Economics Development Analysis Journal Vol. 12 (4) (2023)



Economics Development Analysis Journal



http://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/edaj

Non-Performing Loans Indonesian Banking Industry: Before and During Covid-19 Pandemic

Muhammad Nur Hakim¹, ²Ariodillah Hidayat[⊠], ³Imam Asngari, ⁴Xenaneira Shodrokova ^{1,2,3,4}Economic Development Department, Sriwijaya University, Indralaya, South Sumatera, Indonesia

Article Information Abstract

History of Article Received July 2023 Accepted September 2023 Pusblished November 2023

Keywords: COVID-19, Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR), Net Interest Margin (NIM), Interest Rate, Non-Performing Loan (NPL). This study examines the factors influencing Non-Performing Loans in the Indonesian Banking Industry, specifically the Bank Group based on Core Capital (KBMI) 4, both before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Secondary data, spanning from January 2019 to September 2021, is utilized in this analysis. The study employs the error correction (ECM) model through regression analysis techniques. The findings indicate that the Loan Deposit Ratio and Bank Indonesia (BI) Rate significantly and negatively impact Non-Performing Loans in both the short and long term. Furthermore, the Dummy COVID-19 variable significantly and positively influences Non-Performing Loans in both the short and long term. While Net Interest Margin exhibits a positive but non-significant effect in the short term, it demonstrates a positive and significant effect on Non-Performing Loans in the long term.

© 2023, Universitas Negeri Semarang

ISSN 2252-6560

^D Corresponding author :

Address: Jl. Raya Palembang - Prabumulih No.KM. 32, Indralaya Indah, Kec. Indralaya, Kabupaten Ogan Ilir, Sumatera Selatan 30862 E-mail: ariodillahhidayat@fe.unsri.ac.id

INTRODUCTION

Ensuring adequate funding is crucial for banking institutions to effectively fulfill their functions and achieve their objectives. Sufficient funds enable banks to facilitate various financial activities and operations more seamlessly (Jakab & Kumhof, 2015). The role of banking in a financial institution is undoubtedly fraught with credit problems (Abouraia & Othman, 2017). Especially since the COVID-19 pandemic reached Indonesia in March 2020, many banking institutions have encountered challenges in the credit sector, commonly referred to as bad credit. In contrast to past macroeconomic and financial crises, the COVID-19 pandemic represents a public health shock that has significantly impacted economies worldwide (Kryzanowski, et al., 2022; Bacchiocchi et al., 2022).

Amidst the economic and social upheaval triggered by the pandemic, the banking sector is anticipated to face repercussions due to delayed company operations (Marcu, 2021). To support businesses during these challenging times, measures such as easing interest payment activities have been proposed (Wu, et.al., (2022). This is in response to a government policy implementing the PSBB (Large-Scale Social Restrictions), impacting the closure of schools, offices, and malls (Muhyiddin & Nugroho, 2021).

The banking sector has been deeply affected by reduced liquidity and increased risk (Saada, 2018). The things above caused nonperforming loans to increase. The issue of Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) in the overall economy raises concerns about the predictability of future developments and the potential use of macroeconomic and macro-financial variables as leading indicators for NPL trends (Staehr & Uusküla, 2021).

High Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) can impact credit supply through various channels. Firstly, banks are constrained by prudential regulations mandating sufficient capital, and a high NPL ratio would elevate risk weights for bank loan portfolios when calculating regulatory capital adequacy (Accornero, et.al., 2017). Secondly, an elevated NPL level diminishes bank profitability, restricting the availability of capital resources for lending purposes (Balgova, et al., 2018).

According to data from the Financial Services Authority (OJK), the gross Non-Performing Loan (NPL) ratio for banks in March 2021 rose to 3.17 percent, up from 2.77 percent in March 2020. The increase in the nonperforming loan ratio within the banking sector can be attributed to the economic downturn resulting from the implementation of social restrictions to control the pandemic. This situation led to many borrowers, including both micro and macro-scale entrepreneurs, experiencing reduced production or even ceasing their business operations, impacting their ability to repay loans and causing an increase in bad loans for banks (Briceño and Perote 2020; Ratten 2020).

Figure 1 shows that Non-Performing Loans (NPL) within Core Capital Bank Group 4 in Indonesia show a consistent monthly increase. This surge can be attributed to the impact of the COVID-19 virus on the Indonesian state, leading to a paralysis of economic activities as many employees were compelled to be laid off. Entrepreneurs were compelled to shutter their businesses due to insufficient income to cover their expenses (Muqsith et al., 2021; Megasari et al., 2021).

This research is further supported by previous studies. Specifically, Ciurel and Stoica (2020) examined a significant relationship between macroeconomic indicators, bank rate factors, and non-performing loan ratios in Turkey. Ghosh (2015) conducted the only known study investigating the issue of Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) in the world's largest economy. Their research delves into the impact of regional banking and economic conditions on NPLs, utilizing aggregated banking data at the state level.

Konstantakis et al. (2016) sought to elucidate the determining factors of nonperforming loans in the Greek banking sector, aligning with international evidence. They found that macroeconomic and financial factors significantly influence non-performing loans in the country. Additionally, Caglayan and Xu (2018) discovered that economic policy

uncertainty reduces credit availability, increasing credit risk and leading to higher loan loss provisions.



Figure 1. Non-Performing Loan Trend

Source: Banking Statistics Indonesian Financial Services Authority, 2020

According to the findings of Beck and Keil (2022), US banks with greater geographical exposure to the COVID-19 pandemic and associated lockdown measures have witnessed an increase in non-performing loans (NPLs).

Several other studies also examine developing countries. Research by Ozili (2019) aims to determine the effect of financial developments on non-performing loans (NPLs) using panel data from 96 countries, especially in developing countries. The analysis technique uses panel data regression. The findings show that financial developments, measured as the presence of foreign banks and financial intermediation, are positively associated with NPLs. Also, bank efficiency, loan loss coverage ratio, competition, and banking system stability are inversely related to NPLs, while NPLs are positively related to banking crises and bank Pay (2019) concentration. explores the macroeconomic, institutional, and bank-specific factors behind non-performing banking loans as indicators of the functioning of the banking sector in emerging market economies during the period 2000-2013 using GMM dynamic panel data

estimator system. The dynamic panel regression analysis results show that economic growth, inflation, economic freedom (institutional development), return on assets and equity, regulatory capital against risk-weighted assets, and non-interest income on total income negatively affect non-performing loans.

In contrast, unemployment, public debt, growth credit, lagging non-performing credit scores, cost-to-income ratio, and financial crisis affect non-performing loans positively. Research Yüksel (2017) analyzes the bank credit risk determinants in developing countries after the economic crisis. In this sphere, the Turkish banking sector is tried to be analyzed. In this study, 23 Turkish deposit banks were analyzed.

Moreover, the probit model was applied to annual data from 24 Turkish deposit banks covering 2004 to 2014. The pertinent data were sourced from the Association of Turkish Banks, OECD, and World Bank. The non-performing loan ratio serves as the dependent variable, reflecting credit risk. Meanwhile, the model incorporates nine explanatory variables to ascertain the determinants of non-performing loans. Zheng et al. (2020) examine the influence of industry-specific and macroeconomic determinants on non-performing loans (NPLs) across Bangladesh's banking system. We analyzed 1979 to 2018 with the Autoregressive Distribution Lag (ARDL) model. We examined the robustness of the results in the Vector Error Correction (VEC) model. The results of this study show that industry-specific and macroeconomic factors affect NPL significantly.

Building on the phenomena and empirical research outlined, this study aims to identify the factors influencing Non-Performing Loans in the Indonesian Banking Industry Bank Group, specifically based on Core Capital (KBMI) 4, before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic. The study spans from January 2019 to September 2021. It utilizes variables such as non-performing loan (NPL), net interest margin (NIM), loan-todeposit ratio (LDR), Bank Indonesia Rate (BI Rate), and the dummy variable for COVID-19. Given the context provided, the hypothesis posits that NIM, LDR, BI Rate, and the Dummy COVID-19 collectively impact NPL in the short and long term.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study utilizes secondary data from reputable sources such as the Financial Services Authority (OJK), Bank Indonesia, and Worldometer. The variables considered in this research include Net Interest Margin (NIM), Loan Deposit Ratio (LDR), and the Bank Indonesia Rate. The data covered a time series from January 2019 to September 2021 and was processed using the Eviews 10 application program. The data analysis technique employed in this study is the error correction model (ECM) regression analysis.

Variable	Notation	Variable Description	Formula	Data
Variable		Variable Description	1 Ormuta	Sources
Non-	NPL	Loans that are grouped	(Non-	Financial
Performing		into a level include	performing	Services
Loan		current loans, doubtful	loans)/ (Total	Authority
		loans, and finally, bad	Credits) x 100%	(OJK)
		loans.		Indonesia
Net Interest	NIM	The ratio used by banks		Financial
Margin		in measuring how much	(Net Interest)/	Services
		interest profit is earned by	(Average	Authority
		banks in managing their	Productive	(OJK)
		operations.	Assets) x 100%	Indonesia
Loan to Deposit Ratio	LDR	The ratio between total bank loans and total deposits.	(Total Credit)/(DPK + Securities issued by the bank) x 100%	Financial Services Authority (OJK) Indonesia
Bank	BI Rate	Bank Indonesia sets the		
Indonesia Rate		benchmark interest rate		Bank
		through the monthly		Indonesia
		Board of Governors		muonesia
		Meeting.		
Dummy	DCOV	Dummy before and		Worldometer
Covid-19		during COVID-19		vv ondonieter

Table 1. Operational Variables

Source: OJK, Bank Indonesia, Worldometer, 2021 (Processed)

The regression model used for analysis is presented :

Short Term Equation :

$$\Delta LN_NPL = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \Delta NIM_t + \beta_2 \Delta LDR_t + \beta_3 \Delta BI Rate_t + \beta_4 DCOV_t + ECT \dots(1)$$

Long Term Equation :

 $LN_NPL_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}NIM_{t} + \beta_{2}LDR_{t} + \beta_{3}BIRate_{t} + \beta_{4}DDCOV_{t} + \mu_{t} \dots \dots (2)$

Where, NPL is Non-Performing Loans; NIM is Net Interest Margins; LDR is Loan to Deposit Ratio; BI Rate is Interest Rate (BI rate); DCOV is Dummy COVID-19; $\beta 0$ is Constant, $\beta 1$ - $\beta 4$ is Coefficient of Regression direction (estimator for variable X); t is period; ECT is Error Correction Term; μ t is residual.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on Figure 2, NPL trends tended to fluctuate before the pandemic, increasing and decreasing depending on economic, industry, and banking policy factors. At the same time, NIM also fluctuates, but it is generally stable within a specific range. The relationship between NPLs and NIM before COVID-19 could be influenced by factors such as economic growth, credit quality, and risk management strategies adopted by banks (Erdas & Ezanoglu, 2022; Andana & Baskoro, 2022.



