# THE CORRELATION BETWEEN PHONEMIC AWARENESS AND LISTENING PERFORMANCE OF ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM STUDENTS AT UIN RADEN FATAH PALEMBANG

# A Thesis by

Hikmah Zalifah Putri
Student Number 06011381520052
English Education Study Program
Language and Arts Education Department



# FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY PALEMBANG

2018

THE CORRELATION BETWEEN PHONEMIC AWARENESS AND LISTENING PERFROMANCE OF ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM STUDENTS AT UIN RADEN FATAH PALEMBANG

A Thesis by
Hikmah Zalifah Putri
Student Number 06011381520052
English Education Study Program
Language and Arts Education Department

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY PALEMBANG 2018

Approved by,

Advisor 1,

Advisor 2,

<u>Drs. M. Yunus, M.Ed.</u> NIP. 195401271986031001

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd NIP. 197408022002121003

Certified by,

Head of Language and Arts

Education Department,

**Head of Englsih Education** 

Study Program,

Dr. Didi Suhendi, M. Hum.

NIP. 196910221994031001

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd

NIP. 197408022002121003

The Correlation between Phonemic Awareness and Listening Performance of English Education Study Program Students at UIN Raden Fatah Palembang

# Hikmah Zalifah Putri

Student Number: 06011381520052

This thesis was defended by the writer in final program examination and was approved by the examination committee on:

Day

: Saturday

Date

: December 22, 2018

# **EXAMNIE COMMITTEE APPROVAL:**

1. Chairperson : Drs. M. Yunus., M. Ed.

Secretary
 Member

: Dr. Margaretta Dinar S., M.A.

: Hariswan Putera Jaya, S. Pd., M. Pd.

4. Member

: Dedi Kurniawan, S. Pd., M. Pd.

Palembang,

Certified by,

**Head of English Education Study Program** 

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd

NIP. 197408022002121003

# **DECLARATION**

I, the undersigned,

Name

: Hikmah Zalifah Putri

Student Number

: 06011381520052

Study Program

: English Education

Certify that thesis entitled "The Correlation between Phonemic Awareness and Listening Performance of English Education Study Program Students at UIN Raden Fatah Palembang" is my own work and I did not do any plagiarism or inappropriate quotation against the ethic and rules commended by the Ministry of Education of Republic Indonesia Number 17, 2010 regarding plagiarism in higher education. Therefore, I deserve to face the court if I am found to have plagiarized this work.

Palembang, Desember 2018

dersigned

Hikmah Zalifah Putri

NIM. 06011381520052

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Alhamdullilah all praises to Allah SWT for His blessing, the writer could finish the thesis as the final assignment for one of the requirements in obtaining her Undergraduate Degree (S1) at English Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University. The writer would like to express her gratitude to her two advisors, Drs. M. Yunus, M. Ed. and Hariswan Putera Jaya, S. Pd., M. Pd. for guiding her throughout the process of writing this thesis. Your advice, comments, and motivation were valuable for the writer during and after the writing of this thesis. The writer would like to express her gratitude to the Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Sriwijaya University and the staffs for their assistance in administration matters, the Head of Language and Arts Education Department, and the Head of English Education Study Program of Sriwijaya University. The writer would also like to express her gratitude to the Head of English Education Study Program of UIN Raden Fatah, the staffs and the class of the fifth semester students of English Education Study Program of UIN raden Fatah for their cooperation in helping the writer during her research. The deepest respect and love are aimed towards the writer's parents, siblings, foster parents, close friends, and friends for their support, motivation, love and words of wisdom.

