2._Valuation_using_scoring_in_peatland.pdf

by Dwiki Faraszahy

Polish
Journal of Environmental
Studies

Original research

Valuation using scoring in peatland restoration areas of perigi village for pineapple crop land suitability

Keywords
peat soil, land evaluation, restoration, Pineapple

Abstract
Human activities in peatlands, such as for cultivation and recreation, can influence ecosystem productivity and carbon emissions by altering the water table levels. Elevated water tables maintain anoxic conditions within the peat, which slows decomposition and promotes peat accumulation. In the context of land suitability, data is required to match criteria to appropriate crops. This study aims to evaluate the land suitability for pineapple cultivation in peatlands. It is expected that the findings will support various conservation activities and land utilization, especially for pineapple crops, in efforts toward sustainable peatland rehabilitation. The research method employs classification and matching techniques. Field sampling was conducted using direct observation methods, while laboratory testing followed established guidelines. The results indicate that peat depth is a limiting factor in sample codes D1, D2, and D3. Peat maturity levels present limitations for most sample codes, except for D1, D3, and P3. Issues related to flood hazard height were identified in peatland areas undergoing restoration in Perigi village, where sample codes D1 and D3 have water table heights above the ground surface. Based on the potential land suitability, recommendations for land improvement include the addition of lime , fertilizer, and canal revitalization.

Authors
Dr. Bakri Bakri Bakri
Soil Science, Sriwijaya University

Prof. Momon sodik Imanudin
Soil Science, Sriwijaya University

Master As'ad Syazili Syazili
Soil Science, Sriwijaya University

Dr. Muh Bambang Prayitno
Soil Science, Sriwijaya University

Prof. Rujito Agus Suwignyo
Agronomy, Sriwijaya University

Dr. Eunho Choi Choi
Future Forest Strategy Department, National Institute of Forest Science,

Dr. Agus Hermawan Hermawan
Soil Science, Sriwijaya University Faculty of Agriculture

Dr. Hyunyoung Yang Yang
Future Forest Strategy Department, National Institute of Forest Science,, Future Forest Strategy Department, National Institute of Forest Science,

Explanation letter
The paper was revised according to the reviewer suggestion

Answer1 regarding review coment.pdf

Polish
Journal of Environmental
Studies

Pol. J. Environ. Stud. Vol. X, No. X (2025),
DOI: 10.15244/pjoes/183563

www.pjoes.com

(Original Research)

Valuation Using Scoring In Peatland Restoration Areas Of Perigi Village For Pineapple Crop Land Suitability

Bakri [1,†,‡], Momon Sodik imannudin[1, ‡], As'ad Syazili[1,‡], Eunho Choi [2,f)], Hyunyoung Yang[3g], Muh Bambang Prayitno[1], Agus Hermawan[1]. Rujito A Suwignyo[2]

[1] Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Sriwijaya University, Palembang-Prabumulih Street, Km 32, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir 30662, South Sumatra,Indonesia
[2] Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Sriwijaya University, Palembang-Prabumulih Street, Km 32, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir 30662, South Sumatra,Indonesia
[3] Global Forestry Division, Future Forest Strategy Department, National Institute of Forest Science,
Seoul 02455, Republic of Korea
* Correspondence: bakri@fp.unsri.ac.id; momonsodikimanudin@fp.unsri.ac.id; asadsyazili@fp.unsri.ac.id; [f] ehchoi710@korea.kr;, [g]hyhy0672@korea.kr
† Current address: Universitas Sriwijaya.
‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received:
Accepted:

Abstract

Human activities in peatlands, such as for cultivation and recreation, can influence ecosystem productivity and carbon emissions by altering the water table levels. Elevated water tables maintain anoxic conditions within the peat, which slows decomposition and promotes peat accumulation. In the context of land suitability, data is required to match criteria to appropriate crops. This study aims to evaluate the land suitability for pineapple cultivation in peatlands. It is expected that the findings will support various conservation activities and land utilization, especially for pineapple crops, in efforts toward sustainable peatland rehabilitation. The research method employs classification and matching techniques. Field sampling was conducted using direct observation methods, while laboratory testing followed established guidelines. The results indicate that peat depth is a limiting factor in sample codes D1, D2, and D3. Peat maturity levels present limitations for most sample codes, except for D1, D3, and P3. Issues related to flood hazard height were identified in peatland areas undergoing restoration in Perigi village, where sample codes D1 and D3 have water table heights above the ground surface. Based on the potential land suitability, recommendations for land improvement include the addition of lime , fertilizer, and canal revitalization.

Keywords: land suitability, limiting factor, peat lands, pineapple

Introduction

Peatlands are unique ecosystems formed over thousands of years through the accumulation of thick layers of dead plant material known as peat [1, 2]. The formation and decomposition processes of peat are governed by complex ecohydrological feedback mechanisms [3, 4, 5]. In peatland ecosystems, the consistent accumulation of organic matter exceeds the rate of decomposition over extended periods, ranging from decades to millennia, allowing peat to continuously accumulate. However, the dynamics of this mass balance can vary significantly across different types of peatlands. In northern peatlands, peat accumulation predominantly occurs due to limited decomposition under cold, acidic, and anoxic soil conditions [6]. Consequently, the rate of decomposition, encompassing the entire peat profile, is generally slower than the rate of organic matter input [7, 8]. In tropical peatlands, the peat formation processes differ. Relatively warm air conditions in tropical swamps enhance high gross ecosystem productivity while also promoting rapid decomposition rates. As a result, in these ecosystems, peat accumulation is primarily influenced by the accumulation of dead roots and belowground rhizomes, although these components constitute only a small fraction of the total gross ecosystem productivity [9, 10, 11, 12].

Limited land for agriculture and plantations in tropical areas has forced the use of large-scale peatlands. Drained peatlands experience changes in circulation patterns that affect their ability to retain water and the volume of water flowing out of the peatlands. Each year, the amount of water flowing out of drained peatlands is clearly greater than that of natural peatlands. Drainage of peatlands has lowered the groundwater table and created aerobic conditions that cause peat mineralization, and as a result, the release of CO_2 into the atmosphere. Added by Tropical peatlands contain about one-sixth of the global soil carbon pool [13, 14]. In recent decades, tropical peatland areas have been converted into agricultural forest and plantation production areas [15, 16]. The emission rate from drained peatlands is estimated to reach 785 Mt CO2 equivalent globally and groundwater conditions are considered to be the main controlling factor [17, 18, 19], where higher groundwater levels result in lower CO_2 emissions [20, 21, 22]. Therefore, future peatland clearing must be carried out carefully. Land evaluation is needed so that agricultural commodity allocation plans are in accordance with the biophysical conditions of peatlands in order to realize sustainable agriculture.

Human activities in peatlands, such as for agricultural and plantation activities, can affect gross ecosystem productivity and carbon emissions by altering water table levels. Elevated water tables maintain anoxic conditions within the peat, which slows decomposition and promotes peat accumulation [23]. Additionally, adequate water availability supports the growth of peat-forming plants, such as Sphagnum mosses [24]. Therefore, peatlands affected by human activities, such as excessive drainage, exhibit varying degrees of degradation and carbon loss [25, 26]. Efforts to restore peatlands and understand the impacts of land use changes are essential to prevent further carbon emissions, promote carbon storage, protect habitats, and support human well-being [27]. In the context of peatland restoration, there are significant gaps in research regarding the duration required for peatlands to fully recover net carbon accumulation post-restoration, making the effectiveness and timelines of such efforts uncertain. Therefore, accurate mapping of peatland degradation is crucial for planning and implementing effective restoration strategies. Remote sensing technology offers superior tools for the classification, monitoring, reporting, and verification of peatland degradation 218].

