

BUKTI KORESPONDENSI ARTIKEL JURNAL INTERNATIONAL BEREPUTASI

Judul artikel : Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagar Alam District, South Sumatra, Indonesia
Jurnal : Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity (Scopus Q2, SJR 0.366)
Penulis : Chandra Irsan, Erise Anggraini, Wenny Ramadhani


Bukti korespondensi

Perihal	Tanggal	Link repository atau G-drive
Bukti submit	08-09-2023	Bukti submit https://drive.google.com/file/d/1eS_S8rcYnwmuCSVdXM35_6vaPtv5iNEN/view?usp=drive_link
Korespondensi pertama, editor minta menyesuaikan format jurnal.	11-09-2023	Korespondensi ke-1 https://drive.google.com/file/d/10zXDGLXAEwgTXE8LjYbrq36pDrV1Xq9l/view?usp=sharing
Korespondensi kedua dan revisinya. Perbaikan format abstract dan referensi.	07-10-2023	Korespondensi ke-2 https://drive.google.com/file/d/1PDcS4SgIiMEtnDmoquYtZFPvNWNraexM/view?usp=sharing
Review ketiga dan revisinya. Perbaikan dan penyesuaian pendahuluan dan format referensi.	13-10-2023	Korespondensi ke-3 https://drive.google.com/file/d/1f75OmmTbEweSGktGwOe4D7QdjS2B4-il/view?usp=sharing
Review ke empat dan revisinya. Koreksi dan perbaikan substansi. Substansi hasil dikoreksi reviewer untuk perbaiki menjadi 2 sub-heading dan masing-masing dilengkapi dengan tabel baru yang dilengkapi data tambahan. Reviewer meminta perbaikan substansi berupa menambahkan data baru (Tabel 2) tentang spesies aphid yang ditemukan pada tanaman berbunga dan data kehadiran semut sebagai serangga simbiosis. Reviewer meminta perbaikan substansi berupa menambahkan data baru (Tabel 4) tentang spesies aphid yang ditemukan pada tumbuhan liar	12-11-2023	Korespondensi ke-4 https://drive.google.com/file/d/10ShQA5urJjuXhlZtwSaNrf1YU-pSyT0f/view?usp=sharing

dan data kehadiran semut sebagai serangga simbiosis. Beberapa spesies hasil identifikasi dikoreksi dan diperbaiki sesuai saran reviewer. Bertambahnya data baru menyebabkan karya ilmiah ini mengalami perbaikan menyeluruh mulai dari abstrak, pendahuluan, hasil dan pembahasan		
Review ke lima dan revisinya. Reviewer melakukan koreksi terhadap substansi dan meminta klarifikasi terhadap beberapa hal yang tidak lazim. Reviewer meminta perbaikan substansi kesimpulan diantaranya spesies kutudaun yang disukai semut, mengapa kutudaun menyukai spesies gulma, dan mengapa spesies kutudaun <i>Aphis gossypii</i> dapat mengkoloni banyak tanaman dibandingkan <i>A. citricola</i>.	15-12-2023	Korespondensi ke-5 https://drive.google.com/file/d/1bN42icee8U1ZyVN4Qr9OSKp1qrequYGY/view?usp=sharing
Review ke enam dan revisinya, Bukti Accepted dan Pembayaran Billing APC	10-01-2024	Korespondensi ke-6 https://drive.google.com/file/d/1ge3In14xDW9_0uuGJJN5CsX2kuLNSgz6/view?usp=sharing

1. Bukti submission dan full paper yang disubmit

8 September 2023



COVERING LETTER

Dear **Editor-in-Chief**,

I herewith enclosed a research article,

- ☐ The submission has not been previously published, nor is it before another journal for consideration (or an explanation has been provided in Comments to the Editor).
- ☐ The submission file is in OpenOffice, Microsoft Word (DOC, not DOCX), or RTF document file format.
- ☐ The text is single-spaced; uses a 10-point font; employs italics, rather than underlining (except with URL addresses); and all illustrations, figures, and tables are placed within the text at the appropriate points, rather than at the end.
- ☐ The text adheres to the stylistic and bibliographic requirements outlined in the Author Guidelines.
- ☐ Most of the references come from current scientific journals (c. 80% published in the last 10 years), except for taxonomic papers.
- ☐ Where available, DOIs for the references have been provided.
- ☐ When available, a certificate for proofreading is included.

SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Ensure that the following items are present:

The first corresponding author must be accompanied with contact details:

- ☐ E-mail address
- ☐ Full postal address (incl street name and number (location), city, postal code, state/province, country)
- ☐ Phone and facsimile numbers (incl country phone code)

All necessary files have been uploaded, and contain:

- ☐ Keywords
- ☐ Running titles
- ☐ All figure captions
- ☐ All tables (incl title and note/description)

Further considerations

- ☐ Manuscript has been "spell & grammar-checked" Better, if it is revised by a professional science editor or a native English speaker
- ☐ References are in the correct format for this journal
- ☐ All references mentioned in the Reference list are cited in the text, and vice versa

☐ Colored figures are only used if the information in the text may be losing without those images

☐ Charts (graphs and diagrams) are drawn in black and white images; use shading to differentiate

Title:

Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Author(s) name:

Chandra Irsan^{a*}, Erise Anggraini^{a,b}, Siti Herlinda^a, Wenny Ramadhani^c, M. Umar Harun^d,

Address

(Fill in your institution's name and address, your personal cellular phone and email)

^a Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^b Agroecotechnology Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^c Plant Quarantine, Palembang, Sumatera, Indonesia

^d Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

For possibility publication on the journal:

(fill in *Biodiversitas* or *Nusantara Bioscience* or mention the others)

☐ Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity

☐ Nusantara Bioscience

☐ Prosiding Seminar Nasional Masyarakat Biodiversitas Indonesia

☐ Asian Journal of Agriculture

☐ Asian Journal of Ethnobiology

☐ Asian Journal of Forestry

☐ Asian Journal of Natural Product Biochemistry

☐ Asian Journal of Tropical Biotechnology

☐ International Journal of Bonorowo Wetlands

☐ Cell Biology and Development

☐ Indo Pacific Journal of Ocean Life

☐ International Journal of Tropical Drylands

Novelty:

(state your claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge)

This paper described the alternative host of aphids in high land, South Sumatera. The knowledge regarding the alternative of insect pest could be beneficial resource for basic control of aphids.

Statements:

This manuscript has not been published and is not under consideration for publication to any other journal or any other type of publication (including web hosting) either by me or any of my co-authors. Author(s) has been read and agree to the Ethical Guidelines.

List of five potential reviewers

(Fill in names of five potential reviewers **that agree to review your manuscript** and their **email** addresses. He/she should have Scopus ID and come from different institution with the authors; and from at least three different countries)

1. Dr. Koko Dwi Sutanti (email:ksutanto@ksu.edu.sa)
2. Dr. Lau Wei Hong (email: lauweih@upm.edu.my)
3. Prof. Dr. Dra. Asni Johari, M.Si.
4. Dr. Mahesh Gunasena (mahesh.gunasena@gmail.com)
5. Dr. Hasber Salim (hasbersalim@usm.my)

Place and date:

Palembang, 5 October 2023

Sincerely yours,

(fill in your name, no need scanned autograph)

Dr. Chandra Irsan

Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Chandra Irsan^{a*}, Erise Anggraini^{a,b}, Siti Herlinda^a, Wenny Ramadhani^c, M. Umar Harun^d,

^a Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^b Agroecotechnology Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Kode Pos 30962
Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia, Kode Pos 30962

^c Plant Quarantine, Palembang, Sumatera, Indonesia

^d Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia, Kode Pos 30962

Corresponding Author: chandraitsan@fp.unsri.ac.id,

Abstract

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species were found on plants that were not actually hosts such as wild plants. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental plants and the wild plants. This study revealed that 15 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

Keywords: aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

Running title: Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants

INTRODUCTION

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy & Stroyan, 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Bass et al., 2014). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhawe et al., 2020). Aphids can transmit 275 viruses (Ertunc, 2020). In tropical areas, aphids can always be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman & Eastop, 2017). Aphids consume young leaves sap, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Cao et al., 2018). Moreover, when aphids transmit viral diseases from one plant to another, this can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones, 2022). According to Kinley et al. (2021), aphids cause yield losses directly (35 - 40%) by sucking the plant sap or indirectly (20 - 80%) through viral transmission. Therefore, aphid infestations can have adverse effects on crop yields and overall plant health (Sarwan Kumar, 2019).

Due to their function as vectors, the presence of aphids on a plant can be highly damaging (Jaouannet et al., 2014). They feed by piercing the plant's tissues and consuming its sap, which can reduce the plant's growth and productivity, ultimately leading to weakness and possible death (Chandel et al., 2022). Additionally, as vectors, aphids can transmit a variety of plant diseases. They are as carriers for various plant viruses, and when they move from infected to healthy plants, these viruses can rapidly spread and cause extensive damage (Guo et al., 2019). In addition, the honeydew that aphids secrete can lead to the growth of sooty mold, a black fungus that can prevent sunlight from reaching the plant's leaves, thereby impairing photosynthesis, the process by which plants produce food (Singh & Singh, 2021). Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Understanding the species diversity of aphids is fundamental to effective aphid control, as it facilitates the development of measures to keep their populations in check. In addition, understanding the diversity of aphid species can provide valuable insights into potential plant diseases, as different aphid species carry distinct viruses. Methods used to control aphids often encompass various techniques, including the use of natural enemies such as predators (like ladybugs, lacewings, and parasitic wasps) (Singh & Singh, 2021; Völkl et al., 2023), parasitoids (Boivin et al., 2012), entomopathogens (Hullé et al., 2020), the use of essential oils as botanical pesticides to control aphids (Ikbāl & Pavela, 2019), and crop rotation techniques (Degani et al., 2019). Regular monitoring of aphid populations and diversity can help in detecting when population sizes may be reaching harmful levels, allowing for prompt implementation of the necessary countermeasures.

Many aphid species were found on plants that were not their actual hosts (Peccoud et al., 2010). Aphids have one or more secondary, or "alternative," host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al., 2020). An alternative host can also be a collateral host belonging to the same plant family as the primary host, helping crop pests to survive when the primary hosts are unavailable (Kumar et al., 2021). These secondary hosts may offer less adequate nutrition for insects (Mo & Smilanich, 2023). However, they may provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al., 2021). According to Liu et al. (2017), since hibiscus serves as an overwintering host for cotton-specialized aphids but not for cucurbit-specialized aphids, it is evident that host-specialized aphids have refuges during times of food shortage. The life cycles of numerous aphid species exhibit such complexity (Jousselin et al., 2010). They maintain a cycle of host alternation, shifting between their primary hosts (typically a woody plant) and secondary hosts (often herbaceous plants) (Yamamoto et al., 2020). Weeds pose a continuous threat in both cropped and non-crop areas, providing food, shelter and reproductive sites for various pest organisms (Kumar et al., 2021). This indicates that weeds can serve as alternative hosts for aphids.

A study of aphid species on horticultural plants has been conducted (Maharani et al., 2018). However, information about aphid species on ornamental and wild plants has not received as much attention and remains largely unexplored. In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. The research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has received little attention. Therefore, this study was conducted in Pagar Alam, a highland region of South Sumatra, with the aim of obtaining information on the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing fruit, vegetable, and ornamental varieties, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, aphids, and their natural enemies involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids were documented as aphid hosts. Aphids, along with their natural enemies within the aphid colonies, were systematically collected. All components of the collected observations were then identified.

Aphid identification was conducted using identification keys (Blackman & Eastop, 2008). Identification of aphid species took place in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using weed identification hand book (Kallas, 2010);

84 Meuninck, 2023; Naidu, 2012). The location and size of aphid colonies, morphology of aphids including their shape and
 85 color, as well as any symptoms observed in the host plants were recorded, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their
 86 host plants were taken.

87 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

88 Result

89 The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp.,
 90 *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*,
 91 *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipapis*
 92 *erysimi*. Based on the observation, these aphids were found on various ornamental plants (Table 1). The primary colony
 93 locations were generally in flowers, and this study documented these colony locations in ornamental plants (Figure 1).

94
 95 Table 1: Aphid species found in ornamental plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid Species	Colony location
1	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Sitobion luteum</i>	flower
2	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i> <i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i> <i>Myzus persicae</i>	flower
3	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia</i> sp.	flower
4	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
5	<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Pentalonia nigronervosa</i>	flower
6	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	flower
7	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	flower
8	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	flower
9	<i>Cosmos caudatus</i>	<i>Uroleucon</i> sp.	flower
10	<i>Dahlia Kelvin</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
11	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	flower
12	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
13	<i>Helianthus</i> sp.	<i>Aphis glycines</i> <i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	flower
14	<i>Hibiscus rosasinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
15	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
16	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
17	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> <i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	flower
18	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	flower
19	<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	flower
20	<i>Spondiras dulcissoland</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	flower



102

103 Fig 1. The location of aphid colonization on various plant parts. a) *A. gossypii* in *D. Kelvin* flower b) *A. gossypii* in *H.*
 104 *rosasinensis* flower c) *A. gossypii* in tuberose flower, d) *A. craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower, e) *A. citricola* in
 105 *Helianthus* sp., f) *A. aurantii* on the *M. paniculata* flower, g) *T. odinae* in the *S. dulcssoland*, h) *Uroleucon* sp. in
 106 chrysanthemums, i) *Macrosiphum rosae* in *R. indica* flower, j) *Pentalonia nigronevosa* in *C. indica* leaves
 107

108 In addition, this study documented the presence of weeds, which might serve as alternative hosts for aphids
 109 (Table 2). The location of aphid colonies also varied, namely on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves and old leaves of
 110 wild plants (Figure 2). The presence of specific plants or host plants within a habitat influenced the types of aphids found.
 111 Many aphid species are found on a broad range of plants or host plants, while others are highly specialized and are only
 112 found on specific plants or host plants. This is closely related to the polyphagous, oligophagous or monophagous nature of
 113 aphids (Blackman & Eastop 2000).

114
 115
 116
 117

Table 2: Species of aphids found in wild plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid species	Colony location
1	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
2	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
3	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
4	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
5	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flowers, shoots, and buds
6	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
7	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks
8	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
9	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
10	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks
11	<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	<i>Hiperomyzus</i> sp.	Young leaves, old leaves
12	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
13	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
14	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower, flower stalks, shoots
15	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, seeds
16	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves
17	<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
18	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
19	<i>Lagerstromia</i> Sp.	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	Young leaves
20	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
21	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
22	<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
23	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
24	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods, flowers
25	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
26	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> , <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Old leaves, young leaves (pupus), leaf axils
27	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, leaf axils
28	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, seeds
29	<i>Phylanthus neruri</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs, petioles
30	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, flower
31	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> , <i>A. gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
32	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves
33	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
34	<i>Sonchus arventris</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flower, fruit



Figure 2. Aphids found on wild plants a) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Ageratum conyzoides*, b) *A. gossypii* on *Croton weed hirtus* c) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Eupatorium odoratum*, d) *A.gossypii* on plants *Pachystochys* sp., e) *A.gossypii* on plants *Caladium* sp., f) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Alternanthera sessilis*, g) *A.gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae* weeds, h) *A.gossypii* on the weed *Euphorbia hirta*, i) *A. citricola* on the weed *Phyllanthus nerruri*, j) *A. citricola* on *Sida rhombifolia* weed, k) *A. citricola* on plants *Annona muricata*, l) *A.citricola* on the weed *Ludwigia peruviana*, m) *A. craccivora* on *Mimosa pudica* weed, n) *A.craccivora* on weeds *Amaranthus gracilis*, o) *A. glycine* in *Mikania micrantha* weed, p) *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusin* weeds, q) *Greenidae* sp. in kenidai trees (shrubs) *indica*, r) *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinocloa crusgali* Weed, s) *L. erysimi* on weed *sonchus arventris*, t) *Rhopalosiphum* rice on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*, u) *Rhopalosiphum* *Maidis* on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*.

Discussion

The plant species or host plant influences the distribution of aphids. There are aphid species that can be found on a wide range of host plants, which is closely related to the polyphagous nature of aphids, allowing them to colonize many different species of host plants. Host plants can also affect the distribution of aphids, as evidenced by the presence of aphid species exclusively found on certain host plants (Peccoud et al., 2010). But there are some species of aphids found only on one particular host and are not found on other host plants (Döring, 2014). *A. gossypii*, and *Aphis aurantii* have been found on many host plants because both aphids are classified as polyphagous aphids (Margaritopoulos et al., 2006; Alotaibi et al., 2023).

Aphids can commonly be found infesting a variety of ornamental plants. They are attracted to these plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Wäckers & Van Rijn, 2012). In this present study, some aphid species were found on some ornamental plants in Pagaralam. The location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Adiantum predatum* plants, aphids formed colonies on young leaf stalks and on newly emerging leaves. The aphids displayed brown and black coloration. The aphid colonies found were small, and the colonized plant parts showed no signs of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Neotoxoptera* sp., and notably, they were not associated with ants. On *Aster alpinus*, aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Uroleucon* sp., and they were associated with ants.

On the *Brugmansia suaveolens* (angel's trumpet), *M. persicae* were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have started to turn yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. (taro) was found one species of aphids: *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odoratum* (ylang-ylang), colonies of *T. aurantii* were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black in color. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants.

Aphids on *C. indica* (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body. The identification results showed that the aphids were *P. nigronevosa*. The colonies of *P. nigronevosa* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (periwinkle), *A. citricola* aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or flower stalks that had not fully bloomed. The colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants.

Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black in color. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. craccivora*. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. On the plant *Cosmos caudatus*, aphids were found on the flower petals. The colonies were not very

206 large. The body color was green and light green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and
207 they were also associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia kellyi* plant formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with
208 a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results
209 showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. Aphids on *Datura metel* (amethyst) were found to form colonies on the
210 undersides of old leaves. The aphids were medium sized with a green body color. The colonized plant parts did not show
211 any symptoms of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Myzus ornatus*. The aphid colonies were
212 not associated with ants. Within *Dendrobium* sp., aphid colonies were found on the young leaves. The aphids were yellow,
213 green to dark green. The colonized plants did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the
214 aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp. (bonsai), colonies of aphids were located on
215 the undersides of young leaves. The colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The
216 aphids were green in color. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were
217 consistently associated with ants.

218 On the *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) plants, aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The
219 colonized flowers, especially the crowns, exhibited a tendency to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in
220 color. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies
221 were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies
222 were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease
223 symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *M. ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with
224 ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that were parasitized by Aphididae were found.

225 On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, aphids ranging in color from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed
226 colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large.
227 The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with
228 ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa*. First, the aphids formed colonies on the
229 undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The
230 occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and
231 slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, similar to sugar. The aphids had
232 yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The
233 identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were almost always associated with ants. The
234 second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could
235 also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs
236 of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The
237 identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants.

238 In *Ixora* sp. flower plants, two forms of aphids were discovered. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves
239 and unopened flowers. The affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from
240 yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white,
241 resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost
242 always associated with ants. Another species of aphids was founded and formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet
243 bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce any symptoms
244 of plant disease. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small

245 to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also
246 frequently found in association with ants.

247 Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa*, each forming colonies in different locations. The first
248 type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease
249 symptoms. The aphids were yellow, green, and some with dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids
250 were *A. gossypii*. The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as
251 if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots and within flower parts. The plant parts they
252 infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had
253 tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*.

254 The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids.
255 This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were
256 generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. These aphids formed
257 colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green,
258 yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* or alligator grass was also colonized
259 by *Aphis gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging
260 from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots,
261 flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and they were often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus*
262 *gracilis* was infested by *Aphis craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers and young and old leaves.
263 They were dark brown to black in color, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with
264 both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* aphids. These aphids were bright green, and of
265 medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies
266 were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* or fire grass was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow-green to
267 dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon*
268 *dactylon* or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks
269 and sometimes in the leaf axils of the plant. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to red-brown. They were
270 associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* or nut grass was infested by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were
271 found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants.
272 The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* or grass puzzle was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*
273 aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small
274 colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids, with small colonies scattered on
275 the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinocloa crusgali* or water hyacinth
276 plants were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on
277 the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Ecliptica*
278 *prostrata* or urang aring was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids
279 were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants.

280 *Eleusin indica* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. *H.*
281 *setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks and leaf axils resulting in quite large colonies. *H. setariae* body
282 color ranged from red brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis*
283 formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and on leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were
284 not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These

285 aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants.
286 The plant *Emilia sonchifolia*, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow
287 to green in colour. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves.

288 *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red brown. Small
289 colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae*
290 were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* or wart grass was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids formed
291 colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in
292 color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupotarium odoratum* was colonied
293 by both *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young
294 twigs. Young leaves that were colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this
295 plant showed yellow-green to dark green in body colour. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the
296 shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of
297 both *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants.

298 *Hymenochera acutigluma* or hair axis was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae*, which formed colonies on the
299 flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia* sp. or
300 *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with
301 thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not
302 show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* or bamboo grass plants were colonized by two species of aphids:
303 *hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves,
304 leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to
305 red-brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis*
306 aphids were green to bright green in color, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species
307 of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix.

308 *Melastoma affine* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly
309 emerging shoots and on newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body colour of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The
310 colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania miranta* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis*
311 *glycine*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and
312 curled leaves. *A. glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. Glycine* aphids were
313 light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a
314 single colony.

315 *Mimosa invisa* (cater-grass) was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants
316 formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa*
317 *pudica* was observed to be colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots,
318 and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the
319 colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa vigra* was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The colonies of
320 aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were
321 shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms.

322 *Oryza rufipogon* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum rice* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Both
323 aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species
324 could be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green with black sifunculi and cauda, while *R. rice*

appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. rice* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants. *Oxonopus compressus* or *pait* grass was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower stalks, seeds, and sometimes in the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown in color. Small colonies were formed, and they were also consistently associated with ants.

Paspalum conjugatum was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phylanthus niruri* was colonized by *Aphis citricola*. The colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted, and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black sifunculi and cauda, and the colonies formed were quite large. *Portulaca oleraceae* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots and in flowers. The colonized plant parts became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that appeared glossy black.

Physalis angulata plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with glossy black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. *Rorippa indica* or mustard land was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. The colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks and the lower surface of leaves. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* or cacabean was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids had green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks and flower petals. The colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* plants were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colours, and the colonies formed on flower stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically displayed typical symptoms of damage, but some did not show any symptoms. Generally, the symptoms of the plants caused by aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves. These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition happened because the colonized parts had reached their maximum growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the leaves and plant parts were old. The old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid infestations.

The part of the plant exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued to grow, resulting in some parts developing normally while others become stunted (Pettersson et al., 2017). This condition could lead to the bending of shoots or young stems, curling of leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants was often easier to recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al., 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the presence of aphid colonies.

Throughout their life cycle, aphids exhibited host alternation by switching between two distinct host plants (Peccoud et al., 2010). They overwintered on woody plants, reproduced in the spring, and migrated to herbaceous plants during the summer before returning to their primary host in the autumn (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This allowed aphids to maximize resource utilization, avoid congestion and competition, evade predators and parasites, circumvent plant defenses, and colonize new areas. Aphids could distribute their population efficiently, thereby avoiding overcrowding, predators and parasites, and plant defenses developing over time through host switching (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This behavior was essential for the survival and environmental adaptation of aphids.

Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive parts of plants. Flowers contain nutrient-rich nature (Jakubczyk et al., 2022) and easy access to sap, therefore aphids were attractive to sap the flowers. Some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Chittka, 2007), while others preferred different types of plants and plant parts (Sorensen, 2009). It's worth noting that different aphid species often had distinct preferences for plant type and parts.

Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consumed sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as "sap". Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilized needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brożek et al., 2015). Numerous herbs had structural characteristics, such as folds, crevices, and concealed flowering portions (Harrington et al., 2007), that provided aphids with refuge.

Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive as a food source (Nelson & Mooney, 2022). The honeydew contained an abundance of sugars, extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Detrain et al., 2010). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often 'farm' aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants provided aphids with protection from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-jamouri et al., 2018). Certain species of ants would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al., 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their own progeny (Biedermann & Vega, 2020).

CONCLUSION

15 species of aphids were found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagaram, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, that supported this research.

REFERENCES

- Alotaibi, N. J., Alsufyani, T., M. N. H., & Almalki, M. A. (2023). Rapid Identification of Aphid Species by Headspace GC-MS and Discriminant Analysis. *Insects*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14070589>
- Bass, C., Puinean, A. M., Zimmer, C. T., Denholm, I., Field, L. M., Foster, S. P., Gutbrod, O., Nauen, R., Slater, R., & Williamson, M. S. (2014). The evolution of insecticide resistance in the peach potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 51, 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003>
- Biedermann, P. H. W., & Vega, F. E. (2020). Ecology and evolution of insect–fungus mutualisms. *Annual Review of*

407 *Entomology*, 65, 431–455. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910>

408 Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2008). *Aphids on the world's herbaceous plants and shrubs, 2 volume set*. John Wiley
409 & Sons.

410 Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2017). Taxonomic issues. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 1–36). CABI Wallingford UK.

411 Boivin, G., Hance, T., & Brodeur, J. (2012). Aphid parasitoids in biological control. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*,
412 92(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.4141/cjps2011-045>

413 Brożek, J., Mróz, E., Wylęzek, D., Depa, Ł., & Węgierek, P. (2015). The structure of extremely long mouthparts in the
414 aphid genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae). *Zoomorphology*, 134, 431–445.
415 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7>

416 Cao, H., Zhang, Z., Wang, X., & Liu, T. (2018). Nutrition versus defense : Why *Myzus persicae* (green peach aphid)
417 prefers and performs better on young leaves of cabbage. *PloS One*, 13(4), 1–16.
418 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196219>

419 Chandel, R. S., Chandla, V. K., Verma, K. S., & Pathania, M. (2022). Chapter 21 - *Insect pests of potato in India: biology*
420 *and management* (A. Alyokhin, S. I. Rondon, & Y. B. T.-I. P. of P. (Second E. Gao (eds.); pp. 371–400). Academic
421 Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821237-0.11001-7>

422 Chittka, Æ. L. (2007). *Visual ecology of aphids-a critical review on the role of colours in host finding Visual ecology of*
423 *aphids — a critical review on the role of colours in host finding. June 2014*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-006-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-006-9000-1)
424 9000-1

425 Clarke, R., Kehoe, M. A., Broughton, S., & Jones, R. A. C. (2020). Host plant affiliations of aphid vector species found
426 in a remote tropical environment. *Virus Research*, 281(December 2019), 197934.
427 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934>

428 Degani, E., Leigh, S. G., Barber, H. M., Jones, H. E., Lukac, M., Sutton, P., & Potts, S. G. (2019). Crop rotations in a
429 climate change scenario: short-term effects of crop diversity on resilience and ecosystem service provision under
430 drought. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 285, 106625.
431 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106625>

432 Detrain, C., Verheggen, F. J., Diez, L., Wathelet, B., & Haubruge, E. (2010). Aphid–ant mutualism: how honeydew sugars
433 influence the behaviour of ant scouts. *Physiological Entomology*, 35(2), 168–174. [https://doi.org/DOI:](https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3032.2010.00730.x)
434 10.1111/j.1365-3032.2010.00730.x

435 Döring, T. F. (2014). How aphids find their host plants, and how they don't. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 165(1), 3–26.
436 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12142>

437 Ertunc, F. (2020). Chapter 46 - *Emerging Plant Viruses* (M. M. B. T.-E. and R. V. P. Ennaji (ed.); pp. 1041–1062).
438 Academic Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819400-3.00046-6>

439 Gadhave, K. R., Gautam, S., Rasmussen, D. A., & Srinivasan, R. (2020). Aphid transmission of Potyvirus: the largest
440 plant-infecting RNA virus genus. *Viruses*, 12(7), 773. <https://doi.org/doi: 10.3390/v12070773>

441 Giannetti, D., Mandrioli, M., Schifani, E., Castracani, C., Spotti, F. A., Mori, A., & Grasso, D. A. (2021). First report on
442 the acrobat ant *Crematogaster scutellaris* storing live aphids in its oak-gall nests. *Insects*, 12(2), 108.
443 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12020108>

444 Guo, H., Gu, L., Liu, F., Chen, F., Ge, F., & Sun, Y. (2019). Aphid-borne Viral Spread Is Enhanced by Virus-induced
445 Accumulation of Plant Reactive Oxygen Species 1. *Plant Physiol*, 179(January), 143–155.
446 <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.00437>

447 Harrington, R., Clark, S. J., Welham, S. J., Verrier, P. J., Denholm, C. H., Hulle, M., Maurice, D., Rounsevell, M. D.,
448 Cocu, N., & Consortium, E. U. E. (2007). Environmental change and the phenology of European aphids. *Global*
449 *Change Biology*, 13(8), 1550–1564. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01394.x>

450 Hullé, M., Chaubet, B., Turpeau, E., & Simon, J.-C. (2020). Encyclop'Aphid: A website on aphids and their natural
451 enemies. *Entomologia Generalis*, 40(1). <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1127/entomologia/2019/0867>

452 Ikbāl, C., & Pavela, R. (2019). Essential oils as active ingredients of botanical insecticides against aphids. *Journal of Pest*
453 *Science*, 92, 971–986. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/s10340-019-01089-6>

454 Jakubczyk, K., Koprowska, K., Gottschling, A., & Janda-Milczarek, K. (2022). Edible Flowers as a Source of Dietary
455 Fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a Potential Athlete's Dietary Supplement. *Nutrients*, 14(12).
456 <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14122470>

457 Jaouannet, M., Rodriguez, P. A., Thorpe, P., Lenoir, C. J. G., & Macleod, R. (2014). Plant immunity in plant – aphid
458 interactions. *Front Plant Sci.*, 5(December), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00663>

459 Jones, R. A. C. (2022). Alteration of plant species mixtures by virus infection: Managed pastures the forgotten dimension.
460 *Plant Pathology*, 71(6), 1255–1281. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1111/ppa.13571>

461 Jousselin, E., Gwenaëlle, G., & Armelle, C. D. A. (2010). Evolutionary lability of a complex life cycle in the aphid genus
462 *Brachycaudus*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-295>

463 Kallas, J. (2010). *Edible wild plants*. Gibbs Smith.

464 Karami-jamour, T., Mirmoayedi, A., Zamani, A., & Khajehzadeh, Y. (2018). The impact of ant attendance on protecting
465 *Aphis gossypii* against two aphidophagous predators and it's role on the intraguild predation between them. *Journal*
466 *of Insect Behavior*, 31, 222–239. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4>

467 Kennedy, J. S., & Stroyan, H. L. G. (1959). Biology of aphids. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 4(1), 139–160.