Figure 2. Variable Trend of Net Interest Margin and Non-Performing Loan Source: Banking Statistics Indonesian Financial Services Authority, 2022 (Processed)

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the relationship between NPL and NIM Trends has become more complex and has been affected by the direct impact of this global health crisis. In many cases, NPLs have increased significantly during the pandemic. This is due to decreased purchasing power, business sector disruptions, and economic instability resulting from restrictive and lockdown policies (Ahamed, 2021; Saif et al., 2021). On the other hand, NIM has also changed the pandemic. Banks face pressure on their profit margins due to lower interest rates and declining business activity (Claessens et al., 2018). However, interest rate changes made by central banks to stimulate the economy may also affect the NIM. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, the relationship between NPL and NIM can become more complex. The decline in business revenue and financial difficulties specific sectors face can lead to bad debts that impact NPLs (Tripodi, 2013). Meanwhile, a reduction in interest rates may affect bank interest income and impact NIM.

From the findings presented in Figure 3, it can be observed that there is a correlation between the trends of Non-Performing Loan (NPL) and Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR) variables both before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Before the pandemic, both NPLs and LDRs experienced small but generally stable fluctuations. However, there have been significant changes in both variables during the pandemic. First, NPLs have increased significantly during the pandemic. The decline in business activities, the decline in people's purchasing power, and the economic instability caused by the pandemic contributed to the increase in NPLs (Ozili, 2021). It can be seen that NPLs experienced a significant increase in May 2020 and May 2021. This indicates pressure on the credit quality provided by banks during the pandemic. LDR has also experienced significant fluctuations during the pandemic. A decline in LDR may reflect a decline in demand for credit from the public during the crisis (Dasgupta, 2020). There is a significant decrease in LDR in certain months, such as June 2020 and June 2021. This indicates a decrease in deposits or an increase in liquidity higher than the demand for credit.



Figure 3. Variable Trend of Loan to Deposit Ratio and Non-Performing Loan Source: Banking Statistics Indonesian Financial Services Authority 2022, Processed

NPL and LDR Trends during the COVID-19 pandemic reflect the negative impact of the pandemic on the banking sector. An increase in NPLs indicates higher credit risk, while a decrease in LDRs reflects challenges in allocating credit funds efficiently (Widyastuti et al., 2017). Therefore, effective risk management and careful monitoring of NPLs and LDRs are essential for banks facing challenging economic conditions due to the pandemic (Riani, 2021). In addition, appropriate policies and interventions from regulators and governments are also needed to maintain the stability of the banking sector during this time of crisis.

Before the pandemic, NPLs remained stable at a high level and did not see significant changes from month to month. This may indicate that the bank's credit quality in that period was relatively poor and requires further attention (Alnabulsi et al., 2022). At the same time, the Interest Rate remains constant at 6%, indicating stability in monetary policy. However, during the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a dramatic change in the trends of the two variables. In September 2020, NPLs experienced a significant increase, reaching much higher levels than previous months. This increase indicates a negative impact of the pandemic on the quality of credit banks provide. Factors such as declining incomes, declining people's purchasing power, and economic instability can be the leading causes of NPL increases during this period (Huang et al., 2021).





Figure 4. Variable Trend of Interest Rate and Non-Performing Loan Source: Banking Statistics Indonesian Financial Services Authority, 2022 (Processed)

In addition, there has been a significant change in the Interest Rate during the pandemic. In July 2020, there was a reduction in interest rates to 5.75%, which is likely a response from monetary authorities to stimulate economic growth and ease the burden of borrowing for debtors during times of crisis. This interest rate reduction can stimulate the business sector to borrow and invest capital and encourage people to take credit (Felipe & Fullwiler, 2020; Fernández et al., 2021). However, it should be noted that lowering interest rates can also have a negative impact, such as declining bank interest income. Furthermore, the Interest Rate declined to 3.5% in February 2021. This continued reduction in interest rates can affect people's decisions to take loans. On the one hand, lowinterest rates can encourage lending activities, reduce the burden of interest payments, and increase consumer purchasing power (Summers, 2015). However, on the other hand, a reduction in interest rates can negatively affect banks' interest income, affecting their profitability.

Overall, the COVID-19 pandemic has significantly impacted NPL and Interest Rate Trends. The increase in NPLs indicates an increase in credit risk during the crisis, while interest rate reduction is one of the monetary policies used to overcome the economic impact caused by the pandemic. In this situation, banks and monetary authorities must implement sound risk management and appropriate monetary policy to maintain financial sector stability and promote sustainable economic recovery.

Table 2 provides an overview of some relevant statistics related to the observed variables. The mean, median, maximum, and minimum values of Non-Performing Loans (NPL) illustrate poor credit quality in the banking sector. The average NPL of 85453.18 indicates an overall level of poor credit quality. In contrast, a nearly identical median value indicates variation in the distribution of NPLs. The maximum value of NPL reached 110470.0, which indicates a large number of non-current loans. The minimum NPL value of 61477.00 indicates that there are also better-quality loans. A high NPL standard deviation (17246.72) indicates a significant variation in the data. It could indicate a higher level of risk in a bank's loan portfolio.

	-		cooling the o	
	NPL	NIM	LDR	BI RATE
Mean	85453.18	5.216667	85.80000	4.553030
Median	86841.00	5.210000	87.11000	4.500000
Maximum	110470.0	5.660000	92.69000	6.000000
Minimum	61477.00	4.630000	78.37000	3.500000
Std. Dev.	17246.72	0.274519	5.336832	0.941154
Skewness	0.038332	-0.343859	-0.083410	0.374773
Observations	33	33	33	33
	1 0000			

Table 2. Statistics Descriptive

Source: Data Processed, 2022

Meanwhile, for Net Interest Margin (NIM), figures such as the average and standard deviation provide an overview of the performance of a bank's interest margin. The average NIM of 5.216667 indicates the interest margin earned by the bank from its operational activities. A low standard deviation (0.274519) indicates consistency in the interest margin earned by banks. The figures in the table also

include the Loan to Deposit ratio and Interest rate. The Loan to Deposit ratio describes the ratio of bank loans to customer funds. The average Loan to Deposit ratio is 85.80000, with the variation shown by the standard deviation of 5.336832. The interest rate describes the interest rate applied by the bank. The average interest rate is 4.553030, with the variation shown by the standard deviation of 0.941154.

Table 3. Unit Root Test

A DE variable	L	Levels	Level 1		
ADF variable	t-statistics	Prob	t-statistics	Prob	
LOGNPL	-0.90126	0.7748	-5.33410	0.0001***	
NIM	-2.27241	0.1866	-7.34009	0.0000***	
LDR	-0.32719	0.9096	-3.56013	0.0128***	
BIRATE	-1.60496	0.4678	-4.24264	0.0024***	
DCOV	-1.13933	0.6877	-5.56776	0.0001***	

Note: *** statistical significance at 1%

Source: Data Processed, 2022

Table 4. Cointegration Test

		e			
Hypothesized	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistics	Critical Values	Prob	
None *	0.771369	92.10595	69.81889	0.0003***	
At most 1	0.600454	46.36098	47.85613	0.0686*	
At most 2	0.300637	17.92077	29.79707	0.5719	
At most 3	0.152395	6.835625	15.49471	0.5968	
At most 4	0.053670	1.710068	3.841466	0.1910	

Note: ***,**,* statistical significance at 1%, 5% and 10%. Source: Data Processed, 2022

The results presented in Table 4 indicate that the Johansen cointegration test yielded a trace statistic value of 92.10, which exceeds the critical value of α =5% at 69.81. Additionally, the probability value of 0.000 is less than α =5%,

leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis (H0). These findings suggest a long-term relationship (cointegration) among the variables in the dataset.

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistics	Prob			
С	0.0042	0.0064	0.6511	0.5207			
D(NIM)	0.0361	0.0430	0.8396	0.4088			
D(LDR)	-0.0130	0.0061	-2.1040	0.0452**			
D(BIRATE)	-0.1088	0.0467	-2.3305	0.0278**			
D(DCOV)	-0.0166	0.0447	0.3716	0.0132**			
ECT(-1)	-0.7083	0.1630	-4.3447	0.0002***			
R-Squared	0.4803	F-statistics	4.80	62			
Adjusted R-squared	0.3803	Prob(F-statistic)	0.00	30			

Table 5. ECM Model Estimation

Note: ***, ** statistical significance at 1% and 5% Source: Data Processed, 2022

The short-term ECM model was estimated using the least squares method, and the results of the estimation are as follows:

ΔLOGNPL= 0.004219 + 0.036165 ΔNIM -0.013031 ΔLDR - 0.108899 ΔBIRATE + 0.016633∆DCOV – 0.708300 ECT(3)

While the estimation results when there is an impact of the dummy variable are as follows:

ΔLOGNPL= 0.020852 + 0.036165 ΔNIM -0.013031 ΔLDR - 0.108899 ΔBIRATE -0.708300 ECT(4)

Based on Table 5, Net Interest Margin and DCOV variables positively influence the tstatistic value of 0.371646 with a probability of 0.0132 < 0.05. When the dummy was inserted, it turned out that the Covid situation increased the NPL by 0.016633. Based on these results, the magnitude of the constant during a pandemic (0.004219 + 0.016633) is 0.020852. Suppose the independent variables NIM, LDR, BIRATE, and dummy covid are constant or zero. In that case, the NPL during a pandemic is 0.020852.

Meanwhile, the Loan to Deposit Ratio variable and the BI Rate have a negative influence. It can be seen that the variables LDR, BI rate, and DCOV have a probability value of $<\alpha$ 5%; this means that these variables are significant to the dependent variable, namely Non-Performing Loans. Whereas the NIM variable has a probability value of > α 5%, the NIM variable is insignificant to the Non-Performing Loan variable.

The estimation equation in the long term, both before and after considering the dummy impact, indicates a positive relationship between the Net Interest Margin and DCOV variables with NPL. This is supported by a t-statistic value of 2.317343 and a probability of 0.0280, which is less than the significance level of 0.05. When the dummy variable was included, it turned out that the Covid situation could increase the NPL by (12.444 + 0,107373), equal to 12.551373. If the independent variables NIM, LDR, BIRATE, and Dummy Covid are constant or zero, then the NPL during a pandemic is 12.551373. Meanwhile, the LDR and BI Rate variables have a negative effect on NPL for all significant variables at $\alpha = 5\%$.