Land use changes driven by human activities have significant negative impacts on the physicochemical properties of peatlands and the primary functions of peatlands, such as water and carbon storage [21, 29]. Key indicators for assessing the impact of land use changes on peatlands and the loss of carbon storage capacity include peat subsidence and greenhouse gas emissions [30]. Peat subsidence after drainage occurs through four potential mechanisms: 1) peat surface lowering due to carbon loss through heterotrophic greenhouse gas emissions; 2) shrinkage caused by the physical contraction of peat after drainage; 3) consolidation of peat below the water surface, resulting from the aeration of surface layers leading to the loss of buoyancy; and 4) physical compaction due to altered land activities [12, 30]. Although carbon loss through subsidence and greenhouse gas emissions is primarily driven by the transition from anoxic to aerobic conditions [31], it can be further influenced by various factors such as microbial community structure [32]. nutrient concentrations [33], and the physicochemical properties of peat [34]. The key to sustainable cultivation of crops on peatlands is in controlling the water level. The water management model for agriculture or plantations must be with a controlled drainage pattern. Rewetting, which involves returning peatlands to waterlogged conditions, reduces peat oxidation and fire risks while restoring various critical ecosystem functions. Reported by [35], control drainage system for water management in peat soil is the best option for reducing nutrient loosed and over drainage. Control drainage is capable reducing the amount of channel discharge (up to 862 mm) over the 1.5 year simulation period, And the increase in groundwater levels was not too large (average difference 0.01–0.17 and 0.10–0.21 m in thin and thick peatlands). The controlled drainage model has a higher potential for increasing groundwater for soil with thick peat compared to shallow peat layers [36] this model is suitable for water conservation efforts. Controlled drainage can slow down subsidence and other adverse impacts on the drainage system, reduce the risk of fire and adverse effects on plants caused by fluctuations in the water level on the land. Controlled drainage is obtained by designing the system so that the water level can be maintained at a water level at an effective depth that is more or less constant throughout the year. Controlled drainage (CD) is one of the basic water management techniques used to maintain the desired groundwater depth. Farmers can optimize water levels for plant growth at various stages of the growing season and can also reduce the risk of peatland fires [37, 38]. The Indonesian government has issued Government Regulation (PP) Number 57 of 2016 concerning Amendments to Indonesian Government Regulation Number 71 of 2014 concerning Protection and Management of Peat Ecosystems. Utilization of Peat Ecosystems must be carried out by maintaining the hydrological function of peat, namely maintaining the groundwater level (TMAT) no more than 0.4 meters (40 cm) below the ground surface. Utilization of land for food agriculture by maintaining a groundwater depth of 30-40 cm also prevents carbon emissions [39, 40]. To increase the groundwater level, canal blocking is carried out. Rewetting efforts with canal blocking are effective in preventing water loss, storing rainwater and increasing groundwater levels [41, 42, 43].

Land evaluation to determine its benefits suitability in crop cultivation is very necessary. The level of peatland fertility is determined by three component properties, namely thickness, waterlogging sources, and the type of mineral soil under the peat. These three property components are the main limiting factors in land evaluation [44]. The land evaluation process for agriculture is a complex, multidisciplinary and multi-criteria process, which considers topographic data, climate, availability of water resources for irrigation, soil capability and current management practices including land use and cover conditions. Furthermore, adequate knowledge of appropriate strategies to improve land deficiencies is also needed to increase suboptimal crop productivity. Furthermore, knowledge and information on land evaluation can be accessed by farmers and related parties to be utilized in farming activities [45, 46]. Thus, land evaluation is an important activity in agricultural planning.

Land sustainability can be understood as the responsible use and management of land based on land system knowledge, aiming to ensure the continuity of land functions and productivity for current and future generations while maintaining environmental integrity [47, 48]. Land use changes driven by anthropogenic activities negatively impact the physicochemical properties of peat soil and key functions such as water and carbon storage. In the context of land suitability, data is required to match criteria to appropriate crops [49]. This study aims to evaluate the land suitability for pineapple cultivation in peatlands, considering the issues related to peatlands that require further investigation.

Pineapple (*Ananas comosus* L.) is a herbaceous plant that can grow year-round and belongs to the monocot class. This perennial plant has a flower arrangement at the tip of the stem and propagates using side shoots that develop into vegetative branches, eventually producing fruit [50, 51]. The pineapple plant consists of roots, stems, leaves, fruit stalks, fruits, crowns, and suckers (fruit stalk shoots or slips, shoots emerging from leaf axils or shoots, and shoots emerging from the stem below the soil surface or suckers). Parts of the pineapple plant that can be used for propagation include the crown, sucker, and slip [52, 53]. According to research conducted by [54], pineapple seedlings from suckers have a harvest age of 18-20 months, crowns 22-24 months, and slips around 20 months. Reported by [55], Mineral soil and peat soil can be used for cultivating pineapple plants. Based on this, the study was conducted to evaluate the physicochemical parameters of the land in order to assess its suitability for pineapple cultivation in peatlands. This research is expected to support various conservation and land utilization activities, particularly for pineapple crops, in efforts towards sustainable peatland rehabilitation.

Experimental

Study Design

This study was conducted by establishing a framework and performing direct observations at the research site. The selection of sampling points was based on a basemap indicating the location of the Peatland Restoration Area in Perigi Village, Pangkalan Lampam District (Figure 1). Sampling points were then chosen using a random sampling method. Soil was collected from each designated location to a depth of 0-60 cm from the soil surface.



Figure 1. Research Location "The Mapping Peat Restoration Area Scale 1:20.000"

The study was conducted in the Peatland Restoration Area of Perigi Village, with growth criteria derived from various references, including the study by [56]. Land assessment in this area has been adjusted to field conditions and relevant references. Several modifications have been applied for rice, corn, and perennial crops on peatlands. Local communities and the government have implemented environmental modifications to enhance the productivity of peatlands as part of the restoration program. Land Sustainability Analysis with the available land characteristic data, the next process is land evaluation, which involves matching the land characteristics of each soil map unit (SPU) with the growth/land use requirements [57].

Table 1. Land Use Requirements of Pineapple

Land Use Requirements/Characteristics	Land Suitability Class			
	S1	S2	S3	N
Temperature (tc)				
Average Temperature (°C)	20-26	25-30	30-35	>35
		18-20	16-18	<16
Water Availability (wa)				
Rainfall	100-1600	800-1000	600-800	<600
Peat (p)		1600-2000	>2.000	<30
Thickness (cm)	<50	50-100	100-200	>200
Maturity	Saprik	Saprik-Hemik	Hemik	Fibrik
Nutrient Retention (nr)				
Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) (cmol)	>16	5-16	<5	-
Base Saturation (%)	>35	20-15	<20	-
pH H_2O (plain water pH)	5-6.5	4.3-5	<4.3	-
		6.5-7	>7	-
Organic C (%)	>1.2	0.8-1.2	<0.8	-
Nutrients Availability (na)				
Total N (Total Nitrogen) (%)	Medium	low	Very low	-
P_2O_5 (Phosphorus Pentoxide) (mg/100 g)	Medium	low	Very low	-
K_2O (Potassium Oxide) (mg/100 g)	Medium	low	Very low	-
Sodicity (s)				
Alkalinity/ESP (%)	<10	10-15	15-20	<30
Flood Hazard (fh)				
Height (cm)	-	-	-	25
Duration (day)	-	-	-	<7

Source: [56, 6].

Based on Table 1, the land suitability for pineapple cultivation is demonstrated. The table has been adjusted according to the criteria established in the land suitability guidelines for pineapple crops. Once the data is processed through both laboratory and non-laboratory analyses, it is categorized according to the applicable criteria. In the final stage, a matching method is used to determine whether the land is suitable for pineapple cultivation. Matching is the process for determine the suitability rating of soils were carried out by comparing the qualities of the soil with the requirements of pineapple [58]. The assessment is based on the following parameters: 1) pH, 2) Organic Carbon (C-Organic), 3) Total Nitrogen (N-Total), 4) Available Phosphorus (P-Available), 5) Exchangeable Potassium (K-dd), 6) Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC), 7) Depth and maturity, 8) Water table depth, 9) Rainfall, and 10) Average temperature as referenced in Table 1 regarding land suitability for pineapple crops [59].

