IMPROVING DESCRIPTIVE TEXT WRITING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE TENTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMA NEGERI 19 PALEMBANG THROUGH ADAPTED PPP METHOD

A Thesis

by

RIZKI YURINI

06011181419010

English Education Study Program

Language and Arts Education Department



FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY INDRALAYA

2018

IMPROVING DESCRIPTIVE TEXT WRITING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE TENTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMA NEGERI 19 PALEMBANG THROUGH ADAPTED PPP METHOD

A Thesis

by

Rizki Yurini

06011181419010

English Education Study Program

Language and Arts Education Department

Approved by,

Advisor 1,

Soni Mirizon, M.A., Ed.D. NIP 196711041993031002 Advisor 2.

Fiftinova, S. S., M.Pd. NIP 197911152006042028

Certified by,

Hend of Language and Arts Department, On Behalf of the Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Sriutiana University

Head of English Education Study Program,

Dr. Did/Suhendi, S.Pd., M.Hum. NIP 196910221994031001

Hariswan P. J, S.Pd., M.Pd. NIP 197408022002121003

IMPROVING DESCRIPTIVE TEXT WRITING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE TENTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMA NEGERI 19 PALEMBANG THROUGH ADAPTED PPP METHOD

Rizki Yurini

Student Number: 06011181419010

This thesis was defended by the writer in the final program examination and was approved by the examination committees on:

Day : Saturday

Date : July 28, 2018

EXAMINATION COMMITTEES APPROVAL:

1. Chairperson : Soni Mirizon, M.A., ED.D

2. Secretary: Fiftinova, S.S., M.Pd.

3. Member : Bambang A. Loeneto, M.A., Ph.D

4. Member : Erlina, S.Pd., M.Pd., M.Ed.

5. Member : Drs. M. Yunus, M.Ed.

Inderalaya, July 28, 2018

Certified by,

Head of English Education Study Program,

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP 197408022002121003

I, the undersigned,

Name : Rizki Yurini

Student's Number : 06011181419010

Study Program : English Education

Certify that the thesis entitled "Improving Descriptive Text Writing Achievement of the Tenth Grade Students of SMA Negeri 19 Palembang through Adapted PPP Method" is my own work and I did not do any plagiarism or inapproproate quotation against the ethic and rules commended by the Ministry of Education of Republic Indonesia Number 17, 2010 regarding plagiarism in higher education. Therefore, I deserve to face the court if I was found to have plagiarized this work.

Inderalaya, July , 2018 The Undersigned,

7017AEF714548853 4 20000 Pi

Rizki Yurini 06011181419010

THESIS DEDICATIONS

- ➤ My superhero and heroin, Ayah Teguh Riyanto and Ibu Yulia. Your smile and happiness are the only reason why I survive.
- ➤ My little sisters, Nadya Dwi Agustina, (do everything more than what I did) and (almh) Salwa Nur Assyiffah, who will never be deleted from my heart.

MOTTO

-If Allah has written something to you, then not a single person in creation is able to prevent it.-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, all praises to Allah SWT, this thesis could be finished. Alhamdulillah.

The deepest gratitude and great appreciation are expressed to the writer's advisors, Soni Mirizon, M.A., Ed.D., and Fiftinova, S.S., M.Pd., for every meaningful advice and encouragement, valuable knowledge, professional guidance, and time in the process of writing this thesis.

The researcher would also like to express her sincere gratitude to the Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Sriwijaya University (Prof. Sofendi, MA., Ph.D.), the Head of Language and Arts Education Department (Dr. Didi Suhendi, S.Pd., M.Hum), and the Head of English Education Study Program (Hariswan Putra Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.) for their assistance in administrative matters. The greatest gratitude is also adressed to all lecturers who taught and gave much knowledge to the writer during her study at the study program.

Next, the researcher also would like to give her gratitude to the Principal, the English teacher, the teachers and the staffs of SMA Negeri 19 Palembang. The special gratitude and love are also expressed to the students of X MIPA 1 and X MIPA 2 for their willingness and cooperation as the control and experimental groups in the process of collecting the data.

