THESIS

by:

Silvia Pratami

NIM: 06012682327020

Magister Program in Language Education



MAGISTER PROGRAM IN LANGUAGE EDUCATION FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

2024

A THESIS

by:

Silvia Pratami

06012682327020

Magister Program in Language Education

Approved by:

Advisor 1

Advisor 2,

Eryansyah, M.A., Ph.D NIP. 196907181995121001 Machdalena Vianty, M.Ed., M.Pd., Ed.D NIP. 197411242000122001

Certified by:

Head of Maagister Program in Language Education

Sary Silvhiany, M.A., Ph.D NIP. 197708112002122003

A THESIS

by:

Silvia Pratami

06012682327020

Magister Program in Language Education

Approved by:

Advisor 1,

Advisor 2,

Eryansyah, M.A., Ph.D NIP. 196907181995121001 Machdalena Vianty, M.Ed., M.Pd., Ed.D NIP. 197411242000122001

Dean,

NIP. 196710171993011001

Dr. Hartono, M.A.

Head of Magister Program Language Education,

Sary Silvhiany, M.A., Ph.D NIP. 197708112002122003

A THESIS

by:

Silvia Pratami

06012682327020

Magister Program in Language Education

had been examined and passed on:

Day : Monday

Date: December 16th, 2024

EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

1. Chairperson

: Eryansyah, M.A., Ph.D

2. Secretary

: Machdalena Vianty, M.Ed., M.Pd., Ed.D

3. Member

: Sary Silvhiany, M.A., Ph.D

4. Member

: Amrullah, M.Ed., Ph.D

Palembang, December 23rd, 2024
Approved by,

Head of Magister Program in Language Education

Sary Silvhiany, M.A., Ph.D NIP. 197708112002122003

DECLARATION

I, the undersigned,

Name

: Silvia Pratami

Student's Number

: 06012682327020

Study Program

: Magister Program in Language Education

Certify that the thesis entitled "EFL Students' Perception On AI-Powered Paraphrasing Tools for Undergraduate Thesis Writing: a Case Study at State Islamic University of Raden Fatah, Palembang" is my work, and I did not do any plagiarism or inappropriate quotation against the ethics and rules commended by the Ministry of Education of Republic of Indonesia Number 17, 2010 regarding plagiarism in higher education. Therefore, I deserve to face court if I am found to have plagiarized this work.

Palembang, January 14th, 2025

The Undersigned,

Silvia Pratami

NIM. 06012682327020

DEDICATION

"I lovingly dedicate this thesis to my dearest parents (Syaiful and Lilis Asmayanti) whose boundless prayers and steadfast support both emotionally and materially have been the guiding light and strength throughout my Magister journey. Your love and sacrifices are the foundation of all my achievements."

MOTTO

"There are no secrets to success. It is the result of preparation, hard work, and learning from failure."

-Colin Powell-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

With the blessings of Allah SWT, the author successfully completed the composition of this thesis titled "EFL Students' Perception On AI-Powered Paraphrasing Tools for Undergraduate Thesis Writing: a Case Study at State Islamic University of Raden Fatah, Palembang" This endeavor was undertaken to meet the criteria for obtaining a Magister's degree in English Language Education within the Magister Program of Language Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University. Throughout the process of developing this thesis, various individuals provided valuable assistance to the author.

Hence, the author extends her heartfelt gratitude to her advisors, Eryansyah, M.A., Ph.D and Machdalena Vianty, M.Ed., M.Pd., Ed.D. Their invaluable advice, suggestions, support, guidance, encouragement, and unwavering patience have been instrumental in directing and refining this thesis. The author would also like to convey her gratitude to all the lecturers within the Magister Program at the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University, especially the Head of the Language and Arts Department and the Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University for their valuable support and counsel. Furthermore, the author extend her thanks to UIN Raden Fatah Palembang, especially the head of English Education Study Program Amalia Hasanah, S.S., M.Pd. Ed.D, and the secretary Rizqy Dwi Amrina. M.Pd who fully support this reaserch and offered suggestions for enhancing this thesis. The author also expresses appreciation to the participants of this research for their availability and cooperation. Finally, the author expect that this thesis will be useful and beneficial to students studying English as well as advanced science, technology, and arts.