Table 6. Long Term Estimation							
Variable Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistics Prob							
С	12.4441	0.4224	29.4540	0.0000			
NIM	0.1015	0.0484	2.0970	0.0451**			
LDR	-0.0141	0.0030	-4.6757	0.0001***			
BIRATE	-0.1060	0.0186	-5.6934	0.0000***			
DCOV	0.1073	0.0463	2.3173	0.0280**			
R-Squared	0.9773	F-statistics	3	301.411			
Adjusted R-squared	0.9740	Prob(F-statistic) 0.0000		0.0000			

Cable	6.	Long	Term	Estimation	1
avic	υ.	LUIIg	ICIIII	Lounation	L

Note: ***,** statistical significance at 1% and 5%

Source: Data Processed, 2022

In the long-term model, utilizing the Least Squares method, the obtained estimations are as follows:

LOGNPL = 12.444 + 0.101556 NIM - 0.01419 LDR - 0.106028 BIRATE + 0.107373 DCOV......(5)

The long-term estimation results when there is a dummy impact are as follows:

LOGNPL= 12.551373 + 0.101556 NIM - 0.01419 LDR - 0.106028 BIRATE(6)

The t-test results indicate that the Net Interest Margin positively affects the Non-Performing Loan (NPL) in the short term, but the effect is not statistically significant. However, the NIM has a positive and statistically significant impact on the NPL in the long term. This means that if the NIM value in a bank is high, it indicates a significant difference between the interest charged to the customer (the debtor) for loans and the interest earned on savings/deposits obtained by the customer. Of course, this also has an impact on increasing bad loans. This research was supported by researchers Ranjan and Dhal (2003) and Umar and Sun (2018).

The t-test results indicate that the Loan Deposit Ratio (LDR) has a negative and statistically significant effect on the Non-Performing Loan (NPL) ratio in the short and long term. This suggests that an increase in the LDR, representing a higher amount of credit extended by the bank, leads to a decrease in the NPL ratio. This relationship can be explained by the fact that the NPL ratio measures the proportion of non-performing loans concerning the total credit provided by the bank, and a higher LDR indicates a higher level of collectability and lower credit risk. Researchers supported this research (Makri et al., 2014; Bolat and Isik, 2016; Saba et al., 2012).

The t-test results indicate that the interest rate (BI Rate) has a negative and statistically significant effect in the short and long term. Banks do not immediately adjust interest rate changes to BI Rate changes. This research was supported by researchers (2012) and Tripodi (2013). However, this research does not support the research conducted by Adeola and Ikpesu (2017), Hasanah and Septiarini (2020), and Tarchouna et al. (2017). This is because an increase in interest rates increases the cost of credit taken, making it more difficult to pay, and consequently, Non-Performing Loans experience growth.

The t-test results for both the short-term and long-term analyses show a positive and statistically significant relationship. Specifically, in the short term, the t-statistic value is 0.371646 with a probability of 0.0132, less than the significance level of 0.05. This suggests that there is a significant effect in the short term. Before the dummy was inserted, the NPL was only 0.004219. In contrast, when the dummy was inserted, it turned out that the Covid-19 situation could increase the NPL by 0.016633. based on these results, the magnitude of the constant during the pandemic (0.004219 + 0.016633) is 0.020852. Suppose the independent variables NIM, LDR, BIRATE, and dummy Covid are constant or zero. In that case, the NPL during the pandemic is 0.020852. The influence of the crisis dummy is in line with research by Karadima and Louri (2020) and Partovi and Matousek (2019).

In the long term, the t-test results indicate that the Dummy Covid variable has a t-statistic value of 2.371343 with a probability of 0.0280, lower than the significance level of 0.05. This suggests a significant effect of the Dummy Covid variable in the long term. Before the dummy was inserted, the NPL was only 12.444. In contrast, when the dummy was inserted, it turned out that the Covid situation could increase the NPL by (12,444 + 0.107373), which was 12.551373. Suppose the independent variables NIM, LDR, BIRATE, and dummy Covid are constant or zero. In that case, the NPL during the pandemic is 12.551373. This can occur because the business sector, such as MSMEs, loses profits because people's purchasing power decreases due to the absence of income for consumer households, resulting in companies or business sectors making fewer sales and the country's overall economic output decreasing. As a result, debtors who have loans to banks cannot fulfill their loan repayment obligations. This causes bad credit,

and the NPL ratio of banks in Indonesia increases. The ongoing increase in NPL ratios renders banks ineffective. It leads to losses due to the absence of funds returning from debtors to banks. Therefore, banks should be more selective in extending their credit so that the risk of nonperforming loans does not increase significantly and is more controlled.

CONCLUSION

Banking NPLs experienced a significant increase during the Covid-19 pandemic. This can happen because the level of people's purchasing power has decreased due to policies implemented by the government during the pandemic, which have an impact on the business sector, such as MSMEs, which have lost profits. After all, people's purchasing power has decreased due to no household income. The government can provide economic stimulus to support the business sector, especially Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). This stimulus includes financial assistance, tax incentives, or loan interest subsidies. This helps MSMEs to survive and prevents bad credit from occurring. Banks can provide payment relief to debtors affected by the pandemic. This relief can be in the form of loan restructuring by extending the payment term, reducing interest rates, or providing a moratorium on principal and interest payments. This step provides space for debtors experiencing financial difficulties due to the pandemic. Banks and financial institutions must improve their supervision and risk management during the pandemic. This includes more careful credit evaluation, close monitoring of loan portfolios, and quick action in identifying potential bad loans. With good risk management, banks can take the necessary preventive steps to reduce the risk of bad credit. Collaboration between the government, banks, and other financial institutions needs to deal with bad loans during the pandemic. The government can provide supportive policies and regulations. In contrast, banks and financial institutions can provide solutions and assistance according to the needs of debtors.

REFERENCES

- Abouraia, M. K., & Othman, S. M. (2017). Transformational Leadership, Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment, and Turnover Intentions: The Direct Effects among Bank Representatives. American Journal of Industrial and Business Management, 07(04), 404–423. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajibm.2017.74029
- Accornero, M., Carpinelli, L., & Sorrentino, A. M. (2017). Non-Performing Loans and the Supply of Bank Credit: Evidence from Italy. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi. org/10.2139/ssrn.2954995
- Adeola, O., & Ikpesu, F. (2017). Macroeconomic Determinants Of Non-Performing Loans In Nigeria: An Empirical Analysis. *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 51(2), 31–43. https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2017.0029
- Ahamed, F. (2021). Macroeconomic Impact of Covid-19: A Case Study on Bangladesh. *IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance (IOSR-JEF)*, *12*(1), 2021. https://doi.org/10.9790/5933-1201042429
- Alnabulsi, K., Kozarević, E., & Hakimi, A. (2022). Assessing the determinants of non-performing loans under financial and health crises: evidence from the MENA banks. *Cogent Economics and Finance*, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/233 22039.2022.2124665
- Bacchiocchi, A., Bischi, G. I., & Giombini, G. (2022). Non-performing loans, expectations, and banking stability: A dynamic model. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 157, 111906. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2022.111906
- Balgova, M., Nies, M., & Plekhanov, A. (2018). The Economic Impact of Reducing Non-Performing Loans. SSRN Electronic Journal, 4. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3119677
- Bayar, Y. (2019). Macroeconomic, Institutional and Bank-Specific Determinants of Non-Performing Loans in Emerging Market Economies: A Dynamic Panel Regression Analysis. Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice, 8(3), 95–110. https://doi.org/10.2478/jcbtp-2019-0026
- Beck, T., & Keil, J. (2022). Have banks caught Corona? Effects of COVID on lending in the US *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 72(June 2021), 102160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2022.102160
- Ben Saada, M. (2018). The impact of control quality on the non-performing loans of Tunisian listed banks. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 33(1), 2–15. https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-01-2017-1506
- Bolat, S., & Isik, O. (2016). Determinants of nonperforming loans of deposit banks in Turkey. *Pressacademia*, 5(4), 341–350. https://doi.org/ 10.17261/pressacademia.2017.356
- Briceño, H. R., & Perote, J. (2020). Determinants of the public debt in the Eurozone and its sustainability amid the Covid-19 pandemic. *Sustainability* (*Switzerland*), 12(16). https://doi.org/10.3390/ su12166456
- Caglayan, M., & Xu, B. (2018). Economic Policy Uncertainty Effects on Credit and Stability of

Financial Institutions. *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 2001, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/boer.12175

- Ciurel, A. D., & Stoica, T. (2020). Determining Impacts on Non-Performing Loan Ratio in Romania. *Review of International Comparative Management*, 20(2), 119–129. https://doi.org/10.24818/rmci.2019.2.155
- Claessens, S., Coleman, N., & Donnelly, M. (2018). "Low-For-Long" interest rates and banks' interest margins and profitability: Cross-country evidence. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 35, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfi.2017.05.004
- Dasgupta, Z. (2020). Economic Slowdown and Financial Fragility. *Economic & Political Weekly*, *IV*(13), 46–53. https://www.epw.in/journal/ 2020/13/money-banking-and-finance/ econo mic-slowdown-and-financial-fragility.html
- Felipe, J., & Fullwiler, S. (2020). Adb covid-19 policy database: A guide. Asian Development Review, 37(2), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1162/ adev_a_00147
- Fernández, M. Á. E., Alonso, S. L. N., Jorge-Vázquez, J., & Forradellas, R. F. R. (2021). Central banks' monetary policy in the face of the COVID-19 economic crisis: Monetary stimulus and the emergence of CBDCs. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *13*(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084242
- Ghosh, A. (2015). Banking-industry specific and regional economic determinants of nonperforming loans: Evidence from US states. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 20, 93–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2015.08.004
- Hasanah, R., & Septiarini, D. F. (2020). Pengaruh Car, Roa, Bi 7-Day Rate, Dan Inflasi Terhadap Non-Performing Financing Kpr Pada Bank Umum Syariah Periode 2016-2018. Jurnal Ekonomi Syariah Teori Dan Terapan, 7(4), 774. https://doi.org/10.20473/vol7iss20204pp774-794
- Huang, K. X. D., Li, S., & Tian, G. (2021). Chinese economy under the new "Dual Circulation" strategy: Challenges and opportunities — A summary of the annual SUFE macroeconomic report (2020–2021). Frontiers of Economics in China, 16(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.3868/ s060-013-021-0001-0
- Jakab, Z., & Kumhof, M. (2015). Banks are Not Intermediaries of Loanable Funds And Why this Matters. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, *1*(529). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2612050
- Karadima, M., & Louri, H. (2020). Non-performing loans in the euro area: Does bank market power matter? *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 72(September), 101593. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.irfa.2020.101593
- Konstantakis, K. N., Michaelides, P. G., & Vouldis, A. T. (2016). Non performing loans (NPLs) in a crisis economy: Long-run equilibrium analysis with a real time VEC model for Greece (2001-2015). *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 451, 149–161. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.physa.2015.12.163