468 Kinley, C., Banu, A. N., Raut, A. M., Wahengbam, J., & Jamtsho, T. (2021). A review on past, present and future
469 approaches for Aphids management. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 45(2), 336–346.
470 <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-4576.2021.00053.0>

471 Kumar, Sarwan. (2019). Aphid-Plant Interactions: Implications for Pest Management. In M. T. Oliveira, F. Candan, & A.
472 Fernandes-Silva (Eds.), *Plant Communities and Their Environment* (p. Ch. 7). IntechOpen.
473 <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.84302>

474 Kumar, Sushil, Bhowmick, M. K., & Ray, P. (2021). Weeds as alternate and alternative hosts of crop pests. *Indian Journal*
475 *of Weed Science*, 53(1), 14–29. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2>

476 Liu, X. D., Xu, T. T., & Lei, H. X. (2017). Refuges and host shift pathways of host-specialized aphids *Aphis gossypii*.
477 *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4>

478 Maharani, Y., Hidayat, P., Rauf, A., & Maryana, N. (2018). Short communication: New records of aphid species
479 subfamily aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas*, 19(2), 460–465.
480 <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d190219>

481 Margaritopoulos, J. T., Tzortzi, M., Zarpas, K. D., Tsitsipis, J. A., & Blackman, R. L. (2006). Morphological
482 discrimination of *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) populations feeding on Compositae. *Bulletin of*
483 *Entomological Research*, 96(2), 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.1079/ber2005410>

484 Meuninck, J. (2023). *Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs*. Rowman & Littlefield.

485 Mo, C., & Smilanich, A. M. (2023). Feeding on an exotic host plant enhances plasma levels of phenoloxidase by
486 modulating feeding efficiency in a specialist insect herbivore. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 14(February), 1–10.
487 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2023.1127670>

488 Naidu, V. (2012). *Hand book on weed identification*. Dr. VSGR Naidu.

489 Nelson, A. S., & Mooney, K. A. (2022). The evolution and ecology of interactions between ants and honeydew-producing
490 hemipteran insects. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 53, 379–402.
491 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840>

492 Peccoud, J., Simon, J.-C., von Dohlen, C., Coeur d’acier, A., Plantegenest, M., Vanlerberghe-Masutti, F., & Jousselin, E.
493 (2010). Evolutionary history of aphid-plant associations and their role in aphid diversification. *Comptes Rendus*
494 *Biologies*, 333(6), 474–487. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crv.2010.03.004>

495 Pettersson, J., Tjallingii, W. F., & Hardie, J. (2017). Host-plant selection and feeding. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 173–
496 195). CABI Wallingford UK. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1079/9780851998190.0087>

497 Singh, R., & Singh, G. (2021). Aphids. *Polyphagous Pests of Crops*, 105–182. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/978-981-15-8075-8_3

498

499 Sorensen, J. T. (2009). *Chapter 8 - Aphids* (V. H. Resh & R. T. B. T.-E. of I. (Second E. Cardé (eds.); pp. 27–31).
500 Academic Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374144-8.00008-4>

501 Tegelaar, K., Hagman, M., Glinwood, R., Pettersson, J., & Leimar, O. (2012). Ant-aphid mutualism: the influence of ants
502 on the aphid summer cycle. *Oikos*, 121(1), 61–66. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x>

503 Völkl, W., Mackauer, M., Pell, J. K., & Brodeur, J. (2023). Predators, parasitoids and pathogens. In *CABI Books*. CABI
504 Books. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851998190.0187>




505 Wäckers, F. ., & Van Rijn, P. . (2012). Pick and mix: selecting flowering plants to meet the requirements of target
506 biological control insects. *Biodiversity and Insect Pests: Key Issues for Sustainable Management*, 9(April), 139–165.
507 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118231838.ch9>

508 Yamamoto, T., Hattori, M., & Itino, T. (2020). *Seasonal Migration in the Aphid Genus Stomaphis (Hemiptera:*
509 *Aphididae): Discovery of Host Alternation Between Woody Plants in Subfamily Lachninae*. 20.
510 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieaa103>

511

2. Bukti konfirmasi review pertama dan hasil revisi pertama

11 September 2023



Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Chandra Irsan^{a*}, Erise Anggraini^{a,b}, Wenny Ramadhani^c

^a Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^b Agroecotechnology Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^c Plant Quarantine, Palembang, Sumatera, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: chandrairsan@fp.unsri.ac.id

Abstract

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species were found on plants that were not actually hosts such as wild plants. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental plants and the wild plants. This study revealed that 15 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

Keywords: aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

Introduction

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy & Stroyan, 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Blackman & Eastop, 2000). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhawe et al., 2020). A single species of aphids can act as a vector for over 150 viruses (Blackman & Eastop, 2000). In tropical areas, aphids can always be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman & Eastop, 2017). Aphids consume plant sap, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Müller et al., 2001). Moreover, when aphids transmit viral diseases from one plant to another, this can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones, 2022). According to Kinley et al. (2021), aphids cause yield losses directly (35 -

40%) by sucking the plant sap or indirectly (20 - 80%) through viral transmission. Therefore, aphid infestations can have adverse effects on crop yields and overall plant health (Sarwan Kumar, 2019).

Due to their function as vectors, the presence of aphids on a plant can be highly damaging (Jaouannet et al., 2014). They feed by piercing the plant's tissues and consuming its sap, which can reduce the plant's growth and productivity, ultimately leading to weakness and possible death (Chandel et al., 2022). Additionally, as vectors, aphids can transmit a variety of plant diseases. They are as carriers for various plant viruses, and when they move from infected to healthy plants, these viruses can rapidly spread and cause extensive damage (Guo et al., 2019). In addition, the honeydew that aphids secrete can lead to the growth of sooty mold, a black fungus that can prevent sunlight from reaching the plant's leaves, thereby impairing photosynthesis, the process by which plants produce food (Singh & Singh, 2021). Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Understanding the species diversity of aphids is fundamental to effective aphid control, as it facilitates the development of measures to keep their populations in check. In addition, understanding the diversity of aphid species can provide valuable insights into potential plant diseases, as different aphid species carry distinct viruses. Methods used to control aphids often encompass various techniques, including the use of natural enemies such as predators (like ladybugs, lacewings, and parasitic wasps) (Singh & Singh, 2021; Völkl et al., 2023), parasitoids (Boivin et al., 2012), entomopathogens (Hullé et al., 2020), the use of essential oils as botanical pesticides to control aphids (Ikbal & Pavela, 2019), and crop rotation techniques (Degani et al., 2019). Regular monitoring of aphid populations and diversity can help in detecting when population sizes may be reaching harmful levels, allowing for prompt implementation of the necessary countermeasures.

According to Irsan *et al.* (1998), many aphid species were found on plants that were not their actual hosts. Aphids have one or more secondary, or "alternative," host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al., 2020). An alternative host can also be a collateral host belonging to the same plant family as the primary host, helping crop pests to survive when the primary hosts are unavailable (Sileshi et al., 2008). These secondary hosts may

offer less adequate nutrition for insects (Capinera, 2005). However, they may provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al., 2021). According to Liu et al. (2017), since hibiscus serves as an overwintering host for cotton-specialized aphids but not for cucurbit-specialized aphids, it is evident that host-specialized aphids have refuges during times of food shortage. The life cycles of numerous aphid species exhibit such complexity (Jousselin et al., 2010). They maintain a cycle of host alternation, shifting between their primary hosts (typically a woody plant) and secondary hosts (often herbaceous plants) (Moran, 1992). Weeds pose a continuous threat in both cropped and non-crop areas, providing food, shelter and reproductive sites for various pest organisms (Kumar et al., 2021). This indicates that weeds can serve as alternative hosts for aphids.

A study of aphid species on horticultural plants has been conducted (Maharani et al., 2018). However, information about aphid species on ornamental and wild plants has not received as much attention and remains largely unexplored. In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagaralam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. The research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has received little attention. Therefore, this study was conducted in Pagaralam, a highland region of South Sumatra, with the aim of obtaining information on the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

Methods

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing fruit, vegetable, and ornamental varieties, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, aphids, and their natural enemies involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids were documented as aphid hosts. Aphids, along with their natural enemies within the aphid colonies, were systematically collected. All components of the collected observations were then identified.

Guidelines for finding host plants were written by Blackman & Eastop 1994, 2000; Irsan 1998; Kranz *et al.* 1978). Aphid identification was conducted using identification keys made by Blackman & Eastop (1994, 2000); Heie (1992, 1994, 1995); Irsan (1998); Kranz *et al.* (1978); Martin (1983). Identification of aphid species took place in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified based on identification keys made by van Steenis (1988). The location and size of aphid colonies, morphology of aphids including their shape and color, as well as any symptoms observed in the host plants were recorded, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were taken.

Results

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipapis erysimi*. Based on the observation, these aphids were found on various ornamental plants (Table 1). The primary colony locations were generally in flowers, and this study documented these colony locations in ornamental plants (Figure 1).

Table 1: Aphid species found in ornamental plants and their colony locations

No	Host Plant	Aphid Species	Colony location
1	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Sitobion luteum</i>	flower
2	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	flower
		<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	
		<i>Myzus persicae</i>	
3	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia</i> sp	flower
4	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
5	<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Pentalonia nigronervosa</i>	flower
6	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	flower
7	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
		<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	
8	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	flower
9	<i>Cosmos caudatus</i>	<i>Uroleucon</i> sp.	flower
10	<i>Dahlia Kelvin</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
11	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	flower
12	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
13	<i>Helianthus</i> sp.	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	flower

		<i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	
14	<i>Hibiscus rosasinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
15	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
16	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
17	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> <i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	flower
18	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	flower
19	<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	flower
20	<i>Spondiras dulcissolana</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	flower



Fig 1. The location of aphid colonization on various plant parts. a) *A. gossypii* in *D. Kelvin* flower b) *A. gossypii* in *H. rosinensis* flower c) *A. gossypii* in tuberose flower, d) *A. craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower, e) *A. citricola* in *Helianthus* sp., f) *A. aurantii* on the *M. paniculata* flower, g) *T. odinae* in the *S. dulcissolana*, h) *Uroleucon* sp. in chrysanthemums, i) *Macrosiphum rosae* in *R. indica* flower, j) *Pentalonia nigronervosa* in *C. indica* leaves

In addition, this study documented the presence of weeds, which might serve as alternative hosts for aphids (Table 2). The location of aphid colonies also varied, namely on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves and old leaves of wild plants (Figure 2). The presence of specific plants or host plants within a habitat influenced the types of aphids found. Many aphid species are found on a broad range of plants or host plants, while others are highly specialized and are only found on specific plants or host plants. This is

closely related to the polyphagous, oligophagous or monophagous nature of aphids (Blackman & Eastop 2000).

Table 2: Species of aphids found in wild plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid species	Colony location
1	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
2	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
3	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
4	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
5	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flowers, shoots, and buds
6	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
7	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks
8	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
9	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
10	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	<i>Hystroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks
11	<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	<i>Hiperomyzus</i> sp.	Young leaves, old leaves
12	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
13	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
14	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower, flower stalks, shoots
15	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, seeds
16	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves
17	<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
18	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
19	<i>Lagerstromia</i> Sp.	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	Young leaves
20	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
21	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
22	<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
23	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
24	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods, flowers
25	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
26	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> , <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Old leaves, young leaves (pupus), leaf axils
27	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, leaf axils
28	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, seeds
29	<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs, petioles
30	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, flower
31	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> , <i>A. gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
32	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves

No	Host Plant	Aphid species	Colony location
33	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
34	<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flower, fruit



Figure 2. Aphids found on wild plants a) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Ageratum conyzoides*, b) *A. gossypii* on Croton weed *hirtus* c) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Eupatorium odoratum*, d) *A.gossypii* on plants *Pachystochys* sp., e) *A.gossypii* on plants *Caladium* sp., f) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Alternanthera sessilis*, g) *A.gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae* weeds, h) *A.gossypii* on the weed *Euphorbia hirta*, i) *A. citricola* on the weed *Phyllanthus nerruri*, j) *A. citricola* on *Sida rhombifolia* weed, k) *A. citricola* on plants *Annona muricata*, l) *A.citricola* on the weed *Ludwigia peruviana*, m) *A. craccivora* on *Mimosa pudica* weed, n) *A.craccivora* on weeds *Amaranthus gracilis*, o) *A. glycine* in *Mikania micranta* weed, p) *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusin* weeds, q) *Greenidae* sp. in kenidai trees (shrubs) *indica*, r) *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinocloa crusgali* Weed, s) *L. erysimi* on weed *sonchus arvensis*, t) *Rhopalosiphum* rice on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*, u) *Rhopalosiphum* *Maidis* on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*.

Discussion

The plant species or host plant influences the distribution of aphids. There are aphid species that can be found on a wide range of host plants, which is closely related to the polyphagous nature of aphids, allowing them to colonize many different species of host plants. Host plants can also affect the distribution of aphids, as evidenced by the presence of aphid species exclusively found on certain host plants (Peccoud et al., 2010). But there are some species of aphids found only on one particular host and are not found on other host plants (Döring, 2014). *A. gossypii*, and *Aphis aurantii* have been found on many host plants because both aphids are classified as polyphagous aphids (Margaritopoulos et al., 2006; Piron et al., 2019).

Aphids can commonly be found infesting a variety of ornamental plants. They are attracted to these plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Wäckers & Van Rijn, 2012). In this present study, some aphid species were found on some ornamental plants in Pagaralam. The location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Adiantum predatum* plants, aphids formed colonies on young leaf stalks and on newly emerging leaves. The aphids displayed brown and black coloration. The aphid colonies found were small, and the colonized plant parts showed no signs of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Neotoxoptera* sp., and notably, they were not associated with ants. On *Aster alpinus*, aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Uroleucon* sp., and they were associated with ants.

On the *Brugmansia suaveolens* (angel's trumpet), *M. persicae* were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have started to turn yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. (taro) was found one species of

aphids: *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odoratum* (ylang-ylang), colonies of *T. aurantii* were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black in color. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants.

Aphids on *C. indica* (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body. The identification results showed that the aphids were *P. nigronervosa*. The colonies of *P. nigronervosa* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (periwinkle), *A. citricola* aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or flower stalks that had not fully bloomed. The colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants.

Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black in color. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. craccivora*. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. On the plant *Cosmos caudatus*, aphids were found on the flower petals. The colonies were not very large. The body color was green and light green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were also associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia kelvin* plant formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids

were *A. gossypii*. Aphids on *Datura metel* (amethyst) were found to form colonies on the undersides of old leaves. The aphids were medium-sized with a green body color. The colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Myzus ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within *Dendrobium* sp., aphid colonies were found on the young leaves. The aphids were yellow, green to dark green. The colonized plants did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp. (bonsai), colonies of aphids were located on the undersides of young leaves. The colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The aphids were green in color. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants.

On the *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) plants, aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The colonized flowers, especially the crowns, exhibited a tendency to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in color. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *M. ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that were parasitized by Aphidiidae were found.

On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, aphids ranging in color from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa*. First, the aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, similar to sugar. The aphids had yellow, green or

slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The identification results showed that the aphids were

A. gossypii, and they were almost always associated with ants. The second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants.

In *Ixora* sp. flower plants, two forms of aphids were discovered. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves and unopened flowers. The affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white, resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost always associated with ants. Another species of aphids were founded and formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce any symptoms of plant disease. The aphids were yellow or yellow-green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small to small in size. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also frequently found in association with ants.

Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa*, each forming colonies in different locations. The first type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease symptoms. The aphids were yellow, green, and some with dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots and within flower parts. The plant parts they infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda

and siphunculi. They had tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*.

The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids. This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. These aphids formed colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green, yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* or alligator grass was also colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots, flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and they were often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus gracilis* was infested by *Aphis craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers and young and old leaves. They were dark brown to black in color, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* aphids. These aphids were bright green, and of medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* or fire grass was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow-green to dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon dactylon* or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the leaf axils of the plant. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to red-brown. They were associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* or nut grass was infested by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants. The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* or grass puzzle was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids, with small colonies scattered on the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light

brown to brown in color. *Echinochloa crussgali* or water hyacinth plants were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black, and formed large colonies on the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Ecliptica prostrata* or urang aring was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants.

Eleusin indica was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. *H. setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks and leaf axils resulting in quite large colonies. *H. setariae* body color ranged from red-brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis* formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and on leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants. The plant *Emilia sonchifolia*, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow to green in colour. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves.

Eragrostis tenella was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red-brown. Small colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae* were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* or wart grass was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupotarium odoratum* was colonized by both *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young twigs. Young leaves that were colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this plant showed yellow-green to dark green in body colour. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of both *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants.

Hymenochera acutigluma or hair axis was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae*, which formed colonies on the flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromea* sp. or *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* or bamboo grass plants were colonized by two species of aphids: *hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to red-brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis* aphids were green to bright green in color, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix.

Melastoma affine was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly emerging shoots and on newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body colour of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania miranta* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis glycine*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and curled leaves. *A. glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. Glycine* aphids were light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a single colony.

Mimosa invisa (cater-grass) was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa pudica* was observed to be colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots, and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa vigra* was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The colonies of aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms.

Oryza rufipogon was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum rice* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Both aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species could be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green with black sifunculi and cauda, while *R. rice* appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. rice* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants. *Oxonopus compressus* or *pait* grass was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower stalks, seeds, and sometimes in the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown in color. Small colonies were formed, and they were also consistently associated with ants.

Paspalum conjugatum was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phyllanthus niruri* was colonized by *Aphis citricola*. The colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted, and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black sifunculi and cauda, and the colonies formed were quite large. *Portulaca oleraceae* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots and in flowers. The colonized plant parts became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that appeared glossy black.

Physalis angulata plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with glossy black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. *Rorippa indica* or mustard land was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. The colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks and the lower surface of leaves. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* or cacabean was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids had green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks and flower petals. The colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* plants were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colours, and the colonies formed on flower stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically displayed typical symptoms of damage, but some did not show any symptoms. Generally, the symptoms of the plants caused by aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves. These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition happened because the colonized parts had reached their maximum growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the leaves and plant parts were old. The old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid infestations.

The part of the plant exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued to grow, resulting in some parts developing normally while others become stunted (Pettersson et al., 2017). This condition could lead to the bending of shoots or young stems, curling of leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants was often easier to recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al., 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the presence of aphid colonies.

Throughout their life cycle, aphids exhibited host alternation by switching between two distinct host plants (Peccoud et al., 2010). They overwintered on woody plants, reproduced in the spring, and migrated to herbaceous plants during the summer before returning to their primary host in the autumn (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This allowed aphids to maximize resource utilization, avoid congestion and competition, evade predators and parasites, circumvent plant defenses, and colonize new areas. Aphids could distribute their population efficiently, thereby avoiding overcrowding, predators and

parasites, and plant defenses developing over time through host switching (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This behavior was essential for the survival and environmental adaptation of aphids.

Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive parts of plants. Flowers contain nutrient-rich nature (Jakubczyk et al., 2022) and easy access to sap, therefore aphids were attractive to sap the flowers. Some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Chittka, 2007), while others preferred different types of plants and plant parts (Sorensen, 2009). It's worth noting that different aphid species often had distinct preferences for plant types (Harrington et al., 2007) and parts.

Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consumed sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as "sap". Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilized needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brożek et al., 2015). Numerous herbs had structural characteristics, such as folds, crevices, and concealed flowering portions (Harrington et al., 2007), that provided aphids with refuge.

Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive as a food source (Nelson & Mooney, 2022). The honeydew contained an abundance of sugars, extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Detrain et al., 2010). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often 'farm' aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants provided aphids with protection from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-jamouri et al., 2018). Certain species of ants would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al., 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their own progeny (Biedermann & Vega, 2020).

References

Biedermann, P. H. W., & Vega, F. E. (2020). Ecology and evolution of insect–fungus mutualisms. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 65, 431–455.

- Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2000). *Aphids on the world's crops: an identification and information guide*. (Issue Ed. 2). John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2017). Taxonomic issues. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 1–36). CABI Wallingford UK.
- Boivin, G., Hance, T., & Brodeur, J. (2012). Aphid parasitoids in biological control. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 92(1), 1–12.
- Brożek, J., Mróz, E., Wylężek, D., Depa, Ł., & Węgierek, P. (2015). The structure of extremely long mouthparts in the aphid genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae). *Zoomorphology*, 134, 431–445.
- Capinera, J. L. (2005). Relationships between insect pests and weeds: an evolutionary perspective. *Weed Science*, 53(6), 892–901.
- Chandel, R. S., Chandla, V. K., Verma, K. S., & Pathania, M. (2022). *Chapter 21 - Insect pests of potato in India: biology and management* (A. Alyokhin, S. I. Rondon, & Y. B. T.-I. P. of P. (Second E. Gao (eds.); pp. 371–400). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821237-0.11001-7>
- Chittka, Æ. L. (2007). *Visual ecology of aphids-a critical review on the role of colours in host finding* *Visual ecology of aphids — a critical review on the role of colours in host finding*. June 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-006-9000-1>
- Clarke, R., Kehoe, M. A., Broughton, S., & Jones, R. A. C. (2020). Host plant affiliations of aphid vector species found in a remote tropical environment. *Virus Research*, 281(December 2019), 197934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934>
- Degani, E., Leigh, S. G., Barber, H. M., Jones, H. E., Lukac, M., Sutton, P., & Potts, S. G. (2019). Crop rotations in a climate change scenario: short-term effects of crop diversity on resilience and ecosystem service provision under drought. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 285, 106625.
- Detrain, C., Verheggen, F. J., Diez, L., Wathélet, B., & Haubruge, E. (2010). Aphid–ant mutualism: how honeydew sugars influence the behaviour of ant scouts. *Physiological Entomology*, 35(2), 168–174.
- Döring, T. F. (2014). How aphids find their host plants, and how they don't. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 165(1), 3–26. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12142>
- Gadhav, K. R., Gautam, S., Rasmussen, D. A., & Srinivasan, R. (2020). Aphid transmission of Potyvirus: the largest plant-infecting RNA virus genus. *Viruses*, 12(7), 773.
- Giannetti, D., Mandrioli, M., Schifani, E., Castracani, C., Spotti, F. A., Mori, A., & Grasso, D. A. (2021). First report on the acrobat ant *Crematogaster scutellaris* storing live aphids in its oak-gall nests. *Insects*, 12(2), 108.
- Guo, H., Gu, L., Liu, F., Chen, F., Ge, F., & Sun, Y. (2019). Aphid-borne Viral Spread Is Enhanced by Virus-induced Accumulation of Plant Reactive Oxygen Species 1. *Plant Physiol*, 179(January), 143–155. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.00437>
- Harrington, R., Clark, S. J., Welham, S. J., Verrier, P. J., Denholm, C. H., Hulle, M., Maurice, D., Rounsevell, M. D., Cocu, N., & Consortium, E. U. E. (2007). Environmental change and the phenology of European aphids. *Global Change Biology*, 13(8), 1550–1564.
- Hullé, M., Chaubet, B., Turpeau, E., & Simon, J.-C. (2020). Encyclop'Aphid: A website on aphids and their natural enemies. *Entomologia Generalis*, 40(1).
- Ikbal, C., & Pavela, R. (2019). Essential oils as active ingredients of botanical insecticides against aphids. *Journal of Pest Science*, 92, 971–986.

- Jakubczyk, K., Koprowska, K., Gottschling, A., & Janda-Milczarek, K. (2022). Edible Flowers as a Source of Dietary Fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a Potential Athlete's Dietary Supplement. *Nutrients*, 14(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14122470>
- Jaouannet, M., Rodriguez, P. A., Thorpe, P., Lenoir, C. J. G., & Macleod, R. (2014). Plant immunity in plant – aphid interactions. *Front Plant Sci.*, 5(December), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00663>
- Jones, R. A. C. (2022). Alteration of plant species mixtures by virus infection: Managed pastures the forgotten dimension. *Plant Pathology*, 71(6), 1255–1281.
- Jousselin, E., Gwenaelle, G., & Armelle, C. D. A. (2010). Evolutionary lability of a complex life cycle in the aphid genus *Brachycaudus*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-295>
- Karami-jamour, T., Mirmoayedi, A., Zamani, A., & Khajehzadeh, Y. (2018). The impact of ant attendance on protecting *Aphis gossypii* against two aphidophagous predators and it's role on the intraguild predation between them. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 31, 222–239.
- Kennedy, J. S., & Stroyan, H. L. G. (1959). Biology of aphids. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 4(1), 139–160.
- Kinley, C., Banu, A. N., Raut, A. M., Wahengbam, J., & Jamtsho, T. (2021). A review on past, present and future approaches for Aphids management. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 45(2), 336–346. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-4576.2021.00053.0>
- Kumar, Sarwan. (2019). Aphid-Plant Interactions: Implications for Pest Management. In M. T. Oliveira, F. Candan, & A. Fernandes-Silva (Eds.), *Plant Communities and Their Environment* (p. Ch. 7). IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.84302>
- Kumar, Sushil, Bhowmick, M. K., & Ray, P. (2021). Weeds as alternate and alternative hosts of crop pests. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 53(1), 14–29. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2>
- Liu, X. D., Xu, T. T., & Lei, H. X. (2017). Refuges and host shift pathways of host-specialized aphids *Aphis gossypii*. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4>
- Maharani, Y., Hidayat, P., Rauf, A., & Maryana, N. (2018). Short communication: New records of aphid species subfamily aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas*, 19(2), 460–465. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d190219>
- Margaritopoulos, J. T., Tzortzi, M., Zarpas, K. D., Tsitsipis, J. A., & Blackman, R. L. (2006). Morphological discrimination of *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) populations feeding on Compositae. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 96(2), 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.1079/ber2005410>
- Moran, N. A. (1992). The Evolution of Aphid Life Cycles. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 37(1), 321–348. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.37.010192.001541>
- Müller, C. B., Williams, I. S., & Hardie, J. (2001). The role of nutrition, crowding and interspecific interactions in the development of winged aphids. *Ecological Entomology*, 26(3), 330–340.
- Nelson, A. S., & Mooney, K. A. (2022). The evolution and ecology of interactions between ants and honeydew-producing hemipteran insects. *Annual Review of*

- Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 53, 379–402.
- Peccoud, J., Simon, J.-C., von Dohlen, C., Coeur d'acier, A., Plantegenest, M., Vanlerberghe-Masutti, F., & Jousset, E. (2010). Evolutionary history of aphid-plant associations and their role in aphid diversification. *Comptes Rendus Biologies*, 333(6), 474–487.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2010.03.004>
- Pettersson, J., Tjallingii, W. F., & Hardie, J. (2017). Host-plant selection and feeding. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 173–195). CABI Wallingford UK.
- Piron, P., de Haas, M., & Sonnemans, M. (2019). The presence of *Aphis* (Homoptera: Aphididae) in the Netherlands. *Entomologische Berichten*, 79(5), 162–164.
- Sileshi, G., Schroth, G., Rao, M. R., & Girma, H. (2008). Weeds, diseases, insect pests and tri-trophic interactions in tropical agroforestry. *Ecological Basis of Agroforestry*, 73–94.
- Singh, R., & Singh, G. (2021). Aphids. *Polyphagous Pests of Crops*, 105–182.
- Sorensen, J. T. (2009). *Chapter 8 - Aphids* (V. H. Resh & R. T. B. T.-E. of I. (Second E. Cardé (eds.); pp. 27–31). Academic Press.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374144-8.00008-4>
- Tegelaar, K., Hagman, M., Glinwood, R., Pettersson, J., & Leimar, O. (2012). Ant-aphid mutualism: the influence of ants on the aphid summer cycle. *Oikos*, 121(1), 61–66. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x>
- Völkl, W., Mackauer, M., Pell, J. K., & Brodeur, J. (2023). Predators, parasitoids and pathogens. In *CABI Books*. CABI Books.
<https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851998190.0187>
- Wäckers, F. ., & Van Rijn, P. . (2012). Pick and mix: selecting flowering plants to meet the requirements of target biological control insects. *Biodiversity and Insect Pests: Key Issues for Sustainable Management*, 9(April), 139–165.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118231838.ch9>
- Yamamoto, T., Hattori, M., & Itino, T. (2020). *Seasonal Migration in the Aphid Genus Stomaphis (Hemiptera : Aphididae) : Discovery of Host Alternation Between Woody Plants in Subfamily Lachninae*. 20. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieaa103>

COVERING LETTER

Dear **Editor-in-Chief**,

I herewith enclosed a research article,

- ☐ The submission has not been previously published, nor is it before another journal for consideration (or an explanation has been provided in Comments to the Editor).
- ☐ The submission file is in OpenOffice, Microsoft Word (DOC, not DOCX), or RTF document file format.
- ☐ The text is single-spaced; uses a 10-point font; employs italics, rather than underlining (except with URL addresses); and all illustrations, figures, and tables are placed within the text at the appropriate points, rather than at the end.
- ☐ The text adheres to the stylistic and bibliographic requirements outlined in the Author Guidelines.
- ☐ Most of the references come from current scientific journals (c. 80% published in the last 10 years), except for taxonomic papers.
- ☐ Where available, DOIs for the references have been provided.
- ☐ When available, a certificate for proofreading is included.

SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Ensure that the following items are present:

The first corresponding author must be accompanied with contact details:

- ☐ E-mail address
- ☐ Full postal address (incl street name and number (location), city, postal code, state/province, country)
- ☐ Phone and facsimile numbers (incl country phone code)

All necessary files have been uploaded, and contain:

- ☐ Keywords
- ☐ Running titles
- ☐ All figure captions
- ☐ All tables (incl title and note/description)

Further considerations

- ☐ Manuscript has been "spell & grammar-checked" Better, if it is revised by a professional science editor or a native English speaker
- ☐ References are in the correct format for this journal
- ☐ All references mentioned in the Reference list are cited in the text, and vice versa
- ☐ Colored figures are only used if the information in the text may be losing without those images
- ☐ Charts (graphs and diagrams) are drawn in black and white images; use shading to differentiate

Title:

Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Author(s) name:

Chandra Irsan^{a*}, Erise Anggraini^{a,b}, Siti Herlinda^a, Wenny Ramadhani^c, M. Umar Harun^d,

Address

(Fill in your institution's name and address, your personal cellular phone and email)

^a Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^b Agroecotechnology Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^c Plant Quarantine, Palembang, Sumatera, Indonesia

^d Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

For possibility publication on the journal:

(fill in *Biodiversitas* or *Nusantara Bioscience* or mention the others)

☐ Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity

☐ Nusantara Bioscience

☐ Prosiding Seminar Nasional Masyarakat Biodiversitas Indonesia

☐ Asian Journal of Agriculture

☐ Asian Journal of Ethnobiology

☐ Asian Journal of Forestry

☐ Asian Journal of Natural Product Biochemistry

☐ Asian Journal of Tropical Biotechnology

☐ International Journal of Bonorowo Wetlands

☐ Cell Biology and Development

☐ Indo Pacific Journal of Ocean Life

☐ International Journal of Tropical Drylands

Novelty:

(state your claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge)

This paper described the alternative host of aphids in high land, South Sumatera. The knowledge regarding the alternative of insect pest could be beneficial resource for basic control of aphids.

Statements:

This manuscript has not been published and is not under consideration for publication to any other journal or any other type of publication (including web hosting) either by me or any of my co-authors.

Author(s) has been read and agree to the Ethical Guidelines.

List of five potential reviewers

(Fill in names of five potential reviewers **that agree to review your manuscript** and their **email** addresses. He/she should have Scopus ID and come from different institution with the authors; and from at least three different countries)

1. Dr. Koko Dwi Sutanti (email: ksutanto@ksu.edu.sa)
2. Dr. Lau Wei Hong (email: lauweih@upm.edu.my)
3. Prof. Dr. Dra. Asni Johari, M.Si.
4. Dr. Mahesh Gunasena (mahesh.gunasena@gmail.com)
5. Dr. Hasber Salim (hasbersalim@usm.my)

Place and date:

Palembang, 5 October 2023

Sincerely yours,

(fill in your name, no need scanned autograph)

Dr. Chandra Irsan

Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Chandra Irsan^{a*}, Erise Anggraini^{a,b}, Siti Herlinda^a, Wenny Ramadhani^c, M. Umar Harun^d,

^a Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^b Agroecotechnology Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Kode Pos 30962
Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia, Kode Pos 30962

^c Plant Quarantine, Palembang, Sumatera, Indonesia

^d Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia, Kode Pos 30962

Corresponding Author: chandraitsan@fp.unsri.ac.id,

Abstract

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species were found on plants that were not actually hosts such as wild plants. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental plants and the wild plants. This study revealed that 15 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

Keywords: aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

Running title: Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants

INTRODUCTION

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy & Stroyan, 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Bass et al., 2014). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhawe et al., 2020). Aphids can transmit 275 viruses (Ertunc, 2020). In tropical areas, aphids can always be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman & Eastop, 2017). Aphids consume young leaves sap, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Cao et al., 2018). Moreover, when aphids transmit viral diseases from one plant to another, this can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones, 2022). According to Kinley et al. (2021), aphids cause yield losses directly (35 - 40%) by sucking the plant sap or indirectly (20 - 80%) through viral transmission. Therefore, aphid infestations can have adverse effects on crop yields and overall plant health (Sarwan Kumar, 2019).

Due to their function as vectors, the presence of aphids on a plant can be highly damaging (Jaouannet et al., 2014). They feed by piercing the plant's tissues and consuming its sap, which can reduce the plant's growth and productivity, ultimately leading to weakness and possible death (Chandel et al., 2022). Additionally, as vectors, aphids can transmit a variety of plant diseases. They are as carriers for various plant viruses, and when they move from infected to healthy plants, these viruses can rapidly spread and cause extensive damage (Guo et al., 2019). In addition, the honeydew that aphids secrete can lead to the growth of sooty mold, a black fungus that can prevent sunlight from reaching the plant's leaves, thereby impairing photosynthesis, the process by which plants produce food (Singh & Singh, 2021). Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Understanding the species diversity of aphids is fundamental to effective aphid control, as it facilitates the development of measures to keep their populations in check. In addition, understanding the diversity of aphid species can provide valuable insights into potential plant diseases, as different aphid species carry distinct viruses. Methods used to

control aphids often encompass various techniques, including the use of natural enemies such as predators (like ladybugs, lacewings, and parasitic wasps) (Singh & Singh, 2021; Völkl et al., 2023), parasitoids (Boivin et al., 2012), entomopathogens (Hullé et al., 2020), the use of essential oils as botanical pesticides to control aphids (Ikbāl & Pavela, 2019), and crop rotation techniques (Degani et al., 2019). Regular monitoring of aphid populations and diversity can help in detecting when population sizes may be reaching harmful levels, allowing for prompt implementation of the necessary countermeasures.

Many aphid species were found on plants that were not their actual hosts (Peccoud et al., 2010). Aphids have one or more secondary, or "alternative," host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al., 2020). An alternative host can also be a collateral host belonging to the same plant family as the primary host, helping crop pests to survive when the primary hosts are unavailable (Kumar et al., 2021). These secondary hosts may offer less adequate nutrition for insects (Mo & Smilanich, 2023). However, they may provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al., 2021). According to Liu et al. (2017), since hibiscus serves as an overwintering host for cotton-specialized aphids but not for cucurbit-specialized aphids, it is evident that host-specialized aphids have refuges during times of food shortage. The life cycles of numerous aphid species exhibit such complexity (Jousselin et al., 2010). They maintain a cycle of host alternation, shifting between their primary hosts (typically a woody plant) and secondary hosts (often herbaceous plants) (Yamamoto et al., 2020). Weeds pose a continuous threat in both cropped and non-crop areas, providing food, shelter and reproductive sites for various pest organisms (Kumar et al., 2021). This indicates that weeds can serve as alternative hosts for aphids.

A study of aphid species on horticultural plants has been conducted (Maharani et al., 2018). However, information about aphid species on ornamental and wild plants has not received as much attention and remains largely unexplored. In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. The research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has received little attention. Therefore, this study was conducted in Pagar Alam, a highland region of South Sumatra, with the aim of obtaining information on the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing fruit, vegetable, and ornamental varieties, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, aphids, and their natural enemies involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids were documented as aphid hosts. Aphids, along with their natural enemies within the aphid colonies, were systematically collected. All components of the collected observations were then identified.

Aphid identification was conducted using identification keys (Blackman & Eastop, 2008). Identification of aphid species took place in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using weed identification hand book (Kallas, 2010; Meuninck, 2023; Naidu, 2012). The location and size of aphid colonies, morphology of aphids including their shape and color, as well as any symptoms observed in the host plants were recorded, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were taken.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipapis erysimi*. Based on the observation, these aphids were found on various ornamental plants (Table 1). The primary colony locations were generally in flowers, and this study documented these colony locations in ornamental plants (Figure 1).

Table 1: Aphid species found in ornamental plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid Species	Colony location
1	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Sitobion luteum</i>	flower
2	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	flower
		<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	
		<i>Myzus persicae</i>	
3	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia</i> sp	flower
4	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
5	<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Pentalonia nigronervosa</i>	flower
6	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	flower
7	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
		<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	
8	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	flower
9	<i>Cosmos caudatus</i>	<i>Uroleucon</i> sp.	flower
10	<i>Dahlia Kelvin</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
11	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	flower
12	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
13	<i>Helianthus</i> sp.	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	flower
		<i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	
14	<i>Hibiscus rosasinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
15	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
16	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
17	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> <i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	flower
18	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	flower
19	<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	flower
20	<i>Spondiras dulcissoland</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	flower



Fig 1. The location of aphid colonization on various plant parts. a) *A. gossypii* in *D. Kelvin* flower b) *A. gossypii* in *H. rosinensis* flower c) *A. gossypii* in tuberose flower, d) *A. craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower, e) *A. citricola* in *Helianthus* sp., f) *A. aurantii* on the *M. paniculata* flower, g) *T. odinae* in the *S. dulcissolana*, h) *Uroleucon* sp. in chrysanthemums, i) *Macrosiphum rosae* in *R. indica* flower, j) *Pentalonia nigronervosa* in *C. indica* leaves

In addition, this study documented the presence of weeds, which might serve as alternative hosts for aphids (Table 2). The location of aphid colonies also varied, namely on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves and old leaves of wild plants (Figure 2). The presence of specific plants or host plants within a habitat influenced the types of aphids found. Many aphid species are found on a broad range of plants or host plants, while others are highly specialized and are only found on specific plants or host plants. This is closely related to the polyphagous, oligophagous or monophagous nature of aphids (Blackman & Eastop 2000).

Table 2: Species of aphids found in wild plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid species	Colony location
1	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
2	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
3	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
4	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
5	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flowers, shoots, and buds
6	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves young twigs
7	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks
8	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
9	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
10	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks
11	<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	<i>Hiperomyzus</i> sp.	Young leaves, old leaves
12	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
13	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
14	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower, flower stalks, shoots
15	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, seeds
16	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves
17	<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
18	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
19	<i>Lagerstromia</i> Sp.	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	Young leaves
20	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
21	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
22	<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
23	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
24	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods, flowers
25	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
26	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> , <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Old leaves, young leaves (pupus), leaf axils
27	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, leaf axils
28	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, seeds
29	<i>Phylanthus neruri</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs petioles
30	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, flower
31	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> , <i>A. gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
32	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves
33	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
34	<i>Sonchus arventris</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flower, fruit



Figure 2. Aphids found on wild plants a) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Ageratum conyzoides*, b) *A. gossypii* on *Croton weed hirtus* c) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Eupatorium odoratum*, d) *A.gossypii* on plants *Pachystochys* sp., e) *A.gossypii* on plants *Caladium* sp., f) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Alternanthera sessilis*, g) *A.gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae* weeds, h) *A.gossypii* on the weed *Euphorbia hirta*, i) *A. citricola* on the weed *Phyllanthus nerruri*, j) *A. citricola* on *Sida rhombifolia* weed, k) *A. citricola* on plants *Annona muricata*, l) *A.citricola* on the weed *Ludwigia peruviana*, m) *A. craccivora* on *Mimosa pudica* weed, n) *A.craccivora* on weeds *Amaranthus gracilis*, o) *A. glycine* in *Mikania micrantha* weed, p) *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusine indica* weeds, q) *Greenidae* sp. in kenidai trees (shrubs) *indica*, r) *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinocloa crusgali* Weed, s) *L. erysimi* on weed *sonchus arvensis*, t) *Rhopalosiphum* rice on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*, u) *Rhopalosiphum* *Maidis* on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*.

Discussion

The plant species or host plant influences the distribution of aphids. There are aphid species that can be found on a wide range of host plants, which is closely related to the polyphagous nature of aphids, allowing them to colonize many different species of host plants. Host plants can also affect the distribution of aphids, as evidenced by the presence of aphid species exclusively found on certain host plants (Peccoud et al., 2010). But there are some species of aphids found only on one particular host and are not found on other host plants (Döring, 2014). *A. gossypii*, and *Aphis aurantii* have been found on many host plants because both aphids are classified as polyphagous aphids (Margaritopoulos et al., 2006; Alotaibi et al., 2023).

Aphids can commonly be found infesting a variety of ornamental plants. They are attracted to these plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Wäckers & Van Rijn, 2012). In this present study, some aphid species were found on some ornamental plants in Pagaralam. The location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Adiantum predatum* plants, aphids formed colonies on young leaf stalks and on newly emerging leaves. The aphids displayed brown and black coloration. The aphid colonies found were small, and the colonized plant parts showed no signs of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Neotoxoptera* sp., and notably, they were not associated with ants. On *Aster alpinus*, aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Uroleucon* sp., and they were associated with ants.

On the *Brugmansia suaveolens* (angel's trumpet), *M. persicae* were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have started to turn yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. (taro) was found one species of aphids: *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odoratum* (ylang-ylang), colonies of *T. aurantii* were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black in color. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants.

Aphids on *C. indica* (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body. The identification results showed that the aphids were *P. nigronevosa*. The colonies of *P. nigronevosa* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (periwinkle), *A. citricola* aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or flower stalks that had not fully bloomed. The colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants.

Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black in color. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. craccivora*. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. On the plant *Cosmos caudatus*, aphids were found on the flower petals. The colonies were not very

206 large. The body color was green and light green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and
207 they were also associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia kellyi* plant formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with
208 a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results
209 showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. Aphids on *Datura metel* (amethyst) were found to form colonies on the
210 undersides of old leaves. The aphids were medium sized with a green body color. The colonized plant parts did not show
211 any symptoms of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Myzus ornatus*. The aphid colonies were
212 not associated with ants. Within *Dendrobium* sp., aphid colonies were found on the young leaves. The aphids were yellow,
213 green to dark green. The colonized plants did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the
214 aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp. (bonsai), colonies of aphids were located on
215 the undersides of young leaves. The colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The
216 aphids were green in color. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were
217 consistently associated with ants.

218 On the *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) plants, aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The
219 colonized flowers, especially the crowns, exhibited a tendency to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in
220 color. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies
221 were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies
222 were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease
223 symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *M. ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with
224 ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that were parasitized by Aphididae were found.

225 On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, aphids ranging in color from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed
226 colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large.
227 The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with
228 ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa*. First, the aphids formed colonies on the
229 undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The
230 occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and
231 slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, similar to sugar. The aphids had
232 yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The
233 identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were almost always associated with ants. The
234 second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could
235 also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs
236 of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The
237 identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants.

238 In *Ixora* sp. flower plants, two forms of aphids were discovered. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves
239 and unopened flowers. The affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from
240 yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white,
241 resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost
242 always associated with ants. Another species of aphids was founded and formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet
243 bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce any symptoms
244 of plant disease. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small

245 to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also
246 frequently found in association with ants.

247 Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa*, each forming colonies in different locations. The first
248 type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease
249 symptoms. The aphids were yellow, green, and some with dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids
250 were *A. gossypii*. The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as
251 if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots and within flower parts. The plant parts they
252 infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had
253 tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*.

254 The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids.
255 This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were
256 generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. These aphids formed
257 colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green,
258 yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* or alligator grass was also colonized
259 by *Aphis gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging
260 from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots,
261 flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and they were often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus*
262 *gracilis* was infested by *Aphis craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers and young and old leaves.
263 They were dark brown to black in color, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with
264 both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* aphids. These aphids were bright green, and of
265 medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies
266 were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* or fire grass was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow-green to
267 dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon*
268 *dactylon* or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks
269 and sometimes in the leaf axils of the plant. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to red-brown. They were
270 associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* or nut grass was infested by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were
271 found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants.
272 The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* or grass puzzle was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*
273 aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small
274 colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids, with small colonies scattered on
275 the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinocloa crusgali* or water hyacinth
276 plants were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on
277 the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Ecliptica*
278 *prostrata* or urang aring was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids
279 were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants.

280 *Eleusin indica* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. *H.*
281 *setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks and leaf axils resulting in quite large colonies. *H. setariae* body
282 color ranged from red brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis*
283 formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and on leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were
284 not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These

285 aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants.
286 The plant *Emilia sonchifolia*, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow
287 to green in colour. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves.

288 *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red brown. Small
289 colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae*
290 were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* or wart grass was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids formed
291 colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in
292 color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupatorium odoratum* was colonied
293 by both *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young
294 twigs. Young leaves that were colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this
295 plant showed yellow-green to dark green in body colour. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the
296 shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of
297 both *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants.

298 *Hymenochera acutigluma* or hair axis was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae*, which formed colonies on the
299 flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia* sp. or
300 *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with
301 thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not
302 show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* or bamboo grass plants were colonized by two species of aphids:
303 *hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves,
304 leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to
305 red-brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis*
306 aphids were green to bright green in color, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species
307 of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix.

308 *Melastoma affine* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly
309 emerging shoots and on newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body colour of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The
310 colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania miranta* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis*
311 *glycine*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and
312 curled leaves. *A. glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. Glycine* aphids were
313 light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a
314 single colony.

315 *Mimosa invisa* (cater-grass) was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants
316 formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa*
317 *pudica* was observed to be colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots,
318 and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the
319 colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa vigra* was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The colonies of
320 aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were
321 shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms.

322 *Oryza rufipogon* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum rice* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Both
323 aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species
324 could be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green with black sifunculi and cauda, while *R. rice*

appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. rice* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants. *Oxonopus compressus* or *pait* grass was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower stalks, seeds, and sometimes in the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown in color. Small colonies were formed, and they were also consistently associated with ants.

Paspalum conjugatum was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phylanthus niruri* was colonized by *Aphis citricola*. The colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted, and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black sifunculi and cauda, and the colonies formed were quite large. *Portulaca oleraceae* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots and in flowers. The colonized plant parts became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that appeared glossy black.

Physalis angulata plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with glossy black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. *Rorippa indica* or mustard land was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. The colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks and the lower surface of leaves. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* or cacabean was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids had green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks and flower petals. The colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* plants were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colours, and the colonies formed on flower stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically displayed typical symptoms of damage, but some did not show any symptoms. Generally, the symptoms of the plants caused by aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves. These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition happened because the colonized parts had reached their maximum growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the leaves and plant parts were old. The old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid infestations.

The part of the plant exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued to grow, resulting in some parts developing normally while others become stunted (Pettersson et al., 2017). This condition could lead to the bending of shoots or young stems, curling of leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants was often easier to recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al., 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the presence of aphid colonies.

Throughout their life cycle, aphids exhibited host alternation by switching between two distinct host plants (Peccoud et al., 2010). They overwintered on woody plants, reproduced in the spring, and migrated to herbaceous plants during the summer before returning to their primary host in the autumn (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This allowed aphids to maximize resource utilization, avoid congestion and competition, evade predators and parasites, circumvent plant defenses, and colonize new areas. Aphids could distribute their population efficiently, thereby avoiding overcrowding, predators and parasites, and plant defenses developing over time through host switching (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This behavior was essential for the survival and environmental adaptation of aphids.

Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive parts of plants. Flowers contain nutrient-rich nature (Jakubczyk et al., 2022) and easy access to sap, therefore aphids were attractive to sap the flowers. Some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Chittka, 2007), while others preferred different types of plants and plant parts (Sorensen, 2009). It's worth noting that different aphid species often had distinct preferences for plant types and parts.

Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consumed sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as "sap". Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilized needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brożek et al., 2015). Numerous herbs had structural characteristics, such as folds, crevices, and concealed flowering portions (Harrington et al., 2007), that provided aphids with refuge.

Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive as a food source (Nelson & Mooney, 2022). The honeydew contained an abundance of sugars, extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Detrain et al., 2010). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often 'farm' aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants provided aphids with protection from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-jamouri et al., 2018). Certain species of ants would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al., 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their own progeny (Biedermann & Vega, 2020).

CONCLUSION

15 species of aphids were found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagaram, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, that supported this research.

REFERENCES

- Alotaibi, N. J., Alsufyani, T., M. N. H., & Almalki, M. A. (2023). Rapid Identification of Aphid Species by Headspace GC-MS and Discriminant Analysis. *Insects*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14070589>
- Bass, C., Puinean, A. M., Zimmer, C. T., Denholm, I., Field, L. M., Foster, S. P., Gutbrod, O., Nauen, R., Slater, R., & Williamson, M. S. (2014). The evolution of insecticide resistance in the peach potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 51, 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003>
- Biedermann, P. H. W., & Vega, F. E. (2020). Ecology and evolution of insect–fungus mutualisms. *Annual Review of*

407 *Entomology*, 65, 431–455. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910>

408 Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2008). *Aphids on the world's herbaceous plants and shrubs*, 2 volume set. John Wiley

409 & Sons.

410 Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2017). Taxonomic issues. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 1–36). CABI Wallingford UK.

411 Boivin, G., Hance, T., & Brodeur, J. (2012). Aphid parasitoids in biological control. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*,

412 92(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.4141/cjps2011-045>

413 Brożek, J., Mróz, E., Wylęzek, D., Depa, Ł., & Węgierek, P. (2015). The structure of extremely long mouthparts in the

414 aphid genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae). *Zoomorphology*, 134, 431–445.

415 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7>

416 Cao, H., Zhang, Z., Wang, X., & Liu, T. (2018). Nutrition versus defense : Why *Myzus persicae* (green peach aphid)

417 prefers and performs better on young leaves of cabbage. *PloS One*, 13(4), 1–16.

418 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196219>

419 Chandel, R. S., Chandla, V. K., Verma, K. S., & Pathania, M. (2022). Chapter 21 - *Insect pests of potato in India: biology*

420 *and management* (A. Alyokhin, S. I. Rondon, & Y. B. T.-I. P. of P. (Second E. Gao (eds.); pp. 371–400). Academic

421 Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821237-0.11001-7>

422 Chittka, Æ. L. (2007). *Visual ecology of aphids-a critical review on the role of colours in host finding Visual ecology of*

423 *aphids — a critical review on the role of colours in host finding. June 2014.* [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-006-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-006-9000-1)

424 9000-1

425 Clarke, R., Kehoe, M. A., Broughton, S., & Jones, R. A. C. (2020). Host plant affiliations of aphid vector species found

426 in a remote tropical environment. *Virus Research*, 281(December 2019), 197934.

427 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934>

428 Degani, E., Leigh, S. G., Barber, H. M., Jones, H. E., Lukac, M., Sutton, P., & Potts, S. G. (2019). Crop rotations in a

429 climate change scenario: short-term effects of crop diversity on resilience and ecosystem service provision under

430 drought. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 285, 106625.

431 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106625>

432 Detrain, C., Verheggen, F. J., Diez, L., Wathelet, B., & Haubruge, E. (2010). Aphid–ant mutualism: how honeydew sugars

433 influence the behaviour of ant scouts. *Physiological Entomology*, 35(2), 168–174. [https://doi.org/DOI:](https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3032.2010.00730.x)

434 10.1111/j.1365-3032.2010.00730.x

435 Döring, T. F. (2014). How aphids find their host plants, and how they don't. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 165(1), 3–26.

436 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12142>

437 Ertunc, F. (2020). Chapter 46 - *Emerging Plant Viruses* (M. M. B. T.-E. and R. V. P. Ennaji (ed.); pp. 1041–1062).

438 Academic Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819400-3.00046-6>

439 Gadhave, K. R., Gautam, S., Rasmussen, D. A., & Srinivasan, R. (2020). Aphid transmission of Potyvirus: the largest

440 plant-infecting RNA virus genus. *Viruses*, 12(7), 773. <https://doi.org/doi: 10.3390/v12070773>

441 Giannetti, D., Mandrioli, M., Schifani, E., Castracani, C., Spotti, F. A., Mori, A., & Grasso, D. A. (2021). First report on

442 the acrobat ant *Crematogaster scutellaris* storing live aphids in its oak-gall nests. *Insects*, 12(2), 108.

443 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12020108>

444 Guo, H., Gu, L., Liu, F., Chen, F., Ge, F., & Sun, Y. (2019). Aphid-borne Viral Spread Is Enhanced by Virus-induced

445 Accumulation of Plant Reactive Oxygen Species 1. *Plant Physiol*, 179(January), 143–155.

446 <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.00437>

447 Harrington, R., Clark, S. J., Welham, S. J., Verrier, P. J., Denholm, C. H., Hulle, M., Maurice, D., Rounsevell, M. D.,

448 Cocu, N., & Consortium, E. U. E. (2007). Environmental change and the phenology of European aphids. *Global*

449 *Change Biology*, 13(8), 1550–1564. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01394.x>

450 Hullé, M., Chaubet, B., Turpeau, E., & Simon, J.-C. (2020). Encyclop'Aphid: A website on aphids and their natural

451 enemies. *Entomologia Generalis*, 40(1). <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1127/entomologia/2019/0867>

452 Ikbāl, C., & Pavela, R. (2019). Essential oils as active ingredients of botanical insecticides against aphids. *Journal of Pest*

453 *Science*, 92, 971–986. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/s10340-019-01089-6>

454 Jakubczyk, K., Koprowska, K., Gottschling, A., & Janda-Milczarek, K. (2022). Edible Flowers as a Source of Dietary

455 Fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a Potential Athlete's Dietary Supplement. *Nutrients*, 14(12).

456 <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14122470>

457 Jaouannet, M., Rodriguez, P. A., Thorpe, P., Lenoir, C. J. G., & Macleod, R. (2014). Plant immunity in plant – aphid

458 interactions. *Front Plant Sci.*, 5(December), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00663>

459 Jones, R. A. C. (2022). Alteration of plant species mixtures by virus infection: Managed pastures the forgotten dimension.

460 *Plant Pathology*, 71(6), 1255–1281. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1111/ppa.13571>

461 Jousselin, E., Gwenaëlle, G., & Armelle, C. D. A. (2010). Evolutionary lability of a complex life cycle in the aphid genus

462 *Brachycaudus*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-295>

463 Kallas, J. (2010). *Edible wild plants*. Gibbs Smith.

464 Karami-jamour, T., Mirmoayedi, A., Zamani, A., & Khajehzadeh, Y. (2018). The impact of ant attendance on protecting

465 *Aphis gossypii* against two aphidophagous predators and it's role on the intraguild predation between them. *Journal*

466 *of Insect Behavior*, 31, 222–239. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4>

467 Kennedy, J. S., & Stroyan, H. L. G. (1959). Biology of aphids. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 4(1), 139–160.