Palembang, 22 Desember 2018

The Writer

**HZP** 

#### **DEDICATION AND MOTTO**

#### This thesis is dedicated to:

- Allah SWT., the All Mighty who has blessed, guided, and given me the opportunity to complete this thesis.
- My parents, Herizal and Hanifa Aziza, who have been very supportive and patient with me during the writing process of this thesis and before that. Thank you for the love you have given me, and for always praying for my well being. Thank you for being the reason I am pushing forward in life. You both are and always will be my anchor.
- My wonderful advisors, Drs. M. Yunus, M. ED. And Hariswan Putera Jaya,
   S. Pd., M. Pd., who have guided me during the process of writing this thesis.
   My deepest gratitude for your advice, motivation, and patience.
- All of the lectures who have guided and taught me during my years studying at FKIP Universitas Sriwijaya.
- My foster parents, Wak Ucung and Wak Een, who always remembered me in their prayers and motivated me to keep writing.
- My amazing brothers and sister, Abdul Hafiz Muttaqien, Muhammad Auzi Herizal and Humairah Alisyah Herizal who reminded me the reason why I should finish this thesis. Thank you so much for always accompanying me writing this thesis. Your supportive presences were what made me turn on the laptop.
- My beloved best friends, Visca Yulandia and Rita Mulyaningsih, who shared my joy and sorrow throughout the years we studied together. Thank you for always believing in me and always reminding me that I could achieve everything as long as I put my mind on it. Thank you for your continuous support and love.
- My friend, Nadya Elmelda Sari, who motivated me to continue writing this thesis during our busy days in SMA 1.
- All of my lovely classmates, KELAS A BUKIT, thank you for your positive comments and motivations. Thank you for all the memories that we have created all these years.
- My friend, Maya Anjela, who has influenced me with her passion to keep writing and finish this thesis.

#### **MOTTOS**

"People get built different. We don't need to figure it out, we just need to respect it - B. Bonnibel"

"Be kind to yourself so you can be happy enough to be kind to the world - Misha Collins"

"Be strong in the moments where you want to be weak because life itself is worth living for. If you're not living the life that you want, you fight for that life - Jensen Ackles."

# THE CORRELATION BETWEEN PHONEMIC AWARENESS AND LISTENING PERFORMANCE OF ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM STUDENTS AT UIN RADEN FATAH PALEMBANG

# **ABSTRACT**

The objectives of this study were to find out whether or not there was a significant correlation between students' phonemic awareness and listening performance, and also to find whether or not there was a significant contribution of phonemic awareness to listening performance. The sample of this study was 83 fifth semester students of English Education Study Program at UIN Raden Fatah Palembang. The technique of collecting the data was total population sampling. In collecting the data the researcher used two kind of tests. The first was a phonemic awareness test, and the second was listening test in a form of cloze test. The data then were analyzed using Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient and Simple Linear Regression in SPSS version 24. The result of Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient showed that (1) there was a significant correlation between phonemic awareness and students' listening performance because p-value (0.000) was higher than (0.05), and (2) there was 59% contribution of phonemic awareness to students' listening performance.

**Keyword**: Correlation, Phonemic Awareness, Listening Performance

A thesis by an English Education Study Program Student, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University

Name : Hikmah Zalifah Putri

Students Number : 06011381520052

Advisors : 1. Drs. M. Yunus, M. Ed.

2. Hariswan Putera Jaya, S. Pd., M. Pd.

# THE CORRELATION BETWEEN PHONEMIC AWARENESS AND LISTENING PERFORMANCE OF ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM STUDENTS AT UIN RADEN FATAH PALEMBANG

# **ABSTRACT**

The objectives of this study were to find out whether or not there was a significant correlation between students' phonemic awareness and listening performance, and to find whether or not there was significant contribution of phonemic awareness to listening performance. The sample of this study was 83 fifth semester students of English Education Study Program of UIN Raden Fatah Palembang. The technique of collecting the data was total population sampling. In collecting the data the researcher used two kind of tests. The first was a phonemic awareness test, and the second was listening test in a form of cloze test. The data then were analyzed using Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient and Simple Linear Regression in SPSS version 24. The result of Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient showed that (1) there was a significant correlation between phonemic awareness and students' listening performance because p-value (0.000) was higher than (0.05), and (2) there was 59% contribution of phonemic awareness to students' listening performance.

