

Gmail

Tulis

99+ Mail

Chat

Spaces

Meet

Kotak Masuk 7.538

Berbintang

Ditunda

Penting

Terkirim

Draf 67

Spam 44

Kategori

Sosial 4.646

Update 6.939

Forum

Promosi 27.577

Selengkapnya

Label +

- [Imap]/Drafts
- [Imap]/Sent
- [Imap]/Trash
- Notes
- Perjalanan
- Utsri

Submission Article Kotak Masuk x

Oemar Madri Bafadhal <madribafadhal@gmail.com> kepada k.yip

Kam, 5 Mar 2020, 14.43

Dear Editor,

I would like to submit my article entitled 'How Social Capital Works: the Role of Social Capital in Acts of Corruption'. This article discussing issues about social capital and how it driven the acts of corruption especially in society with clientelism habitus like Indonesia. I hope this article will suitable to your journal scopes.

Regards,

Thank you.

Oemar Madri Bafadhal

Satu lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail

Social Capital Fix...

K. Yip, Ph.D <k.yip@editor-psychosocial.com> kepada saya

Kam, 5 Mar 2020, 15.07

Inggris > Indonesia • Terjemahkan pesan Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris x

Dear Author(s),

Editorial team of IJPR has reviewed your manuscript in accordance to the procedures prevail in IJPR. In addition, your article has also been reviewed by the Reviewer Board.

We attach the advices from the board of the editors as follows:

First Reviewer

- Your article has fulfilled the criteria and by this it is eligible to be published in this journal. Yet, there are still shortcomings that need to be improved in order to boost its quality.
- I have read the section of "Literature Review" in your article and the evidences show that you must sharpen the parts related to the focus of your writing. Therefore, there is novelty in your article.
- In the "Discussion" section, you must relate the topics discussed with the references available in the "Literature Review" section. I still think that the "Discussion" section is very weak in that it is not supported by the references quoted. Instead, you let it flow without being connected to the references applied previously.
- I want you to create a very solid summary consisting of all of the essences related to the topic written but it is not the repetition of the abstract.

Second Reviewer

- I still find errors of mistyping in your article, thus you need to improve it.
- I suggest you to use the latest references in composing the "Literature Review" section and relate it to the topic of your writing. Therefore, there is difference between previous studies with your study. This should be clearly stated so the readers will be easier to 'digest' your article.
- "Conclusion" section made, must be composed of the summary of your findings and not the repetition of the abstract.

We hope that you can improve your article based on the advices given by the board of the editors and send it with the same subject e-mail as soon as possible.

Best Regards

K. Yip, Ph.D
Editor of International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation

Oemar Madri Bafadhal <madribafadhal@gmail.com> kepada Ph.D

Sab, 21 Mar 2020, 10.10

Dear K. Yip, Ph.D, Editor of International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation

Firstly, We express our appreciation to reviewers and the editors. We believe that their vital comments and suggestions have contributed substantially to improve the presentation of our study, as well as its overall quality and the manuscript. Following, we offer point-by-point replies to the issues and points the reviewers addressed regarding the original manuscript. In addition, we marked the revision of our manuscript in yellow.

First Reviewer

I have read the section of "Literature Review" in your article and the evidences show that you must sharpen the parts related to the focus of your writing. Therefore, there is novelty in your article.

Reply: we tried to restructure the literature section by adding some paragraphs such as the conceptualization of social capital by Bourdieu, the logic of Marxist's capital accumulation and the how accumulation can lead to imbalances. In addition, we are going to highlight the research novelty, instead of discussing corruption from psychological, technical and systemic aspects, we tried to examine social capital as factor that encouraged and facilitated corruption

In the "Discussion" section, you must relate the topics discussed with the references available in the "Literature Review" section. I still think that the "Discussion" section is very weak in that it is not supported by the references quoted. Instead, you let it flow without being connected to the references applied previously.

Reply: We tried to enrich the discussion, citing Verhezen, that as with reciprocal gifts, social capital can turn sharing and solidarity into a game of power struggle aimed at superiority and hierarchy. While social capital can accumulate in a virtuous cycle still externally linked to the dynamics of power, certain communities can be trapped in a vicious cycle of social capital.

I want you to create a very solid summary consisting of all of the essences related to the topic written but it is not the repetition of the abstract.

Reply: at the conclusion, we tried to rewrite it and add a paragraph consisting the academic and practical implication.

Second Reviewer

I still find errors of mistyping in your article, thus you need to improve it.

Reply: We have already reproofread this manuscript so that the mistyping issues has been fixed.

I suggest you to use the latest references in composing the "Literature Review" section and relate it to the topic of your writing. Therefore, there is difference between previous studies with your study. This should be clearly stated so the readers will be easier to 'digest' your article.

Reply: the latest references on the research discussing corruption and social capital have been added.

"Conclusion" section made, must be composed of the summary of your findings and not the repetition of the abstract.

Reply: at the conclusion, we tried to rewrite it and add a paragraph consisting the academic and practical implication.

We hope the revised version is now suitable for publication and look forward to hearing from you in due course.

Sincerely,

Oemar Madri Bafadhah

...

Satu lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail



K. Yip, Ph.D <k.yip@editor-psychosocial.com>

kepada saya

Sen, 23 Mar 2020, 13.03



🌐 Inggris > Indonesia > [Terjemahkan pesan](#)

[Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris](#)

Dear Author (s)

Thank you for your cooperation in making revisions.

Editorial Team IJPR will review your article again.

Please be patient waiting for the Editorial Team's decision, your article may have to be corrected again if it does not meet the IJPR standards, or your article can be directly accepted for publication and the LoA will be sent via this Email.

Please always check your e-mail from us, as information for you, IJPR applies publication fees for every article published.

So I hope you have prepared yourself for it.

Best regards,

...

[Thank you, I will do that.](#)

[Noted with thanks.](#)

[Thank you for your response.](#)

[↩ Balas](#)

[➡ Teruskan](#)