- Class S1: Land without significant limiting factors or only minor limitations that do not materially affect sustainable use or land productivity.
- Class S2: Land with limitations that affect productivity and require additional inputs. These limitations can generally be managed by the farmer.

- Class S3: Land with severe limitations significantly impacting productivity, requiring substantial additional inputs compared to S2 land. Addressing these limitations may require substantial investment, involving government or private sector intervention.
- Class N: Land that is unsuitable due to very severe limitations and/or difficulties in overcoming them.

The laboratory analysis methods used to test soil chemical properties in this study involved several techniques according to the tested parameters, as outlined in Table 2. These analyses were conducted to assess the chemical content of soil samples representing the area's soil.

Table 2. Chemical Content of Soil Samples

No	Analysis	Method
1	Soil pH	Electrometer
2	Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)	Sodium Saturation
3	Organic Carbon (C-Organic)	Walkey and Black
4	Total Nitrogen (N-Total)	Kjeldahl
5	P2O5 Content	P-Bray
6	K2O Content	Flame Photometer

Results and Discussion

Soil Sample Analysis Results

Land suitability classes were determined based on the criteria and analysis conducted for each parameter studied. In the scope of this study, the parameters investigated include chemical and physical soil characteristics, peat properties, flood hazard levels, temperature values, and water availability [60]. These factors were analyzed to determine the land suitability class of the researched area. In this study, the temperature values and water availability can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Temperature Values and Water Availability in Perigi Village

Temperature (tc)	Value	Classification
Average Temperature (°C)	30	S2
Water Availability (wa)		
Rainfall	116 day/years	S1

Land suitability classes were determined based on the criteria and analysis conducted for each parameter studied. In the scope of this study, the parameters investigated include chemical and physical soil characteristics, peat properties, flood hazard levels, temperature values, and water availability. These factors were analyzed to determine the land suitability class of the researched area. In this study, the temperature values and water availability can be seen in Table 3.

Based on the data in Table 3, the average temperature in Perigi Village was recorded at 30°C, categorized as S2. This S2 classification indicates that while the temperature may not

Polish Journal of Environmental Studies

be ideal for the growth of some crops requiring lower temperatures for optimal production, it can still support various types of crops with certain adaptations. Additionally, water availability in the village is indicated by a rainfall frequency of 116 days per year, classified as S1. The S1 classification signifies that the water availability in Perigi Village is excellent, with sufficient rainfall to meet the water needs of crops throughout the year. The combination of relatively high average temperatures and abundant water availability allows for more strategic agricultural planning, particularly in selecting suitable crop types and implementing efficient irrigation methods to enhance agricultural yields. With proper management, the agricultural potential in Perigi Village can be optimized to support the well-being of the local community. Water table dynamic also recorded. Reported by [61], food agriculture was adaptive growth in peat land under maintenance water table 40-50 cm. This condition can also create a moist root zone and avoid the danger of land fires. Peat characteristics and water surface details can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Characteristics of Peatland and Water Surface

Code	Thickness (cm)	Classification	Maturity	Classification	Floodwater depth (cm)	Classification
D1	Deep	S3	Sapric	S1	47	S3
D2	Deep	S3	Hemic	S2	22	S1
D3	Deep	S3	Sapric	S1	28	S3
P1	Shallow	S1	Hemic	S2	11	S1
P2	Shallow	S1	Hemic	S2	19	S1
P3	Shallow	S1	Sapric	S1	12	S1
S1	Moderate	S2	Sapric	S1	10	S1
S2	Moderate	S2	Sapric	S1	15	S1
S3	Moderate	S2	Sapric	S1	17	S1

In **Table 4**, the displayed data encompasses code, thickness, classification, maturity, classification, and flood height, as well as their respective classifications for various types of peatland and water surfaces. For example, peatland with code D1 is categorized as Deep (S3) in terms of thickness, Sapric (S1) in terms of maturity, and has a flood height of 47 cm classified as S3. Peatland with code D2 is categorized as Deep (S3) in terms of thickness, Hemic (S2) in terms of maturity, and has a flood height of 22 cm classified as S1. Peatland with code P1 is categorized as Shallow (S1) in terms of thickness, Hemic (S2) in terms of maturity, and has a flood height of 11 cm classified as S1. The data provided in this table offers essential information regarding the physical and hydrological conditions of peatlands, which significantly influences decision-making in land suitability planning and management actions. Additionally, **Table 5** illustrates the chemical aspects investigated in determining the suitability level of the land in the Perigi village peatland restoration area.

Table5. Chemistry Aspect of Peatland in Perigi Village

Code	**CEC**	**Classification**	**pH**	**Classification**	**C-organik**	**Classification**	**N-total**	**Classification**	**P_2O_5**	**Classification**
	cmol		**H_2O**		**%**		**%**		**Ppm**	
D1 0-30	17,5	S1	3,23	S3	18,96	S1	0,63	S1	39,73	S1
D1 30-60	22,5	S1	3,32	S3	19,73	S1	0,49	S1	41,615	S1

D2 0-30	22,5	S1	3,39	S3	18,57	S1	0,74	S1	30,015	S1
D2 30-60	20	S1	3,43	S3	18,96	S1	0,58	S1	46,98	S1
D3 0-30	22,5	S1	3,52	S3	17,80	S1	0,81	S1	42,195	S1
D3 30-60	22,5	S1	3,49	S3	18,96	S1	0,53	S1	46,545	S1
P1 0-30	20	S1	3,50	S3	18,18	S1	0,39	S1	51,765	S1
P1 30-60	20	S1	3,27	S3	20,12	S1	0,78	S1	45,965	S1
P2 0-30	22,5	S1	3,20	S3	18,18	S1	0,75	S1	42,485	S1
P2 30-60	20	S1	3,48	S3	21,67	S1	0,72	S1	58,29	S1
P3 0-30	20	S1	3,25	S3	20,89	S1	1,35	S1	52,345	S1
P3 30-60	20	S1	3,56	S3	15,86	S1	0,40	S1	42,34	S1
S1 0-30	22,5	S1	3,45	S3	22,83	S1	0,71	S1	39,585	S1
S1 30-60	22,5	S1	3,61	S3	23,22	S1	0,77	S1	53,36	S1
S2 0-30	22,5	S1	3,44	S3	17,02	S1	0,56	S1	50,315	S1
S2 30-60	20	S1	3,70	S3	20,12	S1	0,60	S1	49,3	S1
S3 0-30	22,5	S1	3,45	S3	22,05	S1	0,72	S1	55,245	S1
S3 30-60	22,5	S1	3,68	S3	26,31	S1	0,46	S1	45,675	S1

Table 5 shows various chemical parameters of peat soil in Perigi Village, including cation exchange capacity (CEC), pH, organic carbon content (C-organic), total nitrogen (N-total), and P2O5, along with their classifications. The classification levels in the table indicate that the parameters CEC, C-organic, N-total, and P_2O_5 for all samples from each code fall into the S1 category, meaning they are highly suitable, with the conclusion that the levels of these parameters meet the required standards. However, the pH parameter is categorized as S3 due to the natural condition of peat soil, where over 90% of its composition is organic material or microorganisms, which affects the soil pH condition. According to [62], Analysis of drained peat swamps in agricultural areas shows a pH (1:2.5) of 3.7. Usually the pH drops because the soil contains sulfide material which is oxidized during drainage. This chemical reaction can cause the soil to become acidic. Soil still contains sulfide material, and can experience oxidation in the future, thereby creating a risk of land damage.