- Kryzanowski, L., Liu, J., & Zhang, J. (2022). Effect of COVID-19 on non-performing loans in China. *Finance Research Letters*, *August*, 103372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2022.103372
- Louzis, D. P., Vouldis, A. T., & Metaxas, V. L. (2012). Macroeconomic and bank-specific determinants of non-performing loans in Greece: A comparative study of mortgage, business and consumer loan portfolios. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 36(4), 1012–1027. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2011.10.012
- Makri, V., Tsagkanos, A., & Bellas, A. (2014). Determinants of non-performing loans: The case of Eurozone. *Panoeconomicus*, *61*(2), 193–206. https://doi.org/10.2298/PAN1402193M
- Marcu, M. (2021). The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Banking Sector. *Dynamics in the Knowledge Economy*, 9(2), 205–223. https://doi.org/10.2478/mdke-2021-0013
- Megasari, L. A., Mas'udah, S., & Febrianto, P. T. (2021). The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic and Work From Home Policy on Poor Communities and Women in Rural Area. *Society*, 9(2), 522–538. https://doi.org/ 10.33019/society.v9i2.285
- Muhyiddin, M., & Nugroho, H. (2021). A Year of Covid-19: A Long Road to Recovery and Acceleration of Indonesia's Development. Jurnal Perencanaan Pembangunan: The Indonesian Journal of Development Planning, 5(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.36574/jpp.v5i1.181
- Muqsith, M. A., Pratomo, R. R., Kuswanti, A., & Muzykant, V. L. (2021). Social solidarity movement to prevent the spread of COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *Masyarakat, Kebudayaan Dan Politik*, 34(2), 147. https://doi.org/ 10.20473/mkp.v34i22021.147-158
- Ozili, P. K. (2019). Non-performing loans and financial development: New Evidence. Journal of Risk Finance, 20(1), 59–81. https://doi.org /10.1108/JRF-07-2017-0112
- Ozili, P. K. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic and economic crisis: the Nigerian experience and structural causes. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, 37(4), 401–418. https://doi.org/10.1108/jeas-05-2020-0074
- Partovi, E., & Matousek, R. (2019). Bank efficiency and non-performing loans: Evidence from Turkey. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 48(December 2018), 287–309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2018.12.011
- Potocky Tripodi, M. (2013). Micro and macro determinants of refugee economic status. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 27(4), 33–60. https://doi.org/10.1300/J079v27n04_02
- Ranjan, R., & Dhal, S. C. (2003). Non-Performing Loans and Terms of Credit of Public Sector Banks in India: An Empirical Assessment. *Reserve Bank of India Occasional Papers*, 24(3), 81– 121. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 24827074
- Ratten, V. (2020). Coronavirus (covid-19) and entrepreneurship: changing life and work

landscape. Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship, 0(0), 503–516. https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2020.17901 67

- Riani, R. (2021). Does COVID-19 Pandemic Affect Bank Credit Risk? *Ekonomi Islam Indonesia*, 3(1). https://doi.org/10.58968/eii.v3i1.42
- Saba, I., Kouser, R., & Azeem, M. (2012). Determinants of non performing loans: Case of US banking sector. *The Romanian Economic Journal*, 15(January), 141–152.
- Saif, N. M. A., Ruan, J., & Obrenovic, B. (2021). Sustaining trade during covid-19 pandemic: Establishing a conceptual model including covid-19 impact. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(10), 1– 20. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13105418
- Staehr, K., & Uusküla, L. (2021). Macroeconomic and macro-financial factors as leading indicators of non-performing loans: Evidence from the EU countries. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 48(3), 720– 740. https://doi.org/10.1108/JES-03-2019-0107
- Summers, B. L. H. (2015). Demand Side Secular Stagnation. American Economic Review: Papers & Proceedings, 105(5), 60–65. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/aer. p20151103
- Tarchouna, A., Jarraya, B., & Bouri, A. (2017). How to explain non-performing loans by many corporate governance variables simultaneously? A corporate governance index is built to US commercial banks. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 42, 645–657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2017.07.008
- Umar, M., & Sun, G. (2018). Determinants of nonperforming loans in Chinese banks. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 12(3), 273–289. https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-01-2016-0005
- Widyastuti, U., Dedi, P. E. S., & Zulaihati, S. (2017). Internal Determinants of Commercial Bank Profitability In Indonesia. *Mediterranean Journal* of Social Sciences, 8(3), 219–223. https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2017.v8n3p219
- Wu, S. W., Nguyen, M. T., & Nguyen, P. H. (2022). Does loan growth impact on bank risk? *Heliyon*, 8(8), e10319. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.heliyon.2022.e10319
- Yüksel, S. (2017). Determinants of the credit risk in developing countries after economic crisis: A case of Turkish banking sector. *Contributions to Economics*, 2018, 401–415. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-3-319-47021-4_28
- Zheng, C., Bhowmik, P. K., & Sarker, N. (2020). Industry-specific and macroeconomic determinants of non-performing loans: A comparative analysis of ARDL and VECM. *Sustainability* (*Switzerland*), *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010325/

Economics Development Analysis Journal SINTA 2 | P-ISSN 2252-6560 | E-ISSN 2502-2725

About	Issue	People	Submission	Announcements	Focus and Scope
Ethics St	tatement	Contact	Conference Publica	ation Collaboration	Citedness in Scopus
				Search	

Economics Development Analysis Journal starting in 2024 migrates to better secure from various unwanted things, including journal hacking and so on. To submit, the author please visit the new website page of our journal at the link <u>https://journal.unnes.ac.id/journals/edaj</u>

MIGRATION OFFICIAL STATEMENT HERE

Nationally Accredited SINTA 2 based on the Decree of the Minister of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Number 21/E/KPT/2018. Accreditation is valid for five years Vol. 5. No. 1. 2016 - Vol 9. No. 4. 2020

Economic Development Analysis Journal [p-ISSN 2252-6560 | e-ISSN 2502-2725] is a scientific journal published by <u>Department of Economic Development</u>, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang and Asosiasi Pendidikan Ekonomi LPTK. Economic Development Analysis Journal publishes original research and conceptual analysis of economic development, problems and policies in Indonesia.



Current Issue

Vol 13 No 2 (2024): Economics Development Analysis Journal

Available Online Since 1st May 2024

Published: 2024-08-07

Articles

Labor Supply Analysis: Case Study of Married Women Workers

Uswatun Khasanah, Firmansyah Firmansyah 151–167



Optimizing The Program Kartu Prakerja for Young Workers

Rizki Tri Anggara, Ilmiawan Auwalin 168-178

🖾 Pdf

Impact of Program Keluarga Harapan on Child Labor During Covid-19

Cindy Candrawati, Ilmiawan Auwalin 179-193

🖾 Pdf

The Impact of U.S. Quantitative Research Policy on Asian Macroeconomics

Anna Yulianita, Siti Rohima, Yunisvita Yunisvita 194–210



Does Corruption Hinder Economic Growth? A Simultaneous Analysis

Indah Susilowati, Tania Wicaksana, Benny Imantria, Annisa Febi, Lia Anjarsari 211-223



Stunting In Java Island: Spatial and Risk Factor Analysis

Anisya Nur Widya, Bhimo Rizky Samudro, Evi Gravitiani 224-234

Does Local Government Expenditure Lead to Human Development in Indonesia?

Benny Imantria, Akhmad Syakir Kurnia 235-247



Are Business Savings a Mediator for Culinary Tourism Development?

Efriyani Sumastuti, Dhea Rizky Amelia, Ika Indriasari 247-258

🖾 Pdf

Role of Roads and Irrigation on Food Security in Indonesia

Erlisa Oktiani, Khoirunurrofik Khoirunurrofik 259-274

🖹 Pdf

SEM-PLS: Predicting Community Welfare and Unemployment Using Income Inequality

Ahmad Ahmad, Muhammad Armawaddin 275-288

🖪 Pdf

View All Issues >



Source: https://app.dimensions.ai Exported: March 27, 2024 Oriteria: Source Title is Economics D

Criteria: Source Title is Economics Development Analysis Journal

© 2024 Digital Science and Research Solutions Inc. All rights reserved. Non-commercial redistribution / external re-use of this work is permitted subject to appropriate acknowledgement. This work is sourced from Dimensions® at www.dimensions.al.







Manuscript Template



Statement of Originality





Platform & workflow by OJS / PKP

Economics Development Analysis Journal SINTA 2 | P-ISSN 2252-6560 | E-ISSN 2502-2725

About	Issue	People	Submission	Announcements	Focus and Scope
Ethics St	atement	Contact	Conference Publica	ation Collaboration	Citedness in Scopus
				Search	

Editorial Team

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief

1. Avi Budi Setiawan [SCOPUS ID: 57191409626] Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

Editorial Board

- 1. Andryan Setyadharma, [SINTA: ID 6089441] Massey University of New Zealand
- 2. Muhammad Ery Wijaya, [SCOPUS ID: 24777658900] Kyoto University, Japan
- 3. Diswandi, [SCOPUS ID: 57192651698] Murdoch University, Australia
- 4. Md. Shafiqul Islam, [SCOPUS ID: 57192651698] University of Liberal Arts, Bangladesh
- 5. F. Fafurida, [SCOPUS ID: 57191417907] Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- 6. <u>Shanty Oktavilia</u>, [SCOPUS: ID 57191409480] Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- 7. Nurjannah Rahayu K, [SCOPUS ID: 57191411181] Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- 8. Karsinah, [SCOPUS ID: 57191403349]Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- 9. Agus Dwi Nugroho, [SINTA: ID 6023076] Gadjah Mada University, Indonesia
- 10. Didit Purnomo, [SINTA: ID 5978118] Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Indonesia
- 11. Dwi Rahmayani, [SCOPUS: ID 57196192893] Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- 12. Fauzul Adzim, [SCOPUS ID: 57204792224] Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia







Manuscript Template









Economics Development Analysis Journal SINTA 2 | P-ISSN 2252-6560 | E-ISSN 2502-2725

About	Issue	People	Submission	Announcements	Focus and Scope
Ethics St	atement	Contact	Conference Public	ation Collaboration	Citedness in Scopus
				Search	

Home / Archives / Vol 12 No 4 (2023): Economics Development Analysis Journal

Available online since 1st November 2023.