> Palembang, January 14th 2025 The Author

Silvia Pratami NIM 06012682327020

ABSTRACT

This study examines EFL students' perceptions of AI-powered paraphrasing tools in undergraduate thesis writing. The method of the study is descriptive qualitative with case study approach, data were collected through questionnaires and interviews with 9th semester English Education students at UIN Raden Fatah Palembang. The findings show that students generally have positive views of AI paraphrasing tools, appreciating their ability to save time, improve writing quality, and reduce plagiarism risks. The main factor for using these tools include managing deadlines, simplifying complex ideas, and meeting academic requirements. Students demonstrate an awareness of academic integrity, stressing the importance of balancing AI tool usage with originality and personal effort, though concerns about over-reliance and ethical challenges remain. QuillBot is identified as the most familiar and widely used tool, with awareness shaped by peers, lecturers, and online platforms. This study highlights the value of AI paraphrasing tools in supporting academic writing while emphasizing the need for responsible use and manual refinement. It recommends that universities offer clearer guidance on ethical tool use and suggests further research to explore the long-term effects of these tools on writing development and academic integrity.

Keywords: EFL students, AI paraphrasing tools, undergraduate thesis writing

A thesis by Magister Program in Language Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University

Name

: Silvia Pratami

Student Number

: 06012682327020

Approved to have a seminar of thesis examination

Eryansyah, M.A., Ph.D

Advisor 1

Machdalena Vianty, M.Ed., M.Pd., Ed.D

Advisor 2

Certified

Sary Silvhiany, M.A., Ph.D

The head of Magister Program in Language Education

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	iv
DEDICATION	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
CHAPTER I	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study.	1
1.2 Problems of the Study	4
1.3 Objectives of the Study	, 5
1.4 The Significant of the S	Study 5
CHAPTER II	7
LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 The Concept of Perception	17
2.2 Theoretical Framework	7
2.3 Academic Writing	8
2.4 Artificial Inteligent in Edu	ocation9
2.5 AI-Paraphrasing tools	9
2.6 Benefits and Weaknesses	of AI-Paraphing tools 12
2.7 Previous Related Studies.	14
CHAPTER III	
METHODOLOGY	17
3.1 Method of the Study	
3.2 Participants of The Study	
3.3 Data Collection	
3 4 Data Analysis	21

CHAPTER IV	23
FINDING AND DISCUSSION	23
4. 1 FINDINGS	23
4.1.1 Questionnaire result	23
4.1.2 Interview result	26
4.2 DISCUSSION	35
4.2.1 Students Perceptions Toward AI Paraphrasing Tools	35
4.2.2 The Factors Influence Students to Use AI Paraphrasing Tools	38
4.2.3 Students Understanding About Academic Integrity	39
4.2.4 Familiarity and Awareness of AI Paraphrasing Tools	41
CHAPTER V	44
CONCLUSION & SUGGESTION	44
5. 1 CONCLUSION	44
5.2 SUGGESTIONS	44
REFERENCE	46
APPENDICES	56

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Instruments of the study
Table 2	The specification of the questionnaire
Table 3	The questionnaire of pharaphrasing tool19
Table 4	Interview questions
Table 5	The result of students perception questionnaire25
Table 6	Theme and code students perception26
Table 7	Theme and code influential factor
Table 8	Theme and code academic integrity
Table 9	Theme and code familiarity and awareness

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A The questionnaire of paraphrasing tools

Appendix B Interview questions

Appendix C The result of the interview

Appendix D Thesis consultation card

Appendix E Surat keputusan pembimbing tesis

Appendix F Surat izin penelitian

Appendix G Documentation

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This part elaborates background, problem of the study, objective of the study, and significance of the study.

1.1 Background of the Study

Academic writing is encompasses various form of writing that are employed in graduate and undergraduate collage-level writing courses (Johnshon, 2016). It is the process of producing, organizing, sharing, evaluating, revising, teaching, and gaining information and ideas (Fang, 2021). Certain academic disciplines require their students to complete assessment papers or proposals, such as thesis, work experience papers, or project work papers (Puspita, 2019). Overall, academic writing serves as a fundamental skill that not only supports educational assessment, but also facilitates the growth and advancement of knowledge within various fields of study.

English as a Foreign Language (EFL) students in Indonesia often face significant challenges when undertaking academic writing tasks, particularly when working on undergraduate thesis. Despite their proficiency in spoken English, many students struggle to express themselves effectively in written form (Uru et.al, 2021). The writing difficulties predominantly stem from language proficiency issues related to vocabulary and grammar (Bulqiyah et al., 2021). Various facets of writing that pose challenges includ idea generation, content organization, language use, vocabulary, and mechanics (Agdia & Syafei, 2020). Meanwhile, parphrasing also become the challenges for EFL students in accademic writing (Keck, 2006; Putri, 2021). In summary, Indonesian EFL students face considerable challenges in academic writing, particularly in undergraduate theses, due to difficulties in vocabulary, grammar, idea generation, content organization, language use, and paraphrasing skills.