Land suitability assessment is distinguished into two contexts: actual and potential suitability [63]. The calculation of these methods uses a matching method, aligning classifications according to the guidelines for determining land suitability classes based on USGS standards. **Table 5** displays the actual land suitability classes.

Table 5. Actual Land Suitability

Code	**Soil Taxonomy**	**Land Suitability Subclass (LSS)**	**Limiting Factors**
D1	Histosol	S1-nr/p1/fh	Actual pH, peat depth, flood hazard height
D2	Histosol	S1-nr/p1/p2	Actual pH, peat depth, and peat maturity
D3	Histosol	S1-nr/p1/fh	Actual pH, peat depth, flood hazard height
P1	Histosol	S1-nr/p2	Actual pH and peat maturity
P2	Histosol	S1-nr/p2	Actual pH and peat maturity
P3	Histosol	S1-nr	Actual pH
S1	Histosol	S1-nr/p2	Actual pH and peat maturity
S2	Histosol	S1-nr/p2	Actual pH and peat maturity
S3	Histosol	S1-nr/p2	Actual pH and peat maturity

Based on the results in **Table 5,** it can be concluded that pH is a limiting factor for all soil samples. Peat depth is a limiting factor for sample codes D1, D2, and D3, while peat maturity is a limiting factor for almost all sample codes except D1, D3, and P3. There is an issue related to flood hazard height in the peatland restoration area in Perigi Village, where sample codes D1 and D3 have water surface heights above the ground level in the area. Therefore, potential land suitability is based on the limiting factors found in actual land suitability. Conclusions can be drawn from the preparation of recommendations to address the limiting factors of actual land suitability, as seen in **Table 6**.

Table 6. Potential Land Suitability

Code	Soil Taxonomy	Land Suitability Subclass (LSS)	Recomendation
D1	Histosol	S1	
D2	Histosol	S1	
D3	Histosol	S1	
P1	Histosol	S1	
P2	Histosol	S1	The addition of lime and canal revitalization.
P3	Histosol	S1	
S1	Histosol	S1	
S2	Histosol	S1	
S3	Histosol	S1	

The potential land suitability is derived from the actual land suitability values, with recommendations based on each limiting factor. It is concluded that the addition of lime is necessary to increase the pH to a neutral level or in accordance with the requirements for pineapple crop suitability. Additionally, canal revitalization and develop hydraulic structure is required to improve the irrigation system in the area. A control drainage system by maintaining the water level in a channel 40 cm below the embankment is the best option to prevent water loss in the land and reduce nutrient loss due to leaching. By maintaining the water table below 30-40 cm, plant water needs are directly met from capillary water movement

.

Discussion

The study area exhibits a temperature classified as S2 and water availability classified as S1. These two parameters are absolute parameters whose values align with the natural environmental conditions of the area where the soil samples were collected. The analysis of area temperature and water availability was conducted at the regional level, calculated based on the annual average values of the area. Theoretically, if these natural conditions are limiting factors, the recommendations do not apply because they are inherent environmental conditions that cannot be specifically treated for improvement.

Peat depth is a key determinant of land manageability. Peat layers exceeding 3 meters in thickness are generally considered unmanageable for agricultural use. The soil is considered peat if the thickness of the organic material is more than 50 cm. The maturity process of peat soil is determined by the duration of anaerobic or aerobic processes in the soil, meaning that the water table is the main determinant of peat soil maturity. In this study, the water table was mostly classified as S1, with only D1 and D3 classified as S3. In terms of the chemical characteristics of peat soil in the area, only the pH parameter is a limiting factor for land suitability for pineapple crops in the Perigi village peatland restoration area. Soil pH greatly affects soil fertility and plant growth because it affects the availability of nutrients for plants. Ideal soil pH (around 6-7) allows plants to absorb essential nutrients easily, while pH that is too acidic or alkaline can interfere with nutrient absorption and even cause poisoning in plants. Therefore, soil reaction (pH) is an important parameter and indicator of soil fertility. The research area is peat soil with a pH value of 3.2-3.7 (Table 5). with very acidic criteria. This condition is very unsuitable for plant growth and development and is a limiting factor for agricultural cultivation. Pineapple plants themselves require a pH of 4.5-6.5. Efforts to increase soil pH can be done by providing agricultural lime and providing ameliorant materials. [64], Providing 15 tons/hectare of manure can also increase chili plant production, increase pH and also reduce carbon emissions. Other parameters received an overall classification of S1, meaning they are highly suitable in terms of the chemical conditions of the peatland.

According to Table 5, all sample codes have overall limiting factors related to actual pH. Additionally, flood hazard height is a limiting factor for sample codes D1 and D3. Peat depth is a limiting factor for sample codes D1-D3. The maturity level of peat soil is a limiting factor for almost all sample codes, except D1, D3, and P3. The potential land suitability based on Table 6 shows that actual results can be provided with recommendations according to guidelines, allowing for improvements to specific land conditions to support the land suitability for pineapple crops in the peatland restoration area of Perigi village, Ogan Komering Ilir Regency, South Sumatra Province. In the context of potential land suitability, recommendations for land improvement include the addition of lime and canal revitalization in the land or peatland area. Reported by [65], The liming material used for peatlands is dolomite powder which functions to raise the soil pH from s 3.7 (hemic peat) and 3.8 (sapric peat) to 5.5. Based on the results of the lime requirement test, hemic peat requires 91.5 g of dolomite per pot (equivalent to 10.4 t ha-1) and sapric peat requires 73.8 g of dolomite per pot (equivalent to 9.72 t ha-1). Added by [66] Providing 3 t ha-1 of dolomite lime and a dose of NPK fertilizer had a significant effect on the growth and yield of shallots. Providing NPK fertilizer at a dose of 100 kg N ha-1, 100 kg P_2O_5 ha-1 and 100 kg K_2O ha-1 gives the highest bulb yield (7 t ha-1).

Table 7. Land inundation conditions in Perigi area as observed in December 2024. The deeper the peat depth, the deeper the land experiences inundation. In deep peat type, the puddle height reaches between 30-40 cm. For industrial crop cultivating seasonal crops such as pineapples, mounding must be made with a pile height of 100 cm from the ground surface. With this condition, at least 30-40 cm will appear on the soil surface and can make pineapple plants grow normally. In shallow and medium peat, the puddle is at a depth of 20-30 cm, so it is sufficient to fill the soil with a height of 70-80 cm for pineapple cultivation. Under these conditions, land suitability will be significantly improved if cultivation is conducted using a mounding technique or there is a raised part of the land. Pineapple cultivation cannot be done

directly due to waterlogging problems. Inundation that occurs in the study area can last more than 6 months, so it will have an impact on plant physiology. Pineapple plants are not tolerant of prolonged waterlogging and should not be submerged for more than two months. [67].

Peat land for pineapple cultivation produces the lowest CO_2 production and GWP compared to maize cultivation and scrubs. Maintenance water level under 30-40 cm would reduce the CO_2 emission. Agriculture activities could minimize land degradation process [68]. Field trials of agroforestry model pineapple plants were successfully cultivated on peatlands. The maximum groundwater level is at a depth of -30-40 cm [69].

Table 7. The relationship of peat depth and soil mounding level

Sapling code	Peat thickness	Puddle Height (cm)	Soil Mounding under water (cm)	Soil Mounding above water (cm)
D1	Deep	47	83	36
D2	Deep	22	70	48
D3	Deep	28	86	58
P1	shallow	11	86	75
P2	shallow	19	74	63
P3	shallow	12	80	68
S1	Medium	10	25	15
S2	Medium	15	50	35
S3	Medium	17	45	29

Source: Soil Survey of December 10, 2024.