Published: 2023-10-28

Articles

Analysis of Indonesian Exports Demand in the ASEAN Region

Taosige Wau 417-426

🖾 Pdf

Institutional Quality and Economic Growth in Muslim Countries

Zuhairan Yunmi Yunan 427-440

🔁 Pdf

Poverty Modeling in Indonesia: a Spatial Regression Analysis

Ameliatul 'Iffah, Suliyanto Suliyanto, Sediono Sediono, Toha Saifudin, Elly Ana, Dita Amelia 441-457

🔎 Pdf

Education and Energy Consumption: a Provincial Analysis in Indonesia

Nadira Aisha Susanto, Djoni Hartono, Misdawita Misdawita, Didi Nuryadin, Ida Bagus Putu Cesario Putra Surayuda, Novani Karina Saputri, Shofie Azzahrah

458-471



Indonesian's Position in the World Vegetable Oil Trade

Saleh Husin, Chandra Wijaya, Hanief Saha Ghafur, Eugenia Mardanugraha, T. M. Zakir Machmud 472-489



Non-Performing Loans Indonesian Banking Industry: Before and During Covid-19 Pandemic

Muhammad Nur Hakim, Ariodillah Hidayat, Imam Asngari, Xenaneira Shodrokova 490 - 502



Macroeconomic, Institutional, and Energy Consumption on Economic Growth APEC Members

Sarjiyanto Sarjiyanto, Latifah Romadhoni 503-517



Number of Elderly in The Household and Elderly Household Welfare

Dwi Kusdianto, Omas Bulan Samosir 518-536



Happiness Index in ASEAN-9 2015-2021: Macroeconomic and Demographic Perspectives

Resty Wulansari Giansyah, Herman Sambodo, Goro Binardjo, Suharno Suharno 537-547



Household Energy Poverty: Evidence From a Large-Scale Longitudinal Survey

Yustirania Septiani, Rr Retno Sugiharti, Jihad Lukis Panjawa, Isna Hana Nur Izati 548-562









Manuscript Template









≡	Μ	Gmail		Q No	n-Performing Loans Indonesian Banking Industry: Before and During $ imes$ \scriptstyle?	()		
99+ Mail		Tulis			r.		34 dar	í 38	
		Kotak Masuk	2.250		[EDAJ] Submission Acknowledgement Eksternal Kotak Masuk ×				
Chat		Berbintang		The shares	Economic Development Analysis Journal edaj@mail.unnes.ac.id <u>lewat</u> my.unnes.id kepada saya	Sel, 23 N	/lei 2023	, 12.26	
Meet		Terkirim			Ez Terjemahkan ke Indonesia X				
		Selengkapnya			Ariodillah Hidayat:				
	Lab	el			Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "NON-PERFORMING LOANS INDONESIAN BANKING INDUSTRY: CASE COVID-19 PANDEMIC" to Economics Development Analysis Journal. With the online journal management system the progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:	E STUDY I nat we are	BEFORI using, y	∃ AND [/ou will !	DL be
					Manuscript URL: <u>https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/edaj/authorDashboard/submission/68963</u> Username: ariodillah				
					If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.				
					Economic Development Analysis Journal				

(Balas) (Teruskan)

Non-Performing Loans Indonesian Banking Industry: Before and During Covid-19 Pandemic

by Ariodillah Hidayat

Submission date: 26-Feb-2025 01:40PM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 2599075390 File name: 69009-Article_Text-227066-1-10-20231214.pdf (409.88K) Word count: 6682 Character count: 36842



Economics Development Analysis Journal Vol. 12 (4) (2023)

Economics Development Analysis Journal http://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/edaj



Non-Performing Loans Indonesian Banking Industry: Before and During Covid-19 Pandemic

Muhammad Nur Hakim¹, ²Ariodillah Hidayat⁸³, ³Imam Asngari, ⁴Xenaneira Shodrokova ^{1,2,3,4}Economic Development Department, Sriwijaya University, Indralaya, South Sumatera, Indonesia

Article Information Abstract

History of Article Received July 2023 Accepted September 2023 Pusblished November 2023

COVID-19, Loan to Deposit

Ratio (LDR), Net Interest Margin (NIM), Interest Rate,

Non-Performing Loan (NPL).

Keywords:

This study examines the factors influencing Non-Performing Loans in the Indonesian Banking Industry, specifically the Bank Group based on Core Capital (KBMI) 4, both before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Secondary data, spanning from January 2019 to September 2021, is utilized in this analysis. The study employs the error correction (ECM) model through regression analysis techniques. The findings indicate that the Loan Deposit Ratio and Bank Indonesia (BI) Rate significantly and negatively impact Non-Performing Loans in both the short and long term. Furthermore, the Dummy COVID-19 variable significantly and positively influences Non-Performing Loans in both the short and long term. While Net Interest Margin exhibits a positive but non-significant effect in the short term, it demonstrates a positive and significant effect on Non-Performing Loans in the long term.

© 2023, Universitas Negeri Semarang

ISSN 2252-6560

[©] Corresponding author : Address: Jl. Raya Palembang - Prabumulih No.KM. 32, Indralaya Indah, Kec. Indralaya, Kabupaten Ogan Ilir, Sumatera Selatan 30862 E-mail: ariodillahhidayat@fe.unsri.ac.id

INTRODUCTION

Ensuring adequate funding is crucial for banking institutions to effectively fulfill their functions and achieve their objectives. Sufficient funds enable banks to facilitate various financial activities and operations more seamlessly (Jakab & Kumhof, 2015). The role of banking in a financial institution is undoubtedly fraught with credit problems (Abouraia & Othman, 2017). Especially since the COVID-19 pandemic reached Indonesia in March 2020, many banking institutions have encountered challenges in the credit sector, commonly referred to as bad credit. In contrast to past macroeconomic and financial crises, the COVID-19 pandemic represents a public health shock that has significantly impacted economies worldwide (Kryzanowski, et al., 2022; Bacchiocchi et al., 2022).

Amidst the economic and social upheaval triggered by the pandemic, the banking sector is anticipated to face repercussions due to delayed company operations (Marcu, 2021). To support businesses during these challenging times, measures such as easing interest payment activities have been proposed (Wu, et.al., (2022). This is in response to a government policy implementing the PSBB (Large-Scale Social Restrictions), impacting the closure of schools, offices, and malls (Muhyiddin & Nugroho, 2021).

The banking sector has been deeply affected by reduced liquidity and increased risk (Saada, 2018). The things above caused nonperforming loans to increase. The issue of Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) in the overall economy raises concerns about the predictability of future developments and the potential use of macroeconomic and macro-financial variables as leading indicators for NPL trends (Staehr & Uusküla, 2021).

High Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) can impact credit supply through various channels. Firstly, banks are constrained by prudential regulations mandating sufficient capital, and a high NPL ratio would elevate risk weights for bank loan portfolios when calculating regulatory capital adequacy (Accornero, et.al., 2017). Secondly, an elevated NPL level diminishes bank profitability, restricting the availability of capital resources for lending purposes (Balgova, et al., 2018).

According to data from the Financial Services Authority (OJK), the gross Non-Performing Loan (NPL) ratio for banks in March 2021 rose to 3.17 percent, up from 2.77 percent in March 2020. The increase in the nonperforming loan ratio within the banking sector can be attributed to the economic downturn resulting from the implementation of social restrictions to control the pandemic. This situation led to many borrowers, including both micro and macro-scale entrepreneurs. experiencing reduced production or even ceasing their business operations, impacting their ability to repay loans and causing an increase in bad loans for banks (Briceño and Perote 2020; Ratten 2020)

Figure 1 shows that Non-Performing Loans (NPL) within Core Capital Bank Group 4 in Indonesia show a consistent monthly increase. This surge can be attributed to the impact of the COVID-19 virus on the Indonesian state, leading to a paralysis of economic activities as many employees were compelled to be laid off. Entrepreneurs were compelled to shutter their businesses due to insufficient income to cover their expenses (Muqsith et al., 2021; Megasari et al., 2021).

This research is further supported by previous studies. Specifically, Ciurel and Stoica (2020) examined a significant relationship between macroeconomic indicators, bank rate factors, and non-performing loan ratios in Turkey. Ghosh (2015) conducted the only known study investigating the issue of Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) in the world's largest economy. Their research delves into the impact of regional banking and economic conditions on NPLs, utilizing aggregated banking data at the state level.

Konstantakis et al. (2016) sought to elucidate the determining factors of nonperforming loans in the Greek banking sector, aligning with international evidence. They found that macroeconomic and financial factors



significantly influence non-performing loans in uncertainty reduces credit availability, increasing the country. Additionally, Caglayan and Xu credit risk and leading to higher loan loss (2018) discovered that economic policy provisions.

Figure 1. Non-Performing Loan Trend

Source: Banking Statistics Indonesian Financial Services Authority , 2020

According to the findings of Beck and Keil (2022), US banks with greater geographical exposure to the COVID-19 pandemic and associated lockdown measures have witnessed an increase in non-performing loans (NPLs).

Several other studies also examine developing countries. Research by Ozili (2019) aims to determine the effect of financial developments on non-performing loans (NPLs) using panel data from 96 countries, especially in developing countries. The analysis technique uses panel data regression. The findings show that financial developments, measured as the presence of foreign banks and financial intermediation, are positively associated with NPLs. Also, bank efficiency, loan loss coverage ratio, competition, and banking system stability are inversely related to NPLs, while NPLs are positively related to banking crises and bank concentration. Pay (2019) explores the macroeconomic, institutional, and bank-specific factors behind non-performing banking loans as indicators of the functioning of the banking sector in emerging market economies during the period 2000-2013 using GMM dynamic panel data

estimator system. The dynamic panel regression analysis results show that economic growth, inflation, economic freedom (institutional development), return on assets and equity, regulatory capital against risk-weighted assets, and non-interest income on total income negatively affect non-performing loans.

In contrast, unemployment, public debt, growth credit, lagging non-performing credit scores, cost-to-income ratio, and financial crisis affect non-performing loans positively. Research Yüksel (2017) analyzes the bank credit risk determinants in developing countries after the economic crisis. In this sphere, the Turkish banking sector is tried to be analyzed. In this study, 23 Turkish deposit banks were analyzed.