Last, the deepest respect and love are given to the researcher's parents, family, classmates and friends, and administration staffs for their support, help, and prayer.

Inderalaya, July , 2018
The Writer,



Rizki Yurini

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
APPROVAL	ii
COMMITTEE APPROVAL	iii
DECLARATION OF PLAGIARISM	iv
THESIS DEDICATION	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
ABSTRACT	xii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 The Problems of the Study	4
1.3 The Objectives of the Study	4
1.4 The Significance of the Study	4
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Writing Skill	5
2.2 Kinds of Texts	6
2.2.1 Narrative Text	6
2.2.2 Descriptive Text	7
2.2.3 Expository Text	9
2.2.4 Argumentative Text	10
2.2.5 Recount Text	11
2.2.6 Procedure Text	11
2.2.7 Spoof Text	12
2.2.8 News Item	12
2.3 PPP Method	13

2.4 Previous Related Studies	14
2.5 Hypotheses of the Study	16
CHAPTER III: METHODOLOGY	18
3.1 Method of the Study	18
3.2 Operational Definitions	18
3.3 Variables of the Study	19
3.4 Population and Sample	20
3.4.1 Population of the Study	20
3.4.2 Sample of the Study	21
3.5 Teaching Procedure for the Experimental Group	21
3.6 Technique for Collecting the Data	25
3.7 Validity and Reliability	26
3.7.1 Validity of the Test	26
3.7.2 Reliability of the Test	26
3.8 Technique of Analysing the Data	26
CHAPTER IV: FINDINGS AND INTERPRETATIONS	29
4.1 Findings of the Study	29
4.1.1 Results of the Writing Tests	
in the Experimental Group	29
4.1.2 Results of the Writing Tests	
in the Control Group	30
4.2 Statistical Analyses	30
4.2.1 Normality Test	31
4.2.2 Homogeneity Test	31
4.2.3 Result of Paired Sample T-test of Experimental and	
Control Group	33
4.2.4 Result of Independent Sample T-test	
4.3 Interpretation of the Study	25

CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	38
5.1 Conclusion	38
5.2 Suggestion	38
REFERENCES	40
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Population of the Study	20
Table 2	Test Specifications.	25
Table 3	Descriptive Writing Rubric	26
Table 4	Classification of the Stundets' Scores	28
Table 5	The Score Distribution of the Experimental Group	30
Table 6	The Score Distribution of the Control Group	30
Table 7	Results of Normality Test	31
Table 8	Results of Homogeneity Test	32
Table 9	The Results of Paired Sample T-test of Experimental and	
	Control Groups	33
Table 10	The Result of Independent Sample T-test of Posttest of	
	Experimental and Control Groups	34