Figure 2. Development of pineapple plants in the peat land Perigi area of OKI South Sumatera Indonesia.

Furthermore, flooding experiments were carried out on plants entering vegetative growth at (plant age 11 months). Plants received flooding treatment to see their resistance to growing in flooded conditions. After a month of flooding, the plants were still alive but had started to turn yellow from the tips of the leaves (Figure 3). In the control treatment, the plants showed that they were starting to flower (Figure 4).

Figure 3. Plants aged 1 month under flooding

Figure 4. Condition of 12 month old plants start to flower (Control treatment)

Pineapple is a plant that cannot tolerate soil conditions that are too wet or flooded excessively or continuously. Flooded land conditions cause decreased growth and production and susceptibility to root rot, which can cause plant death [70]. Flooding treatment is continued so that it has long adaptability. Figure 5 shows plant growth during the 2 month flooding period. Next, the plants are returned to normal conditions (dry land). From these conditions it can be concluded that pineapple plants can survive in flooded conditions for 2 months. On the other hand Forestry plants have a tolerance level for longer accumulation. Studies report that S. balangeran and C. arborescence have relatively high survival rates and are resistant to saturated peat conditions for 13 weeks [71]. The development of agricultural cultivation on peatlands is prioritized on peat thicknesses of less than 50 cm. The Multi-Purpose Tree Species (MPTS) pattern is very good for reducing production failures. In the OKI area, South Sumatra, many farmers develop pineapple plants in oil palm plantations. The plants are very well growth and high production until the palm is under 5 years old [72, 69].

Figure 5. Plant growth conditions during 2 months of flooding

Pineapple plants are more tolerant to wet conditions. Plants can survive in flooded conditions for 1-2 weeks. However, plant growth will be good if the soil is in good drainage conditions. Cultivation of plants in wetlands, especially in peatlands, is highly dependent on controlling the groundwater level. The optimum groundwater depth for pineapple plant growth is between 30-40 cm [73,74]. [75], added that a maintenance water table of 30-40 cm can also increase more available macronutrients and better nutrient absorption rates. The study area experienced flooding for 5-6 months, on the other hand, in the dry season the groundwater dropped to 70-100 cm. Therefore, water control is important. A controlled drainage system is the best option to ensure the groundwater level is in accordance with plant growth. To avoid plants being submerged during the rainy season, efforts are needed to raise the land (surjan model). The height of the fill soil is 20 cm from the average highest water level.

Conclusions

The limiting factors for all soil samples include peat depth for sample codes D1, D2, and D3, and peat maturity for almost all sample codes except D1, D3, and P3. There are issues related to flood hazard height in the peatland restoration area of Perigi Village, where sample codes D1 and D3 have water surface heights above ground level. For potential land suitability, recommendations for land improvement include the addition of lime and canal revitalization in the land or peatland area. Canal revitalization is recommended, including the construction of canal blocks, to regulate and maintain the optimal water table. Control drainage option is the proper way to have optimum water level in the canal in supporting ground water table requirement for crop growth development and fire prevention Actual results can be provided with recommendations according to guidelines, allowing for improvements to specific land conditions to support the land suitability for pineapple crops. To avoid plants being flooded in the rainy season, the soil must be raised at least 30 cm above the average flood water level.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank UNSRI and Nifos South Korea for their support for our various researches. The soil and water management team would like to thank you for your support both verbally and financially.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

References and Notes

1. RENOU-WILSON, F., MOSER, G., FALLON, D., FARRELL,C.A., MÜLLER.C., WILSON,D. Rewetting degraded peatlands for climate and biodiversity benefits: Results from two raised bogs, Ecological Engineering, **127**, 547. **2019**.
2. DENG, Y., BOODOO, K., GLATZEL, S. Assessing the impact of land use on peat degradation in alpine bogs. *EGU* General Assembly, Vienna, Austria, **14**, **2024**.

3. PÉREZ-CASTILLO, A.G., MONGE-MUÑOZ, M., DURÁN-QUESADA, A.M. Vegetation and Peat Soil Characteristics of a Fire-Impacted Tropical Peatland in Costa Rica. Wetlands **44**(1), 41, **2024.**
4. EGLI, M., WIESENBERG, G., LEIFELD, J., GÄRTNER, H., SEIBERT, J., ROÖSLI, C., MUSSO, A. Formation and decay of peat bogs in the vegetable belt of Switzerland. Swiss Journal Geoscience, **114**(1), 1, **2021**.
5. WADDINGTON, J.M., MORRIS, P.J., KETTRIDGE, N., GRANATH, G., THOMPSON, D.K., MOORE, P.A. Hydrological feedbacks in northern peatlands. Ecohydrology, **8**(1), 113, **2015**.
6. FAO. *A* Framework for Land Evaluation. Soils Bulletin; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO): Rome, Italy, **32**, **1976**
7. NIKONOVA, L., KURGANOVA, I., LOPES DE GERENYU, V., ROGOVA, O., GOLOVATSKAYA, E. Impact of temperature and moisture on the decomposition of peat-forming plants: Results of a two-year incubation experiment. Forests, **14** (12), 2355, **2023**.
8. PAGE, S.E., BAIRD, A.J. Peatlands and global change: Response and resilience. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, **41** (1), 35, **2016**.
9. MICHAELIS, D., MROTZEK, A., COUWENBERG, J. Roots, tissues, cells, and fragments—How to characterize peat from drained and rewetted fens. Soil Systems, **4** (1), 12, **2020**.
10. CHIMNER, R.A., EWEL, K.C. A tropical freshwater wetland: II. Production, decomposition, and peat formation. Wetland Ecological Management, **13**, 671, **2005**.
11. EVANS, C.D., IRAWAN, D., SUARDIWERIANTO, Y., KURNIANTO, S., DESHMUKH, C., ASYHARI, A., WILLIAMSON, J. Long-term trajectory and temporal dynamics of tropical peat subsidence in relation to plantation management and climate. Geoderma, **428**, 116100, **2022**.
12. ONO, K., HIRADATE, S., MORITA, S., HIRAIDE, M., HIRATA, Y., FUJIMOTO, K., LIHPAI, S. Assessing the carbon compositions and sources of mangrove peat in a tropical mangrove forest on Pohnpei Island, Federated States of Micronesia. Geoderma, (**245**)1, 11, **2015**.
13. PAGE, S., MISHRA, S., AGUS, F., ANSHARI, G., DARGIE, G., EVERS, S., JAUHIAINEN, J., JAYA, A., SANCHO, JJ., A., LAUREN, A., SJÖGERSTEN, S., SUSPENSE, I. A., WIJADESA, L. S., EVANS, C. Anthropogenic impacts on lowland tropical peatland biogeochemistry. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, **3**(1), 426, **2022.**
14. XU, J.R., MORRIS, P.J., LIU, J.G., HOLDEN, J. PEATMAP: Refining estimates of global peatland distribution based on a meta-analysis. Catena 160, 134, **2018**.
15. MIETTINEN, J., SHI, C., LIEW, S.C. Land cover distribution in the peatlands of Peninsular Malaysia, Sumatra and Borneo in 2015 with changes since 1990. Global Ecology and Conservation **6**(1). 67, **2016.**

