# Proceedings of the 14th International Symposium on Recent Advances in Exploration Geophysics in Kyoto (RAEG2010)



# November 4-5, 2010 Campus Plaza Kyoto, Kyoto, Japan

# Organized by

Environment & Resource System Engineering Laboratory and Energy Resources Engineering Laboratory, Dept. of Urban Management, Kyoto University Geophysics Laboratory, Dept. of Civil and Earth Resources Engineering, Kyoto University Geo-Environmental Laboratory, Dept. of Civil & Environmental and Applied System Engineering, Kansai University

**Co-organized by** Geosystem Research Institute Environment Energy Forestry Agriculture Network (EEFA)

**Supported by** Society of Exploration Geophysicists of Japan (SEGJ)



# FOREWORD

The 14th International Symposium on Recent Advanced in Exploration Geophysics in Kyoto (RAEG2010) is held on November 4-5, 2010. This symposium is organized by the Environment & Resource System Engineering Laboratory and Energy Resources Engineering Laboratory, Department of Urban Management, and Geophysics Laboratory, Department of Civil and Earth Resources Engineering, Kyoto University, of and Geo-Environmental Laboratory, Department Civil and Environmental and Applied System Engineering, Kansai University, co-organized by the Geosystem Research Institute and the Environment Energy Forestry Agriculture Network (EEFA), and supported by the Society of Exploration Geophysicists of Japan (SEGJ). After the great success of the first symposium in 1995, the organizing committee decided to continue this symposium and held it annually. This RAEG2010 is the 14th symposium.

In this symposium, 25 papers including from outside of Japan will be presented and followed by enthusiastic discussions. These papers cover a wide area of not only exploration geophysics but also geology and rock engineering. Also the papers show the wide applications of exploration geophysics to not only earth resources exploration but also civil engineering and environmental engineering. They indicate the great and widespread interest on the progress of exploration geophysics and related technology.

The organizing committee wishes to express their appreciation to the authors of technical papers and also to all the participants.

Materia

Toshifumi Matsuoka, Chairperson Organizing Committee of RAEG2010

# Organizing Committee of RAEG 2010

#### Chairperson

Toshifumi MATSUOKA (Kyoto University, Japan)

#### **Conference** Committee

Hitoshi MIKADA (Kyoto University, Japan) Yasuhiro YAMADA (Kyoto University, Japan) Akira UEDA (Kyoto University, Japan) Tada-nori GOTO (Kyoto University, Japan) Liang YUNFENG (Kyoto University, Japan) Harushige KUSUMI (Kansai University, Japan) Toshiki WATANABE (Nagoya University, Japan)

#### Technical Program Committee

Takeshi TSUJI (Kyoto University, Japan) Junichi TAKEKAWA (Kyoto University, Japan)

#### Secretariat

Hisa YASUDA (Kyoto University, Japan) Shiori OKAMOTO (Kyoto University, Japan) Kana MORIYAMA (Kyoto University, Japan)

Environment & Resource System Engineering Lab. and Energy Resources Engineering Lab., Department of Urban Management, Kyoto University, Geophysics Lab., Department. of Civil and Earth Resources Engineering, Kyoto University Kyoto-daigaku-katsura, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto, 615-8540 Japan

# The 14th International Symposium on Recent Advances in Exploration Geophysics (RAEG2010)

# **PROGRAM and CONTENTS**

November 4th (at 4th Floor : Forth Lecture Room)

13:30-13:35

## Opening

Toshifumi Matsuoka

## 13:35-14:55

Session 1:

Availability of Fresnel volume migration to 3C-component seismic reflection data using tau-	Р
transform	
Tetsuya Kawabayashi, Hitoshi Mikada, Tada-nori Goto and Junichi Takekawa	1
Spiking deconvolution by means of Adaptive Simulated Annealing	
Ehsan Jamali Hondori	7
CRS-stack method for improved seismic imaging: A case study for Median Tectonic Line Shohei Minato, Takeshi Tsuji, Toshifumi Matsuoka, Yoshihiko Ishikawa,	
Naoki Nishizaka, Yuki Ohno and Michiharu Ikeda	11
A trial about the evaluation of compound geophysical explorations by self-organizing maps Tatsuru Yamamoto, Harushige Kusumi, Makoto Nakamura, Tsuyoshi Yamamoto and Takeshi Tsuji	15