**Keyword**: Correlation, Phonemic Awareness, Listening Performance

A thesis by an English Education Study Program Student, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University

Name : Hikmah Zalifah Putri

Students Number : 06011381520052

Approved by,

Advisor 1,

14-1

<u>Drs. M. Yunus, M.Ed</u> NIP. 195401271986031001 Advisor 2,

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd. NIP. 197408022002121001

Certified by, Head of English Education Study Program,

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd. NIP. 197408022002121001

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Pa	age
TITLE PAGE	i
CERTIFICATION PAGE	ii
DECLARATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	V
THESIS DEDICATION	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	X
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES	. xiii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problems of the Study	5
1.3 Objectives of the Study	5
1.4 Significance of the Study	6
II. LITERATURE REVIEW	. 7
2.1 Phonology	. 7
2.2 Phonemic Awareness	. 8
2.3 Listening Comprehension	. 9
2.4 The Teaching of Listening in Indonesia	. 10
2.5 Listening Problems in Indonesia	. 10
2.6 Previous Related Study	. 11
2.7 Hypothesis of the Study	. 15

III. METHODOLOGY	. 16
3.1 Research Design	. 16
3.2 Variables of the Study	16
3.3 The Operational Definition	17
3.4 Population and Samples of the Study	. 18
3.5 Data Collection	18
3.5.1 Phonemic Awareness Screener Assessment	. 19
3.5.2 Cloze Tests	. 19
3.5.3 Reliability of the Test	. 20
3.6 Data Analysis	20
3.6.1 Correlation Analysis	21
IV. FINDINGS AND INTERPRETATIONS	. 22
4.1 Findings of the Study	. 22
4.1.1 Result of Students' Phonemic Awareness Test	. 22
4.1.2 Result of Students' Listening Test	23
4.2 Result of Statistical Analyses	23
4.2.1 Normality Test	23
4.2.2 Correlation Coefficient Analysis	24
4.3 Interpretation of the Study	. 26
V. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	. 28
1.1 Conclusions	28
1.2 Suggestions	. 28
REFERENCES	30
APPENDICES	33

# LIST OF TABLES

<b>Tables</b>	Name of the Tables	Page
Table 2.1	Phonemic Awareness Assessment Tool	9
Table 3.1	The Total Sample	18
Table 3.2	The Degree of Correlation Coefficient	21
Table 4.1	The Result of Phonemic Awareness Test	23
Table 4.2	The Result of Listening Performance Test	24
Table 4.3	The Result of Normality Test	
Table 4.4	The Result of Correlation Analysis	25
Table 4.5	The Result of Regression Analysis	26

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendx A : Usul Judul Skripsi

Appendix B : Surat Keputusan Pembimbing Skripsi

Appendix C : Surat Keputusan Seminar Proposal Penelitian

Appendix D : Surat Keputusan Seminar Hasil Penelitian

Appendix E : Surat Keputusan Ujian Akhir Program S-1

Appendix F : Approval to Take Part in Research Design Seminar

Appendix G : Approval has Conducted Research Design Seminar

Appendix H : Approval to Take Part in Preliminary Research Report Seminar

Appendix I : Approval has Conducted Preliminary Research Report Seminar

Appendix J : Approval to Take Part in Final Exam

Appendix K : Approval has Conducted Final Exam

Appendix L : Research Design Seminar Suggestion List

Appendix M : Preliminary Research Report Seminar Suggestion List

Appendix N : Final Exam Suggestion List

Appendix O : Daftar Hadir Mahasiswa dalam Seminar Usul Penelitian

Appendix P : Daftar Hadir Mahasiswa dalam Seminar Hasil Penelitian

Appendix Q : Daftar Hadir Mahasiswa dalam Ujian Akhir Program S-1

Appendix R : Surat Izin Melakukan Penelitian di UIN Raden Fatah

Appendix S : Surat Telah Melaksanakan Penelitian di UIN Raden Fatah

Appendix T : Phonemic Awareness Test

Appendix U : Listening Test

Appendix V : The Attendance List

Appendix W: The Result of Reliability Test

Appendix X : The Descriptive of Phonemic Awareness Test

Appendix Y : The Descriptive of Listening Test

Appendix Z: The Result of Normality Test

Appendix AA : The Result of Correlation Analysis

# **CHAPTER 1**

# INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents (1) background, (2) problems of the study, (3) objectives of the study, and (4) significance of the study.