Moreover, the probit model was applied to annual data from 24 Turkish deposit banks covering 2004 to 2014. The pertinent data were sourced from the Association of Turkish Banks, OECD, and World Bank. The non-performing loan ratio serves as the dependent variable, reflecting credit risk. Meanwhile, the model incorporates nine explanatory variables to ascertain the determinants of non-performing

loans. Zheng et al. (2020) examine the influence of industry-specific and macroeconomic determinants on non-performing loans (NPLs) across Bangladesh's banking system. We analyzed 1979 to 2018 with the Autoregressive Distribution Lag (ARDL) model. We examined the robustness of the results in the Vector Error Correction (VEC) model. The results of this study show that industry-specific and macroeconomic factors affect NPL significantly. Building on the phenomena and empirical

research outlined, this study aims to identify the factors influencing Non-Performing Loans in the Indonesian Banking Industry Bank Group, specifically based on Core Capital (KBMI) 4, before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic. The study spans from January 2019 to September 2021. It utilizes variables such as non-performing loan (NPL), net interest margin (NIM), loan-todeposit ratio (LDR), Bank Indonesia Rate (BI Rate), and the dummy variable for COVID-19. Given the context provided, the hypothesis posits that NIM, LDR, BI Rate, and the Dummy COVID-19 collectively impact NPL in the short and long term.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study utilizes secondary data from reputable sources such as the Financial Services Authority (OJK), Bank Indonesia, and Worldometer. The variables considered in this research include Net Interest Margin (NIM), Loan Deposit Ratio (LDR), and the Bank Indonesia Rate. The data covered a time series from January 2019 to September 2021 and was processed using the Eviews 10 application program. The data analysis technique employed in this study is the error correction model (ECM) regression analysis.

Variable	Notation	Variable Description	Formula	Data Sources
Non- Performing Loan Net Interest	NPL	Loans that are grouped into a level include current loans, doubtful loans, and finally, bad loans. The ratio used by banks	(Non- performing loans)/ (Total Credits) x 100%	Financial Services Authority (OJK) Indonesia Financial
Margin		in measuring how much interest profit is earned by banks in managing their operations.	(Net Interest)/ (Average Productive Assets) x 100%	Services Authority (OJK) Indonesia
Loan to Deposit Ratio	LDR	The ratio between total bank loans and total deposits.	(Total Credit)/(DPK + Securities issued by the bank) x 100%	Financial Services Authority (OJK) Indonesia
Bank Indonesia Rate	BI Rate	Bank Indonesia sets the benchmark interest rate through the monthly Board of Governors Meeting.		Bank Indonesia
Dummy Covid-19	DCOV	Dummy before and during COVID-19		Worldomete

(estimator for variable X); t is period; ECT is Error Correction Term; μ t is residual.

The regression model used for analysis is presented :

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Short Term Equation :

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta LN_NPL = \ \beta_0 + \beta_1 \Delta NIM_t + \beta_2 \Delta LDR_t \ + \\ \beta_3 \Delta BI \ Rate_t + \beta_4 DCOV_t + ECT \ \dots \dots \dots (1) \end{array}$

Long Term Equation :

 $LN_NPL_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}NIM_{t} + \beta_{2}LDR_{t} + \beta_{3}BI Rate_{t} + \beta_{4}DDCOV_{t} + \mu_{t} \dots (2)$

Where, NPL is Non-Performing Loans; NIM is Net Interest Margins; LDR is Loan to Deposit Ratio; BI Rate is Interest Rate (BI rate); DCOV is Dummy COVID-19; $\beta 0$ is Constant, $\beta 1$ - $\beta 4$ is Coefficient of Regression direction Based on Figure 2, NPL trends tended to fluctuate before the pandemic, increasing and decreasing depending on economic, industry, and banking policy factors. At the same time, NIM also fluctuates, but it is generally stable within a specific range. The relationship between NPLs and NIM before COVID-19 could be influenced by factors such as economic growth, credit quality, and risk management strategies adopted by banks (Erdas & Ezanoglu, 2022; Andana & Baskoro, 2022.



Figure 2. Variable Trend of Net Interest Margin and Non-Performing Loan Source: Banking Statistics Indonesian Financial Services Authority, 2022 (Processed)

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the relationship between NPL and NIM Trends has become more complex and has been affected by the direct impact of this global health crisis. In many cases, NPLs have increased significantly during the pandemic. This is due to decreased purchasing power, business sector disruptions, and economic instability resulting from restrictive and lockdown policies (Ahamed, 2021; Saif et al., 2021). On the other hand, NIM has also changed the pandemic. Banks face pressure on their profit margins due to lower interest rates and declining business activity (Claessens et al., 2018). However, interest rate changes made by central banks to stimulate the economy may also affect the NIM. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, the relationship between NPL and NIM can become more complex. The decline in business revenue and financial difficulties specific sectors face can lead to bad debts that impact NPLs (Tripodi, 2013). Meanwhile, a reduction in interest rates may affect bank interest income and impact NIM.

From the findings presented in Figure 3, it can be observed that there is a correlation between the trends of Non-Performing Loan (NPL) and Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR) variables both before and during the COVID-19

pandemic. Before the pandemic, both NPLs and LDRs experienced small but generally stable fluctuations. However, there have been significant changes in both variables during the pandemic. First, NPLs have increased significantly during the pandemic. The decline in business activities, the decline in people's purchasing power, and the economic instability caused by the pandemic contributed to the increase in NPLs (Ozili, 2021). It can be seen that NPLs experienced a significant increase in May 2020 and May 2021. This indicates pressure on the credit quality provided by banks during the pandemic. LDR has also experienced significant fluctuations during the pandemic. A decline in LDR may reflect a decline in demand for credit from the public during the crisis (Dasgupta, 2020). There is a significant decrease in LDR in certain months, such as June 2020 and June 2021. This indicates a decrease in deposits or an increase in liquidity higher than the demand for credit.



Figure 3. Variable Trend of Loan to Deposit Ratio and Non-Performing Loan Source: Banking Statistics Indonesian Financial Services Authority 2022, Processed

NPL and LDR Trends during the COVID-19 pandemic reflect the negative impact of the pandemic on the banking sector. An increase in NPLs indicates higher credit risk, while a decrease in LDRs reflects challenges in allocating credit funds efficiently (Widyastuti et al., 2017). Therefore, effective risk management and careful monitoring of NPLs and LDRs are essential for banks facing challenging economic conditions due to the pandemic (Riani, 2021). In addition, appropriate policies and interventions from regulators and governments are also needed to maintain the stability of the banking sector during this time of crisis.

Before the pandemic, NPLs remained stable at a high level and did not see significant changes from month to month. This may indicate that the bank's credit quality in that period was relatively poor and requires further attention (Alnabulsi et al., 2022). At the same time, the Interest Rate remains constant at 6%, indicating stability in monetary policy. However, during the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a dramatic change in the trends of the two variables. In September 2020, NPLs experienced a significant increase, reaching much higher levels than previous months. This increase indicates a negative impact of the pandemic on the quality of credit banks provide. Factors such as declining incomes, declining people's purchasing power, and economic instability can be the leading causes of NPL increases during this period (Huang et al., 2021).





Figure 4. Variable Trend of Interest Rate and Non-Performing Loan Source: Banking Statistics Indonesian Financial Services Authority, 2022 (Processed)

In addition, there has been a significant change in the Interest Rate during the pandemic. In July 2020, there was a reduction in interest rates to 5.75%, which is likely a response from monetary authorities to stimulate economic growth and ease the burden of borrowing for debtors during times of crisis. This interest rate reduction can stimulate the business sector to borrow and invest capital and encourage people to take credit (Felipe & Fullwiler, 2020; Fernández et al., 2021). However, it should be noted that lowering interest rates can also have a negative impact, such as declining bank interest income. Furthermore, the Interest Rate declined to 3.5% in February 2021. This continued reduction in interest rates can affect people's decisions to take loans. On the one hand, lowinterest rates can encourage lending activities, reduce the burden of interest payments, and increase consumer purchasing power (Summers, 2015). However, on the other hand, a reduction in interest rates can negatively affect banks' interest income, affecting their profitability.

Overall, the COVID-19 pandemic has significantly impacted NPL and Interest Rate Trends. The increase in NPLs indicates an

increase in credit risk during the crisis, while interest rate reduction is one of the monetary policies used to overcome the economic impact caused by the pandemic. In this situation, banks and monetary authorities must implement sound risk management and appropriate monetary policy to maintain financial sector stability and promote sustainable economic recovery.

Table 2 provides an overview of some relevant statistics related to the observed variables. The mean, median, maximum, and minimum values of Non-Performing Loans (NPL) illustrate poor credit quality in the banking sector. The average NPL of 85453.18 indicates an overall level of poor credit quality. In contrast, a nearly identical median value indicates variation in the distribution of NPLs. The maximum value of NPL reached 110470.0, which indicates a large number of non-current loans. The minimum NPL value of 61477.00 indicates that there are also better-quality loans. A high NPL standard deviation (17246.72) indicates a significant variation in the data. It could indicate a higher level of risk in a bank's loan portfolio.

Table 2. Statistics Descriptive

			1	
	NPL	NIM	LDR	BIRATE
Mean	85453.18	5.216667	85.80000	4.553030
Median	86841.00	5.210000	87.11000	4.500000
Maximum	110470.0	5.660000	92.69000	6.000000
Minimum	61477.00	4.630000	78.37000	3.500000
Std. Dev.	17246.72	0.274519	5.336832	0.941154
Skewness	0.038332	-0.343859	-0.083410	0.374773
Observations	33	33	33	33

Source: Data Processed, 2022

(NIM), figures such as the average and standard rate. The Loan to Deposit ratio describes the ratio deviation provide an overview of the performance of a bank's interest margin. The Loan to Deposit ratio is 85.80000, with the average NIM of 5.216667 indicates the interest margin earned by the bank from its operational 5.336832. The interest rate describes the interest activities. A low standard deviation (0.274519) indicates consistency in the interest margin is 4.553030, with the variation shown by the earned by banks. The figures in the table also standard deviation of 0.941154.

Meanwhile, for Net Interest Margin include the Loan to Deposit ratio and Interest of bank loans to customer funds. The average variation shown by the standard deviation of rate applied by the bank. The average interest rate

Table 3. Unit Root Test

ADE	Levels		Level 1	
ADF variable	t-statistics	Prob	t-statistics	Prob
LOGNPL	-0.90126	0.7748	-5.33410	0.0001***
NIM	-2.27241	0.1866	-7.34009	0.0000***
LDR	-0.32719	0.9096	-3.56013	0.0128***
BIRATE	-1.60496	0.4678	-4.24264	0.0024***
DCOV	-1.13933	0.6877	-5.56776	0.0001***
Note: *** statistical sig	gnificance at 1%			

Source: Data Processed, 2022

Table 4. Cointegration Test

Hypothesized	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistics	Critical Values	Prob
None *	0.771369	92.10595	69.81889	0.0003***
At most 1	0.600454	46.36098	47.85613	0.0686*
At most 2	0.300637	17.92077	29.79707	0.5719
At most 3	0.152395	6.835625	15.49471	0.5968
At most 4	0.053670	1.710068	3.841466	0.1910

Note: ***, **, * statistical significance at 1%, 5% and 10%.