Tea Break

# 15:15-16:35

## Session 2:

Deformation process of a geologic structure where two reverse faults intersect, insights from analogue model experiments

Hiroki Miyamoto, Yasuhiro Yamada and Takafumi Ashida

Surface and Subsurface Monitoring at oil field using synthetic aperture radar interferometry (InSAR)

M. Yusup Nur Khakim, Takeshi Tsuji and Toshifumi Matsuoka ....25

.....21

The first report: modeling for the clogging effect of calcite precipitation on CO2 sequestration Seung-youl Ryu, Yoshihiro Kuroda, Yoshitada Mito, Akira Ueda and Toshifumi Matsuoka .....31

Sonic velocities in gas-bearing formation water and their variations due to resonant response of bubble walls to acoustic waves

Takahito Banno, Hitoshi Mikada, Tada-nori Goto, Junichi Takekawa and Kyosuke Onishi .....35

#### 16:40-17:50

## **Poster Session.**

(poster lists attached to the later part of program)

18:00-18:10

## **Group Photo**

at the Entrance of Campus Plaza Kyoto.

## 18:30-20:30

## **Conference Dinner**

at the restaurant in "APA Hotel Kyoto Station Horikawa Street."

## November 5<sup>th</sup> (at 2nd Floor : Hall) 9:30-10:50 Session 3:

Development of hard- and software of microseismic for geothermal exploration and monitoring: first results

Rachmat Sule, David P. Sahara, Yosep Kusnadi, Adrianto W. Kusumo, Andri D. Nugraha, Tedy Setiawan, Sri Widiyantoro and Toshifumi Matsuoka .....41

Application of self-potential measurements to reservoir engineering <sup>†</sup>	
Tsuneo Ishido	45

Self-potential signals associated with hydrological data for understanding the groundwater flow system in Saijo Plain, Ehime, Western Japan<sup>†</sup>

Fatma Hachani, Maki Tsujimura, Tada-nori Goto, Yusuke Ozaki, Yuki Tosaki....51and Minoru Tokumasu

.....55

Hydrothermal system in an arc volcano: estimation of permeability, and reactive- transport modeling  $^{\dagger}$ 

Yoshifumi Kawada

## Tea Break

#### 11:10-12:30

## Session 4:

Heat flow estimated from BSR distribution and thermal conductivity in IODP NanTroSEI2	ZE
boreholes in the Nankai Trough forearc slope region off Kumano $^{\dagger}$	
Masataka Kinoshita, Gregory Moore and Yukari Kido	61
Simulation of tsunami propagation with space-varying seafloor topography	
Tomoya Ohata, Hitoshi Mikada, Tada-nori Goto and Junichi Takekawa	63
Relationship between Coda-Q and loaded stress	
Kyosuke Okamoto, Hitoshi Mikada, Tada-nori Goto and Junichi Takekawa	67

Monitoring the plate boundary in Tokai area using ACROSS -Role of Toyohashi ACROSS source-<sup>†</sup> *Toshiki Watanabe, Koshun Yamaoka, Kenji Yamazaki and Yuri Suzuki* ....71

#### 12:30-12:35

#### Closing

Hitoshi Mikada

*†* =invited presentations

#### **Poster Sessions (9 posters)**

Presented at the evening on Nov. 4th (16:40-17:50)

2-D velocity and structural modeling of the Southeastern Korean offshore using OBS wide-angle data

....75

Byoung-yeop Kim, Ho-young Lee, Young-jun Kim, Nam-hyung Koo, Dong-geun Yoo and Joong-moo Byun

Detailed processing and analysis on the single-channel seismic data for site survey of subsea tunnel of Korea

Wonsik Kim, Keunpil Park, Hyundo Kim, Snons Cheong, Namhyung Koo, Hoyoung Lee and Euiseob Park .....81