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A : Interview Transcript

APPENDIX B : Writing Test

APPENDIX C : The Level of Appropriateness of Writing Test Items

APPENDIX D : Lesson Plan

APPENDIX E : Attendence List of Experimental Group

APPENDIX F : Attendence List of Control Group

APPENDIX G : Letter of Statement of Being a Rater

APPENDIX H : The Result Detail of Pre-Test and Post-Test of

Experimental Group and Control Group

APPENDIX I : The Average Result Detail of Pre-Test and Post-Test of

Experimental Group and Control Group

APPENDIX J : The Reliability of the Result of the Test

APPENDIX K : Students' Descriptive Writing in Pre-test and Post-test in

Experimental Group

APPENDIX L : Students' Descriptive Writing in Pre-test and Post-test in

Control Group

APPENDIX M : The Normality of the Data of Experimental and Control

Group

APPENDIX N : The Homogeneity of the Data of Experimental and

Control Group

APPENDIX O : Paired Sample T-test of Experimental Group and

Control Group and Independent Sample T-test Analysis

APPENDIX P : Usul Jusul Skripsi

APPENDIX Q : Approval of Research Design Seminar

APPENDIX R : Letter of Having Conducted the Research Design Seminar

APPENDIX S : Suggestion List of Research Design Seminar

APPENDIX T : SK Pembimbing

APPENDIX U : Permohonan Surat Izin Penelitian Kepada Dinas

Pendidikan Provinsi Sumatera Selatan

APPENDIX V : Surat Izin Penelitian dari Kepada Dinas Pendidikan

Provinsi Sumatera Selatan

APPENDIX W : Approval of Preliminary Research Report Seminar

APPENDIX X : Letter of Having Conducted the Preliminary Research

Report Seminar

APPENDIX Y : Suggestion List of Preliminary Research Report Seminar

APPENDIX Z : Approval of Final Exam

APPENDIX AA : Documentation

APPENDIX AB : Thesis Consultation Card

APPENDIX AC : Letter of Having Conducted the Final Exam

Improving Descriptive Text Writing Achievement of the Tenth Grade Students of SMA Negeri 19 Palembang through Adapted PPP Method

ABSTRACT

The objectives of this study were to find out whether or not (1) there was a significant difference in writing achievement of descriptive text between before and after the students were taught using PPP method and (2) there was a significant difference in writing achievement of descriptive text between the students who were taught by using PPP method and those who were not. This study was quasi-experimental research method that used pre-test and post-test control group design. Convenience sampling method was used in this study to get the sample. There were 72 of the tenth graders of SMA Negeri 19 Palembang who were divided into two groups: the experimental group and the control group. The data of this study was collected by giving a descriptive writing test. The data were analyzed using paired sample t-test and independent sample t-test using SPSS version 24. The results of this study were revealed that there was a significant difference in descriptive text writing achievement between before and after the students were taught by using PPP method as the t-obtained was higher than the t-table (t-obtained = 8.034 and t-table = 2.030) and there was a significant difference in descriptive text writing achievement between the students who were taught by using PPP method and those who were not as the t-obtained was higher than the t-table (t-obtained = 4.513 and t-table = 1.994). In brief, PPP method is effective in improving descriptive text writing achievement of the sample students.

Keywords: Writing, Descriptive text, PPP method, Tenth graders

A Thesis of English Education Study Program Student, Faculty of Teacher

Training and Education, Sriwijaya University, 2018

Name : Rizki Yurini

Student's Number : 06011181419010

Approved by,

Advisor 1,

Soni Mirizon, M.A., Ed.D. NIP 196711041993031002 Advisor 2.

Fiftinova, S. S., M.Pd. NIP 197911152006042028

Certified by,

Head of English Education Study Program,

Hariswan Putra Jaya, S.Pd., M.Po NIP 197408022002121001

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This chapter describes: (1) the background, (2) the problems, (3) the objectives, and (4) the significance of the study.

1.1 Background

Writing is more than text producing. Harmer (2004, p. 31) states that writing is a way to produce language and express idea, feeling, and opinion. According to Oshima and Hogue (1999, p. 3), writing is not easily done. Writing is the most difficult skill to develop among the students because it needs basic knowledge which enables students to cover all necessary things to communicative and understandable writing such as grammar, vocabulary, coherence, cohesion, idiom, expression, purpose, punctuation, and spelling in balance way. Therefore, it is quite difficult to improve. In line with Hyland (2007, p. 152), writing consolidates complex structures of vocabulary or grammar. A study conducted by Kareem (2014) in the Iraqi Preparatory School found that most students were hesitant and show less selves when they were asked to write. While Alfaki (2015) found grammatical problems, mechanical problems, and sentence structure problems as the obstacles which were faced by the students' effective writing in English in Sudan.

One of the texts which should be mastered by the students in Indonesia is descriptive text as it is included in 2013 Curriculum. Descriptive text is a text which tells what a person or a thing is like. Hammound (2010, p. 121) states that the main goal of descriptive text is to describe person, place, or thing in such a way that the picture is formed in the readers' mind when they read. The purpose is to describe and reveal a particular person, place, or thing in detail. The generic structure of descriptive text are identification -- identifying or introducing the phenomenon or character to be described which usually contains object's name and kinds of the object, description -- describing the phenomenon in parts, qualities, characteristics, size, physical appearance, ability, habit, and daily life in

order to make the readers get the clear description of the subject described, and conclusion. The tense used in descriptive text is the simple present tense.