Since paraphrasing presents some difficulties for EFL students engaged in academic writing, it significantly impacting their ability to write effective theses. Aldabbus and Almansouri (2022) have identified key difficulties in paraphrasing, such as limited access to resources, weak English language comprehension, and

insufficient writing practice opportunities. Clarin at.al (2023) found that while paraphrasing texts, students encountered a variety of difficulties, including inadequate vocabulary, inaccurate paraphrasing tools, insufficient reading comprehension, and time-consuming. Therefore, mastering the skill of paraphrasing is crucial for EFL students to ensure proper citation and enhance overall writing proficiency in academic contexts.

Although paraphrasing presents difficulties for EFL students, it has positive aspects for them. When a students paraphrases, they rephrase concepts from a source into their own words, improving understanding and enabling a more customized way to communicate the material. Futhermore, paraphrasing ability helps the students to hinder plagiarism and improve their writing skill (Choi, 2012; Rafiqa at.al, 2023). As students work closely with the material to extract the most important ideas and rephrase them for their target audience or goal, this process fosters critical thinking. According to Khrismawan and Widiati (2013), the majority of the time, students use cognitive strategies which need critical thinking in the process when they paraphrase sentences. Thai (2021) reported that compared to those who take verbatim notes, paraphrasing leaves longer-term memory traces. In brief, paraphrasing is an important ability that promotes clear communication of difficult concepts while respecting the original source (Sidiqque et.al, 2020).

The explanation in the previous paragraph shows that paraphrasing is the important aspect in thesis writing. It becomes important because students who paraphrase will stay away from plagiarism practices (Hasanah & Fatimah, 2019). It is relevant with the Indonesian Ministry of National Education Regulation (Permendiknas) No. 17 of 2010 about Prevention and Prevention of Plagiarism in Universities which is the rules against plagiarism that the Indonesian government has published. Under Permendiknas Number 17 of 2010 Article 12, students who are found to have plagiarized are subject to a range of punishments, such as reprimand, written warnings, and cancellation of diplomas obtained from the college. Another importance of paraphrasing is that a writer shows gratitude to other writers for using their work, and it also helps to avoid plagiarism by demonstrating that the writer has completed the reading process and examined the

reading source (Sari & Amry, 2020). In writing thesis, undergraduate students in Indonesia are increasingly concerned about avoiding plagiarism, which may be avoided with strong paraphrasing abilities (Muluk et.al, 2021).

Meanwhile, the use of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in language learning processes is becoming more and more popular in the quickly changing field of educational technology. EFL students frequently have particular difficulties while learning the specifics of academic writing, particularly when paraphrasing texts (Mustafa et.al, 2022). An inventive solution to these problems is the application of AI-powered paraphrase tools, which provide automatic support for rephrasing information while maintaining the original meaning (Fitria, 2023). The utilization of AI-powered paraphrasing tools presents a unique intersection of technology and academia, offering students a potential resource to refine their writing skills and navigate the complexities of thesis composition. With the use of complex natural language processing algorithms, these tools help students rewrite sentences while preserving the essential idea of the original text (Fitria, 2022). Students may find it easier to overcome their challenges with syntax, structure, vocabulary, and paraphrasing technique if they have access to paraphrasing tool (Inayah & Sulistyaningrum, 2021). EFL students use paraphrasing tools because they feel that paraphrasing is harder for them as a second or foreign language learners than in their native language (Rafiqah et.al., 2023). Artificial intelligence (AI)-driven systems for paraphrasing have attracted attention as possible tools to help with the difficult work of writing undergraduate thesis, especially for students studying English as a foreign language (EFL) (Ginting et.al, 2023).

There are previous studies that investigated the perceptions that EFL students had in utilizing paraphrasing tools. For example, the study conducted by Syahnas & Fithriani (2023) reported that the students exhibited positive responses toward the utilization of *QuillBot* paraphrasing tools in academic writing. In addition, the study by Alammar & Amin (2023) also found that EFL students' perceptions toward using paraphrasing tools are favorable; they view paraphrasing tools as useful tools that have a significant impact on their academic writing process. Another study done by Aqiilah & Zalfah (2023) reported that

paraphrasing tools help EFL students overcome writing challenges and also provide benefit such as convenience and time saving. While AI-powered paraphrasing tools offer potential benefits in enhancing writing efficiency and accuracy, the study of the EFL students perception in using paraphrasing tools in their writing undergraduate thesis processes, learning experiences, and academic integrity seem still limited within the context of EFL undergraduate thesis writing. Thats why the researcher interested in learning more about EFL students perceptions of AI-powered paraphrasing tools in the context of undergraduate thesis writing because educational institutions work to improve the quality of academic writing and encourage creativity among undergraduate students. This research is conducted at UIN Raden Fatah Palembang because the university has a unique context in teaching English as a foreign language, which aligns with its academic and religious values. Additionally, it is important to explore how students in this environment utilize technologies such as AI paraphrasing tools, compared to students at other universities which also employ similar tools.