Numerical simulation of magma plumbing system associated with the eruption at the Showa crater of Sakurajima inferred from ground deformation

Soma Minami, Masato Iguchi, Hitoshi Mikada, Tada-nori Goto and Junichi Takekawa .....85

Experimental study for effect of salinity on generating conditions of CO2 microbubbles *Tatsuya Yamada, Ziqiu Xue and Toshifumi Matsuoka, Hiromichi Kameyama and Susumu Nishio* .....91

Mechanisms for the negative thermal expansion and elastic anomalies of β-quartzMia Ledyastuti, Yunfeng Liang and Toshifumi Matsuoka....95

Two-phase lattice Boltzmann simulation of seismic stimulation for mobilization of trapp Hirotatsu Yamabe and Toshifumi Matsuoka	ed oil 101
Long-Term Repeated Electrical Surveys for the Monitoring of Temperature and Water C the Unsaturated Zone <sup>†</sup>	Content in
Shinichi Takakura, Yuji Nishi, Mituhiko Sugihara and Tsuneo Ishido	105
Marine controlled-source electromagnetic sounding on submarine massive sulphides usin simulation	ng 2.5-D
Naoto Imamura, Tada-nori Goto, Junichi Takekawa and Hitoshi Mikada	109
Effect of heterogeneity of hydraulic conductivity on streaming potential Yusuke Ozaki, Hitoshi Mikada, Tada-nori Goto, Junichi Takekawa, Maki Tsujimura	
and Fatma Hachani	115

 $\dagger$  =invited presentations

# SURFACE and SUBSURFACE MONITORING at OIL FIELD USING SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR INTERFEROMETRY(InSAR)

\* M. Yusup Nur KHAKIM<sup>(1)(2)</sup>, Takeshi TSUJI<sup>(1)</sup>, Toshifumi MATSUOKA<sup>(1)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Urban Management, Kyoto University <sup>2</sup>Dept. of Physics, Sriwijaya University

SAR interferometry method has not only an ability to estimate a high spatial coverage of displacement maps but also provides an excellent opportunity to analyze physical processes by modeling the source of deformation. Ground surface deformations were estimated by using differential InSAR (DInSAR) technique. Based on a tensional rectangular dislocation model, we successfully inverted surface uplift obtained from InSAR data to reservoir deformations. Using genetic algorithms we were able to estimate the location of displacement sources. A penalty function with smoothing factor was used to efficiently invert the distribution of displacement from the surface uplift data. The results are comparable to other data. We conclude that for monitoring reservoir deformations under production the InSAR technology is very useful to provide ground surface deformations and accurately monitor reservoir deformation using inversion techniques.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

GPS is one of the most suitable conventional techniques of surface deformation monitoring because it has not only high accuracy, but also provides three components of deformation<sup>1)</sup>. However, GPS surveys over a large area are labor intensive and time consuming. Fortunately, a modern geodetic method, Synthetic Aperture Radar Interferometry (InSAR) technology, provides its capability for imaging the ground surface deformations over large area (several 10s of km) with very small (1 cm or less) surface changes all weather conditions in daylight or at night<sup>2</sup>).

In this study we made use of ground surface deformations estimated by differential Synthetic Aperture Radar Interferometry technique<sup>3)</sup>. The surface deformations have valuable information about the dynamic reservoir and are most likely to occur in the production of hydrocarbon even if the reservoir is in kilometers deep. Reservoir deformation is largely induced by pressure reduction or increase which reduces or increases the reservoir's compressive or expansive strength and allows subsidence or uplift of the overlying rock Characterization of the into the reservoir. deformation sources based on the InSAR measurements has become major importance in reservoir monitoring activity. In study we analyzed oil sand reservoir under steam injection, Steam Assisted Gravity Drainage (SAGD), which the reservoir pressure and temperature increase inducing surface heave. From the surface heave we inverted to estimate subsurface reservoir deformation (i.e., open crack) as displacement sources using a finite rectangular fault model<sup>4</sup>). In addition, we also apply this method not only to real data but also to synthetic data.