468 Kinley, C., Banu, A. N., Raut, A. M., Wahengbam, J., & Jamtsho, T. (2021). A review on past, present and future
469 approaches for Aphids management. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 45(2), 336–346.
470 <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-4576.2021.00053.0>

471 Kumar, Sarwan. (2019). Aphid-Plant Interactions: Implications for Pest Management. In M. T. Oliveira, F. Candan, & A.
472 Fernandes-Silva (Eds.), *Plant Communities and Their Environment* (p. Ch. 7). IntechOpen.
473 <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.84302>

474 Kumar, Sushil, Bhowmick, M. K., & Ray, P. (2021). Weeds as alternate and alternative hosts of crop pests. *Indian Journal*
475 *of Weed Science*, 53(1), 14–29. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2>

476 Liu, X. D., Xu, T. T., & Lei, H. X. (2017). Refuges and host shift pathways of host-specialized aphids *Aphis gossypii*.
477 *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4>

478 Maharani, Y., Hidayat, P., Rauf, A., & Maryana, N. (2018). Short communication: New records of aphid species
479 subfamily aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas*, 19(2), 460–465.
480 <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d190219>

481 Margaritopoulos, J. T., Tzortzi, M., Zarpas, K. D., Tsitsipis, J. A., & Blackman, R. L. (2006). Morphological
482 discrimination of *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) populations feeding on Compositae. *Bulletin of*
483 *Entomological Research*, 96(2), 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.1079/ber2005410>

484 Meuninck, J. (2023). *Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs*. Rowman & Littlefield.

485 Mo, C., & Smilanich, A. M. (2023). Feeding on an exotic host plant enhances plasma levels of phenoloxidase by
486 modulating feeding efficiency in a specialist insect herbivore. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 14(February), 1–10.
487 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2023.1127670>

488 Naidu, V. (2012). *Hand book on weed identification*. Dr. VSGR Naidu.

489 Nelson, A. S., & Mooney, K. A. (2022). The evolution and ecology of interactions between ants and honeydew-producing
490 hemipteran insects. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 53, 379–402.
491 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840>

492 Peccoud, J., Simon, J.-C., von Dohlen, C., Coeur d'acier, A., Plantegenest, M., Vanlerberghe-Masutti, F., & Jousselin, E.
493 (2010). Evolutionary history of aphid-plant associations and their role in aphid diversification. *Comptes Rendus*
494 *Biologies*, 333(6), 474–487. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crv.2010.03.004>

495 Pettersson, J., Tjallingii, W. F., & Hardie, J. (2017). Host-plant selection and feeding. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 173–
496 195). CABI Wallingford UK. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1079/9780851998190.0087>

497 Singh, R., & Singh, G. (2021). Aphids. *Polyphagous Pests of Crops*, 105–182. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/978-981-15-8075-8_3

498

499 Sorensen, J. T. (2009). *Chapter 8 - Aphids* (V. H. Resh & R. T. B. T.-E. of I. (Second E. Cardé (eds.); pp. 27–31).
500 Academic Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374144-8.00008-4>

501 Tegelaar, K., Hagman, M., Glinwood, R., Pettersson, J., & Leimar, O. (2012). Ant-aphid mutualism: the influence of ants
502 on the aphid summer cycle. *Oikos*, 121(1), 61–66. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x>

503 Völkl, W., Mackauer, M., Pell, J. K., & Brodeur, J. (2023). Predators, parasitoids and pathogens. In *CABI Books*. CABI
504 Books. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851998190.0187>


505 Wäckers, F. ., & Van Rijn, P. . (2012). Pick and mix: selecting flowering plants to meet the requirements of target
506 biological control insects. *Biodiversity and Insect Pests: Key Issues for Sustainable Management*, 9(April), 139–165.
507 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118231838.ch9>


508 Yamamoto, T., Hattori, M., & Itino, T. (2020). *Seasonal Migration in the Aphid Genus Stomaphis (Hemiptera :*
509 *Aphididae): Discovery of Host Alternation Between Woody Plants in Subfamily Lachninae*. 20.
510 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieaa103>

511

3. Bukti konfirmasi review kedua dan hasil revisi kedua

7 Oktober 2023





Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Chandra Irsan^{a*}, Erise Anggraini^{a,b}, Wenny Ramadhani^c

^a Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^b Agroecotechnology Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^c Plant Quarantine, Palembang, Sumatera, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: chandrairsan@fp.unsri.ac.id

Abstract

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species were found on plants that were not actually hosts such as wild plants. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental plants and the wild plants. This study revealed that 15 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

Keywords: aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

Introduction

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy & Stroyan, 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Blackman & Eastop, 2000). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhawe et al., 2020). A single species of aphids can act as a vector for over 150 viruses (Blackman & Eastop, 2000). In tropical areas, aphids can always be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman & Eastop, 2017). Aphids consume plant sap, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Müller et al., 2001). Moreover, when aphids transmit viral diseases from one plant to another, this can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones, 2022). According to Kinley et al. (2021), aphids cause yield losses directly (35 -

40%) by sucking the plant sap or indirectly (20 - 80%) through viral transmission. Therefore, aphid infestations can have adverse effects on crop yields and overall plant health (Sarwan Kumar, 2019).

Due to their function as vectors, the presence of aphids on a plant can be highly damaging (Jaouannet et al., 2014). They feed by piercing the plant's tissues and consuming its sap, which can reduce the plant's growth and productivity, ultimately leading to weakness and possible death (Chandel et al., 2022). Additionally, as vectors, aphids can transmit a variety of plant diseases. They are as carriers for various plant viruses, and when they move from infected to healthy plants, these viruses can rapidly spread and cause extensive damage (Guo et al., 2019). In addition, the honeydew that aphids secrete can lead to the growth of sooty mold, a black fungus that can prevent sunlight from reaching the plant's leaves, thereby impairing photosynthesis, the process by which plants produce food (Singh & Singh, 2021). Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Understanding the species diversity of aphids is fundamental to effective aphid control, as it facilitates the development of measures to keep their populations in check. In addition, understanding the diversity of aphid species can provide valuable insights into potential plant diseases, as different aphid species carry distinct viruses. Methods used to control aphids often encompass various techniques, including the use of natural enemies such as predators (like ladybugs, lacewings, and parasitic wasps) (Singh & Singh, 2021; Völkl et al., 2023), parasitoids (Boivin et al., 2012), entomopathogens (Hullé et al., 2020), the use of essential oils as botanical pesticides to control aphids (Ikbal & Pavela, 2019), and crop rotation techniques (Degani et al., 2019). Regular monitoring of aphid populations and diversity can help in detecting when population sizes may be reaching harmful levels, allowing for prompt implementation of the necessary countermeasures.

According to Irsan *et al.* (1998), many aphid species were found on plants that were not their actual hosts. Aphids have one or more secondary, or "alternative," host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al., 2020). An alternative host can also be a collateral host belonging to the same plant family as the primary host, helping crop pests to survive when the primary hosts are unavailable (Sileshi et al., 2008). These secondary hosts may

offer less adequate nutrition for insects (Capinera, 2005). However, they may provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al., 2021). According to Liu et al. (2017), since hibiscus serves as an overwintering host for cotton-specialized aphids but not for cucurbit-specialized aphids, it is evident that host-specialized aphids have refuges during times of food shortage. The life cycles of numerous aphid species exhibit such complexity (Jousselin et al., 2010). They maintain a cycle of host alternation, shifting between their primary hosts (typically a woody plant) and secondary hosts (often herbaceous plants) (Moran, 1992). Weeds pose a continuous threat in both cropped and non-crop areas, providing food, shelter and reproductive sites for various pest organisms (Kumar et al., 2021). This indicates that weeds can serve as alternative hosts for aphids.

A study of aphid species on horticultural plants has been conducted (Maharani et al., 2018). However, information about aphid species on ornamental and wild plants has not received as much attention and remains largely unexplored. In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagaralam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. The research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has received little attention. Therefore, this study was conducted in Pagaralam, a highland region of South Sumatra, with the aim of obtaining information on the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

Methods

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing fruit, vegetable, and ornamental varieties, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, aphids, and their natural enemies involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids were documented as aphid hosts. Aphids, along with their natural enemies within the aphid colonies, were systematically collected. All components of the collected observations were then identified.

Guidelines for finding host plants were written by Blackman & Eastop 1994, 2000; Irsan 1998; Kranz *et al.* 1978). Aphid identification was conducted using identification keys made by Blackman & Eastop (1994, 2000); Heie (1992, 1994, 1995); Irsan (1998); Kranz *et al.* (1978); Martin (1983). Identification of aphid species took place in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified based on identification keys made by van Steenis (1988). The location and size of aphid colonies, morphology of aphids including their shape and color, as well as any symptoms observed in the host plants were recorded, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were taken.

Results

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipapis erysimi*. Based on the observation, these aphids were found on various ornamental plants (Table 1). The primary colony locations were generally in flowers, and this study documented these colony locations in ornamental plants (Figure 1).

Table 1: Aphid species found in ornamental plants and their colony locations

No	Host Plant	Aphid Species	Colony location
1	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Sitobion luteum</i>	flower
2	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i> <i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i> <i>Myzus persicae</i>	flower
3	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia</i> sp	flower
4	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
5	<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Pentalonia nigronervosa</i>	flower
6	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	flower
7	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	flower
8	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	flower
9	<i>Cosmos caudatus</i>	<i>Uroleucon</i> sp.	flower
10	<i>Dahlia Kelvin</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
11	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	flower
12	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
13	<i>Helianthus</i> sp.	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	flower

		<i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	
14	<i>Hibiscus rosasinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
15	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
16	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
17	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> <i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	flower
18	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	flower
19	<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	flower
20	<i>Spondiras dulcissolana</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	flower



Fig 1. The location of aphid colonization on various plant parts. a) *A. gossypii* in *D. Kelvin* flower b) *A. gossypii* in *H. rosinensis* flower c) *A. gossypii* in tuberose flower, d) *A. craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower, e) *A. citricola* in *Helianthus* sp., f) *A. aurantii* on the *M. paniculata* flower, g) *T. odinae* in the *S. dulcissolana*, h) *Uroleucon* sp. in chrysanthemums, i) *Macrosiphum rosae* in *R. indica* flower, j) *Pentalonia nigronervosa* in *C. indica* leaves

In addition, this study documented the presence of weeds, which might serve as alternative hosts for aphids (Table 2). The location of aphid colonies also varied, namely on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves and old leaves of wild plants (Figure 2). The presence of specific plants or host plants within a habitat influenced the types of aphids found. Many aphid species are found on a broad range of plants or host plants, while others are highly specialized and are only found on specific plants or host plants. This is

closely related to the polyphagous, oligophagous or monophagous nature of aphids (Blackman & Eastop 2000).

Table 2: Species of aphids found in wild plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid species	Colony location
1	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
2	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
3	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
4	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
5	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flowers, shoots, and buds
6	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
7	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks
8	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
9	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
10	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	<i>Hystroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks
11	<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	<i>Hiperomyzus</i> sp.	Young leaves, old leaves
12	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
13	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
14	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower, flower stalks, shoots
15	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, seeds
16	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves
17	<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
18	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
19	<i>Lagerstromia</i> Sp.	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	Young leaves
20	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
21	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
22	<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
23	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
24	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods, flowers
25	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
26	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> , <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Old leaves, young leaves (pupus), leaf axils
27	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, leaf axils
28	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, seeds
29	<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs, petioles
30	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, flower
31	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> , <i>A. gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
32	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves

No	Host Plant	Aphid species	Colony location
33	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
34	<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flower, fruit



Figure 2. Aphids found on wild plants a) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Ageratum conyzoides*, b) *A. gossypii* on Croton weed *hirtus* c) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Eupatorium odoratum*, d) *A.gossypii* on plants *Pachystochys* sp., e) *A.gossypii* on plants *Caladium* sp., f) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Alternanthera sessilis*, g) *A.gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae* weeds, h) *A.gossypii* on the weed *Euphorbia hirta*, i) *A. citricola* on the weed *Phyllanthus nerruri*, j) *A. citricola* on *Sida rhombifolia* weed, k) *A. citricola* on plants *Annona muricata*, l) *A.citricola* on the weed *Ludwigia peruviana*, m) *A. craccivora* on *Mimosa pudica* weed, n) *A.craccivora* on weeds *Amaranthus gracilis*, o) *A. glycine* in *Mikania micranta* weed, p) *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusin* weeds, q) *Greenidae* sp. in kenidai trees (shrubs) *indica*, r) *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinocloa crusgali* Weed, s) *L. erysimi* on weed *sonchus arvensis*, t) *Rhopalosiphum* rice on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*, u) *Rhopalosiphum* *Maidis* on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*.

Discussion

The plant species or host plant influences the distribution of aphids. There are aphid species that can be found on a wide range of host plants, which is closely related to the polyphagous nature of aphids, allowing them to colonize many different species of host plants. Host plants can also affect the distribution of aphids, as evidenced by the presence of aphid species exclusively found on certain host plants (Peccoud et al., 2010). But there are some species of aphids found only on one particular host and are not found on other host plants (Döring, 2014). *A. gossypii*, and *Aphis aurantii* have been found on many host plants because both aphids are classified as polyphagous aphids (Margaritopoulos et al., 2006; Piron et al., 2019).

Aphids can commonly be found infesting a variety of ornamental plants. They are attracted to these plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Wäckers & Van Rijn, 2012). In this present study, some aphid species were found on some ornamental plants in Pagaralam. The location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Adiantum predatum* plants, aphids formed colonies on young leaf stalks and on newly emerging leaves. The aphids displayed brown and black coloration. The aphid colonies found were small, and the colonized plant parts showed no signs of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Neotoxoptera* sp., and notably, they were not associated with ants. On *Aster alpinus*, aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Uroleucon* sp., and they were associated with ants.

On the *Brugmansia suaveolens* (angel's trumpet), *M. persicae* were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have started to turn yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. (taro) was found one species of

aphids: *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odoratum* (ylang-ylang), colonies of *T. aurantii* were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black in color. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants.

Aphids on *C. indica* (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body. The identification results showed that the aphids were *P. nigronervosa*. The colonies of *P. nigronervosa* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (periwinkle), *A. citricola* aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or flower stalks that had not fully bloomed. The colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants.

Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black in color. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. craccivora*. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. On the plant *Cosmos caudatus*, aphids were found on the flower petals. The colonies were not very large. The body color was green and light green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were also associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia kelvin* plant formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids

were *A. gossypii*. Aphids on *Datura metel* (amethyst) were found to form colonies on the undersides of old leaves. The aphids were medium-sized with a green body color. The colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Myzus ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within *Dendrobium* sp., aphid colonies were found on the young leaves. The aphids were yellow, green to dark green. The colonized plants did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp. (bonsai), colonies of aphids were located on the undersides of young leaves. The colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The aphids were green in color. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants.

On the *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) plants, aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The colonized flowers, especially the crowns, exhibited a tendency to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in color. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *M. ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that were parasitized by Aphidiidae were found.

On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, aphids ranging in color from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa*. First, the aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, similar to sugar. The aphids had yellow, green or

slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The identification results showed that the aphids were

A. gossypii, and they were almost always associated with ants. The second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants.

In *Ixora* sp. flower plants, two forms of aphids were discovered. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves and unopened flowers. The affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white, resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost always associated with ants. Another species of aphids were founded and formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce any symptoms of plant disease. The aphids were yellow or yellow-green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small to small in size. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also frequently found in association with ants.

Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa*, each forming colonies in different locations. The first type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease symptoms. The aphids were yellow, green, and some with dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots and within flower parts. The plant parts they infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda

and siphunculi. They had tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*.

The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids. This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. These aphids formed colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green, yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* or alligator grass was also colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots, flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and they were often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus gracilis* was infested by *Aphis craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers and young and old leaves. They were dark brown to black in color, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* aphids. These aphids were bright green, and of medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* or fire grass was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow-green to dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon dactylon* or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the leaf axils of the plant. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to red-brown. They were associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* or nut grass was infested by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants. The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* or grass puzzle was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids, with small colonies scattered on the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light

brown to brown in color. *Echinochloa crussgali* or water hyacinth plants were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black, and formed large colonies on the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Ecliptica prostrata* or urang aring was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants.

Eleusin indica was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. *H. setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks and leaf axils resulting in quite large colonies. *H. setariae* body color ranged from red-brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis* formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and on leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants. The plant *Emilia sonchifolia*, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow to green in colour. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves.

Eragrostis tenella was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red-brown. Small colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae* were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* or wart grass was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupotarium odoratum* was colonized by both *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young twigs. Young leaves that were colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this plant showed yellow-green to dark green in body colour. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of both *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants.

Hymenochera acutigluma or hair axis was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae*, which formed colonies on the flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromea* sp. or *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* or bamboo grass plants were colonized by two species of aphids: *hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to red-brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis* aphids were green to bright green in color, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix.

Melastoma affine was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly emerging shoots and on newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body colour of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania miranta* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis glycine*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and curled leaves. *A. glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. Glycine* aphids were light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a single colony.

Mimosa invisa (cater-grass) was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa pudica* was observed to be colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots, and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa vigra* was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The colonies of aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms.

Oryza rufipogon was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum rice* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Both aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species could be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green with black sifunculi and cauda, while *R. rice* appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. rice* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants. *Oxonopus compressus* or *pait* grass was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower stalks, seeds, and sometimes in the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown in color. Small colonies were formed, and they were also consistently associated with ants.

Paspalum conjugatum was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phyllanthus niruri* was colonized by *Aphis citricola*. The colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted, and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black sifunculi and cauda, and the colonies formed were quite large. *Portulaca oleraceae* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots and in flowers. The colonized plant parts became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that appeared glossy black.

Physalis angulata plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with glossy black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. *Rorippa indica* or mustard land was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. The colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks and the lower surface of leaves. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* or cacabean was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids had green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks and flower petals. The colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* plants were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colours, and the colonies formed on flower stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically displayed typical symptoms of damage, but some did not show any symptoms. Generally, the symptoms of the plants caused by aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves. These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition happened because the colonized parts had reached their maximum growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the leaves and plant parts were old. The old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid infestations.

The part of the plant exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued to grow, resulting in some parts developing normally while others become stunted (Pettersson et al., 2017). This condition could lead to the bending of shoots or young stems, curling of leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants was often easier to recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al., 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the presence of aphid colonies.

Throughout their life cycle, aphids exhibited host alternation by switching between two distinct host plants (Peccoud et al., 2010). They overwintered on woody plants, reproduced in the spring, and migrated to herbaceous plants during the summer before returning to their primary host in the autumn (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This allowed aphids to maximize resource utilization, avoid congestion and competition, evade predators and parasites, circumvent plant defenses, and colonize new areas. Aphids could distribute their population efficiently, thereby avoiding overcrowding, predators and

parasites, and plant defenses developing over time through host switching (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This behavior was essential for the survival and environmental adaptation of aphids.

Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive parts of plants. Flowers contain nutrient-rich nature (Jakubczyk et al., 2022) and easy access to sap, therefore aphids were attractive to sap the flowers. Some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Chittka, 2007), while others preferred different types of plants and plant parts (Sorensen, 2009). It's worth noting that different aphid species often had distinct preferences for plant types (Harrington et al., 2007) and parts.

Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consumed sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as "sap". Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilized needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brożek et al., 2015). Numerous herbs had structural characteristics, such as folds, crevices, and concealed flowering portions (Harrington et al., 2007), that provided aphids with refuge.

Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive as a food source (Nelson & Mooney, 2022). The honeydew contained an abundance of sugars, extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Detrain et al., 2010). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often 'farm' aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants provided aphids with protection from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-jamouri et al., 2018). Certain species of ants would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al., 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their own progeny (Biedermann & Vega, 2020).

References

Biedermann, P. H. W., & Vega, F. E. (2020). Ecology and evolution of insect–fungus mutualisms. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 65, 431–455.

- Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2000). *Aphids on the world's crops: an identification and information guide*. (Issue Ed. 2). John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2017). Taxonomic issues. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 1–36). CABI Wallingford UK.
- Boivin, G., Hance, T., & Brodeur, J. (2012). Aphid parasitoids in biological control. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 92(1), 1–12.
- Brożek, J., Mróz, E., Wylężek, D., Depa, Ł., & Węgierek, P. (2015). The structure of extremely long mouthparts in the aphid genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae). *Zoomorphology*, 134, 431–445.
- Capinera, J. L. (2005). Relationships between insect pests and weeds: an evolutionary perspective. *Weed Science*, 53(6), 892–901.
- Chandel, R. S., Chandla, V. K., Verma, K. S., & Pathania, M. (2022). *Chapter 21 - Insect pests of potato in India: biology and management* (A. Alyokhin, S. I. Rondon, & Y. B. T.-I. P. of P. (Second E. Gao (eds.); pp. 371–400). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821237-0.11001-7>
- Chittka, Æ. L. (2007). *Visual ecology of aphids-a critical review on the role of colours in host finding* *Visual ecology of aphids — a critical review on the role of colours in host finding*. June 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-006-9000-1>
- Clarke, R., Kehoe, M. A., Broughton, S., & Jones, R. A. C. (2020). Host plant affiliations of aphid vector species found in a remote tropical environment. *Virus Research*, 281(December 2019), 197934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934>
- Degani, E., Leigh, S. G., Barber, H. M., Jones, H. E., Lukac, M., Sutton, P., & Potts, S. G. (2019). Crop rotations in a climate change scenario: short-term effects of crop diversity on resilience and ecosystem service provision under drought. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 285, 106625.
- Detrain, C., Verheggen, F. J., Diez, L., Wathélet, B., & Haubruge, E. (2010). Aphid–ant mutualism: how honeydew sugars influence the behaviour of ant scouts. *Physiological Entomology*, 35(2), 168–174.
- Döring, T. F. (2014). How aphids find their host plants, and how they don't. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 165(1), 3–26. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12142>
- Gadhav, K. R., Gautam, S., Rasmussen, D. A., & Srinivasan, R. (2020). Aphid transmission of Potyvirus: the largest plant-infecting RNA virus genus. *Viruses*, 12(7), 773.
- Giannetti, D., Mandrioli, M., Schifani, E., Castracani, C., Spotti, F. A., Mori, A., & Grasso, D. A. (2021). First report on the acrobat ant *Crematogaster scutellaris* storing live aphids in its oak-gall nests. *Insects*, 12(2), 108.
- Guo, H., Gu, L., Liu, F., Chen, F., Ge, F., & Sun, Y. (2019). Aphid-borne Viral Spread Is Enhanced by Virus-induced Accumulation of Plant Reactive Oxygen Species 1. *Plant Physiol*, 179(January), 143–155. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.00437>
- Harrington, R., Clark, S. J., Welham, S. J., Verrier, P. J., Denholm, C. H., Hulle, M., Maurice, D., Rounsevell, M. D., Cocu, N., & Consortium, E. U. E. (2007). Environmental change and the phenology of European aphids. *Global Change Biology*, 13(8), 1550–1564.
- Hullé, M., Chaubet, B., Turpeau, E., & Simon, J.-C. (2020). Encyclop'Aphid: A website on aphids and their natural enemies. *Entomologia Generalis*, 40(1).
- Ikbal, C., & Pavela, R. (2019). Essential oils as active ingredients of botanical insecticides against aphids. *Journal of Pest Science*, 92, 971–986.

- Jakubczyk, K., Koprowska, K., Gottschling, A., & Janda-Milczarek, K. (2022). Edible Flowers as a Source of Dietary Fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a Potential Athlete's Dietary Supplement. *Nutrients*, 14(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14122470>
- Jaouannet, M., Rodriguez, P. A., Thorpe, P., Lenoir, C. J. G., & Macleod, R. (2014). Plant immunity in plant – aphid interactions. *Front Plant Sci.*, 5(December), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00663>
- Jones, R. A. C. (2022). Alteration of plant species mixtures by virus infection: Managed pastures the forgotten dimension. *Plant Pathology*, 71(6), 1255–1281.
- Jousselin, E., Gwenaëlle, G., & Armelle, C. D. A. (2010). Evolutionary lability of a complex life cycle in the aphid genus *Brachycaudus*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-295>
- Karami-jamour, T., Mirmoayedi, A., Zamani, A., & Khajehzadeh, Y. (2018). The impact of ant attendance on protecting *Aphis gossypii* against two aphidophagous predators and it's role on the intraguild predation between them. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 31, 222–239.
- Kennedy, J. S., & Stroyan, H. L. G. (1959). Biology of aphids. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 4(1), 139–160.
- Kinley, C., Banu, A. N., Raut, A. M., Wahengbam, J., & Jamtsho, T. (2021). A review on past, present and future approaches for Aphids management. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 45(2), 336–346. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-4576.2021.00053.0>
- Kumar, Sarwan. (2019). Aphid-Plant Interactions: Implications for Pest Management. In M. T. Oliveira, F. Candan, & A. Fernandes-Silva (Eds.), *Plant Communities and Their Environment* (p. Ch. 7). IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.84302>
- Kumar, Sushil, Bhowmick, M. K., & Ray, P. (2021). Weeds as alternate and alternative hosts of crop pests. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 53(1), 14–29. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2>
- Liu, X. D., Xu, T. T., & Lei, H. X. (2017). Refuges and host shift pathways of host-specialized aphids *Aphis gossypii*. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4>
- Maharani, Y., Hidayat, P., Rauf, A., & Maryana, N. (2018). Short communication: New records of aphid species subfamily aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas*, 19(2), 460–465. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d190219>
- Margaritopoulos, J. T., Tzortzi, M., Zarpas, K. D., Tsitsipis, J. A., & Blackman, R. L. (2006). Morphological discrimination of *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) populations feeding on Compositae. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 96(2), 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.1079/ber2005410>
- Moran, N. A. (1992). The Evolution of Aphid Life Cycles. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 37(1), 321–348. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.37.010192.001541>
- Müller, C. B., Williams, I. S., & Hardie, J. (2001). The role of nutrition, crowding and interspecific interactions in the development of winged aphids. *Ecological Entomology*, 26(3), 330–340.
- Nelson, A. S., & Mooney, K. A. (2022). The evolution and ecology of interactions between ants and honeydew-producing hemipteran insects. *Annual Review of*

- Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 53, 379–402.
- Peccoud, J., Simon, J.-C., von Dohlen, C., Coeur d'acier, A., Plantegenest, M., Vanlerberghe-Masutti, F., & Jousset, E. (2010). Evolutionary history of aphid-plant associations and their role in aphid diversification. *Comptes Rendus Biologies*, 333(6), 474–487.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2010.03.004>
- Pettersson, J., Tjallingii, W. F., & Hardie, J. (2017). Host-plant selection and feeding. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 173–195). CABI Wallingford UK.
- Piron, P., de Haas, M., & Sonnemans, M. (2019). The presence of *Aphis* (Toxoptera) *aurantii* (Homoptera: Aphididae) in the Netherlands. *Entomologische Berichten*, 79(5), 162–164.
- Sileshi, G., Schroth, G., Rao, M. R., & Girma, H. (2008). Weeds, diseases, insect pests and tri-trophic interactions in tropical agroforestry. *Ecological Basis of Agroforestry*, 73–94.
- Singh, R., & Singh, G. (2021). Aphids. *Polyphagous Pests of Crops*, 105–182.
- Sorensen, J. T. (2009). *Chapter 8 - Aphids* (V. H. Resh & R. T. B. T.-E. of I. (Second E. Cardé (eds.); pp. 27–31). Academic Press.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374144-8.00008-4>
- Tegelaar, K., Hagman, M., Glinwood, R., Pettersson, J., & Leimar, O. (2012). Ant-aphid mutualism: the influence of ants on the aphid summer cycle. *Oikos*, 121(1), 61–66. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x>
- Völkl, W., Mackauer, M., Pell, J. K., & Brodeur, J. (2023). Predators, parasitoids and pathogens. In *CABI Books*. CABI Books.
<https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851998190.0187>
- Wäckers, F. ., & Van Rijn, P. . (2012). Pick and mix: selecting flowering plants to meet the requirements of target biological control insects. *Biodiversity and Insect Pests: Key Issues for Sustainable Management*, 9(April), 139–165.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118231838.ch9>
- Yamamoto, T., Hattori, M., & Itino, T. (2020). *Seasonal Migration in the Aphid Genus Stomaphis (Hemiptera : Aphididae) : Discovery of Host Alternation Between Woody Plants in Subfamily Lachninae*. 20. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieaa103>



KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN DAN KEBUDAYAAN
UNIVERSITAS SRIWIJAYA
UPT BAHASA

Jalan Srijaya Negara Bukit Besar, Palembang 30169 Telp. & Faks. 0711-354981
Jalan Raya Palembang-Prabumulih KM.32 Indralaya Telp. 0711-580064
Email: uptbahasa@unsri.ac.id

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Number: 0025/UN9/UPT.BHS/2023

UPT Bahasa Universitas Sriwijaya, hereby verifies that the scientific paper entitled **"Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra"** written by Chandra Irsan, Erise Anggraini, and Wenny Ramadhani has been professionally proofread by providing some input (such as the consistency and accuracy in grammar, spelling, punctuation, and wording) so that the English used in the paper is academically correct and appropriate.

Thus this certificate is made for proper use.



Palembang, 6 September 2023

Hendri

Des. Damarini, MSLS

NIP. 196201021088031004

COVERING LETTER

Dear **Editor-in-Chief**,

I herewith enclosed a research article,

- ☒ The submission has not been previously published, nor is it before another journal for consideration (or an explanation has been provided in Comments to the Editor).
- ☒ The submission file is in OpenOffice, Microsoft Word (DOC, not DOCX), or RTF document file format.
- ☒ The text is single-spaced; uses a 10-point font; employs italics, rather than underlining (except with URL addresses); and all illustrations, figures, and tables are placed within the text at the appropriate points, rather than at the end.
- ☒ The text adheres to the stylistic and bibliographic requirements outlined in the Author Guidelines.
- ☒ Most of the references come from current scientific journals (c. 80% published in the last 10 years), except for taxonomic papers.
- ☒ Where available, DOIs for the references have been provided.
- ☒ When available, a certificate for proofreading is included.

SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Ensure that the following items are present:

The first corresponding author must be accompanied with contact details:

- ☒ E-mail address
- ☒ Full postal address (incl street name and number (location), city, postal code, state/province, country)
- ☒ Phone and facsimile numbers (incl country phone code)

All necessary files have been uploaded, and contain:

- ☒ Keywords
- ☒ Running titles
- ☒ All figure captions
- ☒ All tables (incl title and note/description)

Further considerations

- ☒ Manuscript has been "spell & grammar-checked" Better, if it is revised by a professional science editor or a native English speaker
- ☒ References are in the correct format for this journal
- ☒ All references mentioned in the Reference list are cited in the text, and vice versa
- ☒ Colored figures are only used if the information in the text may be losing without those images
- ☒ Charts (graphs and diagrams) are drawn in black and white images; use shading to differentiate

Title:

Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Author(s) name:

Chandra Irsan^{a*}, Erise Anggraini^{a,b}, Siti Herlinda^a, Wenny Ramadhani^c, M. Umar Harun^d,

Address

(Fill in your institution's name and address, your personal cellular phone and email)

^a Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^b Agroecotechnology Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^c Plant Quarantine, Palembang, Sumatera, Indonesia

^d Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

For possibility publication on the journal:

(fill in *Biodiversitas* or *Nusantara Bioscience* or mention the others)

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☒ Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity | ☐ Nusantara Bioscience |
| ☐ Prosiding Seminar Nasional Masyarakat Biodiversitas Indonesia | ☐ Asian Journal of Agriculture |
| ☐ Asian Journal of Ethnobiology | ☐ Asian Journal of Forestry |
| ☐ Asian Journal of Natural Product Biochemistry | ☐ Asian Journal of Tropical Biotechnology |
| ☐ International Journal of Bonorowo Wetlands | ☐ Cell Biology and Development |
| ☐ Indo Pacific Journal of Ocean Life | ☐ International Journal of Tropical Drylands |

Novelty:

(state your claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge)

This paper described the alternative host of aphids in high land, South Sumatera. The knowledge regarding the alternative of insect pest could be beneficial resource for basic control of aphids.

Statements:

This manuscript has not been published and is not under consideration for publication to any other journal or any other type of publication (including web hosting) either by me or any of my co-authors.

Author(s) has been read and agree to the Ethical Guidelines.

List of five potential reviewers

(Fill in names of five potential reviewers **that agree to review your manuscript** and their **email** addresses. He/she should have Scopus ID and come from different institution with the authors; and from at least three different countries)

1. Dr. Koko Dwi Sutanti (email: ksutanto@ksu.edu.sa)
2. Dr. Lau Wei Hong (email: lauweih@upm.edu.my)
3. Prof. Dr. Dra. Asni Johari, M.Si.
4. Dr. Mahesh Gunasena (mahesh.gunasena@gmail.com)
5. Dr. Hasber Salim (hasbersalim@usm.my)

Place and date:

Palembang, 5 October 2023

Sincerely yours,

(fill in your name, no need scanned autograph)

Dr. Chandra Irsan

Understanding the species diversity of aphids is fundamental to effective aphid control, as it facilitates the development of measures to keep their populations in check. In addition, understanding the diversity of aphid species can provide valuable insights into potential plant diseases, as different aphid species carry distinct viruses. Methods used to control aphids often encompass various techniques, including the use of natural enemies such as predators (like ladybugs, lacewings, and parasitic wasps) (Singh & Singh, 2021; Völkl et al., 2023), parasitoids (Boivin et al., 2012), entomopathogens (Hullé et al., 2020), the use of essential oils as botanical pesticides to control aphids (Ikbāl & Pavela, 2019), and crop rotation techniques (Degani et al., 2019). Regular monitoring of aphid populations and diversity can help in detecting when population sizes may be reaching harmful levels, allowing for prompt implementation of the necessary countermeasures.

Many aphid species were found on plants that were not their actual hosts (Peccoud et al., 2010). Aphids have one or more secondary, or "alternative," host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al., 2020). An alternative host can also be a collateral host belonging to the same plant family as the primary host, helping crop pests to survive when the primary hosts are unavailable (Kumar et al., 2021). These secondary hosts may offer less adequate nutrition for insects (Mo & Smilanich, 2023). However, they may provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al., 2021). According to Liu et al. (2017), since hibiscus serves as an overwintering host for cotton-specialized aphids but not for cucurbit-specialized aphids, it is evident that host-specialized aphids have refuges during times of food shortage. The life cycles of numerous aphid species exhibit such complexity (Jousselin et al., 2010). They maintain a cycle of host alternation, shifting between their primary hosts (typically a woody plant) and secondary hosts (often herbaceous plants) (Yamamoto et al., 2020). Weeds pose a continuous threat in both cropped and non-crop areas, providing food, shelter and reproductive sites for various pest organisms (Kumar et al., 2021). This indicates that weeds can serve as alternative hosts for aphids.

A study of aphid species on horticultural plants has been conducted (Maharani et al., 2018). However, information about aphid species on ornamental and wild plants has not received as much attention and remains largely unexplored. In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. The research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has received little attention. Therefore, this study was conducted in Pagar Alam, a highland region of South Sumatra, with the aim of obtaining information on the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing fruit, vegetable, and ornamental varieties, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, aphids, and their natural enemies involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids were documented as aphid hosts. Aphids, along with their natural enemies within the aphid colonies, were systematically collected. All components of the collected observations were then identified.

Aphid identification was conducted using identification keys (Blackman & Eastop, 2008). Identification of aphid species took place in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using weed identification hand book (Kallas, 2010;

Meuninck, 2023; Naidu, 2012). The location and size of aphid colonies, morphology of aphids including their shape and color, as well as any symptoms observed in the host plants were recorded, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were taken.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipapis erysimi*. Based on the observation, these aphids were found on various ornamental plants (Table 1). The primary colony locations were generally in flowers, and this study documented these colony locations in ornamental plants (Figure 1).

Table 1: Aphid species found in ornamental plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid Species	Colony location
1	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Sitobion luteum</i>	flower
2	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i> <i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i> <i>Myzus persicae</i>	flower
3	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia</i> sp	flower
4	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
5	<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Pentalonia nigronervosa</i>	flower
6	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	flower
7	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	flower
8	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	flower
9	<i>Cosmos caudatus</i>	<i>Uroleucon</i> sp.	flower
10	<i>Dahlia Kelvin</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
11	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	flower
12	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
13	<i>Helianthus</i> sp.	<i>Aphis glycines</i> <i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	flower
14	<i>Hibiscus rosinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
15	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
16	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
17	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> <i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	flower
18	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	flower
19	<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	flower
20	<i>Spondiras dulssoland</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	flower



Fig 1. The location of aphid colonization on various plant parts. a) *A. gossypii* in *D. Kelvin* flower b) *A. gossypii* in *H. rosasinensis* flower c) *A. gossypii* in tuberose flower, d) *A. craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower, e) *A. citricola* in *Helianthus* sp., f) *A. aurantii* on the *M. paniculata* flower, g) *T. odinae* in the *S. dulcissoland*, h) *Uroleucon* sp. in chrysanthemums, i) *Macrosiphum rosae* in *R. indica* flower, j) *Pentalonia nigronervosa* in *C. indica* leaves

In addition, this study documented the presence of weeds, which might serve as alternative hosts for aphids (Table 2). The location of aphid colonies also varied, namely on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves and old leaves of wild plants (Figure 2). The presence of specific plants or host plants within a habitat influenced the types of aphids found. Many aphid species are found on a broad range of plants or host plants, while others are highly specialized and are only found on specific plants or host plants. This is closely related to the polyphagous, oligophagous or monophagous nature of aphids (Blackman & Eastop 2000).

120 Table 2: Species of aphids found in wild plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid species	Colony location
1	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
2	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
3	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
4	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
5	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flowers, shoots, and buds
6	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves young twigs
7	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks
8	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
9	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
10	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks
11	<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	<i>Hiperomyzus</i> sp.	Young leaves, old leaves
12	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
13	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
14	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower, flower stalks, shoots
15	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, seeds
16	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves
17	<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
18	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
19	<i>Lagerstromia</i> Sp.	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	Young leaves
20	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
21	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
22	<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
23	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
24	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods, flowers
25	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
26	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> , <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Old leaves, young leaves (pupus), leaf axils
27	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, leaf axils
28	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, seeds
29	<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs petioles
30	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, flower
31	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> , <i>A. gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
32	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves
33	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
34	<i>Sonchus arventris</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flower, fruit

121

122

123



Figure 2. Aphids found on wild plants a) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Ageratum conyzoides*, b) *A. gossypii* on Croton weed *hirtus* c) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Eupatorium odoratum*, d) *A. gossypii* on plants *Pachystochys* sp., e) *A. gossypii* on plants *Caladium* sp., f) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Alternanthera sessilis*, g) *A. gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae* weeds, h) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Euphorbia hirta*, i) *A. citricola* on the weed *Phyllanthus nerruri*, j) *A. citricola* on *Sida rhombifolia* weed, k) *A. citricola* on plants *Annona muricata*, l) *A. citricola* on the weed *Ludwigia peruviana*, m) *A. craccivora* on *Mimosa pudica* weed, n) *A. craccivora* on weeds *Amaranthus gracilis*, o) *A. glycine* in *Mikania micrantha* weed, p) *Hysteneura* sp. in Eleusine weeds, q) *Greenidae* sp. in kenidai trees (shrubs) *indica*, r) *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinocloa crusgali* Weed, s) *L. erysimi* on weed *sonchus arvensis*, t) *Rhopalosiphum* rice on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*, u) *Rhopalosiphum* *Maidis* on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*.

Discussion

The plant species or host plant influences the distribution of aphids. There are aphid species that can be found on a wide range of host plants, which is closely related to the polyphagous nature of aphids, allowing them to colonize many different species of host plants. Host plants can also affect the distribution of aphids, as evidenced by the presence of aphid species exclusively found on certain host plants (Peccoud et al., 2010). But there are some species of aphids found only on one particular host and are not found on other host plants (Döring, 2014). *A. gossypii*, and *Aphis aurantii* have been found on many host plants because both aphids are classified as polyphagous aphids (Margaritopoulos et al., 2006; Alotaibi et al., 2023).

Aphids can commonly be found infesting a variety of ornamental plants. They are attracted to these plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Wäckers & Van Rijn, 2012). In this present study, some aphid species were found on some ornamental plants in Pagaralam. The location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Adiantum predatum* plants, aphids formed colonies on young leaf stalks and on newly emerging leaves. The aphids displayed brown and black coloration. The aphid colonies found were small, and the colonized plant parts showed no signs of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Neotoxoptera* sp., and notably, they were not associated with ants. On *Aster alpinus*, aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Uroleucon* sp., and they were associated with ants.

On the *Brugmansia suaveolens* (angel's trumpet), *M. persicae* were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have started to turn yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. (taro) was found one species of aphids: *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odoratum* (ylang-ylang), colonies of *T. aurantii* were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black in color. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants.

Aphids on *C. indica* (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body. The identification results showed that the aphids were *P. nigronervosa*. The colonies of *P. nigronervosa* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (periwinkle), *A. citricola* aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or flower stalks that had not fully bloomed. The colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants.

Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black in color. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. craccivora*. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. On the plant *Cosmos caudatus*, aphids were found on the flower petals. The colonies were not very

large. The body color was green and light green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were also associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia kelin plant* formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. Aphids on *Datura metel* (amethyst) were found to form colonies on the undersides of old leaves. The aphids were medium sized with a green body color. The colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Myzus ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within *Dendrobium* sp., aphid colonies were found on the young leaves. The aphids were yellow, green to dark green. The colonized plants did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp. (bonsai), colonies of aphids were located on the undersides of young leaves. The colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The aphids were green in color. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants.

On the *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) plants, aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The colonized flowers, especially the crowns, exhibited a tendency to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in color. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *M. ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that were parasitized by Aphidiidae were found.

On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, aphids ranging in color from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa*. First, the aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, similar to sugar. The aphids had yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were almost always associated with ants. The second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants.

In *Ixora* sp. flower plants, two forms of aphids were discovered. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves and unopened flowers. The affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white, resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost always associated with ants. Another species of aphids was founded and formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce any symptoms of plant disease. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small

247 to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also
248 frequently found in association with ants.

249 Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa*, each forming colonies in different locations. The first
250 type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease
251 symptoms. The aphids were yellow, green, and some with dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids
252 were *A. gossypii*. The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as
253 if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots and within flower parts. The plant parts they
254 infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had
255 tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*.

256 The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids.
257 This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were
258 generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. These aphids formed
259 colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green,
260 yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* or alligator grass was also colonized
261 by *Aphis gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging
262 from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots,
263 flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and they were often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus*
264 *gracilis* was infested by *Aphis craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers and young and old leaves.
265 They were dark brown to black in color, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with
266 both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* aphids. These aphids were bright green, and of
267 medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies
268 were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* or fire grass was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow-green to
269 dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon*
270 *dactylon* or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks
271 and sometimes in the leaf axils of the plant. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to red-brown. They were
272 associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* or nut grass was infested by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were
273 found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants.
274 The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* or grass puzzle was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*
275 aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small
276 colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids, with small colonies scattered on
277 the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinocloa crusgali* or water hyacinth
278 plants were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on
279 the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Ecliptica*
280 *prostrata* or urang aring was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids
281 were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants.

282 *Eleusin indica* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. *H.*
283 *setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks and leaf axils resulting in quite large colonies. *H. setariae* body
284 color ranged from red brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis*
285 formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and on leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were
286 not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These

287 aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants.
288 The plant *Emilia sonchifolia*, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow
289 to green in colour. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves.

290 *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red brown. Small
291 colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae*
292 were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* or wart grass was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids formed
293 colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in
294 color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupatorium odoratum* was colonized
295 by both *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young
296 twigs. Young leaves that were colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this
297 plant showed yellow-green to dark green in body colour. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the
298 shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of
299 both *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants.

300 *Hymenochera acutigluma* or hair axis was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae*, which formed colonies on the
301 flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia sp.* or
302 *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae sp.* These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with
303 thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not
304 show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* or bamboo grass plants were colonized by two species of aphids:
305 *hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves,
306 leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to
307 red-brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis*
308 aphids were green to bright green in color, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species
309 of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix.

310 *Melastoma affine* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly
311 emerging shoots and on newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body colour of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The
312 colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania miranta* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis*
313 *glycine*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and
314 curled leaves. *A. glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. Glycine* aphids were
315 light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a
316 single colony.

317 *Mimosa invisa* (cater-grass) was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants
318 formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa*
319 *pudica* was observed to be colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots,
320 and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the
321 colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa vigra* was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The colonies of
322 aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were
323 shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms.

324 *Oryza rufipogon* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum rice* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Both
325 aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species
326 could be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green with black siphunculi and cauda, while *R. rice*

327 appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. rice* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants.
328 *Oxonopus compressus* or *pait* grass was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower
329 stalks, seeds, and sometimes in the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown in color. Small colonies were formed,
330 and they were also consistently associated with ants.

331 *Paspalum conjugatum* was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the
332 seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phyllanthus niruri* was colonized by *Aphis citricola*. The
333 colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted,
334 and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black sifunculi and cauda, and the colonies formed were quite large.
335 *Portulaca oleraceae* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants
336 formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots and in flowers. The colonized plant parts
337 became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that
338 appeared glossy black.

339 *Physalis angulata* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with
340 glossy black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant
341 parts did not show any symptoms of disease. *Rorippa indica* or mustard land was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. The
342 colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks and the lower surface of leaves. The colonized plant parts showed
343 symptoms such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* or cacabean was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids had
344 green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks and flower petals. The
345 colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* plants
346 were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colours, and the colonies formed on flower
347 stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

348 In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically
349 displayed typical symptoms of damage, but some did not show any symptoms. Generally, the symptoms of the plants
350 caused by aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves.
351 These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show
352 symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition happened because the colonized parts had reached their maximum
353 growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that
354 did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the
355 leaves and plant parts were old. The old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid
356 infestations.

357 The part of the plant exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a
358 cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued to
359 grow, resulting in some parts developing normally while others become stunted (Pettersson et al., 2017). This condition
360 could lead to the bending of shoots or young stems, curling of leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf
361 growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any
362 distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed
363 from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants was often easier to recognize
364 through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids
365 had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al., 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the presence
366 of aphid colonies.

Throughout their life cycle, aphids exhibited host alternation by switching between two distinct host plants (Peccoud et al., 2010). They overwintered on woody plants, reproduced in the spring, and migrated to herbaceous plants during the summer before returning to their primary host in the autumn (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This allowed aphids to maximize resource utilization, avoid congestion and competition, evade predators and parasites, circumvent plant defenses, and colonize new areas. Aphids could distribute their population efficiently, thereby avoiding overcrowding, predators and parasites, and plant defenses developing over time through host switching (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This behavior was essential for the survival and environmental adaptation of aphids.

Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive parts of plants. Flowers contain nutrient-rich nature (Jakubczyk et al., 2022) and easy access to sap, therefore aphids were attractive to sap the flowers. Some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Chittka, 2007), while others preferred different types of plants and plant parts (Sorensen, 2009). It's worth noting that different aphid species often had distinct preferences for plant type (Harrington et al., 2007) and parts.

Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consumed sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as "sap". Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilized needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brožek et al., 2015). Numerous herbs had structural characteristics, such as folds, crevices, and concealed flowering portions (Harrington et al., 2007), that provided aphids with refuge.

Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive as a food source (Nelson & Mooney, 2022). The honeydew contained an abundance of sugars, extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Detrain et al., 2010). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often 'farm' aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants provided aphids with protection from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-jamouri et al., 2018). Certain species of ants would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al., 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their own progeny (Biedermann & Vega, 2020).

CONCLUSION

15 species of aphids were found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagaram, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, that supported this research.

REFERENCES

- Alotaibi, N. J., Alsufyani, T., M. N. H., & Almalki, M. A. (2023). Rapid Identification of Aphid Species by Headspace GC-MS and Discriminant Analysis. *Insects*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14070589>
- Bass, C., Puinean, A. M., Zimmer, C. T., Denholm, I., Field, L. M., Foster, S. P., Gutbrod, O., Nauen, R., Slater, R., & Williamson, M. S. (2014). The evolution of insecticide resistance in the peach potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 51, 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003>
- Biedermann, P. H. W., & Vega, F. E. (2020). Ecology and evolution of insect–fungus mutualisms. *Annual Review of*

Commented [Ma2]: - This manuscript has outdated references to be published in Biodiversitas. Reference list should consist of at least 20 citations which 80% of international scientific journals published in the last 10 years (2013-2023), and a maximum of 10% references from national publication

- Please follow the guidance for reference writing (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/guidance-for-author>)

409 *Entomology*, 65, 431–455. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910>

410 Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2008). *Aphids on the world's herbaceous plants and shrubs*, 2 volume set. John Wiley

411 & Sons.

412 Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2017). Taxonomic issues. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 1–36). CABI Wallingford UK.

413 Boivin, G., Hance, T., & Brodeur, J. (2012). Aphid parasitoids in biological control. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*,

414 92(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.4141/cjps2011-045>

415 Brożek, J., Mróz, E., Wylęzek, D., Depa, Ł., & Węgierek, P. (2015). The structure of extremely long mouthparts in the

416 aphid genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae). *Zoomorphology*, 134, 431–445.

417 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7>

418 Cao, H., Zhang, Z., Wang, X., & Liu, T. (2018). Nutrition versus defense : Why *Myzus persicae* (green peach aphid)

419 prefers and performs better on young leaves of cabbage. *PloS One*, 13(4), 1–16.

420 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196219>

421 Chandel, R. S., Chandla, V. K., Verma, K. S., & Pathania, M. (2022). *Chapter 21 - Insect pests of potato in India: biology*

422 *and management* (A. Alyokhin, S. I. Rondon, & Y. B. T.-I. P. of P. (Second E. Gao (eds.); pp. 371–400). Academic

423 Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821237-0.11001-7>

424 Chittka, A. L. (2007). *Visual ecology of aphids-a critical review on the role of colours in host finding Visual ecology of*

425 *aphids — a critical review on the role of colours in host finding. June 2014*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-006-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-006-9000-1)

426 [9000-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-006-9000-1)

427 Clarke, R., Kehoe, M. A., Broughton, S., & Jones, R. A. C. (2020). Host plant affiliations of aphid vector species found

428 in a remote tropical environment. *Virus Research*, 281(December 2019), 197934.

429 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934>

430 Degani, E., Leigh, S. G., Barber, H. M., Jones, H. E., Lukac, M., Sutton, P., & Potts, S. G. (2019). Crop rotations in a

431 climate change scenario: short-term effects of crop diversity on resilience and ecosystem service provision under

432 drought. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 285, 106625.

433 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106625>

434 Detrain, C., Verheggen, F. J., Diez, L., Wathélet, B., & Haubruge, E. (2010). Aphid–ant mutualism: how honeydew sugars

435 influence the behaviour of ant scouts. *Physiological Entomology*, 35(2), 168–174. [https://doi.org/DOI:](https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3032.2010.00730.x)

436 [10.1111/j.1365-3032.2010.00730.x](https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3032.2010.00730.x)

437 Döring, T. F. (2014). How aphids find their host plants, and how they don't. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 165(1), 3–26.

438 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12142>

439 Ertunc, F. (2020). *Chapter 46 - Emerging Plant Viruses* (M. M. B. T.-E. and R. V. P. Ennaji (ed.); pp. 1041–1062).

440 Academic Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819400-3.00046-6>

441 Gadhave, K. R., Gautam, S., Rasmussen, D. A., & Srinivasan, R. (2020). Aphid transmission of Potyvirus: the largest

442 plant-infecting RNA virus genus. *Viruses*, 12(7), 773. <https://doi.org/doi: 10.3390/v12070773>

443 Giannetti, D., Mandrioli, M., Schifani, E., Castracani, C., Spotti, F. A., Mori, A., & Grasso, D. A. (2021). First report on

444 the acrobat ant *Crematogaster scutellaris* storing live aphids in its oak-gall nests. *Insects*, 12(2), 108.

445 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12020108>

446 Guo, H., Gu, L., Liu, F., Chen, F., Ge, F., & Sun, Y. (2019). Aphid-borne Viral Spread Is Enhanced by Virus-induced

447 Accumulation of Plant Reactive Oxygen Species 1. *Plant Physiol*, 179(January), 143–155.

448 <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.00437>

449 Harrington, R., Clark, S. J., Welham, S. J., Verrier, P. J., Denholm, C. H., Hulle, M., Maurice, D., Rounsevell, M. D.,

450 Cocu, N., & Consortium, E. U. E. (2007). Environmental change and the phenology of European aphids. *Global*

451 *Change Biology*, 13(8), 1550–1564. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01394.x>

452 Hullé, M., Chaubet, B., Turpeau, E., & Simon, J.-C. (2020). Encyclop'Aphid: A website on aphids and their natural

453 enemies. *Entomologia Generalis*, 40(1). <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1127/entomologia/2019/0867>

454 Ikbal, C., & Pavela, R. (2019). Essential oils as active ingredients of botanical insecticides against aphids. *Journal of Pest*

455 *Science*, 92, 971–986. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/s10340-019-01089-6>

456 Jakubczyk, K., Koprowska, K., Gottschling, A., & Janda-Mileczarek, K. (2022). Edible Flowers as a Source of Dietary

457 Fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a Potential Athlete's Dietary Supplement. *Nutrients*, 14(12).

458 <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14122470>

459 Jaouannet, M., Rodriguez, P. A., Thorpe, P., Lenoir, C. J. G., & Macleod, R. (2014). Plant immunity in plant – aphid

460 interactions. *Front Plant Sci.*, 5(December), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00663>

461 Jones, R. A. C. (2022). Alteration of plant species mixtures by virus infection: Managed pastures the forgotten dimension.

462 *Plant Pathology*, 71(6), 1255–1281. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1111/ppa.13571>

463 Jousselin, E., Gwenaëlle, G., & Armelle, C. D. A. (2010). Evolutionary lability of a complex life cycle in the aphid genus

464 *Brachycaudus*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-295>

465 Kallas, J. (2010). *Edible wild plants*. Gibbs Smith.

466 Karami-jamou, T., Mirmoayedi, A., Zamani, A., & Khajehzadeh, Y. (2018). The impact of ant attendance on protecting

467 *Aphis gossypii* against two aphidophagous predators and it's role on the intraguild predation between them. *Journal*

468 *of Insect Behavior*, 31, 222–239. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4>

469 Kennedy, J. S., & Stroyan, H. L. G. (1959). Biology of aphids. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 4(1), 139–160.

470 Kinley, C., Banu, A. N., Raut, A. M., Wahengbam, J., & Jamtsho, T. (2021). A review on past, present and future
 471 approaches for Aphids management. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 45(2), 336–346.
 472 <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-4576.2021.00053.0>

473 Kumar, Sarwan. (2019). Aphid-Plant Interactions: Implications for Pest Management. In M. T. Oliveira, F. Candan, & A.
 474 Fernandes-Silva (Eds.), *Plant Communities and Their Environment* (p. Ch. 7). IntechOpen.
 475 <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.84302>

476 Kumar, Sushil, Bhowmick, M. K., & Ray, P. (2021). Weeds as alternate and alternative hosts of crop pests. *Indian Journal*
 477 *of Weed Science*, 53(1), 14–29. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2>

478 Liu, X. D., Xu, T. T., & Lei, H. X. (2017). Refuges and host shift pathways of host-specialized aphids *Aphis gossypii*.
 479 *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4>

480 Maharani, Y., Hidayat, P., Rauf, A., & Maryana, N. (2018). Short communication: New records of aphid species
 481 subfamily aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas*, 19(2), 460–465.
 482 <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d190219>

483 Margaritopoulos, J. T., Tzortzi, M., Zarpas, K. D., Tsitsipis, J. A., & Blackman, R. L. (2006). Morphological
 484 discrimination of *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) populations feeding on Compositae. *Bulletin of*
 485 *Entomological Research*, 96(2), 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.1079/ber2005410>

486 Meuninck, J. (2023). *Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs*. Rowman & Littlefield.

487 Mo, C., & Smilanich, A. M. (2023). Feeding on an exotic host plant enhances plasma levels of phenoloxidase by
 488 modulating feeding efficiency in a specialist insect herbivore. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 14(February), 1–10.
 489 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2023.1127670>

490 Naidu, V. (2012). *Hand book on weed identification*. Dr. VSGR Naidu.

491 Nelson, A. S., & Mooney, K. A. (2022). The evolution and ecology of interactions between ants and honeydew-producing
 492 hemipteran insects. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 53, 379–402.
 493 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840>

494 Peccoud, J., Simon, J.-C., von Dohlen, C., Coeur d'acier, A., Plantegenest, M., Vanlerberghe-Masutti, F., & Jousset, E.
 495 (2010). Evolutionary history of aphid-plant associations and their role in aphid diversification. *Comptes Rendus*
 496 *Biologies*, 333(6), 474–487. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crv.2010.03.004>

497 Pettersson, J., Tjallingii, W. F., & Hardie, J. (2017). Host-plant selection and feeding. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 173–
 498 195). CABI Wallingford UK. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.1079/9780851998190.0087>

499 Singh, R., & Singh, G. (2021). Aphids. *Polyphagous Pests of Crops*, 105–182. https://doi.org/DOI:10.1007/978-981-15-8075-8_3

500 Sorensen, J. T. (2009). *Chapter 8 - Aphids* (V. H. Resh & R. T. B. T.-E. of I. (Second E. Cardé (eds.); pp. 27–31).
 501 Academic Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374144-8.00008-4>

502 Tegelaar, K., Hagman, M., Glinwood, R., Pettersson, J., & Leimar, O. (2012). Ant–aphid mutualism: the influence of ants
 503 on the aphid summer cycle. *Oikos*, 121(1), 61–66. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x>

504 Völkl, W., Mackauer, M., Pell, J. K., & Brodeur, J. (2023). Predators, parasitoids and pathogens. In *CABI Books*. CABI
 505 Books. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851998190.0187>

506 Wäckers, F., & Van Rijn, P. (2012). Pick and mix: selecting flowering plants to meet the requirements of target
 507 biological control insects. *Biodiversity and Insect Pests: Key Issues for Sustainable Management*, 9(April), 139–165.
 508 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118231838.ch9>

509 Yamamoto, T., Hattori, M., & Itino, T. (2020). *Seasonal Migration in the Aphid Genus Stomaphis (Hemiptera :
 510 Aphididae): Discovery of Host Alternation Between Woody Plants in Subfamily Lachninae*. 20.
 511 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieaa103>

512

513

9 October 2023

Dear Editor,
Biodiversitas

As requested, this is our response to reviewers' comments and suggestions.