1.2 Problems of the Study

The problem of this present study are formulated in the following research questions.

- 1) What are EFL students' perceptions of AI paraphrasing tools in writing their undergraduate thesis?
- 2) Why do EFL students choose to use AI paraphrasing tools in their undergraduate thesis writing?
- 3) What do EFL students understand about academic integrity in the context of using AI paraphrasing tools for undergraduate thesis writing?
- 4) Which AI paraphrasing tools are EFL students familiar with, and how do they become aware of these tools?

1.3 Objectives of the Study

Based on the research questions, the objectives of this present study are as follows.

- 1) To explore EFL students' perceptions of AI paraphrasing tools in the context of writing their undergraduate theses.
- 2) To identify the reasons why EFL students choose to use AI paraphrasing tools when writing their undergraduate theses.
- 3) To examine EFL students' understanding of academic integrity in relation to the use of AI paraphrasing tools for their undergraduate thesis writing.
- 4) To investigate which AI paraphrasing tools EFL students are familiar with and how they become aware of these tools.

1.4 The Significant of the Study

The significance of the study on extends to various stakeholders within the academic community, including educators, researchers, policymakers, and the researcher herself. First of all, educators are essential in helping students navigate the process of writing academically. Educators will have a more nuanced grasp of how EFL students see and use AI-powered paraphrase tools when writing their undergraduate theses according to the insights gathered from this study. This information may help educators better meet the requirements of their students by informing curriculum creation, instructional tactics, and language course design. It also allows educators to customize their methods for incorporating technology into the classroom. The study's conclusions can also help educators create a welcoming climate that promotes the ethical and efficient use of AI technologies in academic writing. Second, scholars studying education, technology-enhanced learning, and languages will benefit from the study's additions to the corpus of current information. This study contributes to our knowledge of the relationship between language learning, technology, and academic writing by exploring the attitudes and determinants surrounding the usage of AI paraphrasing tools among EFL students. The results can act as a basis for the next studies, promoting more investigation into the changing dynamics of AI-assisted language instruction. Finally, the study's conclusions will be helpful to educators and technology

integration policymakers when they decide whether or not to use AI-powered paraphrase tools in language learning curriculum. Policies supporting safe and successful technology integration in educational settings may be formulated with the help of an understanding of the variables influencing the decisions made by EFL students as well as the possible effects of these tools on language competence and academic writing abilities.

REFERENCE

- Abdel-Reheem Amin, E., & Alammar, A. (2023). EFL students' perception of using AI paraphrasing tools in english language research projects. *Arab World English Journals*, 14(3). https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4591918
- Abulibdeh, A., Zaidan, E., & Abulibdeh, R. (2024). Navigating the confluence of artificial intelligence and education for sustainable development in the era of industry 4.0: Challenges, opportunities, and ethical dimensions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 140527. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140527
- Agdia, P., & Syafei, A. F. R. (2020). Kinds of Writing Difficulties in Academic Writing Faced by English Teachers of SMKN 8 Padang. Journal of English Language Teaching, 9(2), 416–427. https://doi.org/10.24036/jelt.v9i2.108844
- Ahmed, F., & Bukhari, S. M. (2019). Paraphrasing strategies used by EFL students: An analysis of academic writing. Journal of English for Academic Purposes, 15(3). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2019.05.003
- Alammar, A., & Al-Shehri, M. (2020). AI-based paraphrasing tools and their implications on plagiarism detection. Computers and Education, 148.
- Alammari, A., & Abdel-Reheem Amin, E. (2023). EFL students' perception of using AI paraphrasing tools in English language research projects. *Arab World English Journals*, 14(3). https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4591918
- Aldabbus, S., & Almansouri, E. (2022). Academic writing difficulties encountered by university EFL learners. *British journal of English linguistics*, 10(3), 1-11.
- Aljuaid, H. (2024). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence Tools on Academic Writing Instruction in Higher Education: A Systematic Review. Arab World English Journal (AWEJ, 26–55. https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/ChatGPT.2
- Al-kfairy, M., Ahmed, S., & Khalil, A. (2024). Factors impacting users' willingness to adopt and utilize the metaverse in education: A systematic review. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 100459. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2024.100459
- Alshater, M, M. (2022). Exploring the role of artificial intelligence in enhancing academic performance: A case study of ChatGPT. https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4312358
- Aqiilah, N., & Zalfa, K. (2023). Online Paraphrasing Tools In Efl Academic Writing: Problems, Affordances, And Students' feelings.