#### 2. METHODOLOGY

Ground surface deformations from InSAR provide an excellent opportunity to analyze physical processes by modeling the source of deformation especially steam chambers. Ground surface displacements do not exhibit a spherical shape such as shown in Figure 1 and thus it does not seem to be the best approximation. As a more appropriate model, we used a rectangular dislocation in elastic, homogeneous, and isotropic half-space for tensile fault movements. This model is non-linear and characterized by a great number of parameters and is frequently used in the characterization of earthquake faults.

In this study, we applied inversion technique to synthetic data and real one of oil-sand field under Steam Assisted Gravity Drainage (SAGD). There are two steps to estimate the distribution of source displacements. Firstly, we used an optimization technique of Genetic Algorithms (GAs)<sup>5)</sup> to invert for fault geometry from the ground surface deformations acquired by SAR interferometry processing in order to estimate the depth of a

dislocation plane, which we interpreted as the steam chamber. Strategies of GAs are based on the simulation of biological evolution in order to find the fittest individual by defining cost function in the evolutionistic sense. Initial population was randomly generated and subjected to several changes by systematically approaches the best solution among many investigated solutions. Major genetic algorithm operators are evaluation, selection, cross-over and mutation to allow modification of the population to search the best solution. The searching process ended when a number of iterations or generations were achieved as a stopping criterion. In this analysis, we used a Poisson ratio of 0.25 and a rigidity of 10 GPa. Second step, using a penalty function technique with smoothing factor<sup>6)</sup> we modeled the distribution of displacement source and subdivided the dislocation plane into a 40 x 40 grid.



Fig. 1 Ground surface vertical displacement (Khakim et al., 2010).

#### **3. RESULTS**

#### (1) Synthetic model

In order to examine the ability of inversion techniques, both GAs and least square inversion, we built synthetic rectangular opening dislocation model to estimate the geometry, position, depth, and orientation of rectangular opening dislocation shown as Fig. 2(a). From these model parameters, we applied forward modeling to obtain synthetic uplift map, Fig. 2(b). Using initial models and GAs inversion parameters, we obtained the results that can be expressed with six parameters as shown in table 1.III.

Tabel 1 Inversion parameters				
Ι	Initial Model and Inver	sion Parameters		
1	Length	1.5 - 2.5 Km		
2	Width	0.5 - 0.7 Km		
3	Depth of opening source	0.482 - 0.51 Km		
4	Source Opening	0 - 10 cm		
5	(x,y)-position	(-20 - 18, -20 - 18)		
6	Strike	0 - 360 deg		
7	Crossover probability	0.7		
8	Mutation probability	0.4		
9	Number of generation	300		
10	Number of individual	800		
Π	II Synthetic Model Parameters			
1	Length	2.0 Km		
	***** 1.1			
2	Width	0.6 Km		
2	Width           Depth of opening source	0.6 Km 0.5 Km		
2 3 4	Width Depth of opening source Source opening	0.6 Km 0.5 Km 3 cm		
2 3 4 5	Width Depth of opening source Source opening (x,y)-position	0.6 Km 0.5 Km 3 cm (-15,-5)		
2 3 4 5 6	Width Depth of opening source Source opening (x,y)-position Strike	0.6 Km 0.5 Km 3 cm (-15,-5) 90 deg		
2 3 4 5 6	Width Depth of opening source Source opening (x,y)-position Strike	0.6 Km 0.5 Km 3 cm (-15,-5) 90 deg		
2 3 4 5 6 III	Width Depth of opening source Source opening (x,y)-position Strike Inversion Re	0.6 Km 0.5 Km 3 cm (-15,-5) 90 deg		
2 3 4 5 6 <b>III</b> 1	Width Depth of opening source Source opening (x,y)-position Strike Inversion Re Length	0.6 Km 0.5 Km 3 cm (-15,-5) 90 deg esults 1.967 Km		
2 3 4 5 6 <b>III</b> 1 2	Width Depth of opening source Source opening (x,y)-position Strike Inversion Ro Length Width	0.6 Km 0.5 Km 3 cm (-15,-5) 90 deg esults 1.967 Km 0.607 Km		
2 3 4 5 6 <b>III</b> 1 2 3	Width Depth of opening source Source opening (x,y)-position Strike Inversion Re Length Width Depth of opening source	0.6 Km 0.5 Km 3 cm (-15,-5) 90 deg esults 1.967 Km 0.607 Km 0.516 Km		
2 3 4 5 6 <b>III</b> 1 2 3 4	Width Depth of opening source Source opening (x,y)-position Strike Inversion Re Length Width Depth of opening source Source opening	0.6 Km 0.5 Km 3 cm (-15,-5) 90 deg esults 1.967 Km 0.607 Km 0.516 Km 3.2 cm		
2 3 4 5 6 <b>III</b> 1 2 3 4 5	Width Depth of opening source Source opening (x,y)-position Strike Inversion Re Length Width Depth of opening source Source opening (x,y)-position	0.6 Km 0.5 Km 3 cm (-15,-5) 90 deg esults 1.967 Km 0.607 Km 0.516 Km 3.2 cm (-14.93,-4.8)		





