

Gmail

99+
Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 490

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 27

Selengkapnya

Label +

Analisis Persepsi Hambatan Berdasarkan Teori Health Belief Model dengan F

Aktif

4 dari 6

[JIKM] Editor Decision Eksternal Kotak Masuk

Haerawati Idris <jurnal_fkm@fkm.unsri.ac.id>
kepada Risna, saya, Muhammad, Esti, Rini

Terjemahkan ke Indonesia

Risna Mona Aristin, Nur Alam Fajar, Muhammad Cholil Munadi, Esti Sri Ananingsih, Rini Sugiarti:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan Masyarakat, "ANALYSIS OF PERCEIVED BENEFITS BASED ON THE HEALTH BELIEF MODEL THEORY WITH EXCLUSIVE BREASTFEEDING IN STUNTING PREVENTION".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

[Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan Masyarakat](#)

2 Lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail

A-1. (2).docx B-1.docx

Haerawati Idris <jurnal_fkm@fkm.unsri.ac.id>
kepada Risna, saya, Muhammad, Esti, Rini

Terjemahkan ke Indonesia

Risna Mona Aristin, Nur Alam Fajar, Muhammad Cholil Munadi, Esti Sri Ananingsih, Rini Sugiarti:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan Masyarakat, "ANALYSIS OF PERCEIVED BENEFITS BASED ON THE HEALTH BELIEF MODEL THEORY WITH EXCLUSIVE BREASTFEEDING IN STUNTING PREVENTION".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

Category

- Original Scientific Paper

Is the title clear and is it adequate to the purpose of the study

No

Abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results

No

Scientific methods are adequately used

Yes

Terminology is adequate

No

Paper does not have excesses and shortening is not necessary

Yes

Results are clearly presented

No

Conclusions are logically derived from the data presented

No

Keywords are adequate

Yes

Supplements (tables, charts, pictures and drawings) are necessary and clear

Yes

References are appropriate

No

Quality of written English - No suitable for publication unless extensively edited

No

Quality of written English - Needs some language correction before being published

Yes

Quality of written English - Acceptable

No

The decision for the article

- This manuscript will be reconsidered after major revision

Reviewer comments

It is not clear how to measure "perceived benefits" in this study. Is it reflected by knowledge? attitude? or is there a specific variable to define this terminology? how to measure it? and where are the results and discussion about it? Another main issue to consider, is whether or not multivariate analysis should be conducted, and if so, what considerations the researchers used to select the variables entered into the **model**. It is not clearly explained how to define a variable to be a confounding factor (clear and correct justification needs to be mentioned in the paper, including cited references). Should add current references from international journals in the topic of perceived benefit of breastfeeding practices/exclusive breastfeeding

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Category

- Review Article

Is the title clear and is it adequate to the purpose of the study

No

Abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results

Yes

Scientific methods are adequately used

Yes

Terminology is adequate

Yes

Paper does not have excesses and shortening is not necessary

Yes

Results are clearly presented

Yes

Conclusions are logically derived from the data presented

No

Keywords are adequate

Yes

Supplements (tables, charts, pictures and drawings) are necessary and clear

Yes

References are appropriate

Yes

Quality of written English - No suitable for publication unless extensively edited

No

Quality of written English - Needs some language correction before being published

Yes

Quality of written English - Acceptable

No

The decision for the article

- This manuscript will be reconsidered after minor revision

Reviewer comments

Terlampir

...

2 Lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail



↩ Balas

↩ Balas ke semua

➡ Teruskan

Tulis

- Mail
- Chat
- Meet
- Kotak Masuk 490
- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 27
- Selengkapnya
- Label +

[JIKM] Editor Decision Eksternal Kotak Masuk



Haerawati Idris <jurnal_fkm@fkm.unsri.ac.id> kepada Risna, saya, Muhammad, Esti, Rini

Sel, 5 Mar, 15.43

Terjemahkan ke Indonesia

Risna Mona Aristin, Nur Alam Fajar, Muhammad Cholil Munadi, Esti Sri Ananingsih, Rini Sugiarti:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan Masyarakat, "ANALYSIS OF PERCEIVED BENEFITS BASED ON THE HEALTH BELIEF MODEL THEORY WITH EXCLUSIVE BREASTFEEDING IN STUNTING PREVENTION".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

Reviewer A: Recommendation: Revisions Required

- Category
- Original Scientific Paper

Is the title clear and is it adequate to the purpose of the study
No

Abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results
No

Scientific methods are adequately used
Yes

Terminology is adequate
No

Paper does not have excesses and shortening is not necessary
Yes

Results are clearly presented
No

Conclusions are logically derived from the data presented
No

Keywords are adequate
Yes

Supplements (tables, charts, pictures and drawings) are necessary and clear
Yes

References are appropriate
No

Quality of written English - No suitable for publication unless extensively edited
No

Quality of written English - Needs some language correction before being published
Yes

Quality of written English - Acceptable

No

The decision for the article

- This manuscript will be reconsidered after major revision

Reviewer comments

It is not clear how to measure "perceived benefits" in this study. Is it reflected by knowledge? attitude? or is there a specific variable to define this terminology? how to measure it? and where are the results and discussion about it? Another main issue to consider, is whether or not multivariate analysis should be conducted, and if so, what considerations the researchers used to select the variables entered into the model. It is not clearly explained how to define a variable to be a confounding factor (clear and correct justification needs to be mentioned in the paper, including cited references). Should add current references from international journals in the topic of perceived benefit of breastfeeding practices/exclusive breastfeeding

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Category

- Review Article

Is the title clear and is it adequate to the purpose of the study

No

Abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results

Yes

Scientific methods are adequately used

Yes

Terminology is adequate

Yes

Paper does not have excesses and shortening is not necessary

Yes

Results are clearly presented

Yes

Conclusions are logically derived from the data presented

No

Keywords are adequate

Yes

Supplements (tables, charts, pictures and drawings) are necessary and clear

Yes

References are appropriate

Yes

Quality of written English - No suitable for publication unless extensively edited

No

Quality of written English - Needs some language correction before being published

Yes

Quality of written English - Acceptable

No

The decision for the article

- This manuscript will be reconsidered after minor revision

Reviewer comments

Terlampir

[Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan Masyarakat](#)

Satu lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail ⓘ



← Balas

↶ Balas ke semua

→ Teruskan