Thank you so much for the very kind attention and great helps provided by editorial team of Journal of Biodiversitas

"Letter on responses to reviewers' comments and suggestions from Reviewer 1"

No.	Reviewers' suggestion	Our response	Location in revised manuscript
1	Abstract should consist about 200 words	The Abstract has been revised	Line 15-28
2	This manuscript has outdated references to be published in Biodiversitas. Reference list should consist of at least 20 citations which 80% of international scientific journals published in the last 10 years (2013-2023), and a maximum of 10% references from national publication. - Please follow the guidance for reference writing (https://smujo.id/biodiv/guidance-for-author)	The references have been updated	Line 404

Sincerely
Corresponding author,



Chandra Irsan

Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Abstract. Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species were found on plants that were not actually hosts such as wild plants. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental plants and the wild plants. The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. This study revealed that 21 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Aphis glycines*, *Aulacorthum solani*, *Greenidae* sp., *Hyperomyzus* sp., *Hysteroneura setariae*, *Lipaphis erysimi*, *Macrosiphoniella sanborni*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Myzus persicae*, *Neomyzus circumflexus*, *Pentalonia caladii*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae*, *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Sinemogoura citricola*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Toxoptera citricidus*, *Toxoptera odinae*, and *Schizaphis rotundiventris*.

Keywords: Aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

Running title: Aphids found in ornamental and wild plants.

INTRODUCTION

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy and Stroyan 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Bass et al. 2014). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhawe et al. 2020). Aphids can transmit 275 viruses (Ertunc 2020). In tropical areas, aphids can be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman and Eastop 2017). Aphids suck

phloem sap of tender plant parts, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Cao et al. 2018). Moreover, vector species can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones 2022). Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Many aphid species are found on plants that are not their actual hosts (Maharani et al. 2018). Aphids have one or more secondary, or "alternative," host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al. 2020). Alternative plants provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al., 2021). In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. Research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has received little attention. This study reports diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants found in this area. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory of cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, and natural enemies where available, involved systematic searches of all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids are documented as aphid hosts. Aphid identification was done using identification keys (Blackman and Eastop 2008) in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using weed identification hand book (Kallas, 2010; Meuninck, 2023; Naidu, 2012). The location and size of aphid colonies, including their life color, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

Aphids infesting in ornamental plants

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagar Alam (Tables 1, 2).. These aphids mostly colonised flowers of various ornamental plants (Table 1, Figure 1).

Table 1. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid Species	Colony location
1	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	Leaves, young twig, flower
2	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	Leaves, flower
		<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	Leaves
		<i>Myzus persicae</i>	Leaves, flower
3	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia caladii</i>	Leaves,
4	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Leaves, flower
5	<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae</i>	Leaf
6	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, flower
7	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoot, flower
		<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	Young leaves
8	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flower
9	<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp.	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	Shoot, twig

10	<i>Dahlia</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
11	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	Flower
12	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoot, flower
13	<i>Helianthus giganteus</i> .	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	Flower
14	<i>Hibiscus rosasinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
15	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	Flower Shoot, young leaves
16	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	Flower Flower Shoot, flower
17	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> <i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	Young Twig Shoot, flower
18	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	Shoot, flower Shoot, flower
19	<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	Flower
20	<i>Spondias dulcis</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Flower



Fig 1. Photos showing colonies of different aphid species in ornamental plants: a) *Aphis gossypii* in *Dahlia* sp. flower b) *Aphis gossypii* in *Hibiscus rosasinensis* flower c) *Aphis gossypii* in *cestrum* twig and flower, d) *Aphis craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower, e) *Aphis glycines* in *Helianthus giganteus* flower, f) *Aphis craccivora* on the *Murayya paniculata* flower, g) *Toxoptera odinae* in the *Mussaenda frondosa*, h) *Macrosiphoniella sanborni*. in *Chrysanthemum* sp. leaves i) *Macrosiphum rosae* in *Rosa indica* flower, j) *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* in *Canna indica* leaves. All the photos were captured by Chandra Irsan.

The relationship between aphids and ants was also recorded. Aphids produce a sweet, sticky substance called honeydew. Ants are attracted to this honey because it serves as a food source

for them. When aphids are present, they secrete honeydew, which attracts ants. This research recorded the presence of ants on plant parts colonized by aphids (Table 2).

Table 2. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and the presence of the ants in the plant parts colonized

No	Aphid Species	Ornamental plants	Aphids life colour	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance
1	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	black	flowers	+
		<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	black	flowers	+
2	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	greenish yellow	flowers	+
		<i>Ixora</i> sp.	greenish yellow	flowers	+
		<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	greenish yellow	shoots, flowers	+
		<i>Spondias dulcis</i>	greenish yellow	flowers	+
3	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	<i>Helianthus giganteus</i>	greenish yellow	flowers	+
4	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	green	shoots, flowers	+
		<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	light green	shoots, flowers	+
		<i>Dahlia</i> sp.	green dark	flowers	+
		<i>Duranta</i> sp.	light green	shoots, flowers	+
		<i>Hibiscus rosasinensis</i>	dark green	flowers	+
		<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	light green	flowers	+
		<i>Ixora</i> sp.	light green	flowers	+
5	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	greenish yellow	leaves, flowers	-
6	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	brown black	leaves, twigs, flowers	+
		<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp.	reddish brown	leaves, twigs	+
7	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	<i>Rosa indica</i>	green	flowers	-
8	<i>Myzus persicae</i>	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	greenish yellow	leaves, flowers	-
9	<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	light green	young leaves, flowers	-
		<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	light green	flowers	
10	<i>Pentalonia caladii</i>	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	brown-black	leaves	+
11	<i>Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae</i>	<i>Canna indica</i>	green black	leaves	+
12	<i>Sinemegoura citricola</i>	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	brown	flowers	-
13	<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	brown black	flowers	+
		<i>Ixora</i> sp.	brown black	flowers	+
14	<i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	black	stems	+
15	<i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	reddish-brown	flowers	+

(+): present, (-): absent

Aphids infesting in wild plants (weed or non-weed plants)

In addition, this study documented aphid colonies on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves and old leaves of wild plants (Table 3, Figure 2).

Table 3. Species of aphids found in wild (weed or non-weed) plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Weeds or non-weed plants	Aphid species	Colony location
1	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
2	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, buds
3	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, buds
4	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
5	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	weed	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	flowers, shoots, and buds
6	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
7	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	flower, flower stalks
8	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
9	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
10	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flower, flower stalks
11	<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	weed	<i>Hiperomyzus</i> sp.	young leaves, old leaves
12	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves
13	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
			<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
14	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower, flower stalks, shoots
15	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flower, flower stalks, seeds
16	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	young leaves, old leaves
17	<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	young leaves, old leaves,
			<i>Aphis glycines</i>	shoot, young twigs
18	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
19	<i>Bridelia tomentosa</i>	Non-weed	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	young leaves
20	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
			<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
21	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	Non-weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves
22	<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	Weed - liana	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves, old leaves
			<i>Aphis glycines</i>	shoot, young twig
23	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	shoots, pods
24	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	shoots, pods, flowers
25	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	Non-weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	shoots, pods
26	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	weed	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> ,	old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils
		weed	<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils
27	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flowers, flower stalk, leaf axils
28	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flowers, flower stalk, seeds
29	<i>Phylanthus neruri</i>	weed	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs, petioles
30	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	shoots, young leaves, flowers
31	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	shoots, young leaves, old leaves
		weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves, old leaves
32	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	weed	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	flowers, fruits, shoots, young leaves
33	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
34	<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	weed	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	young leaves, fruit stalks, flowers, fruits

The presence of ants in aphid colonization symbolizes a mutually beneficial relationship where the ants receive food from the aphids while providing protection to the aphids. This study recorded the ant attendance in aphids colonization (Table 4).

Table 4. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and the presence of the ants in the plant parts colonized.

No	Aphid Species	Wild plants	Aphids life colour	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance
1	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	Light green	shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers	+
		<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	Light green	shoots, buds	+
		<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	Light green	shoots, buds	-
		<i>Croton hirtus</i>	Dark green	flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves,	+
		<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	green	young twigs	+
		<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	green	shoots, young leaves	+
			light green	flower, flower stalks, shoots	+

N o	Aphid Species	Wild plants	Aphids life colour	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance
		<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	light green	young leaves, old leaves	+
		<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	light green	young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+
		<i>Melastoma affine</i>	light green	shoots, young leaves	+
		<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	yellowish green	shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+
		<i>Physalis angulata</i>	yellowish green	shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds	-
		<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>			
2	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	black	flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+
		<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	black	shoots, pods	+
		<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	black	shoots, pods, flowers	+
		<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	black	shoots, pods	+
		<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	black	shoots, young leaves, flowers	+
		<i>Physalis angulata</i>	black	shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+
3	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	Greenish	young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+
		<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	yellow	shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+
			Light green		
4	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	Greenish	shoot, young leaves, young twigs, petioles	+
			Yellow		
5	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	<i>Bridelia Tomentosa</i>	Greenish	young leaves	-
			Yellow		
6	<i>Hystrotroneura setariae</i>	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	reddish-brown	flower, flower stalks	+
		<i>Eleusin indica</i>	reddish-brown	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils	+
		<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	reddish-brown	flower, flower stalks, seeds	+
		<i>Hymenochera</i>	reddish-brown	flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+
		<i>acutigluma</i>	reddish-brown	young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils	+
		<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	reddish-brown	flower, flower stalk, leaf axils	+
		<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	reddish-brown	flower, flower stalk, seeds	+
		<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>			
7	<i>Hiperomyzus</i> sp.	<i>Echinocloa crussgali</i>	Black	young leaves, old leaves	-
8	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	Whitish green	flowers, shoots, and buds	+
		<i>Rorippa indica</i>	Whitish green	flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves	+
		<i>Sonchus arventris</i>	Whitish green	young leaves, fruit stalks, flower, fruit	+
9	<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	green	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils	+
		<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	green	young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils	+
		<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	green	old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils	-
10	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i>	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	Whitish green	old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils	+
11	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Green	flowers, flower stalks	+
		<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	green	flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+
		<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	green	flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+

(+): present, (-): absent



Figure 2. Aphids found infesting wild plants a) *Aphis gossypii* in *Ageratum conyzoides*, b) *Aphis gossypii* in *Croton hirtus* c) *A. gossypii* in *Eupatorium odoratum*, d) *Aphis gossypii* in *Pachystochys* sp., e) *Pentalonia caladii* in *Caladium* sp., f) *Aphis. gossypii* in *Alternanthera sessilis*, g) *Aphis gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae* h) *Aphis gossypii* in *Euphorbia hirta*, i) *Aphis citricola* in *Phyllanthus nerruri*, j) *Aphis citricola* in *Sida rhombifolia*, k) *Aphis citricola* in *Annona muricata*, l) *Aphis citricola* in *Ludwigia*

peruviana, m) *A. craccivora* in *Mimosa pudica*, n) *Aphis craccivora* in *Amaranthus gracilis*, o) *Aphis glycine* in *Mikania micranta*, p) *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusin*, q) *Greenidae* sp. in *Bridelia tomentosa* young leaves., r) *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinocloa crusgali*, s) *Lipaphis erysimi* in *sonchus arventris*, t) *Rhopalosiphum padi* in *Oryza rufipogon*, u) *Rhopalosiphum Maidis* in *Oryza rufipogon*. All the photos were captured by Chandra Irsan.

Discussion

In the present study, some aphid species were found on some ornamental plants in Pagaralam. The location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Adiantum predatum* plants, aphids formed colonies on young leaf stalks and on newly emerging leaves. The aphids displayed brown and black coloration. The aphid colonies found were small, and the colonized plant parts showed no signs of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Neotoxoptera* sp., and notably, they were not associated with ants. On *Aster alpinus*, aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Macrosiphoniella sanborni*, and they were associated with ants. On the *Brugmansia suaveolens*, *M. persicae* were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have started to turn yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. was found one species of aphids: *P. caladii*. *P. caladii* was known and found in taro plants, the aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves (Bhadra and Agarwala 2014). According to this present study, the occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odoratum* (ylang-ylang), colonies of *T. aurantii* were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black in color. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants. Aphids on *C. indica* (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body and the identification results showed that the aphids were *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* (Acharya and Singh 2004). The colonies of *R. nymphaeae* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (periwinkle), *A. citricola* aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or flower stalks that had not fully bloomed. The colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants. Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black in color. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. craccivora*. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia* sp. formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. According to this present study, *Sinemegoura citricola* colonies were found on the young leaves

of *Dendrobium* sp., with the color body of the *S. citricola* aphids were yellow, green to dark green, and the colonized plants did not show any disease symptoms, and they were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp., colonies of aphids were located on the undersides of young leaves and the colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The aphids were green in color. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Furthermore, on the *Helianthus annuus*, aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The colonized flowers, especially the crowns, exhibited a tendency to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in color. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *M. ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that were parasitized by Aphidiidae were found. On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, aphids ranging in color from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa*. First, the aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, like sugar. The aphids had yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were almost always associated with ants. The second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants. Moreover, in *Ixora* sp. flower plants, two forms of aphids were discovered. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves and unopened flowers. The affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white, resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost always associated with ants. Another species of aphids was founded and formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce any symptoms of plant disease. The aphids were yellow or yellowish green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also frequently found in association with ants. Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa*, each forming colonies in different locations. The first type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Toxoptera odinae*. The aphids were yellow, green, and some with dark green (Blackman et al. 2011). The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or

young twigs, appearing densely clustered as if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots and within flower parts. The plant parts they infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. Many aphid species infest a variety of ornamental plants because these insects are attracted to such plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Braham et al. 2023).

The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids. This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. These aphids formed colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green, yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* or alligator grass was also colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots, flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and they were often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus gracilis* was infested by *Aphis craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers and young and old leaves. They were dark brown to black in color, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. These aphids were bright green, and of medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* or fire grass was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon dactylon* or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the leaf axils of the plant. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to reddish brown. They were associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* or nut grass was infested by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants. The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* or grass puzzle was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids, with small colonies scattered on the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinocloa crusgali* or water hyacinth plants were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Ecliptica prostrata* or urang aring was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants. *Eleusin indica* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. *H. setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks and leaf axils resulting in quite large colonies. *H. setariae* body color ranged from red brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis* formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and on leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R.*

maidis colonies were always associated with ants. The plant *Emilia sonchifolia*, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow to green in colour. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves. *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red brown. Small colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae* were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* or wart grass was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupotarium odoratum* was colonized by both *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young twigs. Young leaves that were colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this plant showed yellow-green to dark green in body colour. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of both *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants. *Hymenochera acutigluma* or hair axis was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae*, which formed colonies on the flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia* sp. or *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* or bamboo grass plants were colonized by two species of aphids: *hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to red brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis* aphids were green to bright green in color, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix. In addition, *Melastoma affine* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly emerging shoots and on newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body colour of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania miranta* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis glycine*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and curled leaves. *A. glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. Glycine* aphids were light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a single colony. *Mimosa invisa* (cater-grass) was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa pudica* was observed to be colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots, and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa vigra* was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The colonies of aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Oryza rufipogon* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum rice* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Both aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species could be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis*

appeared green with black siphunculi and cauda, while *R. rice* appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. rice* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants. *Oxonopus compressus* or *pait* grass was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower stalks, seeds, and sometimes in the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown in color. Small colonies were formed, and they were also consistently associated with ants. *Paspalum conjugatum* was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phyllanthus niruri* was colonized by *Aphis citricola*. The colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted, and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black siphunculi and cauda, and the colonies formed were quite large. *Portulaca oleraceae* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots and in flowers. The colonized plant parts became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that appeared glossy black. *Physalis angulata* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with glossy black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. *Rorippa indica* or mustard land was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. The colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks and the lower surface of leaves. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* or *cacabeau* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids had green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks and flower petals. The colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* plants were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colours, and the colonies formed on flower stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically displayed typical symptoms of damage, but some did not show any symptoms. Generally, the symptoms of the plants caused by aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves. These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition happened because the colonized parts had reached their maximum growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the leaves and plant parts were old. The old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid infestations. The part of the plant exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued to grow, resulting in some parts developing normally while others become stunted (Pettersson, Tjallingii, and Hardie 2017). This condition could lead to the bending of shoots or young stems, curling of leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants was often easier to recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a

significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al. 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the presence of aphid colonies. According to this present study, ants were present in some aphids colonies from the subfamily aphidini, while the ants were absent in some aphids colonies from the macrocypini subfamily. The absent of ants in aphids colonies could be the colonies have just formed, or the population is still low (Kummel, Brown, and Bruder 2013). Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive parts of plants. Flowers contain a nutrient-rich nature and easy access to sap, therefore aphids were attractive to sap the flowers. Some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Jakubczyk et al. 2022). Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consume sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as "sap". Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilize needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brožek et al. 2015). Several aphids colonized herbs such as Indian mustards, *Lipaphis erysimi*, and *Myzus persicae* are the most devastating insects, infesting leaves, stems, and floral parts (Jayaswal et al. 2022). Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive as a food source (Nelson and Mooney 2022). The honeydew contained an abundance of sugars, extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Zheng et al. 2022). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often 'farm' aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants provided aphids with protection from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-jamouri et al. 2018). Certain species of ants would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al. 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their own progeny (Biedermann and Vega 2020).

CONCLUSION

21 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Aphis glycines*, *Aulacorthum solani*, *Greenidae* sp., *Hyperomyzus* sp., *Hysteroneura setariae*, *Lipaphis erysimi*, *Macrosiphoniella sanborni*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Myzus persicae*, *Neomyzus circumflexus*, *Pentalonia caladii*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae*, *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Sinemogoura citricola*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Toxoptera citricidus*, *Toxoptera odinae*, and *Schizaphis rotundiventris*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, that supported this research. This research is a part of Research with contract number 0188/UN9.3.1/SK/2023, 18 April 2023 with the chairman Chandra Irsan.


REFERENCES

- Acharya, Shelley, and Rajendra Singh. 2004. "Aphids on Medicinal Plants in North East India (Insecta : Homoptera : Aphididae)." *Rec. Zool. Surv. India* 102(June 2004). doi: 10.26515/rzsi/v103/i1-2/2004/159495.

- Bass, Chris, Alin M. Puinean, Christoph T. Zimmer, Ian Denholm, Linda M. Field, Stephen P. Foster, Oliver Gutbrod, Ralf Nauen, Russell Slater, and Martin S. Williamson. 2014. "The Evolution of Insecticide Resistance in the Peach Potato Aphid, *Myzus persicae*." *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 51:41–51. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003.
- Bhadra, Parna, and Basant Kumar Agarwala. 2014. "On the Morphological and Genotypic Variations of Two Congeneric Species of Banana Aphid *Pentalonia* (Homoptera : Aphididae) from India." (March). doi: 10.5932/j.als.20120203.06.
- Biedermann, Peter H. W., and Fernando E. Vega. 2020. "Ecology and Evolution of Insect–Fungus Mutualisms." *Annual Review of Entomology* 65:431–55. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910>.
- Blackman, Roger L., and Victor F. Eastop. 2008. *Aphids on the World's Herbaceous Plants and Shrubs, 2 Volume Set*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Blackman, Roger L., and Victor F. Eastop. 2017. "Taxonomic Issues." Pp. 1–36 in *Aphids as crop pests*. CABI Wallingford UK.
- Blackman, Roger Laurence, Masato Sorin, and Masahisa Miyazaki. 2011. "Sexual Morphs and Colour Variants of *Aphis* (Formerly *Toxoptera*) *Odinae* (Hemiptera, Aphididae) in Japan." *Zootaxa* (November 2011):53–60. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3110.1.5.
- Braham, Mohamed, Synda Boulahia-kheder, Mouna Kahia, and Siwar Nouria. 2023. "Aphids and Citrus Responses to Nitrogen Fertilization." *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences* 22(6):374–83. doi: 10.1016/j.jssas.2023.03.003.
- Brożek, Jolanta, Ewa Mróz, Dominika Wylęzek, Łukasz Depa, and Piotr Węgierek. 2015. "The Structure of Extremely Long Mouthparts in the Aphid Genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae)." *Zoomorphology* 134:431–45. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7>.
- Cao, He-he, Zhan-feng Zhang, Xiao-feng Wang, and Tong-xian Liu. 2018. "Nutrition versus Defense : Why *Myzus persicae* (Green Peach Aphid) Prefers and Performs Better on Young Leaves of Cabbage." *PLoS One* 13(4):1–16. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196219>.
- Clarke, Rebecca, Monica A. Kehoe, Sonya Broughton, and Roger A. C. Jones. 2020. "Host Plant Affiliations of Aphid Vector Species Found in a Remote Tropical Environment." *Virus Research* 281(December 2019):197934. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934.
- Ertunc, Filiz. 2020. "Chapter 46 - Emerging Plant Viruses." Pp. 1041–62 in, edited by M. M. B. T.-E. and R. V. P. Ennaji. Academic Press.
- Gadhve, Kiran R., Saurabh Gautam, David A. Rasmussen, and Rajagopalbabu Srinivasan. 2020. "Aphid Transmission of Potyvirus: The Largest Plant-Infecting RNA Virus Genus." *Viruses* 12(7):773. doi: 10.3390/v12070773.
- Giannetti, Daniele, Mauro Mandrioli, Enrico Schifani, Cristina Castracani, Fiorenza A. Spotti, Alessandra Mori, and Donato A. Grasso. 2021. "First Report on the Acrobat Ant *Crematogaster* *Scutellaris* Storing Live Aphids in Its Oak-Gall Nests." *Insects* 12(2):108. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12020108>.
- Jakubczyk, Karolina, Klaudia Koprowska, Aleksandra Gottschling, and Katarzyna Janda-Milczarek. 2022. "Edible Flowers as a Source of Dietary Fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a Potential Athlete's Dietary Supplement." *Nutrients* 14(12). doi: 10.3390/nu14122470.
- Jayaswal, Deepanshu, Pawan Mainkar, Kuldeep Kumar, Yamini Agarwal, and Ratna Prabha. 2022. "Pyramiding and Evaluation of Segregating Lines Containing Lectin and Protease Inhibitor Genes for Aphid Resistance in Brassica Juncea." *Indian Journal of Biochemistry & Biophysics* 59(August):800–807. doi: 10.56042/ijbb.v59i8.62319.
- Jones, Roger A. C. 2022. "Alteration of Plant Species Mixtures by Virus Infection: Managed Pastures the Forgotten Dimension." *Plant Pathology* 71(6):1255–81. doi: DOI: 10.1111/ppa.13571.
- Kallas, John. 2010. *Edible Wild Plants*. Gibbs Smith.
- Karami-jamou, Tahereh, Alinaghi Mirmoayedi, Abbasali Zamani, and Yadolah Khajehzadeh. 2018. "The Impact of Ant Attendance on Protecting *Aphis gossypii* against Two Aphidophagous Predators and Its Role on the Intraguild Predation between Them." *Journal of Insect Behavior* 31:222–39. doi: DOI: 10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4.
- Kennedy, J. S., and H. L. G. Stroyan. 1959. "Biology of Aphids." *Annual Review of Entomology* 4(1):139–60.
- Kumar, Sushil, Malay K. Bhowmick, and Puja Ray. 2021. "Weeds as Alternate and Alternative Hosts of Crop Pests." *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 53(1):14–29. doi: 10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2.
- Kummel, Miroslav, David Brown, and Andrea Bruder. 2013. "How the Aphids Got Their Spots: Predation Drives Self-Organization of Aphid Colonies in a Patchy Habitat." *Oikos* 122(6):896–906. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2012.20805.x>.
- Maharani, Yani, Purnama Hidayat, Aunu Rauf, and Nina Maryana. 2018. "Short Communication: New Records of Aphid Species Subfamily Aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia." *Biodiversitas* 19(2):460–65. doi: 10.13057/biodiv/d190219.
- Meuninck, Jim. 2023. *Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Naidu, VSGR. 2012. "Hand Book on Weed Identification."
- Nelson, Annika S., and Kailen A. Mooney. 2022. "The Evolution and Ecology of Interactions between Ants and Honeydew-Producing Hemipteran Insects." *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 53:379–402. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840>.
- Pettersson, Jan, W. Fred Tjallingii, and Jim Hardie. 2017. "Host-Plant Selection and Feeding." Pp. 173–95 in *Aphids as crop pests*. CABI Wallingford UK.
- Tegelaar, Karolina, Mattias Hagman, Robert Glinwood, Jan Pettersson, and Olof Leimar. 2012. "Ant–Aphid Mutualism: The Influence of Ants on the Aphid Summer Cycle." *Oikos* 121(1):61–66. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x>.
- Zheng, Zhou, Mengqin Zhao, Zhijun Zhang, Xin Hu, Yang Xu, and Cong Wei. 2022. "Lactic Acid Bacteria Are Prevalent in the Infrabuccal Pockets and Crops of Ants That Prefer Aphid Honeydew." *Front. Microbiol.* 12(January):1–17. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.785016.

4. Bukti konfirmasi review ketiga dan hasil revisi ketiga

13 Oktober 2023



COVERING LETTER

Dear **Editor-in-Chief**,

I herewith enclosed a research article,

- ☒ The submission has not been previously published, nor is it before another journal for consideration (or an explanation has been provided in Comments to the Editor).
- ☒ The submission file is in OpenOffice, Microsoft Word (DOC, not DOCX), or RTF document file format.
- ☒ The text is single-spaced; uses a 10-point font; employs italics, rather than underlining (except with URL addresses); and all illustrations, figures, and tables are placed within the text at the appropriate points, rather than at the end.
- ☒ The text adheres to the stylistic and bibliographic requirements outlined in the Author Guidelines.
- ☒ Most of the references come from current scientific journals (c. 80% published in the last 10 years), except for taxonomic papers.
- ☒ Where available, DOIs for the references have been provided.
- ☒ When available, a certificate for proofreading is included.

SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Ensure that the following items are present:

The first corresponding author must be accompanied with contact details:

- ☒ E-mail address
- ☒ Full postal address (incl street name and number (location), city, postal code, state/province, country)
- ☒ Phone and facsimile numbers (incl country phone code)

All necessary files have been uploaded, and contain:

- ☒ Keywords
- ☒ Running titles
- ☒ All figure captions
- ☒ All tables (incl title and note/description)

Further considerations

- ☒ Manuscript has been "spell & grammar-checked" Better, if it is revised by a professional science editor or a native English speaker
- ☒ References are in the correct format for this journal
- ☒ All references mentioned in the Reference list are cited in the text, and vice versa
- ☒ Colored figures are only used if the information in the text may be losing without those images
- ☒ Charts (graphs and diagrams) are drawn in black and white images; use shading to differentiate

Title:

Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Author(s) name:

Chandra Irsan^{a*}, Erise Anggraini^{a,b}, Siti Herlinda^a, Wenny Ramadhani^c, M. Umar Harun^d,

Address

(Fill in your institution's name and address, your personal cellular phone and email)

^a Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^b Agroecotechnology Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^c Plant Quarantine, Palembang, Sumatera, Indonesia

^d Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

For possibility publication on the journal:

(fill in *Biodiversitas* or *Nusantara Bioscience* or mention the others)

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☒ Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity | ☐ Nusantara Bioscience |
| ☐ Prosiding Seminar Nasional Masyarakat Biodiversitas Indonesia | ☐ Asian Journal of Agriculture |
| ☐ Asian Journal of Ethnobiology | ☐ Asian Journal of Forestry |
| ☐ Asian Journal of Natural Product Biochemistry | ☐ Asian Journal of Tropical Biotechnology |
| ☐ International Journal of Bonorowo Wetlands | ☐ Cell Biology and Development |
| ☐ Indo Pacific Journal of Ocean Life | ☐ International Journal of Tropical Drylands |

Novelty:

(state your claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge)

This paper described the alternative host of aphids in high land, South Sumatera. The knowledge regarding the alternative of insect pest could be beneficial resource for basic control of aphids.

Statements:

This manuscript has not been published and is not under consideration for publication to any other journal or any other type of publication (including web hosting) either by me or any of my co-authors.

Author(s) has been read and agree to the Ethical Guidelines.

List of five potential reviewers

(Fill in names of five potential reviewers **that agree to review your manuscript** and their **email** addresses. He/she should have Scopus ID and come from different institution with the authors; and from at least three different countries)

1. Dr. Koko Dwi Sutanti (email: ksutanto@ksu.edu.sa)
2. Dr. Lau Wei Hong (email: lauweih@upm.edu.my)
3. Prof. Dr. Dra. Asni Johari, M.Si. (johari_asni@yahoo.com)
4. Dr. Mahesh Gunasena (mahesh.gunasena@gmail.com)
5. Dr. Hasber Salim (hasbersalim@usm.my)

Place and date:

Palembang, 5 October 2023

Sincerely yours,

(fill in your name, no need scanned autograph)

Dr. Chandra Irsan

Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Abstract

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species were found on plants that were not actually hosts such as wild plants. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental plants and the wild plants. The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. This study revealed that 15 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

Keywords: aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

Running title: Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants

INTRODUCTION

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy & Stroyan, 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Bass et al., 2014). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhawe et al., 2020). Aphids can transmit 275 viruses (Ertunc, 2020). In tropical areas, aphids can always be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman & Eastop, 2017). Aphids consume young leaves sap, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Cao et al., 2018). Moreover, when aphids transmit viral diseases from one plant to another, this can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones, 2022). According to Kinley et al. (2021), aphids cause yield losses directly (35 - 40%) by sucking the plant sap or indirectly (20 - 80%) through viral transmission. Therefore, aphid infestations can have adverse effects on crop yields and overall plant health (Sarwan Kumar, 2019).