If the results are compared to the synthetic model, the result parameters are highly closed to synthetic model with root-mean-square error (RMSE) of  $4.372 \times 10^{-6}$ . From the inversion results, we also

applied the forward modeling to estimate surface uplifts, Fig. 2(c). The surface uplift map is closely similar to that of synthetic model.

Beside GAs inversion, we also examined a penalty function with smoothing factors in least square inversion. The larger the smoothing factor we apply, the smoother the opening dislocation is. However, a normalized-sum-square difference (NSSD) is larger. Therefore, we need a trade off curve between roughness and NSSD with smoothing factor variation. Based on the trade off curve we chose the best smoothing factor of 0.1 for the inversion process.



**Fig. 3** Least square inversion (a) synthetic model, (b) forward modeling from synthetic model, (c) inversion result, and (d) residual between synthetic model and its inversion.

Based on these inversion examination to the synthetic model, we can summarized that both GAs inversion and penalty function with smoothing factors in least-square inversion show very good abilities to estimate some parameters as explained above.

#### (2) Real data

For a geometry inversion using the genetic algorithm, we compared a large area of ground surface displacement to small one for an input to estimate fault geometry as shown in Figure 4(a) and 4(b). We constrained the lower and upper bounds of length, width, depth, chamber development and strike of the fault (considered as steam chamber in this case) to 4.2-5.0 km, 3.5-4.5 km, 280-300 m, 0-20 cm and  $0^{\circ}-360^{\circ}$ , respectively in search space. We also considered the dip angle of zero as one of the inversion parameters and used the initial population of 500 individuals and a number of generations are 500. After all parents had been

paired off from selected population, a random number between 0 and 1 was generated. This random number was compared to a specified crossover probability of 0.7. If the number was less than the crossover probability, the two parents were crossed over. Position of crossover in which part of the right crossover bit-string is exchanged between parents was also randomly selected along the bit-string representation.



**Fig. 4** Observed surface vertical displacements for (a) large area, (b) small area, modeled one for (c) large area, (d) small area and (e) well log showing the depth of injection<sup>7)</sup>.

Like crossover operation, mutation also needed probability to maintain some random character in the search process. We specified the mutation probability of 0.4; therefore, if a random number less than the mutation probability, a tested bit was flipped in parity. From the genetic algorithm, we obtained the best-fit model predicted the rectangular dislocation plane with length, width and depth of 4.629 km, 3.767 km and 296 m, respectively with RMSE of  $6.977 \times 10^{-5}$  for large area input and 2.413 km, 1.533 km and 297 m, respectively with RMSE of  $6.271 \times 10^{-5}$  for small one. RMSE of large data input is higher than that of small one because large area included more noises than that of small area. The depth of displacement source is in good agreement with real data related to the depth of injection wells, Figure 4(e).



Fig. 5 Reservoir displacements with the variations of smoothing factor of (a) 0.001, (b) 0.01, (c) 0.1, (d) 1, and (e) 10, and (f) configuration of production and injection wells<sup>7</sup>.