Source: Data Processed, 2022

that the Johansen cointegration test yielded a (H0). These findings suggest a long-term trace statistic value of 92.10, which exceeds the relationship (cointegration) among the variables critical value of α =5% at 69.81. Additionally, the in the dataset. probability value of 0.000 is less than α =5%,

The results presented in Table 4 indicate leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis

Table 5. ECM Model Estimation

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistics	Prob	
С	0.0042	0.0064	0.6511	0.5207	
D(NIM)	0.0361	0.0430	0.8396	0.4088	
D(LDR)	-0.0130	0.0061	-2.1040	0.0452**	
D(BIRATE)	-0.1088	0.0467	-2.3305	0.0278**	
D(DCOV)	-0.0166	0.0447	0.3716	0.0132**	
ECT(-1)	-0.7083	0.1630	-4.3447	0.0002***	
R-Squared	0.4803	F-statistics	4.806	2	
Adjusted R-squared	0.3803	Prob(F-statist	ic) 0.003	0	

Note: ***, ** statistical significance at 1% and 5% Source: Data Processed, 2022

The short-term ECM model was estimated using the least squares method, and the results of the estimation are as follows:

ΔLOGNPL= 0.004219 + 0.036165 ΔΝΙΜ -0.013031 ΔLDR - 0.108899 ΔΒΙRATE + 0.016633ΔDCOV - 0.708300 ECT(3)

While the estimation results when there is an impact of the dummy variable are as follows:

ΔLOGNPL= 0.020852 + 0.036165 ΔΝΙΜ -0.013031 ΔLDR - 0.108899 ΔΒΙRATE -0.708300 ECT(4)

Based on Table 5, Net Interest Margin and DCOV variables positively influence the tstatistic value of 0.371646 with a probability of 0.0132 <0.05. When the dummy was inserted, it turned out that the Covid situation increased the NPL by 0.016633. Based on these results, the magnitude of the constant during a pandemic (0.004219 + 0.016633) is 0.020852. Suppose the independent variables NIM, LDR, BIRATE, and dummy covid are constant or zero. In that case, the NPL during a pandemic is 0.020852. Meanwhile, the Loan to Deposit Ratio variable and the BI Rate have a negative influence. It can be seen that the variables LDR, BI rate, and DCOV have a probability value of $< \alpha$ 5%; this means that these variables are significant to the dependent variable, namely Non-Performing Loans. Whereas the NIM variable has a probability value of $> \alpha$ 5%, the NIM variable is insignificant to the Non-Performing Loan variable.

The estimation equation in the long term, both before and after considering the dummy impact, indicates a positive relationship between the Net Interest Margin and DCOV variables with NPL. This is supported by a t-statistic value of 2.317343 and a probability of 0.0280, which is less than the significance level of 0.05. When the dummy variable was included, it turned out that the Covid situation could increase the NPL by (12.444 + 0,107373), equal to 12.551373. If the independent variables NIM, LDR, BIRATE, and Dummy Covid are constant or zero, then the NPL during a pandemic is 12.551373. Meanwhile, the LDR and BI Rate variables have a negative effect on NPL for all significant variables at $\alpha = 5\%$.

Table 6. Long Term Estimation					
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistics	Prob	
С	12.4441	0.4224	29.4540	0.0000	
NIM	0.1015	0.0484	2.0970	0.0451**	
LDR	-0.0141	0.0030	-4.6757	0.0001***	
BIRATE	-0.1060	0.0186	-5.6934	0.0000***	
DCOV	0.1073	0.0463	2.3173	0.0280**	
R-Squared	0.9773	F-statistics	301.4	11	
Adjusted R-squared	0 9740	Prob(F-statistic)	0.000)	

Note: ***,** statistical significance at 1% and 5% Source: Data Processed, 2022

In the long-term model, utilizing the Least Squares method, the obtained estimations are as follows:

The long-term estimation results when there is a dummy impact are as follows:

LOGNPL= 12.551373 + 0.101556 NIM - 0.01419 LDR - 0.106028 BIRATE(6)

The t-test results indicate that the Net Interest Margin positively affects the Non-Performing Loan (NPL) in the short term, but the effect is not statistically significant. However, the NIM has a positive and statistically significant impact on the NPL in the long term. This means that if the NIM value in a bank is high, it indicates a significant difference between the interest charged to the customer (the debtor) for loans and the interest earned on savings/deposits obtained by the customer. Of course, this also has an impact on increasing bad loans. This research was supported by researchers Ranjan and Dhal (2003) and Umar and Sun (2018).

The t-test results indicate that the Loan Deposit Ratio (LDR) has a negative and statistically significant effect on the Non-Performing Loan (NPL) ratio in the short and long term. This suggests that an increase in the LDR, representing a higher amount of credit extended by the bank, leads to a decrease in the NPL ratio. This relationship can be explained by the fact that the NPL ratio measures the proportion of non-performing loans concerning the total credit provided by the bank, and a higher LDR indicates a higher level of collectability and lower credit risk. Researchers supported this research (Makri et al., 2014; Bolat and Isik, 2016; Saba et al., 2012).

The t-test results indicate that the interest rate (BI Rate) has a negative and statistically significant effect in the short and long term. Banks do not immediately adjust interest rate changes to BI Rate changes. This research was supported by researchers (2012) and Tripodi (2013). However, this research does not support the research conducted by Adeola and Ikpesu (2017), Hasanah and Septiarini (2020), and Tarchouna et al. (2017). This is because an increase in interest rates increases the cost of credit taken, making it more difficult to pay, and consequently, Non-Performing Loans experience growth.

The t-test results for both the short-term and long-term analyses show a positive and statistically significant relationship. Specifically, in the short term, the t-statistic value is 0.371646 with a probability of 0.0132, less than the significance level of 0.05. This suggests that there is a significant effect in the short term. Before the dummy was inserted, the NPL was only 0.004219. In contrast, when the dummy was inserted, it turned out that the Covid-19 situation could increase the NPL by 0.016633. based on these results, the magnitude of the constant during the pandemic (0.004219 + 0.016633) is 0.020852. Suppose the independent variables NIM, LDR, BIRATE, and dummy Covid are constant or zero. In that case, the NPL during the pandemic is 0.020852. The influence of the crisis dummy is in line with research by Karadima and Louri (2020) and Partovi and Matousek (2019).

In the long term, the t-test results indicate that the Dummy Covid variable has a t-statistic value of 2.371343 with a probability of 0.0280, lower than the significance level of 0.05. This suggests a significant effect of the Dummy Covid variable in the long term. Before the dummy was inserted, the NPL was only 12.444. In contrast, when the dummy was inserted, it turned out that the Covid situation could increase the NPL by (12,444 + 0.107373), which was 12.551373. Suppose the independent variables NIM, LDR, BIRATE, and dummy Covid are constant or zero. In that case, the NPL during the pandemic is 12.551373. This can occur because the business sector, such as MSMEs, loses profits because people's purchasing power decreases due to the absence of income for consumer households, resulting in companies or business sectors making fewer sales and the country's overall economic output decreasing. As a result, debtors who have loans to banks cannot fulfill their loan repayment obligations. This causes bad credit,

and the NPL ratio of banks in Indonesia increases. The ongoing increase in NPL ratios renders banks ineffective. It leads to losses due to the absence of funds returning from debtors to banks. Therefore, banks should be more selective in extending their credit so that the risk of nonperforming loans does not increase significantly and is more controlled.

CONCLUSION

Banking NPLs experienced a significant increase during the Covid-19 pandemic. This can happen because the level of people's purchasing power has decreased due to policies implemented by the government during the pandemic, which have an impact on the business sector, such as MSMEs, which have lost profits. After all, people's purchasing power has decreased due to no household income. The government can provide economic stimulus to support the business sector, especially Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). This stimulus includes financial assistance, tax incentives, or loan interest subsidies. This helps MSMEs to survive and prevents bad credit from occurring. Banks can provide payment relief to debtors affected by the pandemic. This relief can be in the form of loan restructuring by extending the payment term, reducing interest rates, or providing a moratorium on principal and interest payments. This step provides space for debtors experiencing financial difficulties due to the pandemic. Banks and financial institutions must improve their supervision and risk management during the pandemic. This includes more careful credit evaluation, close monitoring of loan portfolios, and quick action in identifying potential bad loans. With good risk management, banks can take the necessary preventive steps to reduce the risk of bad credit. Collaboration between the government, banks, and other financial institutions needs to deal with bad loans during the pandemic. The government can provide supportive policies and regulations. In contrast, banks and financial institutions can provide solutions and assistance according to the needs of debtors.

REFERENCES

- Abouraia, M. K., & Othman, S. M. (2017). Transformational Leadership, Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment, and Tumover Intentions: The Direct Effects among Bank Representatives. American Journal of Industrial and Business Management, 07(04), 404–423. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajibm.2017.74029
- Accornero, M., Carpinelli, L., & Sorrentino, A. M. (2017). Non-Performing Loans and the Supply of Bank Credit: Evidence from Italy. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi. org/10.2139/ssrn.2954995
- Adeola, O., & Ikpesu, F. (2017). Macroeconomic Determinants Of Non-Performing Loans In Nigeria: An Empirical Analysis. *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 51(2), 31–43. https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2017.0029
- Ahamed, F. (2021). Macroeconomic Impact of Covid-19: A Case Study on Bangladesh. IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance (IOSR-JEF), 12(1), 2021. https://doi.org/10.9790/5933.1201042429
- Alnabulsi, K., Kozarević, E., & Hakimi, A. (2022). Assessing the determinants of non-performing loans under financial and health crises: evidence from the MENA banks. *Cogent Economics and Finance*, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/233 22039.2022.2124665
- Bacchiocchi, A., Bischi, G. I., & Giombini, G. (2022). Non-performing loans, expectations, and banking stability: A dynamic model. *Chaos, Solitons and Fratals, 157,* 111906. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2022.111906
- Balgova, M., Nies, M., & Plekhanov, A. (2018). The Economic Impact of Reducing Non-Performing Loans. SSRN Electronic Journal, 4. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3119677Bayar, Y. (2019). Macroeconomic, Institutional and
- Bayar, Y. (2019). Macroeconomic, Institutional and Bank-Specific Determinants of Non-Performing Loans in Emerging Market Economies: A Dynamic Panel Regression Analysis. Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice, 8(3), 95–110. https://doi.org/10.2478/jcbr.2019-0026 Beck, T., & Keil, J. (2022). Have banks caught Corona?
- Beck, T., & Keil, J. (2022). Have banks caught Corona? Effects of COVID on lending in the US Journal of Corporate Finance, 72(June 2021), 102160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2022.102160
- Ben Saada, M. (2018). The impact of control quality on the non-performing loans of Tunisian listed banks. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 33(1), 2–15. https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-01-2017-1506 Bolat, S., & Isik, O. (2016). Determinants of non-
- Bolat, S., & Isik, O. (2016). Determinants of nonperforming loans of deposit banks in Turkey. *Pressacademia*, 5(4), 341–350. https://doi.org/ 10.17261/pressacademia.2017.356
- Briceño, H. R., & Perote, J. (2020). Determinants of the public debt in the Eurozone and its sustainability amid the Covid-19 pandemic. Sustainability (Switzerland), 12(16). https://doi.org/10.3390/ su12166456
- Caglayan, M., & Xu, B. (2018). Economic Policy Uncertainty Effects on Credit and Stability of