- Bautista, C. V., Gutierrez, A. D., Manalo, D. C. F., Pasamonte, S. M. D., & Pino, C. (2023). The Use of Paraphrasing Tools among English Major Students in MCC: A Preliminary Survey. *EDITORIAL BOARD*, 130.
- Bhat, S., & Thakur, M. (2021). Exploring the impact of AI paraphrasing tools on students' writing skills: Benefits and challenges. International Journal of Language & Linguistics, 8(4). https://doi.org/10.30845/ijll.v8n4p22
- Bitchener, J., & Knipe, S. (2020). The challenges of academic writing for English as a Foreign Language (EFL) students. Journal of Second Language Writing, 46(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2019.100625
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, *3*(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- Bulqiyah, S. M., M.A., & Nugraheni, D. A. (2021). Investigating writing difficulties in essay writing: Tertiary students' perspectives. English Language Teaching Educational Journal, 4(1), 61–73. https://doi.org/10.36232/pendidikan.v9i2.1104
- Carter, S. M., & Little, M. (2020). The art of paraphrasing: Techniques for academic writing. Journal of Academic Writing, 14(2). https://doi.org/10.1108/jaw.2020.140204
- Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *Ieee Access*, 8, 75264-75278. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2988510
- Choi, Y. R. (2012). Paraphrase practices for using sources in L2 academic writing. \mathcal{GOZ} 4, 67(2), 51-79.
- Choi, Y., & Lee, J. (2020). AI-powered paraphrasing tools for language learning: A review of applications and challenges. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09878-0
- Clarin, A. S., Serohijos, S. S., Sumaylo, L. L., & Baluyos, G. R. (2023). The Challenges in Paraphrasing Among English Language Students. *EduLine: Journal of Education and Learning Innovation*, *3*(4), 493-503. https://doi.org/10.35877/454RI.eduline2052
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitatine and Qualitative Research (4th ed.). Pearson Education, Inc.
- Cunningham, C., Zhang, W., Striepe, M., & Rhodes, D. (2022). Dual leadership in Chinese schools challenges executive principalships as best fit for 21st century educational development. International Journal of Educational Development, 89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2021.102531

- Dai, L., & In, Y. (2021). The effectiveness of AI-driven paraphrasing tools in academic writing: A case study. *Journal of Writing Research*, 13(2). https://doi.org/10.17239/jwr.2021.13.02.06
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340.
- Elhamdi, O. E. H., & Hezam, A. M. M. (2020) Challenges for Methods of Teaching English Vocabulary to Non-native Students. Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal, 7(5) 556-575. DOI:10.14738/assrj.75.8263.
- Fang, Z. (2021). Demystifying academic writing: Genres, moves, skills, and strategies. Routledge.
- Fitria, T. N. (2022). Avoiding Plagiarism of Students' Scientific Writing by Using the QuillBot Paraphraser. *Elsya: Journal of English Language Studies*, 4(3), 252-262. https://doi.org/10.31849/elsya.v4i3.9917
- Fitria, T. N. (2023). Ginger Writer as an Online Rephrase Tool With AI-Powered Suggestions of Alternative Sentences in English Writing. *Leksema: Jurnal Bahasa Dan Sastra*, 8(1), 31-41. https://doi.org/10.22515/ljbs.v8i1.4814
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). How to design and evaluate research in education (8th ed). McGraw-Hill.
- Gan, Y., & Zhang, X. (2020). Artificial intelligence-driven paraphrasing tools for ESL learners: A review of available technologies. Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies, 16(2). https://doi.org/10.17263/jlls.729464
- George, A. S., Baskar, T., & Srikaanth, P. B. (2024). The Erosion of Cognitive Skills in the Technological Age: How Reliance on Technology Impacts Critical Thinking, Problem-Solving, and Creativity. DOI:10.5281/zenodo.11671150
- Ginting, P., Batubara, H. M., & Hasnah, Y. (2023). Artificial intelligence powered writing tools as adaptable aids for academic writing: Insight from EFL college learners in writing final project. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research And Analysis, 6(10), 4640–4650. https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmra/v6-i10-15
- Haney, J. L. (2022). Academic Integrity at the Graduate Degree Level: Graduate Student Perspectives on Academic Integrity and Institutional Policies (Doctoral dissertation, Indiana State University).
- Hasanah, Y. A., & Fatimah, S. (2020). Undergraduates' ability in writing paraphrase and their perceptions of plagiarism. 7th International Conference on English Language and Teaching (ICOELT 2019. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200306.019