16. WIJEDASA L., SLOAN, S., PAGE, S. E., CLEMENTS,C.R., LUPASCU, M. Carbon emissions from South-East Asian peatlands will increase despite emission-reduction schemes. Global Change Biology. **24**(10). 67-78, **2018.**
17. ZHONG, Y., JIANG, M., MIDDLETON, B. A. Effects of water level alteration on carbon cycling in peatlands. Ecosystem Health and Sustainability, **6**(1), 1806113, **2020**.
18. EVANS, C. D., PEACOCK, M., BAIRD, A. J., ARTZ, R. R. E., BURDEN, A., CALLAGHAN, N., MORRISON, R. Overriding water table control on managed peatland greenhouse gas emissions. Nature, **593**(7860), 548, **2021**.
19. RODRIGUEZ, A. F., PULLENS, J. W. M., CHRISTIANSEN, J. R., LARSEN, K. S., LAERKE, P. E. Modeling of greenhouse gas emissions from paludiculture in rewetting peatlands is improved by high frequency water table data. EGUsphere, 1, **2024.**
20. TIEMEYER, B., FREIBAUER, A., BORRAZ, E. A., AUGUSTIN, J., BECHTOLD, M., BEETZ, S., ... DRÖSLER, M. A new methodology for organic soils in national greenhouse gas inventories: Data synthesis, derivation and application. Ecological Indicators, **109**, 105838, **2020**.
21. EVANS, C. D., PEACOCK, M., BAIRD, A. J., ARTZ, R. R. E., BURDEN, A., CALLAGHAN, N., ... MORRISON, R. Overriding water table control on managed peatland greenhouse gas emissions. Nature, **593**(7860), 548, **2021**.
22. URZAINKI, I., PALVIAINEN, M., HÖKKÄ, H., PERSCH, S., CHATELLIER, J., WANG, O., MAHARDHITAMA, P., YUDHISTA, R., LAURÉN, A. A process-based model for quantifying the effects of canal blocking on water table and CO_2 emissions in tropical peatlands. Biogeosciences, **20**, 2099, **2023**.
23. JASZCZUK, I., JABŁONSKA, E., KOZUB, Ł., TANNEBERGER, F., AGGENBACH, C., SEEBER, E., KOTOWSKI, W. Peat formation potential of temperate fens increases with hydrological stability. Science Total Environment, **947**, 174617, **2024**.
24. KÄÄRMELAHTI, S.A., FRITZ, C., QUADRA, G.R., GARDOKI, M.E., GAUDIG, G., KREBS, M., TEMMINK, R.J. Topsoil removal for *Sphagnum* establishment on rewetted agricultural bogs. Biogeochemistry, **167**(4), 479, **2024**.
25. SILVIANA, S.H., SAHARJO, B.H., SUTIKNO, S. Distribution of carbon stocks in drainage areas on peatlands of Sungai Tohor Village, Meranti Islands District, Indonesia. Biodiversitas, **22** (11), 5106, **2021**.
26. SWINDLES, G.T., MORRIS, P.J., WHEELER, J., SMITH, M.W., BACON, K.L., TURNER, T.E., GALLOWAY, J.M. Resilience of peatland ecosystem services over millennial timescales: Evidence from a degraded British bog. Journal of Ecology, **104** (3), 621, **2016**.

27. FERRÉ, M., MULLER, A., LEIFELD, J., BADER, C., MÜLLER, M., ENGEL, S., WICHMANN, S. Sustainable management of cultivated peatlands in Switzerland: insights, challenges, and opportunities. Land Use Policy, **87**, 104019, **2019**.
28. DE WAARD, F., CONNOLLY, J., BARTHELMES, A., JOOSTEN, H., VAN DER LINDEN, S. Remote sensing of peatland degradation in temperate and boreal climate zones—A review of the potentials, gaps, and challenges. Ecological. Indicator. **166**, 112437, **2024**.
29. COOPER, H.V., VANE, C.H., EVERS, S., APLIN, P., GIRKIN, N.T., SJÖGERSTEN, S. From peat swamp forest to oil palm plantations: The stability of tropical peatland carbon. Geoderma, **342**, 109, **2019**.
30. DHANDAPANI, S., AND EVERS, S. Oil palm 'slash-and-burn 'practice increases post-fire greenhouse gas emissions and nutrient concentrations in burnt regions of an agricultural tropical peatland. Science of the Total Environment, **742**, 140648, **2020.**
31. WAKHID, N., HIRANO, T., OKIMOTO, Y., NURZAKIAH, S., & NURSYAMSI, D. (2017). Soil carbon dioxide emissions from a rubber plantation on tropical peat. Science of the total environment, **581**, 857, **2017.**
32. DHANDAPANI, S., RITZ, K., COOPER, H., TONKS, A., SJÖGERSTEN, S. Land-use changes associated with oil palm plantations impact PLFA microbial phenotypic community structure throughout the depth of tropical peats. Wetlands, **40**, 2351, **2020**.
33. DHANDAPANI, S., EVERS, S., RITZ, K., SJÖGERSTEN, S. Nutrient and trace element concentrations influence greenhouse gas emissions from Malaysian tropical peatlands. Soil Use and Management, **37**(1), 138, **2021**.
34. DHANDAPANI, S., RITZ, K., EVERS, S., SJOGERSTEN, S. Environmental impact as affected by different oil palm cropping systems in tropical peatlands. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Enrironment. **276**, 8, **2019**.
35. IMANUDIN, M.S., BAKRI, S.J., PRIATNA, M.E., ARMANTO, A., MADJID, E., MARDIANSYAH, E. Controlled drainage option for rice water management in tidal lowland reclamation areas of South Sumatra, Indonesia. Journal of Wetlands Environmental Management, **12** (2), 1, **2024**.
36. TÄHTIKARHU, M., RÄSÄNEN, T.A., HYVÄLUOMA, J., PIAYDA, A., MYLLYS, M. Analysing hydrological impacts of controlled drainage, peat thickness, and groundwater fluxes in cultivated peat soils. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B — Soil and Plant Science, **75** (1), 2454388, **2025**.
37. IMANUDIN, M. S., BAKRI, M. E., ARMANTO, A., SAPUTRA, A. Analysis of limiting factors for food agriculture development in peatland areas. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, **1025**, 012029, **2022**.
38. TÄHTIKARHUA, M., RÄSÄNEN, T. A., HYVÄLUOMA, J., PIAYDA, A., MYLLYS, M. Analysing hydrological impacts of controlled drainage, peat thickness and groundwater fluxes in cultivated peat soils. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Soil & Plant Science, **75**(1), 2454388, **2025**.

39. ASTIANI, D., BURHANUDDIN, GUSMAYANTI, E., WIDIASTUTI, T., TAHERZADEH, M. J. Enhancing water levels of degraded, bare, tropical peatland in West Kalimantan, Indonesia: impacts on CO_2 emission from soil respiration. Biodiversitas, **19**(2), 1412, **2018**.
40. MATYSEK, M., LEAKE, J., BANWART, S., JOHNSON, I., PAGE, S., KADUK, J., SMALLEY, A., CUMMING, A., ZONA, D. Optimizing fen peatland water-table depth for romaine lettuce growth to reduce peat wastage under future climate warming. Soil Use and Management, **38**(1), 341, **2022**.
41. SURYADI, Y., SOEKARNO, I., HUMAM, I. A. Effectiveness analysis of canal blocking in sub-peatland hydrological unit 5 and 6 Kahayan Sebangau, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. Journal of Engineering and Technological Sciences, **53**(2), 210205, **2021**.
42. URZAINKI, I., PALVIAINEN, M., HÖKKÄ, H., PERSCH, S., CHATELLIER, J., WANG, O., MAHARDHITAMA, P., YUDHISTA, R., LAURÉN, A. A process-based model for quantifying the effects of canal blocking on water table and CO_2 emissions in tropical peatlands. Biogeosciences, **20**, 2099, **2023**.
43. NAHDA, S., SURYATMOJO, H. The effect of canal distance on the groundwater level dynamics at burned peatland in Limbung Village, West Kalimantan. BIO Web of Conferences, **167**, 03013, **2025**.
44. KISSINGER, YAMANI, A., PITRI, R.M.N , NASRULLOH, A.V. Impacts of Forest Farmers Management on Lepironia articulata Retz.: Conservation Based on Utilization of Peat Ecosystem Biodiversity in South Kalimantan. Journal of Environmental Studies. **33**(2), 1203, **2024**.
45. SADIQ, F.K., YAQUB, M.T., MANIYUNDA, L.M., KAREM, A., ALALWANY, A., ABUBAKAR, F., ANYEBE, O. Soil classification and land suitability evaluation for tomato cultivation. Heliyon, **11**, e4168. **2025.**
46. DALLE, J., HASTUTI, D., AKMAL, F. Environmental impact assessment of agricultural practices. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, **30** (3), 2041, **2021**.
47. LONG, H., ZHANG, Y., MA, L., TU, S. Land use transitions: progress, challenges, and prospects. Land, **10** (9), 903, **2021**.
48. LIU, Y. Introduction to land use and rural sustainability in China. Land Use Policy, **74(1),** 1, **2018**.
49. SZYSZKO-PODGÓRSKA, K., SZWEDA, Ż., ŚWIĄTEK, M., UKALSKA, J., PIETRASZ, K., PIETRASZ, M., WILK, P., ORLIŃSKA-WOŹNIAK, P., SZALIŃSKA, E., ROKICKI, T., et al. Impact of land use on peat soil elemental content and *Carabidae* and plant species composition and abundance. Sustainability, **16** (11), 4420, **2024**.
50. MANURUNG, H., WAHYUNI, S., YANI, F. Analysis of pineapple farming (*Ananas comosus*) on peatlands in Panai Tengah District (Case Study: Pasar Tiga Village). Journal of Environmental and Humanities Social Sciences, **6** (2), 620, **2023**.