To estimate the displacement source distribution from observed-surface-deformation data from SAR interferometry measurement we used a penalty function technique with smoothness (Du et al., 1992). The best-fit-single fault model from the genetic algorithm inversion was enlarged to 4.6 x 4.0 km horizontal fault. The enlarged-fault plane was then discretized into 100 x 100 m patches for inversion of distributed-source displacement. This displacement is interpreted as steam-chamber development under SAGD process. In this study, we used penalty function technique with five smoothing factors, i.e. 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10. From this inversion, the maximum of source displacement rate, in Figure 5(c), was ~4.5 cm/year with smoothing factor of 0.1 which was based on analysis of trade off curve between roughness and NSSD. For a lowest smoothing factor (0.001) the reservoir vertical displacement rate is highly

oscillatory with maximum displacement rate of  $\sim 9$  cm a year (Figure 5(a)). For a highest smoothing factor (10), however, the reservoir vertical displacement is overly smooth with maximum rate of  $\sim 3$  cm/year (Figure 5(e)).

The reservoir displacement pattern in Figure 5(c) is closely related to well pair locations in Figure 3(f). Furthermore, comparison with 4D seismic data demonstrated that the displacement pattern is a good agreement with estimated steam chamber area (Figure 8).



Fig. 6 Modeled surface vertical displacements with the variation of smoothing factors of (a) 0.001, (b) 0.01, (c) 0.1, (d) 1, (e) 10, and (f) observed data from InSAR.

The observed and modeled surface displacements are comparable in which has root-mean-square error of  $1.2498 \times 10^{-5}$  such as shown in Figure 6(c). These small residuals between observed and modeled displacements may be related to some noises in InSAR data. The total of volume change rate was 148346 m<sup>3</sup> a year. This volume change rate is considered as steam chamber growth during SAGD process.



Fig. 7 Residuals between observed and modeled with smoothing factor variations of (a) 0.001, (b) 0.01, (c) 0.1, (d) 1, and (e) 10.



**Fig. 8** Steam chamber area estimated from 4D Seismic data<sup>7)</sup>.

#### **5. CONCLUSION**

We applied genetic algorithms for estimating deformation source parameters based on surface vertical displacement data from InSAR. The result shows that the depth of deformation source is a good agreement with well data. We also applied a penalty function technique with smoothing factor to estimate the best displacement rate. Based on this technique, the maximum of source displacement rate is about 4.5 cm/year. Finally, we estimated the total volume change rate of 148346 m3/year considered as the growth of steam chamber.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT: We wish to thank the Earth Remote Sensing Data Analysis Center (ERSDAC) for providing ALOS PALSAR data. METI and JAXA have the ownership of the ALOS PALSAR original data. The PALSAR Level-1.0 products were produced by ERSDAC, Japan. I would also like to thank K. Ishitsuka (Kyoto Univ.) for inversion discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- Dixon, T.H., Mao, A., Bursik, M., Heflin, M., Langbein, J., Stein, R., and Webb, F., 1997, Continuous monitoring of surface deformation at Long Valley Caldera, California, with GPS, *Journal of Geophysics Research*, **102**, 12017 -12034.
- 2) Burgmann, R., Rosen, P.A., and Fielding, E.J., 2000, Synthetic Aperture Radar Interferometry to Measure Earth's Surface Topography and Its Deformation, *Annu.Rev. Earth Planet, Sci.*, **28**, 169-209.
- Khakim, M.Y.N., Tsuji, T., and Matsuoka, T., 2010, Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) measurement and its inversion for monitoring Steam Assisted Gravity Drainage (SAGD) process, *Proceeding of Bali 2010 Int. Geoscience Conf. and Exposition.*
- 4) Okada, Y., 1985, Surface deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half space, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society America*, **75**, 1135-1154.
- 5) Holland, J. H., 1992, Genetic algoritms, *Sci. Am.*, **267**, 66-72.
- 6) Du, Y. Aydin, A., and Segall, P, 1992, Bulletin of the Seismological Society America, 82, 1840-1866
- 7) JACOS, 2009, Hangingstone Demontration Project 2008, *Thermal In-Situ Scheme Progress Report, Approval* No. 8788G, presented on March 23, 2009.