Based on an interview with the English teacher of SMA Negeri 19 Palembang, it was found that the students' problem in wiriting descriptive text was lack of vocabulary. She said that the students seemed difficult to express their ideas because they should write the text in English.

Regarding to the problem above, to motivate the learners in studying English and also to make the process of learning and teaching success, the teacher should make the process interesting for the students. One way on how to make the process of teaching and learning interesting is using some methods. One of them is PPP. PPP stands for Presentation, Practice, and Production. As Richard and Rodgers (2001) state, (1) presentation begins by the teacher who sets up the class situation, for example giving a short illusurtation heard on the tape, (2) practice is time for the students to try the new material in a controlled way by drilling the sentence, (3) production is used for expressing the students' thought in a task. PPP, according to Thornbury's (1999) view, has a logic that is appealing to teachers and learners in that it reflects a notion of practice makes perfect, common in many skills; it allows the teacher to control the content and pace of the lesson. Furthermore, Swan (2005) thinks that PPP is a useful routine for presenting and practicing structural features. At the end, this strategy will lead the students to write the description text as they get from every step of it.

In this modern era, according to Stosic (2015), the role of educational technology in teaching is of great importance because of the use of information and communication technologies. The teacher can modify PPP method by including technology in it as what the writer did in this study, for example the use of laptop and projector in presentation stage to create a modern teaching and learning process and grab the students' attention. The writer would modify the method by letting the students to take part in presentation stage because the students are expected to be active as is suggested in Curriculum 2013. Both the students and the writer took part in the first stage.

By using this method, the teacher can break the complex language into manageable chunks for students to understand better and gives a clear context from the example given in it. The students can put into practice what they have learned and use their own ideas as well. It could also be said that PPP is an effective way to teach, since it is easy to make the planning and it can be implemented by relatively inexperienced teacher. Nunan (2008) states that PPP method is relatively straight forward, and structured enough to be easily understood by both students and new emerging teachers. It means that this approach views accuracy as a precursor to fluency because of its three steps. This method offers the new teachers the supporting item of consecutive phases to be done in the classroom (Richards and Rodgers, 2001, p. 246). Vystavělová (2009) states that PPP in language teaching is the newest familiar methodology which is used by professional schools around the world. It also becomes a good point of the famous CELTA certification and other TEFL qualifications offered specifically in the United Kingdom (Ludescher).

A study conducted by Izzah (2013) in MA Al Ma'arif Singosari Malang for first year class showed that PPP method improved the average of students' achievement. Before implemented the method, the students mean scores of writing were 65 where the passing grade from its school was 75. After the method was implemented, the average became 77.5. In other words, the score of students' writing skill had already met the criteria of success. It was also found that the students were interested, curious, and enthusiastic in joining the activity. The students also actively asked questions involved in the activity during the learning process. Another study conducted by Phuong (2014) found that PPP method helped the students to improve their linguistic accuracy. The results of pre-test and post-test increased because the students were directly instructed how to organize their ideas in a paragraph.

In line with the background above, the writer is interested in applying PPP Method to the tenth grade students' of SMA Negeri 19 Palembang. Therefore, this study is entitled "Improving Descriptive Text Writing Achievement of the Tenth Grade Students of SMA Negeri 19 Palembang through Adapted PPP Method".

1.2 Problems of the Study

Based on the background above, the problems of the study were formulated in the following questions:

- 1. was there any significant difference in descriptive writing achievement between before and after the students were taught by using PPP method?
- 2. was there any significant difference in descriptive writing achievement between the students who were taught by using PPP method and those who were not?

1.3 Objectives of the Study

Based on the problems above, the objectives of this study is to find out whether or not:

- 1. there was a significant difference in writing achievement of descriptive text before and after the students were taught by using PPP Method.
- 2. there was a significant difference in writing achievement of descriptive text between the students who were taught by using PPP Method and those who were not.