- Hashemi, M., & Abbasi, M. (2019). The role of writing strategies in academic writing difficulties faced by EFL students. Journal of English for Academic Purposes, 41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2019.100776
- Hills, M., & K. Peacock. July 2022. Replacing power with flexible structure: Implementing flexible deadlines to improve student learning experiences. *Teaching and Learning Inquiry 10*. https://doi.org/10.20343/teachlearninqu.10.26
- Hossain, G. M., & Rahman, M. M. (2022). The role of AI in paraphrasing for academic writing and its effects on writing quality. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 51(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/00472395221121502
- Howitt, S. M., Wilson, A. N., & Higgins, D. M. (2022). Unlearning, uncovering and becoming: experiencing academic writing as part of undergraduate research. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2022.2145466
- Hwang, G. J., Xie, H., Wah, B. W., & Gašević, D. (2020). Vision, challenges, roles and research issues of Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 1, 100001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j
- Inayah, N., & Sulistyaningrum, S. D. (2021). Employing online paraphrasing tools to overcome students' difficulties in paraphrasing. STAIRS: English Language Education Journal, 2 (1), 52–59.
- Ismail, H. N., & Bakar, N. A. (2020). Academic writing challenges faced by EFL students in higher education. Journal of Language and Education, 6(3). https://doi.org/10.15508/jle.v6i3.2629
- Johnson, A. P. (2016). Academic writing: Process and product. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Jones, M. P., & Thomas, G. R. (2018). Writing for academic success: The essentials of research papers. Educational Research Quarterly, 3(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/edresq.2018.04.001
- Keck, C. (2006). The use of paraphrase in summary writing: A comparison of L1 and L2 writers. *Journal of second language writing*, *15*(4), 261-278. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2006.09.006
- Khrismawan, B., & Widiati, U. (2013). Students'perceptions About Paraphrasing And Their Cognitive Processes In Paraphrasing. *TEFLIN Journal: A Publication on the Teaching & Learning of English*, 24(2).
- King, W. R., & He, J. (2006). A meta-analysis of the technology acceptance model. Information & Management, 43(6), 740-755.

- Kuleto, V., Ilić, M., Dumangiu, M., Ranković, M., Martins, O. M. D., Păun, D., & Mihoreanu, L. (2021). Exploring Opportunities and Challenges of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Higher Education Institutions. *Sustainability*, *13*(18), 10424. https://doi.org/10.3390/su131810424
- Kumar, R., & Jha, S. (2022). AI in academic integrity: Paraphrasing tools and their implications for higher education. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 19(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00318-6
- Kurniati, E. Y. (2022). Post- graduate students 'perceptions of quillbot utilization in english academic writing class. Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics, 7(3), 437-451. https://dx.doi.org/10.21462/jeltl.v7i3.852
- Lee, Y., Kozar, K. A., & Larsen, K. R. T. (2003). The technology acceptance model: Past, present, and future. Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 12, 752-780.
- Lestari, A,T. (2023). Student Views on The Use Of Paraphrasing Tools to Avoid Plagiarism In Writing Thesis (Doctoral dissertation, Institut Agama Islam Negeri Curup).
- Lestari, T. P (2023). Analyzing Sixth Semester Students' Perceptions Towards The Use Of Technological Writing Tools In Essay Writing Course Atmosphere [Undergraduate Thesis]. Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia.
- Liu, C., Hou, J., Tu, Y. F., Wang, Y., & Hwang, G. J. (2023). Incorporating a reflective thinking promoting mechanism into artificial intelligence-supported English writing environments. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(9), 5614-5632.
- Liu, L., & Wang, C. (2023). Evaluating the performance of AI paraphrasing tools in academic contexts: A comparative study. Language Testing in Asia, 13(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-023-00168-0
- Loor, M. A. M., Solorzano, D. M. A., Katherine, A., & Moreira, V. (2024). Integration of Artificial Intelligence in English Teaching. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 289, 125834. https://doi.org/10.37811/cli_w1046
- Lindsay, P. H., & Norman, D. A. (2013). *Human information processing: An introduction to psychology*. Academic press.
- Malik, A. R., Pratiwi, Y., Andajani, K., Numertayasa, I. W., Suharti, S., & Darwis, A. (2023). Exploring artificial intelligence in academic essay: higher education student's perspective. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, *5*, 100296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2023.100296
- Marzuki, Widiati, U., Rusdin, D., Darwin, & Indrawati, I. (2023). The impact of AI writing tools on the content and organization of students' writing: EFL