51. SARI, A. Effect of climate on pineapple (*Ananas comosus* L.) growth and production. Journal of Tropical Horticulture, **15** (3), 152, **2002**.
52. CHOO, NURIATI, L., KIM, L., AHMED, O.H., RAZAK, N.A., SEKOT, S. Improving nitrogen availability and *Ananas comosus* L. Merr var. Moris productivity in a tropical peat soil using clinoptilolite zeolite. Agronomy, **12** (11), 2750, **2022**.
53. TAMBUNAN, R. Vegetative propagation of pineapples. Agritropica, **28**(2), 225, **2012**.
54. D'ECKENBRUGGE, G.C., LEAL, F. Genetics and breeding of pineapple. Plant Breeding Reviews, **23**, 193, **2023**.
55. ZUBIR, M.N., BAKAR, A.B.H., BAHAROM, S.T.A., RANI, R.A., SAYUTI, A.F.A., RAHMA, M.Z.A. Pineapple growth performance, fruit quality and yield influenced by foliar fertilization on clay soil and peat soil. International Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Research, **10** (5), 748, **2024**.
56. DJAENUDIN, D., MARWAN H., SUBAGYO H., HIDAYAT, A. Technical Guidelines for Agricultural Commodities. Soil Research Institute, Soil and Agroclimate Research and Development Center, Bogor, Indonesia, **2023.**
57. GAOL, D.L. Evaluation of land suitability for oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) in Lubuk Karak Village, Sembilan Koto District, Dharmasraya. Plantation Research Journal, **4** (1), 26, **2023**.
58. GANI, A.T., USMAN, J. Land suitability evaluation for maize production among the soils of Hyuku in Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria. Bulgarian Journal of Soil Science, **9** (1), 82, **2024**.
59. MAROETO, PRIYADARSHINI, R., WINARNO, A., JENI, K.B J., TANAYA, A.N. Assessment of land suitability for enhancing key crop commodities: pineapple, coffee, and mango. **13** (4), 1295, **2024**.
60. PUTRA, F.M., SITANGGANG, I.S., SOBIR, S. Decision Support System for Evaluation of Peatland Agroecology Suitability in Pineapple Plants. Scientific Journal of Informatics, 7(1), 75, **2020**.
61. DENG, Y., BOODOO, K., GLATZEL, S. Assessing the impact of land use on peat degradation in alpine bogs. EGU General Assembly, Vienna, Austria, **2024.**
62. CATALÁN, A., ANTÚNEZ, M., POCH, R.M. Acidification assessment after peat bog drainage in the Catalan Pyrenees (NE Iberia). Quaternary, **2**(1), 32, **2019**.
63. BILAS, GEORGE, KARAPETSAS, N., GOBIN, A., MESDANITIS, K, TOTH, G., HERMANN, T., WANG, Y., LUO, L., KOUTSOS, T.M., MOSHOU, D. Land Suitability Analysis as a Tool for Evaluating Soil-Improving Cropping Systems. Land **11**(12): 2200. ***2022***.
64. SARI, N. W. B., SYAFIUDDIN, W., RIANTO, F. The effect of duck manure and NPK fertilizer on the growth and yield of cayenne pepper in peat soil. Journal of Agricultural Science Equato, **2**(1), 634, **2023.**

65. REEZA, A.A., AND HUSSIN, A. Effects of lime and fertiliser applications on the physical properties of tropical peat soils in Peninsular Malaysia. Mires and Peat, **28** (11), 11, **2022**.
66. SOPHA, G.A., EFFENDI, A.M., APRIANTO, F., AND FIRMANSYAH, A. The incorporation of lime and NPK fertilizer on shallot production in peat soil. IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science. **653**, 012057. **2021**.
67. IMANUDIN, M.S., BAKRI, M.E., ARMANTO, A., SAPUTRA, A. Analysis of limiting factors for food agriculture development in peatland areas. IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Science **012029,** 1025, **2022**.
68. WIHARDJAKA, A., SUTRIADI, M.T., ADRIANY, T.A., VIANDARI, N.A., SUBIKSA, I.G.M. Effect of peat water levels on greenhouse gas production in different cropping land use. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research, **84** (3), 414, **2024.**
69. ARMANTO, M.E., WILDAYANA, E., SYAKINA, B. 2025. Emphasizing Local Wisdom in Peatland Restoration in South Sumatra Indonesia. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies. **34**(2), 1017, **2025**.
70. CRANE, J.J. Pineapple Growing in the Florida Home Landscape. The Horticultural Sciences Department, UF/IFAS Extension, University of Florida, **2020**.
71. TATA, L.H., NURONIAH, H.S., AHSANIA, D.A., KOLKA, R. Flooding tolerance of four tropical peatland tree species in a nursery trial. PLOS One, e0262375, **17** (4), 1 **2022.**
72. PUTRI, D.G., PAMBUDY, R., AND DEWI, T.G. Factors affecting pineapple productivity in Subang district. Agribusiness Forum **14**(1), 84, **2024.**
73. IMANUDIN, M. S., SATRIA, J. P., SAID, M., RAHMAT, R. Land and water management in pineapple and *Albizia chinensis* agroforestry systems in peatland. Sriwijaya Journal of Environment, **4**(2), 52–58, **2019**.
74. LUTA, W., AHMED, O. H., OMAR, L., KUEH, R. J. H., LIM, L. N. L. K. C., JALLOH, M. B., MUSAH, A. A., ABDU, A. Water table fluctuation and methane emission in pineapples (*Ananas comosus* (L.) Merr.) cultivated on a tropical peatland. Agronomy, **11**(8), 1448, **2021**.
75. BAKRI, I., IMANUDIN, M. S., PRAYITNO, M. B., HERMAWAN, A., SYAZILI, A., LEVIANA, C., CHOI, E., YANG, H. Nutrient dynamics in peat soil application under water management planning: A case study of Perigi, South Sumatra, Indonesia. Journal of Ecological Engineering, **26**(6), 162–169, **2025**.