Due to their function as vectors, the presence of aphids on a plant can be highly damaging (Jaouannet et al., 2014). They feed by piercing the plant's tissues and consuming its sap, which can reduce the plant's growth and productivity, ultimately leading to weakness and possible death (Chandel et al., 2022). Additionally, as vectors, aphids can transmit a variety of plant diseases. They are as carriers for various plant viruses, and when they move from infected to healthy plants, these viruses can rapidly spread and cause extensive damage (Guo et al., 2019). In addition, the honeydew that aphids secrete can lead to the growth of sooty mold, a black fungus that can prevent sunlight from reaching the plant's

Commented [Ma1]: This paper has too long Introduction. It should consist about 600-700 words

leaves, thereby impairing photosynthesis, the process by which plants produce food (Singh & Singh, 2021). Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Understanding the species diversity of aphids is fundamental to effective aphid control, as it facilitates the development of measures to keep their populations in check. In addition, understanding the diversity of aphid species can provide valuable insights into potential plant diseases, as different aphid species carry distinct viruses. Methods used to control aphids often encompass various techniques, including the use of natural enemies such as predators (like ladybugs, lacewings, and parasitic wasps) (Singh & Singh, 2021; Völkl et al., 2023), parasitoids (Boivin et al., 2012), entomopathogens (Hullé et al., 2020), the use of essential oils as botanical pesticides to control aphids (Ikbāl & Pavela, 2019), and crop rotation techniques (Degani et al., 2019). Regular monitoring of aphid populations and diversity can help in detecting when population sizes may be reaching harmful levels, allowing for prompt implementation of the necessary countermeasures.

Many aphid species were found on plants that were not their actual hosts (Maharani et al., 2018). Aphids have one or more secondary, or "alternative," host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al., 2020). An alternative host can also be a collateral host belonging to the same plant family as the primary host, helping crop pests to survive when the primary hosts are unavailable (Kumar et al., 2021). These secondary hosts may offer less adequate nutrition for insects (Mo & Smilanich, 2023). However, they may provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al., 2021). According to Liu et al. (2017), since hibiscus serves as an overwintering host for cotton-specialized aphids but not for cucurbit-specialized aphids, it is evident that host-specialized aphids have refuges during times of food shortage. The life cycles of numerous aphid species exhibit such complexity (Jousselin et al., 2010). They maintain a cycle of host alternation, shifting between their primary hosts (typically a woody plant) and secondary hosts (often herbaceous plants) (Yamamoto et al., 2020). Weeds pose a continuous threat in both cropped and non-crop areas, providing food, shelter and reproductive sites for various pest organisms (Kumar et al., 2021). This indicates that weeds can serve as alternative hosts for aphids.

A study of aphid species on horticultural plants has been conducted (Maharani et al., 2018). However, information about aphid species on ornamental and wild plants has not received as much attention and remains largely unexplored. In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. The research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has received little attention. Therefore, this study was conducted in Pagar Alam, a highland region of South Sumatra, with the aim of obtaining information on the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids were documented as aphid hosts. Aphids, along with their natural enemies within the aphid colonies, were systematically collected. All components of the collected observations were then identified.

Aphid identification was conducted using identification keys (Blackman & Eastop, 2008). Identification of aphid species took place in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using weed identification hand book (Kallas, 2010; Meuninck, 2023; Naidu, 2012). The location and size of aphid colonies, morphology of aphids including their shape and color, as well as any symptoms observed in the host plants were recorded, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were taken.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipapisi erysimi*. Based on the observation, these aphids were found on various ornamental plants (Table 1). The primary colony locations were generally in flowers, and this study documented these colony locations in ornamental plants (Figure 1).

Table 1: Aphid species found in ornamental plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid Species	Colony location
1	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Sitobion luteum</i>	flower
2	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	flower
		<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	
		<i>Myzus persicae</i>	
3	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia</i> sp	flower
4	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
5	<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Pentalonia nigronervosa</i>	flower
6	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	flower
7	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
		<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	
8	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	flower
9	<i>Cosmos caudatus</i>	<i>Uroleucon</i> sp.	flower
10	<i>Dahlia Kelvin</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
11	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	flower
12	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
13	<i>Helianthus</i> sp.	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	flower
		<i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	
14	<i>Hibiscus rosinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
15	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> ,	flower
		<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	
16	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	flower
		<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	
		<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	
17	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	flower
		<i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	
18	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	flower
		<i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	
19	<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	flower
20	<i>Spondiras dulcissoland</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	flower
		<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	



Fig 1. The location of aphid colonization on various plant parts. a) *A. gossypii* in *D. Kelvin* flower b) *A. gossypii* in *H. rosasinensis* flower c) *A. gossypii* in tuberose flower, d) *A. craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower, e) *A. citricola* in *Helianthus* sp., f) *A. aurantii* on the *M. paniculata* flower, g) *T. odinae* in the *S. dulcissoland*, h) *Uroleucon* sp. in chrysanthemums, i) *Macrosiphum rosae* in *R. indica* flower, j) *Pentalonia nigronervosa* in *C. indica* leaves

In addition, this study documented the presence of weeds, which might serve as alternative hosts for aphids (Table 2). The location of aphid colonies also varied, namely on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves and old leaves of wild plants (Figure 2). The presence of specific plants or host plants within a habitat influenced the types of aphids found. Many aphid species are found on a broad range of plants or host plants, while others are highly specialized and are only found on specific plants or host plants. This is closely related to the polyphagous, oligophagous or monophagous nature of aphids (Blackman & Eastop 2000).

124 Table 2: Species of aphids found in wild plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid species	Colony location
1	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
2	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
3	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
4	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
5	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flowers, shoots, and buds
6	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves young twigs
7	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks
8	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
9	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
10	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks
11	<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	<i>Hiperomyzus</i> sp.	Young leaves, old leaves
12	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
13	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
14	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower, flower stalks, shoots
15	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, seeds
16	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves
17	<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
18	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
19	<i>Lagerstromea</i> Sp.	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	Young leaves
20	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
21	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
22	<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
23	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
24	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods, flowers
25	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
26	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> , <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Old leaves, young leaves (pupus), leaf axils
27	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, leaf axils
28	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, seeds
29	<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs petioles
30	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, flower
31	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> , <i>A. gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
32	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves
33	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
34	<i>Sonchus arventris</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flower, fruit

125

126

127



Figure 2. Aphids found on wild plants a) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Ageratum conyzoides*, b) *A. gossypii* on Croton weed *hirtus* c) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Eupatorium odoratum*, d) *A. gossypii* on plants *Pachystochys* sp., e) *A. gossypii* on plants *Caladium* sp., f) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Alternanthera sessilis*, g) *A. gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae* weeds, h) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Euphorbia hirta*, i) *A. citricola* on the weed *Phyllanthus nerruri*, j) *A. citricola* on *Sida rhombifolia* weed, k) *A. citricola* on plants *Annona muricata*, l) *A. citricola* on the weed *Ludwigia peruviana*, m) *A. craccivora* on *Mimosa pudica* weed, n) *A. craccivora* on weeds *Amaranthus gracilis*, o) *A. glycine* in *Mikania micranta* weed, p) *Hysteneura* sp. in Eleusin weeds, q) *Greenidae* sp. in kenidai trees (shrubs) *indica*, r) *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinocloa crusgali* Weed, s) *L. erysimi* on weed *sonchus arventris*, t) *Rhopalosiphum* rice on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*, u) *Rhopalosiphum* *Maidis* on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*.

Discussion

The plant species or host plant influences the distribution of aphids. There are aphid species that can be found on a wide range of host plants, which is closely related to the polyphagous nature of aphids, allowing them to colonize many different species of host plants. Host plants can also affect the distribution of aphids, as evidenced by the presence of aphid species exclusively found on certain host plants (Santiago et al., 2017). But there are some species of aphids found only on one particular host and are not found on other host plants (Döring, 2014). *A. gossypii*, and *Aphis aurantii* have been found on many host plants because both aphids are classified as polyphagous aphids (Alotaibi et al., 2023).

Aphids can commonly be found infesting a variety of ornamental plants. They are attracted to these plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Braham et al., 2023). In this present study, some aphid species were found on some ornamental plants in Pagaralam. The location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Adiantum predatum* plants, aphids formed colonies on young leaf stalks and on newly emerging leaves. The aphids displayed brown and black coloration. The aphid colonies found were small, and the colonized plant parts showed no signs of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Neotoxoptera* sp., and notably, they were not associated with ants. On *Aster alpinus*, aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Uroleucon* sp., and they were associated with ants.

On the *Brugmansia suaveolens*, *M. persicae* were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have started to turn yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. (taro) was found one species of aphids: *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odoratum* (ylang-ylang), colonies of *T. aurantii* were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black in color. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants.

Aphids on *C. indica* (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body. The identification results showed that the aphids were *P. nigronervosa*. The colonies of *P. nigronervosa* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (periwinkle), *A. citricola* aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or flower stalks that had not fully bloomed. The colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants.

Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black in color. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. craccivora*. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. On the plant *Cosmos caudatus*, aphids were found on the flower petals. The colonies were not very large. The body color was green and light green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and

they were also associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia kellyi* plant formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. Aphids on *Datura metel* (amethyst) were found to form colonies on the undersides of old leaves. The aphids were medium sized with a green body color. The colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Myzus ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within *Dendrobium* sp., aphid colonies were found on the young leaves. The aphids were yellow, green to dark green. The colonized plants did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp. (bonsai), colonies of aphids were located on the undersides of young leaves. The colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The aphids were green in color. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants.

On the *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) plants, aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The colonized flowers, especially the crowns, exhibited a tendency to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in color. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *M. ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that were parasitized by Aphididae were found.

On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, aphids ranging in color from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa*. First, the aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, similar to sugar. The aphids had yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were almost always associated with ants. The second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants.

In *Ixora* sp. flower plants, two forms of aphids were discovered. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves and unopened flowers. The affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white, resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost always associated with ants. Another species of aphids was founded and formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce any symptoms of plant disease. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also frequently found in association with ants.

252 Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa*, each forming colonies in different locations. The first
253 type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease
254 symptoms. The aphids were yellow, green, and some with dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids
255 were *A. gossypii*. The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as
256 if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots and within flower parts. The plant parts they
257 infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had
258 tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*.

259 The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids.
260 This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were
261 generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. These aphids formed
262 colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green,
263 yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* or alligator grass was also colonized
264 by *Aphis gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging
265 from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots,
266 flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and they were often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus*
267 *gracilis* was infested by *Aphis craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers and young and old leaves.
268 They were dark brown to black in color, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with
269 both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* aphids. These aphids were bright green, and of
270 medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies
271 were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* or fire grass was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow-green to
272 dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon*
273 *dactylon* or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks
274 and sometimes in the leaf axils of the plant. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to red-brown. They were
275 associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* or nut grass was infested by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were
276 found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants.
277 The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* or grass puzzle was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*
278 aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small
279 colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids, with small colonies scattered on
280 the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinocloa crusgali* or water hyacinth
281 plants were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on
282 the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Ecliptica*
283 *prostrata* or urang aring was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids
284 were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants.

285 *Eleusin indica* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. *H.*
286 *setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks and leaf axils resulting in quite large colonies. *H. setariae* body
287 color ranged from red brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis*
288 formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and on leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were
289 not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These
290 aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants.

291 The plant *Emilia sonchifolia*, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow
292 to green in colour. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves.

293 *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red brown. Small
294 colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae*
295 were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* or wart grass was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids formed
296 colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in
297 color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupotarium odoratum* was colonized
298 by both *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young
299 twigs. Young leaves that were colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this
300 plant showed yellow-green to dark green in body colour. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the
301 shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of
302 both *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants.

303 *Hymenochera acutigluma* or hair axis was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae*, which formed colonies on the
304 flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia sp.* or
305 *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with
306 thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not
307 show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* or bamboo grass plants were colonized by two species of aphids:
308 *hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves,
309 leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to
310 red-brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis*
311 aphids were green to bright green in color, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species
312 of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix.

313 *Melastoma affine* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly
314 emerging shoots and on newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body colour of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The
315 colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania miranta* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis*
316 *glycine*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and
317 curled leaves. *A. glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. Glycine* aphids were
318 light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a
319 single colony.

320 *Mimosa invisa* (cater-grass) was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants
321 formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa*
322 *pudica* was observed to be colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots,
323 and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the
324 colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa vigra* was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The colonies of
325 aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were
326 shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms.

327 *Oryza rufipogon* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum rice* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Both
328 aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species
329 could be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green with black sifunculi and cauda, while *R. rice*
330 appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. rice* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants.

331 *Oxonopus compressus* or *pait* grass was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower
332 stalks, seeds, and sometimes in the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown in color. Small colonies were formed,
333 and they were also consistently associated with ants.

334 *Paspalum conjugatum* was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the
335 seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phyllanthus niruri* was colonized by *Aphis citricola*. The
336 colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted,
337 and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black sifunculi and cauda, and the colonies formed were quite large.
338 *Portulaca oleraceae* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants
339 formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots and in flowers. The colonized plant parts
340 became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that
341 appeared glossy black.

342 *Physalis angulata* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with
343 glossy black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant
344 parts did not show any symptoms of disease. *Rorippa indica* or mustard land was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. The
345 colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks and the lower surface of leaves. The colonized plant parts showed
346 symptoms such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* or cacabean was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids had
347 green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks and flower petals. The
348 colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* plants
349 were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colours, and the colonies formed on flower
350 stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

351 In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically
352 displayed typical symptoms of damage, but some did not show any symptoms. Generally, the symptoms of the plants
353 caused by aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves.
354 These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show
355 symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition happened because the colonized parts had reached their maximum
356 growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that
357 did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the
358 leaves and plant parts were old. The old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid
359 infestations.

360 The part of the plant exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a
361 cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued to
362 grow, resulting in some parts developing normally while others become stunted (Pettersson et al., 2017). This condition
363 could lead to the bending of shoots or young stems, curling of leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf
364 growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any
365 distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed
366 from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants was often easier to recognize
367 through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids
368 had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al., 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the presence
369 of aphid colonies.

Throughout their life cycle, aphids exhibited host alternation by switching between two distinct host plants (Yamamoto et al., 2020). They overwintered on woody plants, reproduced in the spring, and migrated to herbaceous plants during the summer before returning to their primary host in the autumn (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This allowed aphids to maximize resource utilization, avoid congestion and competition, evade predators and parasites, circumvent plant defenses, and colonize new areas. Aphids could distribute their population efficiently, thereby avoiding overcrowding, predators and parasites, and plant defenses developing over time through host switching (Yamamoto et al., 2020). This behavior was essential for the survival and environmental adaptation of aphids.

Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive parts of plants. Flowers contain a nutrient-rich nature and easy access to sap, therefore aphids were attractive to sap the flowers. Some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Jakubczyk et al., 2022). Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consume sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as "sap". Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilize needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brožek et al., 2015). Several aphids colonized herbs such as Indian mustards, *Lipaphis erysimi*, and *Myzus persicae* are the most devastating insects, infesting leaves, stems, and floral parts (Jayaswal et al., 2022).

Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive as a food source (Nelson & Mooney, 2022). The honeydew contained an abundance of sugars, extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Zheng et al., 2022). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often 'farm' aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants provided aphids with protection from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-jamouri et al., 2018). Certain species of ants would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al., 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their own progeny (Biedermann & Vega, 2020).

CONCLUSION

15 species of aphids were found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagaram, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, that supported this research.

REFERENCES

- Alotaibi, N. J., Alsufyani, T., M'sakni, N. H., Almalki, M. A., Alghamdi, E. M., & Spiteller, D. (2023). Rapid Identification of Aphid Species by Headspace GC-MS and Discriminant Analysis. *Insects*, 14(589). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14070589>
- Bass, C., Puinean, A. M., Zimmer, C. T., Denholm, I., Field, L. M., Foster, S. P., Gutbrod, O., Nauen, R., Slater, R., & Williamson, M. S. (2014). The evolution of insecticide resistance in the peach potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 51, 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003>
- Biedermann, P. H. W., & Vega, F. E. (2020). Ecology and evolution of insect–fungus mutualisms. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 65, 431–455. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910>
- Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2008). *Aphids on the world's herbaceous plants and shrubs, 2 volume set*. John Wiley

Commented [Ma2]: Please follow the guidance for reference writing (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/guidance-for-author>)

413 & Sons.

414 Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2017). Taxonomic issues. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 1–36). CABI Wallingford UK.

415 Boivin, G., Hance, T., & Brodeur, J. (2012). Aphid parasitoids in biological control. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*,
416 92(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.4141/cjps2011-045>

417 Braham, M., Boulahia-kheder, S., Kahia, M., & Nouria, S. (2023). Aphids and citrus responses to nitrogen fertilization.
418 *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, 22(6), 374–383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2023.03.003>

419 Brożek, J., Mróz, E., Wylęzek, D., Depa, Ł., & Węgierek, P. (2015). The structure of extremely long mouthparts in the
420 aphid genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae). *Zoomorphology*, 134, 431–445.
421 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7>

422 Cao, H., Zhang, Z., Wang, X., & Liu, T. (2018). Nutrition versus defense : Why *Myzus persicae* (green peach aphid)
423 prefers and performs better on young leaves of cabbage. *PloS One*, 13(4), 1–16.
424 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196219>

425 Chandel, R. S., Chandla, V. K., Verma, K. S., & Pathania, M. (2022). *Chapter 21 - Insect pests of potato in India: biology*
426 *and management* (A. Alyokhin, S. I. Rondon, & Y. B. T.-I. P. of P. (Second E. Gao (eds.); pp. 371–400). Academic
427 Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821237-0.11001-7>

428 Clarke, R., Kehoe, M. A., Broughton, S., & Jones, R. A. C. (2020). Host plant affiliations of aphid vector species found
429 in a remote tropical environment. *Virus Research*, 281(December 2019), 197934.
430 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934>

431 Degani, E., Leigh, S. G., Barber, H. M., Jones, H. E., Lukac, M., Sutton, P., & Potts, S. G. (2019). Crop rotations in a
432 climate change scenario: short-term effects of crop diversity on resilience and ecosystem service provision under
433 drought. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 285, 106625.
434 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106625>

435 Döring, T. F. (2014). How aphids find their host plants, and how they don't. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 165(1), 3–26.
436 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12142>

437 Ertunc, F. (2020). *Chapter 46 - Emerging Plant Viruses* (M. M. B. T.-E. and R. V. P. Ennaji (ed.); pp. 1041–1062).
438 Academic Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819400-3.00046-6>

439 Gadhave, K. R., Gautam, S., Rasmussen, D. A., & Srinivasan, R. (2020). Aphid transmission of Potyvirus: the largest
440 plant-infecting RNA virus genus. *Viruses*, 12(7), 773. <https://doi.org/doi: 10.3390/v12070773>

441 Giannetti, D., Mandrioli, M., Schifani, E., Castracani, C., Spotti, F. A., Mori, A., & Grasso, D. A. (2021). First report on
442 the acrobat ant *Crematogaster scutellaris* storing live aphids in its oak-gall nests. *Insects*, 12(2), 108.
443 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12020108>

444 Guo, H., Gu, L., Liu, F., Chen, F., Ge, F., & Sun, Y. (2019). Aphid-borne Viral Spread Is Enhanced by Virus-induced
445 Accumulation of Plant Reactive Oxygen Species 1. *Plant Physiol*, 179(January), 143–155.
446 <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.00437>

447 Hullé, M., Chaubet, B., Turpeau, E., & Simon, J.-C. (2020). Encyclop'Aphid: A website on aphids and their natural
448 enemies. *Entomologia Generalis*, 40(1). <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1127/entomologia/2019/0867>

449 Ikbal, C., & Pavela, R. (2019). Essential oils as active ingredients of botanical insecticides against aphids. *Journal of Pest*
450 *Science*, 92, 971–986. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/s10340-019-01089-6>

451 Jakubczyk, K., Koprowska, K., Gottschling, A., & Janda-Milczarek, K. (2022). Edible Flowers as a Source of Dietary
452 Fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a Potential Athlete's Dietary Supplement. *Nutrients*, 14(12).
453 <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14122470>

454 Jaouannet, M., Rodriguez, P. A., Thorpe, P., Lenoir, C. J. G., & Macleod, R. (2014). Plant immunity in plant – aphid
455 interactions. *Front Plant Sci.*, 5(December), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00663>

456 Jayaswal, D., Mainkar, P., Kumar, K., Agarwal, Y., & Prabha, R. (2022). Pyramiding and evaluation of segregating lines
457 containing lectin and protease inhibitor genes for aphid resistance in Brassica juncea. *Indian Journal of Biochemistry*
458 *& Biophysics*, 59(August), 800–807. <https://doi.org/10.56042/ijbb.v59i8.62319>

459 Jones, R. A. C. (2022). Alteration of plant species mixtures by virus infection: Managed pastures the forgotten dimension.
460 *Plant Pathology*, 71(6), 1255–1281. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1111/ppa.13571>

461 Jousselin, E., Gwenaelle, G., & Armelle, C. D. A. (2010). Evolutionary lability of a complex life cycle in the aphid genus
462 *Brachycaudus*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-295>

463 Kallas, J. (2010). *Edible wild plants*. Gibbs Smith.

464 Karami-jamouri, T., Mirmoayed, A., Zamani, A., & Khajehzadeh, Y. (2018). The impact of ant attendance on protecting
465 *Aphis gossypii* against two aphidophagous predators and it's role on the intraguild predation between them. *Journal*
466 *of Insect Behavior*, 31, 222–239. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4>

467 Kennedy, J. S., & Stroyan, H. L. G. (1959). Biology of aphids. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 4(1), 139–160.

468 Kinley, C., Banu, A. N., Raut, A. M., Wahengbam, J., & Jamtsho, T. (2021). A review on past, present and future
469 approaches for Aphids management. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 45(2), 336–346.
470 <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-4576.2021.00053.0>

471 Kumar, Sarwan. (2019). Aphid-Plant Interactions: Implications for Pest Management. In M. T. Oliveira, F. Candan, & A.
472 Fernandes-Silva (Eds.), *Plant Communities and Their Environment* (p. Ch. 7). IntechOpen.

<https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.84302>
 Kumar, Sushil, Bhowmick, M. K., & Ray, P. (2021). Weeds as alternate and alternative hosts of crop pests. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 53(1), 14–29. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2>
 Liu, X. D., Xu, T. T., & Lei, H. X. (2017). Refuges and host shift pathways of host-specialized aphids *Aphis gossypii*. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4>
 Maharani, Y., Hidayat, P., Rauf, A., & Maryana, N. (2018). Short communication: New records of aphid species subfamily aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas*, 19(2), 460–465. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d190219>
 Margaritopoulos, J. T., Tzortzi, M., Zarpas, K. D., Tsitsipis, J. A., & Blackman, R. L. (2006). Morphological discrimination of *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) populations feeding on Compositae. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 96(2), 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.1079/ber2005410>
 Meuninck, J. (2023). *Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs*. Rowman & Littlefield.
 Mo, C., & Smilanich, A. M. (2023). Feeding on an exotic host plant enhances plasma levels of phenoloxidase by modulating feeding efficiency in a specialist insect herbivore. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 14(February), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2023.1127670>
 Naidu, V. (2012). *Hand book on weed identification*. Dr. VSGR Naidu.
 Nelson, A. S., & Mooney, K. A. (2022). The evolution and ecology of interactions between ants and honeydew-producing hemipteran insects. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 53, 379–402. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840>
 Pettersson, J., Tjallingii, W. F., & Hardie, J. (2017). Host-plant selection and feeding. In *Aphids as crop pests* (pp. 173–195). CABI Wallingford UK. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1079/9780851998190.0087>
 Piron, P., de Haas, M., & Sonnemans, M. (2019). The presence of *Aphis* (Toxoptera) *aurantii* (Homoptera: Aphididae) in the Netherlands. *Entomologische Berichten*, 79(5), 162–164.
 Santiago, G. S., Fonseca, L., Canedo-ju, E. O., Ribas, C. R., Pereira, R., & Alves, G. P. (2017). Isolated and Community Contexts Produce Distinct Responses by Host Plants to the Presence of Ant-Aphid Interaction : Plant Productivity and Seed Viability. *Plos One*, 12(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0170915>
 Singh, R., & Singh, G. (2021). Aphids. *Polyphagous Pests of Crops*, 105–182. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/978-981-15-8075-8_3
 Tegelaar, K., Hagman, M., Glinwood, R., Pettersson, J., & Leimar, O. (2012). Ant–aphid mutualism: the influence of ants on the aphid summer cycle. *Oikos*, 121(1), 61–66. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x>
 Völkl, W., Mackauer, M., Pell, J. K., & Brodeur, J. (2023). Predators, parasitoids and pathogens. In *CABI Books*. CABI Books. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851998190.0187>
 Yamamoto, T., Hattori, M., & Itino, T. (2020). *Seasonal Migration in the Aphid Genus Stomaphis (Hemiptera : Aphididae) : Discovery of Host Alternation Between Woody Plants in Subfamily Lachninae*. 20. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieaa103>
 Zheng, Z., Zhao, M., Zhang, Z., Hu, X., Xu, Y., & Wei, C. (2022). Lactic Acid Bacteria Are Prevalent in the Infrabuccal Pockets and Crops of Ants That Prefer Aphid Honeydew. *Front. Microbiol.*, 12(January), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.785016>

Commented [Ma3]: Not italic, use the journal abbreviation

Commented [Ma4]: Mind the period and coma

16 October 2023

Dear Editor,
Biodiversitas

As requested, this is our response to reviewers' comments and suggestions.

Thank you so much for the very kind attention and great helps provided by editorial team of Journal of Biodiversitas

"Letter on responses to reviewers' comments and suggestions from Reviewer 1"

No.	Reviewers' suggestion	Our response	Location in revised manuscript
1	The Introduction has more than 700 words	The Introduction has been revised	Line 32-83
2	The reference must be revised following Biodiversitas guidelines.	The references have been updated	Line 394

Sincerely
Corresponding author,



Chandra Irsan

COVERING LETTER

Dear **Editor-in-Chief**,

I herewith enclosed a research article,

- ☐ The submission has not been previously published, nor is it before another journal for consideration (or an explanation has been provided in Comments to the Editor).
- ☐ The submission file is in OpenOffice, Microsoft Word (DOC, not DOCX), or RTF document file format.
- ☐ The text is single-spaced; uses a 10-point font; employs italics, rather than underlining (except with URL addresses); and all illustrations, figures, and tables are placed within the text at the appropriate points, rather than at the end.
- ☐ The text adheres to the stylistic and bibliographic requirements outlined in the Author Guidelines.
- ☐ Most of the references come from current scientific journals (c. 80% published in the last 10 years), except for taxonomic papers.
- ☐ Where available, DOIs for the references have been provided.
- ☐ When available, a certificate for proofreading is included.

SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Ensure that the following items are present:

The first corresponding author must be accompanied with contact details:

- ☐ E-mail address
- ☐ Full postal address (incl street name and number (location), city, postal code, state/province, country)
- ☐ Phone and facsimile numbers (incl country phone code)

All necessary files have been uploaded, and contain:

- ☐ Keywords
- ☐ Running titles
- ☐ All figure captions
- ☐ All tables (incl title and note/description)

Further considerations

- ☐ Manuscript has been "spell & grammar-checked" Better, if it is revised by a professional science editor or a native English speaker
- ☐ References are in the correct format for this journal
- ☐ All references mentioned in the Reference list are cited in the text, and vice versa
- ☐ Colored figures are only used if the information in the text may be losing without those images
- ☐ Charts (graphs and diagrams) are drawn in black and white images; use shading to differentiate

Title:

Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Author(s) name:

Chandra Irsan^{a*}, Erise Anggraini^{a,b}, Siti Herlinda^a, Wenny Ramadhani^c, M. Umar Harun^d,

Address

(Fill in your institution's name and address, your personal cellular phone and email)

^a Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^b Agroecotechnology Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

^c Plant Quarantine, Palembang, Sumatera, Indonesia

^d Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia

For possibility publication on the journal:

(fill in *Biodiversitas* or *Nusantara Bioscience* or mention the others)

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☐ Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity | ☐ Nusantara Bioscience |
| ☐ Prosiding Seminar Nasional Masyarakat Biodiversitas Indonesia | ☐ Asian Journal of Agriculture |
| ☐ Asian Journal of Ethnobiology | ☐ Asian Journal of Forestry |
| ☐ Asian Journal of Natural Product Biochemistry | ☐ Asian Journal of Tropical Biotechnology |
| ☐ International Journal of Bonorowo Wetlands | ☐ Cell Biology and Development |
| ☐ Indo Pacific Journal of Ocean Life | ☐ International Journal of Tropical Drylands |

Novelty:

(state your claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge)

This paper described the alternative host of aphids in high land, South Sumatera. The knowledge regarding the alternative of insect pest could be beneficial resource for basic control of aphids.