1.4 Significance of the Study

It is expected that the results of this study will be beneficial for students, teachers, and future researchers. It is hoped that by using PPP as a useful medium in writing, the students are motivated to like English writing class and it can improve their writing abilities. English teachers in this modern era are expected to be creative so the writer hopes that the result of this study is able to help the teachers develop their way in teaching. Hopefully, PPP method by involving technology will be used as one of the strategies which is implemented by the teachers in order to give good treatment for their students and create an enjoyment atmosphere in the teaching and learning process. At last, the writer hopes that it can be one of the references and the meaningful knowledges or a good inspiration for the future researchers in improving students' writing descriptive text by using PPP method or related studies.

REFERENCES

- Afifuddin. (2016). An analysis of students' error in writing descriptive texts. ENGLISH EDUCATION JOURNAL (EEJ), 7(1), 130-139.
- Alfaki, I. M. (2015). University students' English writing problems: diagnosis and remedy. *International Journal of English Language Teaching*, 4(3), 40-52. Retrieved from http://www.eajournals.org/wp-content/uploads/University-Students----English-Writing-Problems-Diagnosis-and-Remedy.pdf
- Astria, N. (2016). The use of PPP (presentation, practice, production) technique in teaching English to the eleventh graders of MA Miftahul Ulum Ngraket Balong Ponorogo in Academic Year 2015/2016 (Unpublished Undergraduate Thesis, STAIN Ponorogo, Indonesia). Retrieved from http://etheses.stainponorogo.ac.id/1337/1/Niki%2C%20Abstrak%2C%20BAB%20I_V%2CDP.pdf
- Azwar, S. (2010). *Asumsi-asumsi dalam inferensi statistika*. Retrieved from Gadjah Mada University website: http://azwar.staff.ugm.ac.id/files/2010/04/Asumsi-asumsi-dalam-Inferensi-Statistika1.pdf/
- Bernard, H. R. (2006). *Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches.* Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Brown, H. D. (2001). Teaching by Principles. An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy (2nd ed). White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Callaghan, M. (1988). Descriptive Writing, in Macmillan English: Thinking and Writing Processes. Lake Forest: Glencoe. Macmillan, Mc. Graus Hill.
- Carrion, B. Z. (2012). Presentation, practice and production versus task based learning using from focused tasks (Unpublished Bachelor's thesis, Universidad de Piura. Piura, Perú). Retrieved from https://pirhua.udep.edu.pe/bitstream/handle/11042/1801/MAE_EDUC_09 5.pdf?sequence=1
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research (4th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Crowl, T. K. (1996). Fundamental of Educational Reseach. New York, NY:Brown & Benchmark.
- Gerrot, L., & Wignell, P. (1995). *Making Sense of Functional Grammar*. Sydney: Antepodean Educational Enterprises.

- Harmer, J. (2007). *The Practice of English Language Teaching* (4th ed.). Harlow, UK: Longman.
- Harris, D. P. (1969). *Testing English as a second language*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Hammound, Y. M. (2010). *Creative writing*. Riyadh: Zahrat Al-Sahra'a International School.
- Heaton, J. B. (1975). Writing English Language Tests. New York, NY: Longman, Inc.
- Henry, J. (2000). Writing workplace cultures: An archeology of professional writing. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Hornby, A. S. (Ed). (2010). *Oxford advanced learner's dictionary* (8th ed.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Hyland, K. (2007). Genre Pedagogy: Language Literacy, and L2 Writing Instruction. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 16 (2007), 148-164.
- Izzah, A. (2013). The use of PPP (presentation, practice, production) technique to improve writing skill. *JP3*, 1(12), 23-27. Retrieved from http://www.fkipunisma.ac.id/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/Alfiatul-Izzah_2013.pdf
- Kareem, N. T. A. (2014). Difficulties faced by EFL students in writing composition at the Iraqi Preparatory Schools. *Al-Fath Journal*, 60, 1-19. Retrieved from http://www.alfatehmag.uodiyala.edu.iq/uploads/11-1-2015/
- Knaap, P. (2005). *Genre, text, and grammar*. Sydney, Australia: University of New South Wales.
- Kostoulas, A. (2012). Presentation-Practice-Production (PPP). Retrieved from http://achiellaskostoulas.files.wordpress.com/2012/01/ppp.pdf
- Lagalo, A. M. S. (2013). The application of presentation, practice, and production (PPP) method to increase students' speaking ability (a research conducted at the tenth grade students of Man Batudaa in 2012/2013 academic years (Unpublished Undergraduate Thesis, State University of Gorontalo, Indonesia). Retrieved from http://kim.ung.ac.id/index.php/KIMFSB/article/view/3275/3251
- Lopez, C. (2014). Diagnosis of the methods used for teaching English grammar in the advanced English I courses during the second semester –2012 at the foreign languages department of the University of El Salvador