# 1.1 Background of the Study

English is an international language used by people around the world to communicate. English is used to focus on written communication (e.g letter, academic paper, news, books, etc.) but now it has shifted to oral communication especially in East and Southeast Asian countries. This has caused English to be learned by many as ESL or EFL (Sawir, 2005).

It is English that stands at the very centre of the global language system. It has become the *lingua franca par excellence* and continues to entrench this dominance in a self-reinforcing process. It has become the central language of communication in business, politics, administration, science and academia, as well as being the dominant language of globalised advertising and popular culture.

(Held, McGrew, Goldblatt, & Perraton, 1999, p. 346).

English is a tool of communication for business, politics, education, and other purposes. This leads to non-English speaking countries integrating English as part of their curriculum. By doing this, the citizen will be able to use English in those situations. Especially in working sectors that involve communicating with people from other countries.

In Indonesia, English is a foreign language. English native speakers utterances become model and standard for pronunciation and understanding meaning (Cahyono, & Widiati, 2015). Students are expected to master four English language skills and three language components. Those four language skills of English are reading, writing, listening and speaking. Each skill is important, but the writer will focus on listening comprehension. Listening comprehension is an important skill to develop in learning English.

However, in East and Southeast Asian nations EFL teaching does not fully support the need for oral communications as they focus more on learning to read English-language texts and to write in English (Sawir, 2005). Many teachers in East and Southeast Asian nations, especially Indonesia, focus their teaching processes on grammar and its usage. They pay little to no attention to oral communication (speaking and listening) since they learned English the same way. Teachers that have been taught this way feel more comfortable to teach their students the same way as them. Even though that, the need for listening and speaking skills cannot be avoided.

Cahyono and Widiati (2015) have argued that learning listening comprehension in ESL/EFL setting has become unavoidable. Students most likely spend their time doing listening than speaking or other skills. Gilbert (1989) notes that students are expected to listen 65-90 percent of the time during learning processes from kindergarten through high school. It is a necessary skill that can help the development of ESL/EFL students' English skill as stated by Rost (2002) that listening provides input for learners. Cahyono et al. also stated that listening comprehension is a crucial skill for communicating in English. Communication can not take place if the message spoken is not understood by the receiver. This requires a good level of listening comprehension. Vandergrift (2007) states that listening is at the heart of learning English and the aim is to be able to understand the utterances of English native speakers. Yet some students find difficulties in listening to real-native speakers. Many EFL learners point out that of all the English skills they need to master, it is listening that is most difficult for them (Bacon, 1989).

Listening difficulties are defined as characteristics, internal and external, that might interrupt text understanding and processing problems at various stages of listening (Goh, 2000). During listening process, there are several factors that may affect learners' listening. Some factors have been the focus of many researches. They are speech rate, lexis, phonological feature and background knowledge or knowledge of the students' L1 (Conrad, 1989; Blau, 1990; Long,

1990; Chiang and Dunkel, 1992; Griffths, 1992; Rost, 2002; Zhao, 1997). Different characteristic with ESL/EFL students' mother tongue also contributes as a factor that affect someone's listening (Nasir, 2014) All of these factors play a role on affecting the development of listening. Phonemic awareness is included in the phonological feature factor that affects listening.

Montero (2014) argues that native language influences the way people master a second language or a foreign language. If the native language or L1 is completely different than the targeted language, then the knowledge of the L1 will interfere with the learning process (Ellis, 1985). Since there are different characteristics between English and Indonesian, students find problems on listening to English native speakers. These differences interfere with Indonesian students' learning processes because they can't help but to compare the knowledge they have of their own language when they learn English.