Financial Institutions. Bulletin of Economic 2001. Research. 1-6 https://doi.org/10.1111/boer.12175

- Ciurel, A. D., & Stoica, T. (2020). Determining Impacts on Non-Performing Loan Ratio in Romania. Review of International Comparative Management, 20(2), 119-129.
- Management, 20(2), https://doi.org/10.24818/rmci.2019.2.155 Claessens, S., Coleman, N., & Donnelly, M. (2018). "Low-For-Long" interest rates and banks' interest margins and profitability: Cross-country evidence. Journal of Financial Intermediation, 35, 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfi.2017.05.004
- Dasgupta, Z. (2020). Economic Slowdown and Financial Fragility. Economic & Political Weekly, lV(13), 46-53. https://www.epw.in/journal/ 2020/13/money-banking-and-finance/ emic-slowdown-and-financial-fragility.html econo
- Felipe, J., & Fullwiler, S. (2020). Adb covid-19 policy database: A guide. Asian Development Review, 37(2), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1162/ adev_a_00147
- Fernández, M. Á. E., Alonso, S. L. N., Jorge-Vázquez, J., & Forradellas, R. F. R. (2021). Central banks' monetary policy in the face of the COVID-19 economic crisis: Monetary stimulus and the emergence of CBDCs. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084242
- Ghosh, A. (2015). Banking-industry specific and regional economic determinants of nonperforming loans: Evidence from US states. Journal of Financial Stability, 20, 93–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2015.08.004
- Hasanah, R., & Septiarini, D. F. (2020). Pengaruh Car, Roa, Bi 7-Day Rate, Dan Inflasi Terhadap Non-Performing Financia Kpr Pada Bank Umum Syariah Periode 2016-2018. Jurnal Ekonomi Syariah Teori Dan Terapan, 7(4), 774. https://doi.org/10.20473/vol7iss20204pp774-794
- Huang, K. X. D., Li, S., & Tian, G. (2021). Chinese economy under the new "Dual Circulation" strategy: Challenges and opportunities - A summary of the annual SUFE macroeconomic report (2020–2021). Frontiers of Economics in China, 16(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.3868/ s060-013-021-0001-0
- Jakab, Z., & Kumhof, M. (2015). Banks are Not Intermediaries of Loanable Funds And Why this Matters. SSRN Electronic Journal, https://doi.org/10.2139/ssm.2612050 1(529).
- Karadima, M., & Louri, H. (2020). Non-performing loans in the euro area: Does bank market power matter? International Review of Financial Analysis, 72(September), 101593. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.irfa.2020.101593
- Konstantakis, K. N., Michaelides, P. G., & Vouldis, A. T. (2016). Non performing loans (NPLs) in a crisis economy: Long-run equilibrium analysis with a real time VEC model for Greece (2001-2015). Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, 451, 149–161. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.physa.2015.12.163

- Kryzanowski, L., Liu, J., & Zhang, J. (2022). Effect of COVID-19 on non-performing loans in China 103372 Finance Research Letters, August, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2022.103372
- Louzis, D. P., Vouldis, A. T., & Metaxas, V. L. (2012). Macroeconomic and bank-specific determinants of non-performing loans in Greece: A comparative study of mortgage, business and consumer loan portfolios. Journal of Banking and 1012 1027 Finance, 36(4), 1012-1027. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2011.10.012 i, V., Tsagkanos, A., & Bellas, A. (2014).
- Makri. Determinants of non-performing loans: The case
- of Eurozone. Panoeconomicus, 61(2), 193–206. https://doi.org/10.2298/PAN1402193M Marcu, M. (2021). The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Banking Sector. Dynamics in the Europed dear Economy. Q2) 205–223. 205-223. Knowledge Economy, 9(2), https://doi.org/10.2478/mdke-2021-0013
- Megasari, L. A., Mas'udah, S., & Febrianto, P. T. (2021). The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic and Work From Home Policy on Poor Communities and Women in Rural Area. Society, 9(2), 522-538. https://doi.org/
- 10.33019/society.v9i2.285 Muhyiddin, M., & Nugroho, H. (2021). A Year of Covid-19: A Long Road to Recovery and Acceleration of Indonesia's Development. Jurnal Perencanaan Pembangunan: The Indonesian Journal
- of Development Planning, 5(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.36574/jpp.v5i1.181
 Muqsith, M. A., Pratomo, R. R., Kuswanti, A., & Muzykant, V. L. (2021). Social solidarity movement to prevent the spread of COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. Masyarakat, Kebudayaan Dev. Belikih. 34(2), 147. https://doi.org/ Dan Politik, 34(2), 147. https://doi.org/ 10.20473/mkp.v34i22021.147-158
- Ozili, P. K. (2019). Non-performing loans and fi nancial development: New Evidence. Journal of Risk Finance, 20(1), 59-81. https://doi.org /10.1108/JRF-07-2017-0112
- Ozili, P. K. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic and economic crisis: the Nigerian experience and structural causes. Journal of Economic Administrative Sciences, 37(4), 401-https://doi.org/10.1108/jeas-05-2020-0074 401 - 418
- Partovi, E., & Matousek, R. (2019). Bank efficiency and non-performing loans: Evidence from Turkey. Research in International Business and Finance, 48(December 2018), 287-309 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2018.12.011 Potocky Tripodi, M. (2013). Micro and macro
- determinants of refugee economic status. Journal of Social Service Research, 27(4), 33-60. https://doi.org/10.1300/J079v27n04_02
- Ranjan, R., & Dhal, S. C. (2003). Non-Performing Loans and Terms of Credit of Public Sector Banks in India: An Empirical Assessment. Reserve Bank of India Occasional Papers, 24(3), 81-121 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 24827074
- Ratten, V. (2020). Coronavirus (covid-19) and entrepreneurship: changing life and work

landscape. Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship, O(0), 503-516. *Entrepreneurship*, 0(0), 503–516 https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2020.17901 67

- Riani, R. (2021). Does COVID-19 Pandemic Affect Bank Credit Risk? *Ekonomi Islam Indonesia*, 3(1). https://doi.org/10.58968/eii.v3i1.42
- https://doi.org/10.58968/eii.v3i1.42
 Saba, I., Kouser, R., & Azeem, M. (2012). Determinants of non performing loans: Case of US banking sector. *The Romanian Economic Journal*, 15(January), 141–152.
 Saif, N. M. A., Ruan, J., & Obrenovic, B. (2021). Sustaining trade during covid-19 pandemic: Estableing a concentrul model including covid.
- Establishing a conceptual model including covid-19 impact. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *13*(10), 1–
- https://doi.org/10.3390/su13105418
 Staehr, K., & Uusküla, L. (2021). Macroeconomic and macro-financial factors as leading indicators of non-performing loans: Evidence from the EU countries. Journal of Economic Studies, 48(3), 720-740. https://doi.org/10.1108/JES-03-2019-0107
- Summers, B. L. H. (2015). Demand Side Secular Stagnation. American Economic Review: Papers & Proceedings, 105(5), 60–65. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/aer. p20151103
- Tarchouna, A., Jarraya, B., & Bouri, A. (2017). How to explain non-performing loans by many corporate governance variables simultaneously? A corporate governance index is built to US commercial banks. Research in International Business and Finance, 42, 645–657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2017.07.008
- Umar, M., & Sun, G. (2018). Determinants of non-performing loans in Chinese banks. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 12(3), 273–289. https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-01-2016-0005Widyastuti, U., Dedi, P. E. S., & Zulaihati, S. (2017). Internal Determinants of Commercial Bank Profitability In Indonesia Mediterraneem Journal
- Internat Determinants or Commercial Bank Profitability In Indonesia. Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 8(3), 219–223. https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2017.v8n3p219
 Wu, S. W., Nguyen, M. T., & Nguyen, P. H. (2022). Does loan growth impact on bank risk? Heliyon, 8(8), e10310, https://doi.org/10.1016/
- 8(8), e10319. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.heliyon.2022.e10319
- Yüksel, S. (2017). Determinants of the credit risk in developing countries after economic crisis: A case of Turkish banking sector. Contributions to
- case of Turkish banking sector. Contributions to Economics, 2018, 401–415. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-3-319-470214_28
 Zheng, C., Bhowmik, P. K., & Sarker, N. (2020). Industry-specific and macroeconomic determinants of non-performing loans: A comparative analysis of ARDL and VECM. Sustainability (Switzerland), 12(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010325/

Non-Performing Loans Indonesian Banking Industry: Before and During Covid-19 Pandemic

	ALITY REPORT		_		
SIMILA	<mark>%</mark> Arity index	3% INTERNET SOURCES	4% PUBLICATIONS	2% STUDENT PAP	PERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES				
1	C. Baige could ca 1/19/20 Publication	nt. "Article mak ause unnecessar 02	es simple erro ry deaths", BN	ors and IJ,	1%
2	Cep Jane Purwan Rakhma relation prudent banking Publication	di Anwar, Indra da, Agus Salim, wati, Ferry Jie. " ship between m ial policy and cr ; industry", Heliy	Suhendra, Eka Nur Annisa Investigating t ionetary policy redit risk in Inc ron, 2023	a :he /, macro- donesia	1%
3	Dinesh Specific Loans o Percept Entrepre 2024 Publication	Kumar Pant. "Im Factors on Leve f Nepalese Com ion of Bankers", eneurship & Ma	pact of Borro I of Non-Perfo mercial Banks Journal of nagement Stu	wers' orming s: A idies,	1 %
4	ajses.az Internet Sour	ce			1%

Exclude quotes On Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches < 1%