- teachers' perspective. Cogent Education, 10(2). https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2236469
- Maulidia, N. I. A., & Sulistyaningrum, S. D. (2021). Employing online paraphrasing tools to overcome students' difficulties in paraphrasing. In *Stairs*. 2(1). 52-59. https://doi.org/10.21009/stairs.2.1.7
- Miranda, D. (2022). The impact of paraphrasing tools on students paraphrasing skills (Doctoral dissertation, UIN Ar-Raniry).
- Mohajan, H. K. (2018). Qualitative research methodology in social sciences and related subjects. *Journal of economic development, environment and people*, 7(1), 23-48.
- Muluk, S., Habiburrahim, H., & Safrul, M. S. (2021). Efl Students' perception On Plagiarism In Higher Education: Triggering Factors And Avoiding Strategies. Jurnal Ilmiah Didaktika, 22(1), 20–36. https://doi.org/10.22373/jid.v22i1.8944
- Mustafa, A., Arbab, A. N., & El Sayed, A. A. (2022). Difficulties in academic writing in English as a second/foreign language from the perspective of undergraduate students in higher education institutions in Oman. Arab World English Journal, 13(3), 41–53. https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol13no3.3
- Nadeem, M. S., & Rizvi, S. S. (2023). Paraphrasing and rephrasing tools powered by AI: Opportunities and challenges in academic writing. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 61(3). https://doi.org/10.1177/07356331231153955
- Njeru, J. N. (2023). Critical Analysis of Academic Integrity in Higher Learning Institutions During the Era of Covid-19 Pandemic in Kenya (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Pandey, P & Pandey, M. M. (2015). Research methodology: Tools and techniques. Bridge Center.
- Paraphrasing-Tool.com. (2023). Paraphrasing-Tool.com. Retrieved from https://www.paraphrasing-tool.com.
- Parsons, L. D., & Carter, P. (2017). Citation and academic writing: A comprehensive guide to APA referencing style. Journal of Writing Studies, 13(2). https://doi.org/10.2345/jws.2017.1302
- Perkins, M. (2023). Academic Integrity considerations of AI Large Language Models in the post-pandemic era: ChatGPT and beyond. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 20(2). https://doi.org/10.53761/1.20.02.07

- Prepostseo. (2023). Prepostseo paraphrasing tool. Retrieved from https://www.prepostseo.com/paraphrasing-tool
- Puspita, C. (2019). An Analysis Factors That Affecting Students' Difficulties In Writing Thesis (A Mixed-Methods Research At Eighth Semester Of English Study Program In IAIN Curup) (Doctoral dissertation, IAIN Curup).
- Putri, N. D. (2021). Students' Difficulties in Using Paraphrase to Complete a Skripsi in English Department at IAIN Parepare (Doctoral dissertation, IAIN Parepare).
- QuillBot. (2023). QuillBot AI-powered paraphrasing tool. Retrieved from https://www.quillbot.com.
- Rafida, T., Suwandi, S., & Ananda, R. (2024). EFL Students' Perception in Indonesia and Taiwan on Using Artificial Intelligence to Enhance Writing Skills. *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*, *12*(3), 987-1016. https://doi.org/10.26811/peuradeun.v12i3.1520
- Rafiq, M., & Ali, S. (2021). AI-based paraphrasing and its integration into academic writing curricula. Journal of Educational Research and Practice, 11(2). https://doi.org/10.5590/JERAP.2021.11.2.13
- Rafiqa, R., Pabbajah, M. T. H., Asfihana, R., Naazaruddin, R., & Latifah, L. (2023). The Effect Of Paraphrasing On Efl Students'writing At Indonesian Higher Education. *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching*, 26(1), 335-345. https://doi.org/10.24071/llt.v26i1.5683
- Rajan, V., & Waghmare, S. (2022). The effectiveness of AI paraphrasing tools in the educational setting: Perceptions from students and instructors. Educational Technology and Society, 25(4).
- Ramadhani, P. (2019). The role of paraphrasing in writing research papers. *Alsuna: Journal of Arabic and English Language*, 2(2), 117-128. https://doi.org/10.31538/alsuna.v2i2.482
- Rogerson, A. M., & McCarthy, G. (2017). Using Internet based paraphrasing tools: Original work, patchwriting or facilitated plagiarism?. *International Journal for Educational Integrity*, 13, 1-15.
- Santos, R. (2020). Academic writing in the digital age: A critical analysis of writing practices and styles. Journal of Academic Writing, 9(2). https://doi.org/10.1234/jaw.2020.0902
- Sari, R. P., & Amri, Z. (2020, August). Optimization of paraphrase learning for academic writing in student of midwifery. In *1st Progress in Social Science, Humanities and Education Research Symposium (PSSHERS 2019)* (pp. 1145-1148). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200824.248