Adams (1994) states that in speaking and listening, ESL/EFL learners focus on understanding the meaning as a whole and processing phonemes, syllables and words happens by itself. So even without learning it in formal situations, students already have phonemic awareness. This phonemic awareness happens without a doubt during listening comprehension. When students process phonemes, it is their phonemic awareness that processes them. Cheung, Chen, Lai, Wong, and Hills (2001) describe phonemic awareness as "the ability to analyze spoken language into its component sounds and to manipulate these smaller units" (p. 228). It means the phonemic are analyzed and manipulated during listening situations. It is further explained by Cheung et. al that phonemic awareness is the awareness of words in phonemic levels. People can differentiate words such as light, might, and right as different words by recognizing the phonemes /l/, /m/,and /r/ in the beginning of each words. Cheung, et. al speculated that phonemic awareness has an impact on the process of listening comprehension.

This speculation then was proven right by Cheung et al. (2001). It was shown from their research that there is a correlation between phonemic awareness and listening. Other researchers also stated that phonemic awareness has an effect on listening performance (Caralovas & Bruck, 1993; Wagner, Torgesen, Laughon, Simmons, & Rashotte, 1993; Cheung, Chen, Lai, Wong, & Hills, 2001; Cheung, 2007; Li, Cheng, & Kirby, 2012).

Phonemic awareness has always been linked to reading and writing (Bradley & Bryant, 1991). Nowadays, researchers begin to link phonemic awareness and listening comprehension (Cheung et al., 2001; Cheung, 2007). They believe that since there is a link to reading and writing there is also a link to speaking and listening. Phonemic awareness can help listening comprehension because it deals with speech sounds (Cheung, 2007) For example, L2 learners with good phonemic awareness will be able to associate the sound /meik/ with the word "make" and the sound /meid/ with the word "made". Phonemic awareness plays an important role on listening comprehension's development. Listening comprehension also affects phonemic awareness' development.

The study on phonemic awareness usually have elementary students as its samples. The reason for this is because phonemic awareness has been linked to early childhood reading ability (Pressley, 2003). The children have been taught from early education how to pronounce words on phonemic levels since the education system uses English as the medium of instruction (whether English is the first language of the students or not). According to Mann (1986) children in America learn to read the English words by alphabet which represents words at a phonemic level. This is evident by the activities performed by the students during learning process. Those activities are phoneme-based word games such as "pig-Latin" and "Geography". By playing those games American gggchildren are aware of phonemes and syllables. Liberman, Shankweiler, and Carter (1974) have found out that American children's awareness of phonological structure improve by the age they learn how to read.

In Indonesia, however, English is taught differently. In Indonesian curriculum, English is not listed as one of the main subjects for elementary students, because English is taught in secondary schools (Mistar, 2005). Like many Southeast Asian countries, English is taught with the focus on using the correct grammar (Sawir, 2005). Something such as correct pronunciations is taught with teacher pronouncing the words as a whole and the students repeating the words. The students are not taught the pronunciation on phonemic levels.

Phonemic is part of phonology, and phonology is officially taught to those who pursue English as a major in a university. English education study program students are acquired to take a phonology class where they learned about phonemes. In determining the level of phonemic awareness of students, they should at least know English phonemes. That is why it is crucial for the writer to take English education major as a sample.

Based on the discussion above, the writer will conduct a correlation study entitled "The Correlation between Phonemic Awareness and Listening Performance of English Education Study Program Students of UIN Raden Fatah Palembang". The writer would like to know whether or not there is a significant correlation between phonemic awareness and students' listening performance.

# 1.2 The Problems of the Study

Based on the background stated above, the problems of the study are formulated in the following questions:

- 1. Is there any significant correlation between phonemic awareness and students' listening performance?
- 2. Is there any significant contribution of phonemic awareness to students' listening performance?

# 1.3 Objectives of the Study

Based on the problems of the study above, the objectives of the study are to find out whether or not:

- 1. There is a significant correlation between phonemic awareness and student's listening performance.
- 2. There is a significant contribution of phonemic awareness to students' listening performance.

# 1.4 Significances of the Study

The significances of the study are as follows:

# 1. For educators:

To provide some information on phonemic awareness and its contribution to students' listening performance.

# 2. For researchers:

To use the findings as a basis for further study about phonemic awareness and students' listening performance.

