. (2010). Price Method And Network Congestion Control. *Journal Of Telecommunications And Information Technology*, 2.
- Marzolla, M., & Mirandola, R. (2010). *Qos Analysis For Web Service Applications: A Survey Of Per- formance-Oriented Approaches From An Architectural Viewpoint* (No. Ublcs-2010-05). Bologna, Italy: Department Of Computer Science University Of Bolognao. Document Number)
- Pal, R., & Hui, P. (2013). Economic Models For Cloud Service Markets: Pricing And Capacity Planning. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 496, 113-124.
- Puspita, F. M., Seman, K., & Taib, B. M. (2015). The Improved Models Of Internet Pricing Scheme Of Multi Service Multi Link Networks With Various Capacity Links. In H. A. Sulaiman, M. A. Othman, M. F. I. Othman, Y. A. Rahim & N. C. Pee (Eds.), Advanced Computer And Communication Engineering Technology (Vol. 315). Switzeland: Springer International Publishing.
- Puspita, F. M., Seman, K., Taib, B. M., & Shafii, Z. (2012). A New Approach Of Optimization Model On Internet Charging Scheme In Multi Service Networks. *International Journal Of Science And Technology*, 2 (6), 391-394.
- Puspita, F. M., Seman, K., Taib, B. M., & Shafii, Z. (2013a). Improved Models Of Internet Charging Scheme Of Multi Bottleneck Links In Multi Qos Networks. *Australian Journal Of Basic And Applied Sciences*, 7(7), 928-937.
- Puspita, F. M., Seman, K., Taib, B. M., & Shafii, Z. (2013b). Improved Models Of Internet Charging Scheme Of Single Bottleneck Link In Multi Qos Networks. *Journal Of Applied Sciences*, 13(4), 572-579.
- Safari, E., Babakhani, M., Sadjadi, S. J., Shahanaghi, K., & Naboureh, K. (2014). Determining Strategy Of Pricing For A Web Service With Different Qos Levels And Reservation Level Constraint. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*.
- Sain, S., & Herpers, S. (2003). Profit Maximisation In Multi Service Networks- An Optimisation Model. Paper Presented At The Proceedings Of The 11th European Conference On Information Systems Ecis 2003, Naples, Italy
- Seman, K., Puspita, F. M., Taib, B. M., & Shafii, Z. (2012). An Improved Optimization Model Of Internet Charging Scheme In Multi Service Networks. *Telkomnika*, 10(3), 592-598.

- Sen, A. (2013). A Comparison Of Fixed And Dynamic Pricing Policies In Revenue Management. *Omega*, 586-597.
- Wu, S.-Y., & Banker, R. D. (2010). Best Pricing Strategy For Information Services. *Journal Of The Association For Information Systems*, 11(6), 339-366.
- Wu, S.-Y., Chen, P.-Y., & Anandalingam, G. (2002). Optimal Pricing Scheme For Information Services. *Philadelphia: University Of Pennsylvania*
- Wu, Y., Hande, P. H., Kim, H., Chiang, M., & Tsang, D. H. K. (2010). *Qos-Revenue Tradeoff With Time-Constrained Isp Pricing*. Retrieved 3 August 2010, From http://Scenic.Princeton.Edu/Paper/Iwqos Draft.Pdf



CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATION

This certificate is awarded to

Fitri Maya Puspita

As a presenter at the

6th International Conference on Computing & Informatics 2017

25-27 April 2017
Sama-Sama Hotel, KLIA
MALAYSIA

PROF. DR. KU RUHANA KU MAHAMUD ICOCI 2017 Chair