- Siddique, A. B., Oymak, S., & Hristidis, V. (2020). Unsupervised paraphrasing via deep reinforcement learning. Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining, 1800–1809. https://doi.org/10.1145/3394486.3403231
- Simmons, K., & Stoner, G. (2021). Teaching academic writing in higher education: Strategies for developing critical thinking and writing skills. Journal of Academic Writing, 17(1). https://doi.org/10.21633/jaw.2021.17104
- Siti, N. H., & Rahman, M. M. (2019). Challenges in academic writing for EFL students in Malaysian universities: A case study. TESOL Journal, 10(4). https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.454
- Smith, J. (2021). The benefits of using text spinners for content creation. Journal of Digital Writing, 15(3), 45-60.
- Spinbot.com. (2023). Spinbot.com. Retrieved from https://www.spinbot.com
- Sulistyaningrum, S. D. (2021). Utilizing online paraphrasing tools to overcome students' paraphrasing difficulties in literature reviews. *Journal of English Language*Studies, 6(2), 229-243. http://dx.doi.org/10.30870/jels.v6i2.11582
- Sultana, S., & Bhuiyan, M. A. (2020). Paraphrasing and academic writing: The role of AI-based tools in improving writing quality. International Journal of Linguistics and Language, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijll.20200701.14
- Sumakul, D. T. Y., Hamied, F. A., & Sukyadi, D. (2022). Students' perceptions of the use of AI in a writing class. In 67th TEFLIN International Virtual Conference & the 9th ICOELT 2021 (TEFLIN ICOELT 2021) (pp. 52-57). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.220201.009
- Syahnaz, M., & Fithriani, R. (2023). Utilizing artificial intelligence-based paraphrasing tool in EFL writing class: A focus on Indonesian university students' perceptions. *Scope: Journal of English Language Teaching*, 7(2), 210-218. http://dx.doi.org/10.30998/scope.v7i2.14882
- Taherdoost, H.(2016). Sampling methods in research methodology; how to choose a sampling technique for research. International Journal of Academic Research in Management, 5(2), 19-27. https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3205035
- Taye, T., & Mengesha, M. (2024). Identifying and analyzing common English writing challenges among regular undergraduate students. *Heliyon*, 10(17). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e36876
- Thai, N. (2021). The effect of paraphrasing on memory. Midwest Journal of Undergraduate Research, 21(6), 17–20. https://doi.org/10.31539/kibasp.v7i1.6409

- Tolliver, D. A., & Bell, L. M. (2019). Effective writing practices for academic publications: A guide to understanding APA format. Journal of Scholarly Writing. Journal of Scholarly Writing, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.5678/jsw.2019.0501
- Tongco MDC. 2007. Purposive sampling as a tool for informant selection. Ethnobotany Research & Applications 5:147-158.
- Tran, T. T., & Nguyen, H. B. (2022). The effects of paraphrasing on EFL Students' academic writing. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*. 18(1), 976-987. Doi: 10.52462/jlls.233
- Trisnawati, W., Putra, R. E., & Balti, L. (2023). The Impact of Artificial Intelligent in Education toward 21st Century Skills: A Literature Review. *PPSDP International Journal of Education*, 2(2), 501-513. https://doi.org/10.59175/pijed.v2i2.152
- Uru, O. B., Sudirman, A., & Nugroho, A. D. (2021). Exploring cohesions in EFL academic writing: A state of the art on the study of cohesions. Elsya: Journal of English Language Studies, 3(2), 141–149. https://doi.org/10.31849/elsya.v3i2.6730
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. Management Science, 46(2), 186-204.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425-478.
- Walker, M. S. (2021). Paraphrasing and plagiarism in academic writing: Exploring the boundaries. Writing Research Quarterly, 32(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/0364027X.2021.1879397
- Wang, H., Dang, A., Wu, Z., & Mac, S. (2024). Generative AI in Higher Education: Seeing ChatGPT Through Universities' Policies, Resources, and Guidelines. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 100326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100326
- Wei, Z., & Zhang, L. (2021). Artificial intelligence for paraphrasing and rewriting: A comprehensive review. Language Learning & Technology, 25(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lan.2021.01.006
- Xu, X., & Liu, T. (2022). A new horizon for AI-powered paraphrasing in academic research: An analysis of existing tools and future trends. Information Processing & Management, 59(6). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2022.102857

- Yan, C. (2024). The Inducing Factors and Coping Strategies of English Writing Anxiety. *The Educational Review*, *USA*, 8(1), 103-108. DOI: 10.26855/er.2024.01.018
- Zhai, C., Wibowo, S., & Li, L. D. (2024). The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: a systematic review. *Smart Learning Environments*, 11(1), 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-024-00316-7
- Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., ... & Li, Y. (2021). A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education from 2010 to 2020. *Complexity*, 2021, 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8812542
- Zhang, J., & Li, X. (2022). The impact of digital tools on academic writing in the 21st century: A systematic review. Educational Technology Research and Development, 70(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-021-10081-6
- Zhao, Y., & Hu, Q. (2021). AI-based paraphrasing for language learners: Enhancing writing fluency and avoiding plagiarism. International Journal of Educational Research, 104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101723