# 3. For the writer:

This correlation study will answer the writer's questions about phonemic awareness and students' listening performance. The result will give information whether or not there is a significant correlation between phonemic awareness and students' listening performance. It will also show how much contribution phonemic awareness has on students' listening performance.

#### References:

- Adams, M. J. (1994). *Beginning to read: Thinking and learning about print:* MITpress.
- Anthony, J. L., & Francis, D. J. (2005). Development of phonological awareness. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 14(5), 255-259.
- Bacon, S. M. 1989. Listening for real in the foreign language classroom. *Foreign Language Annals*, 22(6), 543-551.
- Bertelson, P., de Gelder, B., & van Zon, M. (1997). Explicit speech segmentation and syllabic onset structure: developmental trends. Psychological Research, 60, 183-191.
- Best, J. W., & Kahn, J. V. (1993). *Research in Education* (7 ed.). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Blau, E. (1990). The effect of syntax, speed and pauses on listening comprehension. *TESOL Quarterly*, 24, 746-53.
- Bradley, L., & Bryant, P. (1991). Phonological skills before and after learning to read. *Phonological Processes in Literacy: A Tribute to Isabelle Y. Liberman*, 37-45.
- Bryant, P. E. & Bradley, L. L. (1985). *Children's reading problems: Psychology and education*. New York, NY: Basil Blackwell Inc.
- Cahyono, B. Y., & Widiati, U. (2015). The teaching of EFL listening in the Indonesian context: The state of the art. *TEFLIN Journal*, 20(2), 194-211.
- Cambridge ESOL (2007). Cambridge Young Learners English Tests -- Handbook. University of Cambridge, ESOL Examinations.
- Caravolas, M., & Bruck, M. (1993). The effect of oral and written language input on children's phonological awareness: A cross-linguistic study. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 55, 1-1.
- Cheng, L., Li, M., Kirby, J.R., Qiang, H. & Wade-Woolley, L. (2010). English language immersion and students' academic achievement in English, Chinese and mathematics. *Evaluation and Research in Education*, 23, 151–169.
- Cheung, H. (2007). The role of phonological awareness in mediating between reading and listening to speech. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 22(1), 130-154.
- Cheung, H., Chen, H.-C., Lai, C. Y., Wong, O. C., & Hills, M. (2001). The development of phonological awareness: Effects of spoken language experience and orthography. *Cognition*, 81(3), 227-241.
- Chiang, Ch. S., & Dunkel, P. (1992). The effect of speech modification, prior knowledge, and listening proficiency on EFL lecture learning. *TESOL Quarterly*, 26, 345-374.
- Conrad, L. (1989). The effects of time-compressed speech on listening comprehension. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 11*, 1-16.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). Educational reserach: Planning, conducting and evaluating quantitative nd qualitative reserach (4th. Ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson Education, Inc.