- (Unpublished Undergraduate Thesis, University of El Salvador, San Salvador, Central of America). Retrieved from http://ri.ues.edu.sv/6453/1/Diagnosis-of-the-methods-used-for-teaching-english-grammar-in-the-Advanced-English-I-courses-during-the-second-semester-2012-at-the-Foreign-Languages-Department-of-the-University-of-El-Salvador.pdf
- Mcmillan, J.H. (1996). *Educational Research: Fundamentals for the Somsumer* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: HarperCollins.
- Nordquist, R. (2017). Exposition (Composition). Retrieved from https://www.thoughtco.com/exposition-composition-term-1690695
- Nunan, D. (2008). *Research methods in language learning*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Oshima, A., & Hogue, A. (1999). Writing academic English (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Longman.
- Phuong, H. Y. (2014). The impact of PPP and TBLT on Vietnamese stusents' writing performance and self-regulation (Unpublished Doctoral disertation, KU Leuven, Flanders, Belgium). Retrieved from https://lirias.kuleuven.be/bitstream/123456789/456940/1/Thesis+-+Hoang+Yen+Phuong.pdf
- Rahman, A. (2015). Teaching speaking by using combining presentation, practice, production (PPP) method and engage, study, activate (ESA) method to improve students' speaking ability at Junior High School (Unpublished Undergraduate Thesis, STKIP PGRI Sumatera Barat, Indonesia). Retrieved from http://download.portalgaruda.org/article.php/article/teaching-speaking-by-using-combining-presentation, practice,production-(ppp)-method-and-engage,-study,-activate-(esa)-method-to-improve-students-speaking-ability-at-junior-high-school.pdf
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2001). *Approaches and methods in language learning* (2nd ed). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Rubin, D. (1997). *Diagnosis and correction*. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon Publishers.
- Saroh, F. W. M. (2014). The Use of Presentation Practice and Production (PPP) Method to Improve Students' Speaking Ability of the VIII A class at SMP Muhammadiyah 6 Wuluhan in the 2013/2014 Academic Year (Unpublished Undergraduate Thesis, Muhammadiyah University of Jember, Indonesia).

- Retrieved from http://digilib.unmuhjember.ac.id/files/disk1/56/umj-1x-fitinwikem-2793-1-jurnal.pdf
- Stosic, L. (2015). The importance of educational technology in teaching. (IJCRSEE) International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education, 3(1), 111-114.
- Swan, M. (2005). Legoslation by hypothesis: The case of task-based instruction. *Applied Linguistics*, 26(3), 376-401.
- Thornbury, S. (1999). *How to teach grammar*. Harlow, UK: Longman.
- Vystavělová, L. (2009). Common EFL methods applied at language schools in the Czech Republic: PPP or TBL (Unpublished Bachelor's thesis, Masaryk University, Czech Republic, Central Europe). Retrieved from https://is.muni.cz/th/153051/pedf_b/Common_EFL_methods_applied_at_l anguage_schools_in_the_Czech_Republic_PPP_or_TBL.pdf
- Webster, N. (1999). Webster's new world dictionary. Columbus, OH: A Division of Simon & Schuster, Inc.