Table
Download DOC (158 kB)

Polish
Journal of Environmental
Studies

Pol. J. Environ. Stud. Vol. X, No. X (2016),
DOI: 10.15244/pjoes/183563

Table 1. Land Use Requirements of Pineapple

Land Use Requirements/Characteristics	**Land Suitability Class**			
	S1	**S2**	**S3**	**N**
Temperature (tc)				
Average Temperature (°C)	20-26	25-30 18-20	30-35 16-18	>35 <16
Water Availability (wa)				
Rainfall	100-1600	800-1000	600-800	<600
Peat (p)		1600-2000	>2.000	<30
Thickness (cm)	<50	50-100	100-200	>200
Maturity	Saprik	Saprik-Hemik	Hemik	Fibrik
Nutrient Retention (nr)				
Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) (cmol)	>16	5-16	<5	-
Base Saturation (%)	>35	20-15	<20	-
pH H_2O (plain water pH)	5-6.5	4.3-5 6.5-7	<4.3 >7	- -
Organic C (%)	>1.2	0.8-1.2	<0.8	-
Nutrients Availability (na)				
Total N (Total Nitrogen) (%)	Medium	low	Very low	-
P_2O_5 (Phosphorus Pentoxide) (mg/100 g)	Medium	low	Very low	-
K_2O (Potassium Oxide) (mg/100 g)	Medium	low	Very low	-
Sodicity (s)				
Alkalinity/ESP (%)	<10	10-15	15-20	<30
Flood Hazard (fh)				
Height (cm)	-	-	-	25
Duration (day)	-	-	-	<7

Source: (Ritung et al, 2011)

Table 2. Chemical Content of Soil Samples

No	**Analysis**	**Method**
1	Soil pH	Electrometer
2	Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)	Sodium Saturation
3	Organic Carbon (C-Organic)	Walkey and Black
4	Total Nitrogen (N-Total)	Kjeldahl
5	P2O5 Content	P-Bray
6	K2O Content	Flame Photometer

Table 3. Temperature Values and Water Availability in Perigi Village

Temperature (tc)	Value	Classification
Average Temperature (°C)	30	S2
Water Availability (wa)		
Rainfall	116 day/years	S1

Table 4. Characteristics of Peatland and Water Surface

Code	Thickness (cm)	Classification	Maturity	Classification	Floodwater Height	Classification
D1	Deep	S3	Sapric	S1	47	S3
D2	Deep	S3	Hemic	S2	22	S1

Polish
Journal of Environmental
Studies

D3	Deep	S3	Sapric	S1	28	S3
P1	Shallow	S1	Hemic	S2	11	S1
P2	Shallow	S1	Hemic	S2	19	S1
P3	Shallow	S1	Sapric	S1	12	S1
S1	Moderate	S2	Sapric	S1	10	S1
S2	Moderate	S2	Sapric	S1	15	S1
S3	Moderate	S2	Sapric	S1	17	S1

.

Tabel 5. Chemistry Aspect of Peatland in Perigi Village

Code	CEC	Classification	pH	Classification	C-organik	Classification	N-total	Classification	P_2O_5	Classification
	cmol		H_2O		%		%		Ppm	
D1 0-30	17,5	S1	3,23	S3	18,96	S1	0,63	S1	39,73	S1
D1 30-60	22,5	S1	3,32	S3	19,73	S1	0,49	S1	41,615	S1
D2 0-30	22,5	S1	3,39	S3	18,57	S1	0,74	S1	30,015	S1
D2 30-60	20	S1	3,43	S3	18,96	S1	0,58	S1	46,98	S1
D3 0-30	22,5	S1	3,52	S3	17,80	S1	0,81	S1	42,195	S1
D3 30-60	22,5	S1	3,49	S3	18,96	S1	0,53	S1	46,545	S1
P1 0-30	20	S1	3,50	S3	18,18	S1	0,39	S1	51,765	S1
P1 30-60	20	S1	3,27	S3	20,12	S1	0,78	S1	45,965	S1
P2 0-30	22,5	S1	3,20	S3	18,18	S1	0,75	S1	42,485	S1
P2 30-60	20	S1	3,48	S3	21,67	S1	0,72	S1	58,29	S1
P3 0-30	20	S1	3,25	S3	20,89	S1	1,35	S1	52,345	S1
P3 30-60	20	S1	3,56	S3	15,86	S1	0,40	S1	42,34	S1
S1 0-30	22,5	S1	3,45	S3	22,83	S1	0,71	S1	39,585	S1
S1 30-60	22,5	S1	3,61	S3	23,22	S1	0,77	S1	53,36	S1
S2 0-30	22,5	S1	3,44	S3	17,02	S1	0,56	S1	50,315	S1
S2 30-60	20	S1	3,70	S3	20,12	S1	0,60	S1	49,3	S1
S3 0-30	22,5	S1	3,45	S3	22,05	S1	0,72	S1	55,245	S1
S3 30-60	22,5	S1	3,68	S3	26,31	S1	0,46	S1	45,675	S1

Table 5. Actual Land Suitability

Code	Soil Taxonomy	Land Suitability Subclass (LSS)	Limiting Factors
D1	Histosol	S1-nr/p1/fh	Actual pH, peat depth, flood hazard height
D2	Histosol	S1-nr/p1/p2	Actual pH, peat depth, and peat maturity
D3	Histosol	S1-nr/p1/fh	Actual pH, peat depth, flood hazard height
P1	Histosol	S1-nr/p2	Actual pH and peat maturity
P2	Histosol	S1-nr/p2	Actual pH and peat maturity
P3	Histosol	S1-nr	Actual pH
S1	Histosol	S1-nr/p2	Actual pH and peat maturity
S2	Histosol	S1-nr/p2	Actual pH and peat maturity
S3	Histosol	S1-nr/p2	Actual pH and peat maturity

Table 6. Potential Land Suitability

Code	Soil Taxonomy	Land Suitability Subclass (LSS)	Recomendation
D1	Histosol	S1	The addition of lime and canal revitalization.

D2	Histosol	S1
D3	Histosol	S1
P1	Histosol	S1
P2	Histosol	S1
P3	Histosol	S1
S1	Histosol	S1
S2	Histosol	S1
S3	Histosol	S1

Table 7. Soil mounding depth correspond to dynamic water level

Sapling code	Peat thickness	Puddle Height (cm)	Soil Mounding under water (cm)	Soil Mounding above water (cm)
D1	Deep	47	83	36
D2	Deep	22	70	48
D3	Deep	28	86	58
P1	shallow	11	86	75
P2	shallow	19	74	63
P3	shallow	12	80	68
S1	Medium	10	25	15
S2	Medium	15	50	35
S3	Medium	17	45	29

Source: Soil Survey of December 10,

Polish
Journal of Environmental
Studies

Pol. J. Environ. Stud. Vol. X, No. X (2016),
DOI: 10.15244/pjoes/183563



Figure 1. Research Location “The Mapping Peat Restoration Area Scale 1:20.000”

Figure 2. Development of pineapple plants in the peat land Perigi area of OKI South Sumatera Indonesia.

Figure 3. Plants aged 1 month under flooding

Figure 4. Condition of 12 month old plants start to flower (Control treatment)

Figure 5. Plant growth conditions during 2 months of flooding

Index

Polish
Journal of Environmental
Studies

Manuscript body

Download source file (11.86 MB)

Tables

Download source file (158 kB)

Figures

Download source file (11.69 MB)

2._Valuation_using_scoring_in_peatland.pdf

ORIGINALITY REPORT

7%	%	7%	%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

MATCH ALL SOURCES (ONLY SELECTED SOURCE PRINTED)

1%

★ "Peatlands and climate planning", Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2022

Publication

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	< 1%
Exclude bibliography	Off		

2._Valuation_using_scoring_in_peatland.pdf

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/100

GENERAL COMMENTS

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10

PAGE 11

PAGE 12

PAGE 13

PAGE 14

PAGE 15

PAGE 16

PAGE 17

PAGE 18

PAGE 19

PAGE 20

PAGE 21

PAGE 22

PAGE 23

PAGE 24

PAGE 25

PAGE 26

PAGE 27