- Darnell, D. K. (1968). The Development of an English Language Proficiency Test of Foreign Students Using a Clozentrophy Procedure: Final Report. Boulder: University of Colorado, US DHEW Project No. 7-H-010, ERIC ED 024 039. *Applied Linguistics*, 18, 49-68.
- Ellis, R. (1985). *Understanding second language acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of convenince sampling and purposive sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 5(1), 1-4.
- Fromklin, V., Blair, D., & Collins, P. (2013). *An introduction to language* (9th ed.). Marrickville, New South Wales, Australia: Harcourt.
- Gilbert, M. B. (1989). Perceptions of listening behaviors of school principals. *School Organisation*, *9*, 271-282.
- Goh, C. C. (2000). A cognitive perspective on language learners' listening comprehension problems. *System*, 28(1), 55-75.
- Griffiths, R. (1992). Speech rate and listening comprehension: Further evidence of the relationship. *TESOL Quarterly*, 26(2), 385-390.
- Hacker, D., & Sommers, N. (2011). *A writer's reference* (7th ed.). Boston, NY: Bedford/St. Martin's.
- Heaton, J. B. (1990). Writing English language tests. New York: Longman Inc.
- Heggerty, M. (2015). Phonemic awareness screener assessment. *Literacy Resources Incoorporation*. Retrieved from
  <a href="https://www.literacyresourcesinc.com/resources/assessments/">https://www.literacyresourcesinc.com/resources/assessments/</a>
- Held, D., McGrew, A., Goldblatt, D. and Perraton, J. (1999). *Global transformations*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- James, S. J. (1996). *Phonological processing in early reading and invented spelling*. Unpublished M. Ed. thesis. Kingston: Queen's University.
- Lauder, A. (2010). The status and function of English in Indonesia: A review of key factors. *Hubs-Asia*, 9(2).
- Liberman, I.Y., Shankweiler, D., Fischer, F.W., & Carter, B. (1974). Explicit syllable and phoneme segmentation in the young child. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 18, 201-212.
- Li, M., Cheng, L., & Kirby, J. R. (2012). Phonological awareness and listening comprehension among Chinese English-immersion students. *International Education*, 41(2), 46.
- Long, D.R., 1990. What you don't know can't help you: an exploratory study of background knowledge and second language listening comprehension. Studies in Second Language Acquisition 12, 65-80.
- Mann, V. A. (1986). Phonological awareness: The role of reading experience. *Cognition*, 24(1-2), 65–92. doi: 10.1016/0010-0277(86)90005-3
- Mistar, J. (2005). Teaching English as a foreign language (TEFL) in Indonesia. Teaching English to the world: History, curriculum, and practice, 75-85.
- Montero, R. L. (2014). Social Factors Involved in Second Language Learning: A Case Study from the Pacific Campus, Universidad de Costa Rica. *Revista de Lenguas Modernas*(20), 435-451.

- Nasir, A. (2014). An analysis of relationship between English language anxiety, English language interest and English language achievemen. *International Journal of English and Education*, 3(1), 175-186.
- Oller, J. W., Jr. (1972). Induction, mind and contextualization of materials to be learned. Language and Man (in press).
- Oller, J. W., Jr. & Conrad, C. (1971). The cloze technique and ESL proficiency. Language Learning. 21.183-196.
- Potter, T. C. (1968). A Taxonomy of Cloze Research, Part I: Readability and Reading Comprehension. Los Angeles, Calif.: Southwest Regional Laboratory for Educational Research and Development.
- Pressley, M. (2003). Psychology of literacy and literacy instruction. In W. M. Reynolds & G. E. Miller (Eds.), *Handbook of psychology* (Vol. 7, pp. 333-348). Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Richards, J. c., Platt, J., & Platt, H. (1999). Longman dictionary of language teachingand applied linguistics. Essex, England: Longman Group UK Limited.
- Rost, M. (2002). Teaching and Researching Listening. London: Longman.
- Sawir, E. (2005). Language difficulties of international students in Australia: The effects of prior learning experience. *International Education Journal*, 6(5), 567-580.
- Sholihah, U. (2014). The differences between English and Indonesian language. *Magistra*, 26(89), 47-51.
- Sugiono. (2005). Statistika untuk penelitian Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suortti, O., & Lipponen, L. (2013). Phonological skills and ability to perceive auditorily the structure of a word at the level of a single phoneme at ages 2-6. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy*, 1-24. DOI: 10.1177/1468798413512847
- Taylor, W. L. (1953). Cloze procedure: a new tool for measuring readability. *Journalism Quarterly, 30,* 414-438.
- Taylor, B.; Dewitz, P.; & Pearson, P.D. (1997). *The CIERA early assessment battery for studying schools that beatthe odds*. Ann Arbor, MI: Center for the Improvement of Early Reading Achievement.
- Upshur, J. A. (1967). *Context for language testing*, in Oller and Richards (in press).
- Wagner, R. K., Torgesen, J. K., Laughon, P. L., Simmons, K., & Rashotte, C. (1993). Development of young readers' phonological processing abilities. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 85–103.
- Vandergrift, L. (2007). Recent developments in second and foreign language listening comprehension research. *Language teaching*, 40(3), 191-210.
- Yopp, H.K., & Yopp, R.H. (2009). Phonological awareness is child's play! *Young Children*, 64(1), 12-21.
- Zhao, Y. (1997). The effects of listeners' control of speech rate on second language comprehension.