Statements:

This manuscript has not been published and is not under consideration for publication to any other journal or any other type of publication (including web hosting) either by me or any of my co-authors.

Author(s) has been read and agree to the Ethical Guidelines.

List of five potential reviewers

(Fill in names of five potential reviewers **that agree to review your manuscript** and their **email** addresses. He/she should have Scopus ID and come from different institution with the authors; and from at least three different countries)

1. Dr. Koko Dwi Sutanti (email: ksutanto@ksu.edu.sa)
2. Dr. Lau Wei Hong (email: lauweih@upm.edu.my)
3. Prof. Dr. Dra. Asni Johari, M.Si. (johari_asni@yahoo.com)
4. Dr. Mahesh Gunasena (mahesh.gunasena@gmail.com)
5. Dr. Hasber Salim (hasbersalim@usm.my)

Place and date:

Palembang, 5 October 2023

Sincerely yours,

(fill in your name, no need scanned autograph)

Dr. Chandra Irsan

Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Abstract

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species were found on plants that were not actually hosts such as wild plants. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental plants and the wild plants. The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. This study revealed that 15 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

Keywords: aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

Running title: Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants

INTRODUCTION

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy and Stroyan 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Bass et al. 2014). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhawe et al. 2020). Aphids can transmit 275 viruses (Ertunc 2020). In tropical areas, aphids can always be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman and Eastop 2017). Aphids consume young leaves sap, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Cao et al. 2018). Moreover, when aphids transmit viral diseases from one plant to another, this can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones 2022). According to Kinley et al. (2021), aphids cause yield losses directly (35 - 40%) by sucking the plant sap or indirectly (20 - 80%) through viral transmission. Therefore, aphid infestations can have adverse effects on crop yields and overall plant health (Kumar 2019).

Due to their function as vectors, the presence of aphids on a plant can be highly damaging (Jaouannet et al. 2014). They feed by piercing the plant's tissues and consuming its sap, which can reduce the plant's growth and productivity, ultimately leading to weakness and possible death (Chandel et al. 2022). Additionally, as vectors, aphids can transmit a variety of plant diseases. They are as carriers for various plant viruses, and when they move from infected to healthy plants, these viruses can rapidly spread and cause extensive damage (Guo et al. 2019). In addition, the honeydew that aphids secrete can lead to the growth of sooty mold, a black fungus that can prevent sunlight from reaching the plant's

48 leaves, thereby impairing photosynthesis, the process by which plants produce food (Singh and Singh 2021). Therefore, it
49 is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

50 Many aphid species were found on plants that were not their actual hosts (Maharani et al. 2018). Aphids have one
51 or more secondary, or "alternative," host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they
52 feed on most frequently (Clarke et al. 2020). An alternative host can also be a collateral host belonging to the same plant
53 family as the primary host, helping crop pests to survive when the primary hosts are unavailable (Kumar et al., 2021).
54 These secondary hosts may offer less adequate nutrition for insects (Mo and Smilanich 2023), However, they may provide
55 a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions
56 (Kumar et al., 2021). According to Liu et al. (2017), since hibiscus serves as an overwintering host for cotton-specialized
57 aphids but not for cucurbit-specialized aphids, it is evident that host-specialized aphids have refuges during times of food
58 shortage. The life cycles of numerous aphid species exhibit such complexity (Jousselin, Gwenaëlle, and Armelle 2010).
59 They maintain a cycle of host alternation, shifting between their primary hosts (typically a woody plant) and secondary
60 hosts (often herbaceous plants) (Yamamoto, Hattori, and Itino 2020). Weeds pose a continuous threat in both cropped and
61 non-crop areas, providing food, shelter and reproductive sites for various pest organisms (Kumar et al., 2021). This
62 indicates that weeds can serve as alternative hosts for aphids.

63 A study of aphid species on horticultural plants has been conducted (Maharani et al. 2018), However, information
64 about aphid species on ornamental and wild plants has not received as much attention and remains largely unexplored. In
65 South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. The
66 research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has received little attention. Therefore, this study
67 was conducted in Pagar Alam, a highland region of South Sumatra, with the aim of obtaining information on the diversity
68 of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for
69 aphid management.

70 MATERIALS AND METHODS

71 The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants
72 hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental
73 plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, involved systematic
74 searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all
75 existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids were documented as aphid hosts.
76 Aphids, along with their natural enemies within the aphid colonies, were systematically collected. All components of the
77 collected observations were then identified.

78 Aphid identification was conducted using identification keys (Blackman and Eastop 2008). Identification of aphid
79 species took place in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on
80 morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using weed identification hand book (Kallas, 2010;
81 Meuninck, 2023; Naidu, 2012). The location and size of aphid colonies, morphology of aphids including their shape and
82 color, as well as any symptoms observed in the host plants were recorded, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their
83 host plants were taken.

84 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

85 Result

86 The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp.,
87 *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*,

88 *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipapis*
89 *erysimi*. Based on the observation, these aphids were found on various ornamental plants (Table 1). The primary colony
90 locations were generally in flowers, and this study documented these colony locations in ornamental plants (Figure 1).

91

92 Table 1: Aphid species found in ornamental plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid Species	Colony location
1	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Sitobion luteum</i>	flower
2	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i> <i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i> <i>Myzus persicae</i>	flower
3	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia</i> sp.	flower
4	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
5	<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Pentalonia nigronervosa</i>	flower
6	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	flower
7	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	flower
8	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	flower
9	<i>Cosmos caudatus</i>	<i>Uroleucon</i> sp.	flower
10	<i>Dahlia Kelvin</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
11	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	flower
12	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
13	<i>Helianthus</i> sp.	<i>Aphis glycines</i> <i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	flower
14	<i>Hibiscus rosasinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
15	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
16	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
17	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> <i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	flower
18	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	flower
19	<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	flower
20	<i>Spondiras dulcissoland</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	flower



99

Fig 1. The location of aphid colonization on various plant parts. a) *A. gossypii* in *D. Kelvin* flower b) *A. gossypii* in *H. rosasinensis* flower c) *A. gossypii* in tuberose flower, d) *A. craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower, e) *A. citricola* in *Helianthus* sp., f) *A. aurantii* on the *M. paniculata* flower, g) *T. odinae* in the *S. dulcssoland*, h) *Uroleucon* sp. in chrysanthemums, i) *Macrosiphum rosae* in *R. indica* flower, j) *Pentalonia nigronevosa* in *C. indica* leaves

In addition, this study documented the presence of weeds, which might serve as alternative hosts for aphids (Table 2). The location of aphid colonies also varied, namely on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves and old leaves of wild plants (Figure 2). The presence of specific plants or host plants within a habitat influenced the types of aphids found. Many aphid species are found on a broad range of plants or host plants, while others are highly specialized and are only found on specific plants or host plants. This is closely related to the polyphagous, oligophagous or monophagous nature of aphids (Blackman & Eastop 2000).

Table 2: Species of aphids found in wild plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid species	Colony location
1	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
2	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
3	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
4	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
5	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flowers, shoots, and buds
6	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
7	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks
8	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
9	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
10	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks
11	<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	<i>Hiperomyzus</i> sp.	Young leaves, old leaves
12	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
13	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
14	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower, flower stalks, shoots
15	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, seeds
16	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves
17	<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
18	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
19	<i>Lagerstromia</i> Sp.	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	Young leaves
20	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i> <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
21	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
22	<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Aphis glycine</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
23	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
24	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods, flowers
25	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
26	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> , <i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Old leaves, young leaves (pupus), leaf axils
27	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, leaf axils
28	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalk, seeds
29	<i>Phylanthus neruri</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs, petioles
30	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, flower
31	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> , <i>A. gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
32	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves
33	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
34	<i>Sonchus arventris</i>	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flower, fruit



Figure 2. Aphids found on wild plants a) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Ageratum conyzoides*, b) *A. gossypii* on *Croton weed hirtus* c) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Eupatorium odoratum*, d) *A.gossypii* on plants *Pachystochys* sp., e) *A.gossypii* on plants *Caladium* sp., f) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Alternanthera sessilis*, g) *A.gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae* weeds, h) *A.gossypii* on the weed *Euphorbia hirta*, i) *A. citricola* on the weed *Phyllanthus nerruri*, j) *A. citricola* on *Sida rhombifolia* weed, k) *A. citricola* on plants *Annona muricata*, l) *A.citricola* on the weed *Ludwigia peruviana*, m) *A. craccivora* on *Mimosa pudica* weed, n) *A.craccivora* on weeds *Amaranthus gracilis*, o) *A. glycine* in *Mikania micranta* weed, p) *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusin* weeds, q) *Greenidae* sp. in kenidai trees (shrubs) *indica*, r) *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinocloa crusgali* Weed, s) *L. erysimi* on weed *sonchus arventris*, t) *Rhopalosiphum* rice on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*, u) *Rhopalosiphum Maidis* on the weed *Oryza rufipogon*.

Discussion

The plant species or host plant influences the distribution of aphids. There are aphid species that can be found on a wide range of host plants, which is closely related to the polyphagous nature of aphids, allowing them to colonize many different species of host plants. Host plants can also affect the distribution of aphids, as evidenced by the presence of aphid species exclusively found on certain host plants (Santiago et al. 2017). But there are some species of aphids found only on one particular host and are not found on other host plants (Döring 2014). *A. gossypii*, and *Aphis aurantii* have been found on many host plants because both aphids are classified as polyphagous aphids (Alotaibi et al., 2023).

Aphids can commonly be found infesting a variety of ornamental plants. They are attracted to these plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Braham et al. 2023). In this present study, some aphid species were found on some ornamental plants in Pagaralam. The location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Adiantum predatum* plants, aphids formed colonies on young leaf stalks and on newly emerging leaves. The aphids displayed brown and black coloration. The aphid colonies found were small, and the colonized plant parts showed no signs of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Neotoxoptera* sp., and notably, they were not associated with ants. On *Aster alpinus*, aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Uroleucon* sp., and they were associated with ants.

On the *Brugmansia suaveolens*, *M. persicae* were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have started to turn yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. (taro) was found one species of aphids: *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odoratum* (ylang-ylang), colonies of *T. aurantii* were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black in color. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants.

Aphids on *C. indica* (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body. The identification results showed that the aphids were *P. nigranervosa*. The colonies of *P. nigranervosa* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (periwinkle), *A. citricola* aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or flower stalks that had not fully bloomed. The colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants.

Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black in color. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. craccivora*. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. On the plant *Cosmos caudatus*, aphids were found on the flower petals. The colonies were not very large. The body color was green and light green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and

203 they were also associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia kellyi* plant formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with
204 a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results
205 showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. Aphids on *Datura metel* (amethyst) were found to form colonies on the
206 undersides of old leaves. The aphids were medium sized with a green body color. The colonized plant parts did not show
207 any symptoms of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Myzus ornatus*. The aphid colonies were
208 not associated with ants. Within *Dendrobium* sp., aphid colonies were found on the young leaves. The aphids were yellow,
209 green to dark green. The colonized plants did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the
210 aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp. (bonsai), colonies of aphids were located on
211 the undersides of young leaves. The colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The
212 aphids were green in color. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were
213 consistently associated with ants.

214 On the *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) plants, aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The
215 colonized flowers, especially the crowns, exhibited a tendency to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in
216 color. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies
217 were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies
218 were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease
219 symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *M. ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with
220 ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that were parasitized by Aphidiidae were found.

221 On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, aphids ranging in color from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed
222 colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large.
223 The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with
224 ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa*. First, the aphids formed colonies on the
225 undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The
226 occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and
227 slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, similar to sugar. The aphids had
228 yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The
229 identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were almost always associated with ants. The
230 second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could
231 also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs
232 of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The
233 identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants.

234 In *Ixora* sp. flower plants, two forms of aphids were discovered. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves
235 and unopened flowers. The affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from
236 yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white,
237 resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost
238 always associated with ants. Another species of aphids was founded and formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet
239 bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce any symptoms
240 of plant disease. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small
241 to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also
242 frequently found in association with ants.

243 Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa*, each forming colonies in different locations. The first
244 type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease
245 symptoms. The aphids were yellow, green, and some with dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids
246 were *A. gossypii*. The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as
247 if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots and within flower parts. The plant parts they
248 infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had
249 tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*.

250 The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids.
251 This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were
252 generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. These aphids formed
253 colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green,
254 yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* or alligator grass was also colonized
255 by *Aphis gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging
256 from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots,
257 flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and they were often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus*
258 *gracilis* was infested by *Aphis craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers and young and old leaves.
259 They were dark brown to black in color, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with
260 both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* aphids. These aphids were bright green, and of
261 medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies
262 were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* or fire grass was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow-green to
263 dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon*
264 *dactylon* or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks
265 and sometimes in the leaf axils of the plant. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to red-brown. They were
266 associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* or nut grass was infested by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were
267 found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants.
268 The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* or grass puzzle was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*
269 aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small
270 colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids, with small colonies scattered on
271 the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinocloa crusgali* or water hyacinth
272 plants were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on
273 the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Ecliptica*
274 *prostrata* or urang aring was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids
275 were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants.

276 *Eleusin indica* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. *H.*
277 *setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks and leaf axils resulting in quite large colonies. *H. setariae* body
278 color ranged from red brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis*
279 formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and on leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were
280 not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These
281 aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants.

282 The plant *Emilia sonchifolia*, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow
283 to green in colour. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves.

284 *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red brown. Small
285 colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae*
286 were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* or wart grass was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids formed
287 colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in
288 color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupatorium odoratum* was colonied
289 by both *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young
290 twigs. Young leaves that were colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this
291 plant showed yellow-green to dark green in body colour. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the
292 shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of
293 both *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants.

294 *Hymenochera acutigluma* or hair axis was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae*, which formed colonies on the
295 flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia* sp. or
296 *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with
297 thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not
298 show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* or bamboo grass plants were colonized by two species of aphids:
299 *hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves,
300 leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to
301 red-brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis*
302 aphids were green to bright green in color, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species
303 of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix.

304 *Melastoma affine* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly
305 emerging shoots and on newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body colour of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The
306 colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania miranta* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis*
307 *glycine*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and
308 curled leaves. *A. glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. Glycine* aphids were
309 light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a
310 single colony.

311 *Mimosa invisa* (cater-grass) was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants
312 formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa*
313 *pudica* was observed to be colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots,
314 and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the
315 colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa vigra* was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The colonies of
316 aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were
317 shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms.

318 *Oryza rufipogon* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum rice* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Both
319 aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species
320 could be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green with black sifunculi and cauda, while *R. rice*
321 appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. rice* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants.

322 *Oxonopus compressus* or *pait* grass was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower
323 stalks, seeds, and sometimes in the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown in color. Small colonies were formed,
324 and they were also consistently associated with ants.

325 *Paspalum conjugatum* was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the
326 seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phylanthus niruri* was colonized by *Aphis citricola*. The
327 colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted,
328 and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black sifunculi and cauda, and the colonies formed were quite large.
329 *Portulaca oleraceae* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants
330 formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots and in flowers. The colonized plant parts
331 became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that
332 appeared glossy black.

333 *Physalis angulata* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with
334 glossy black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant
335 parts did not show any symptoms of disease. *Rorippa indica* or mustard land was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. The
336 colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks and the lower surface of leaves. The colonized plant parts showed
337 symptoms such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* or cacabean was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids had
338 green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks and flower petals. The
339 colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* plants
340 were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colours, and the colonies formed on flower
341 stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

342 In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically
343 displayed typical symptoms of damage, but some did not show any symptoms. Generally, the symptoms of the plants
344 caused by aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves.
345 These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show
346 symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition happened because the colonized parts had reached their maximum
347 growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that
348 did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the
349 leaves and plant parts were old. The old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid
350 infestations.

351 The part of the plant exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a
352 cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued to
353 grow, resulting in some parts developing normally while others become stunted (Pettersson, Tjallingii, and Hardie 2017).
354 This condition could lead to the bending of shoots or young stems, curling of leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or
355 stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display
356 any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves
357 differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants was often easier to
358 recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility
359 that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al. 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the
360 presence of aphid colonies.

Throughout their life cycle, aphids exhibited host alternation by switching between two distinct host plants (Yamamoto et al. 2020). They overwintered on woody plants, reproduced in the spring, and migrated to herbaceous plants during the summer before returning to their primary host in the autumn (Yamamoto et al. 2020). This allowed aphids to maximize resource utilization, avoid congestion and competition, evade predators and parasites, circumvent plant defenses, and colonize new areas. Aphids could distribute their population efficiently, thereby avoiding overcrowding, predators and parasites, and plant defenses developing over time through host switching (Yamamoto et al. 2020). This behavior was essential for the survival and environmental adaptation of aphids.

Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive parts of plants. Flowers contain a nutrient-rich nature and easy access to sap, therefore aphids were attractive to sap the flowers. Some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Jakubczyk et al. 2022). Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consume sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as "sap". Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilize needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brożek et al. 2015). Several aphids colonized herbs such as Indian mustards, *Lipaphis erysimi*, and *Myzus persicae* are the most devastating insects, infesting leaves, stems, and floral parts (Jayaswal et al. 2022).

Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive as a food source (Nelson and Mooney 2022). The honeydew contained an abundance of sugars, extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Zheng et al. 2022). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often 'farm' aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants provided aphids with protection from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-jamour et al. 2018). Certain species of ants would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al. 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their own progeny (Biedermann and Vega 2020).

CONCLUSION

15 species of aphids were found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagaram, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, that supported this research.

REFERENCES

- Alotaibi, N. J., T. Alsufyani, N. H. M'sakni, M. A. Almalki, E. M. Alghamdi, and D. Spiteller. 2023. "Rapid Identification of Aphid Species by Headspace GC-MS and Discriminant Analysis." *Insects* 14(589). doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14070589>.
- Bass, Chris, Alin M. Puinean, Christoph T. Zimmer, Ian Denholm, Linda M. Field, Stephen P. Foster, Oliver Gutbrod, Ralf Nauen, Russell Slater, and Martin S. Williamson. 2014. "The Evolution of Insecticide Resistance in the Peach Potato Aphid , *Myzus Persicae*." *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 51:41–51. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003.
- Biedermann, Peter H. W., and Fernando E. Vega. 2020. "Ecology and Evolution of Insect–Fungus Mutualisms." *Annual Review of Entomology* 65:431–55. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910>.

404 Blackman, Roger L., and Victor F. Eastop. 2008. *Aphids on the World's Herbaceous Plants and Shrubs, 2 Volume Set*.
 405 John Wiley & Sons.

406 Blackman, Roger L., and Victor F. Eastop. 2017. "Taxonomic Issues." Pp. 1–36 in *Aphids as crop pests*. CABI
 407 Wallingford UK.

408 Braham, Mohamed, Synda Boulahia-kheder, Mouna Kahia, and Siwar Noura. 2023. "Aphids and Citrus Responses to
 409 Nitrogen Fertilization." *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences* 22(6):374–83. doi:
 410 10.1016/j.jssas.2023.03.003.

411 Brożek, Jolanta, Ewa Mróz, Dominika Wyleżek, Łukasz Depa, and Piotr Węgierek. 2015. "The Structure of Extremely
 412 Long Mouthparts in the Aphid Genus Stomaphis Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae)." *Zoomorphology*
 413 134:431–45. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7>.

414 Cao, He-he, Zhan-feng Zhang, Xiao-feng Wang, and Tong-xian Liu. 2018. "Nutrition versus Defense: Why Myzus
 415 Persicae (Green Peach Aphid) Prefers and Performs Better on Young Leaves of Cabbage." *PloS One* 13(4):1–16.
 416 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196219>.

417 Chandel, R. S., V. K. Chandla, K. S. Verma, and Mandeep Pathania. 2022. "Chapter 21 - Insect Pests of Potato in India:
 418 Biology and Management." Pp. 371–400 in, edited by A. Alyokhin, S. I. Rondon, and Y. B. T.-I. P. of P. (Second E.
 419 Gao. Academic Press.

420 Clarke, Rebecca, Monica A. Kehoe, Sonya Broughton, and Roger A. C. Jones. 2020. "Host Plant Affiliations of Aphid
 421 Vector Species Found in a Remote Tropical Environment." *Virus Research* 281(December 2019):197934. doi:
 422 10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934.

423 Döring, Thomas F. 2014. "How Aphids Find Their Host Plants, and How They Don't." *Annals of Applied Biology*
 424 165(1):3–26. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12142>.

425 Ertunc, Filiz. 2020. "Chapter 46 - Emerging Plant Viruses." Pp. 1041–62 in, edited by M. M. B. T.-E. and R. V. P. Ennaji.
 426 Academic Press.

427 Gadhve, Kiran R., Saurabh Gautam, David A. Rasmussen, and Rajagopalbabu Srinivasan. 2020. "Aphid Transmission of
 428 Potyvirus: The Largest Plant-Infecting RNA Virus Genus." *Viruses* 12(7):773. doi: doi: 10.3390/v12070773.

429 Giannetti, Daniele, Mauro Mandrioli, Enrico Schifani, Cristina Castracani, Fiorenza A. Spotti, Alessandra Mori, and
 430 Donato A. Grasso. 2021. "First Report on the Acrobat Ant Crematogaster Scutellaris Storing Live Aphids in Its Oak-
 431 Gall Nests." *Insects* 12(2):108. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12020108>.

432 Guo, Huijuan, Liyuan Gu, Fanqi Liu, Fajun Chen, Feng Ge, and Yucheng Sun. 2019. "Aphid-Borne Viral Spread Is
 433 Enhanced by Virus-Induced Accumulation of Plant Reactive Oxygen Species 1." *Plant Physiol* 179(January):143–
 434 55. doi: 10.1104/pp.18.00437.

435 Jakubczyk, Karolina, Klaudia Koprowska, Aleksandra Gottschling, and Katarzyna Janda-Milczarek. 2022. "Edible
 436 Flowers as a Source of Dietary Fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a Potential Athlete's Dietary Supplement."
 437 *Nutrients* 14(12). doi: 10.3390/nu14122470.

438 Jaouannet, Maëlle, Patricia A. Rodriguez, Peter Thorpe, Camille J. G. Lenoir, and Ruari Macleod. 2014. "Plant Immunity
 439 in Plant – Aphid Interactions." *Front Plant Sci.* 5(December):1–10. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00663.

440 Jayaswal, Deepanshu, Pawan Mainkar, Kuldeep Kumar, Yamini Agarwal, and Ratna Prabha. 2022. "Pyramiding and
 441 Evaluation of Segregating Lines Containing Lectin and Protease Inhibitor Genes for Aphid Resistance in Brassica
 442 Juncea." *Indian Journal of Biochemistry & Biophysics* 59(August):800–807. doi: 10.56042/ijbb.v59i8.62319.

443 Jones, Roger A. C. 2022. "Alteration of Plant Species Mixtures by Virus Infection: Managed Pastures the Forgotten
 444 Dimension." *Plant Pathology* 71(6):1255–81. doi: DOI: 10.1111/ppa.13571.

445 Jousset, Emmanuelle, Genson Gwenaelle, and Coeur D. Acie. Armelle. 2010. "Evolutionary Lability of a Complex Life
 446 Cycle in the Aphid Genus Brachycaudus." *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 10(1). doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-10-295.

447 Kallas, John. 2010. *Edible Wild Plants*. Gibbs Smith.

448 Karami-jamou, Tahereh, Alinaghi Mirmoayedi, Abbasali Zamani, and Yadolah Khajehzadeh. 2018. "The Impact of Ant
 449 Attendance on Protecting Aphis Gossypii against Two Aphidophagous Predators and Its Role on the Intraguild
 450 Predation between Them." *Journal of Insect Behavior* 31:222–39. doi: DOI: 10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4.

451 Kennedy, J. S., and H. L. G. Stroyan. 1959. "Biology of Aphids." *Annual Review of Entomology* 4(1):139–60.

452 Kinley, Chimi, A. Najitha Banu, A. M. Raut, Johnson Wahengbam, and Thinley Jamtsho. 2021. "A Review on Past,
 453 Present and Future Approaches for Aphids Management." *Journal of Entomological Research* 45(2):336–46. doi:
 454 10.5958/0974-4576.2021.00053.0.

455 Kumar, Sarwan. 2019. "Aphid-Plant Interactions: Implications for Pest Management." P. Ch. 7 in *Plant Communities and
 456 Their Environment*, edited by M. T. Oliveira, F. Candan, and A. Fernandes-Silva. Rijeka: IntechOpen.

457 Kumar, Sushil, Malay K. Bhowmick, and Puja Ray. 2021. "Weeds as Alternate and Alternative Hosts of Crop Pests."
 458 *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 53(1):14–29. doi: 10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2.

459 Liu, Xiang Dong, Ting Ting Xu, and Hai Xia Lei. 2017. "Refuges and Host Shift Pathways of Host-Specialized Aphids
 460 Aphis Gossypii." *Scientific Reports* 7(1):1–9. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4.

461 Maharani, Yani, Purnama Hidayat, Aunu Rauf, and Nina Maryana. 2018. "Short Communication: New Records of Aphid
 462 Species Subfamily Aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia." *Biodiversitas* 19(2):460–65. doi:
 463 10.13057/biodiv/d190219.

464 Margaritopoulos, J. T., M. Tzortzi, K. D. Zarpas, J. A. Tsitsipis, and R. L. Blackman. 2006. "Morphological
465 Discrimination of *Aphis Gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) Populations Feeding on Compositae." *Bulletin of*
466 *Entomological Research* 96(2):153–65. doi: 10.1079/ber2005410.

467 Meuninck, Jim. 2023. *Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs*. Rowman & Littlefield.

468 Mo, Carmen, and Angela M. Smilanich. 2023. "Feeding on an Exotic Host Plant Enhances Plasma Levels of
469 Phenoloxidase by Modulating Feeding Efficiency in a Specialist Insect Herbivore." *Frontiers in Physiology*
470 14(February):1–10. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1127670.

471 Naidu, VSGR. 2012. "Hand Book on Weed Identification."

472 Nelson, Annika S., and Kailen A. Mooney. 2022. "The Evolution and Ecology of Interactions between Ants and
473 Honeydew-Producing Hemipteran Insects." *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 53:379–402.
474 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840>.

475 Pettersson, Jan, W. Fred Tjallingii, and Jim Hardie. 2017. "Host-Plant Selection and Feeding." Pp. 173–95 in *Aphids as*
476 *crop pests*. CABI Wallingford UK.

477 Piron, PGM, MC de Haas, and MAHM Sonnemans. 2019. "The Presence of *Aphis* (Toxoptera) *Aurantii* (Homoptera:
478 *Aphididae*) in the Netherlands." *Entomologische Berichten* 79(5):162–64.

479 Santiago, Grazielle Silva, Luana Fonseca, Ernesto Oliveira Canedo-ju, Carla Rodrigues Ribas, Rafaela Pereira, and
480 Guilherme Pereira Alves. 2017. "Isolated and Community Contexts Produce Distinct Responses by Host Plants to
481 the Presence of Ant-Aphid Interaction: Plant Productivity and Seed Viability." *Plos One* 12(1):1–12. doi:
482 10.1371/journal.pone.0170915.

483 Singh, Rajendra, and Garima Singh. 2021. "Aphids." Pp. 105–82 in *Polyphagous Pests of Crops*. Springer.

484 Tegelaar, Karolina, Mattias Hagman, Robert Glinwood, Jan Pettersson, and Olof Leimar. 2012. "Ant–Aphid Mutualism:
485 The Influence of Ants on the Aphid Summer Cycle." *Oikos* 121(1):61–66. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x)
486 [0706.2011.19387.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x).

487 Yamamoto, Tetsuya, Mitsuru Hattori, and Takao Itino. 2020. "Seasonal Migration in the Aphid Genus *Stomaphis*
488 (Hemiptera : Aphididae): Discovery of Host Alternation Between Woody Plants in Subfamily Lachninae." *Journal of*
489 *Insect Science* 20(5):1–10. doi: 10.1093/jisesa/ieaa103.

490 Zheng, Zhou, Mengqin Zhao, Zhijun Zhang, Xin Hu, Yang Xu, and Cong Wei. 2022. "Lactic Acid Bacteria Are Prevalent
491 in the Infrabuccal Pockets and Crops of Ants That Prefer Aphid Honeydew." *Front. Microbiol.* 12(January):1–17.
492 doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.785016.

493

5. Bukti konfirmasi review keempat dan hasil revisi keempat

12 November 2023

The image shows two screenshots of an email interface. The top screenshot displays the email header and the beginning of the body text. The email is from 'Smujo Editors via SMUJO' with the subject '[biodiv] Editor Decision'. The body text states that a decision has been reached regarding a submission to the Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity, and that the decision is 'Revisions Required'. The bottom screenshot shows the 'Reviewer M' section, which includes a 'General comment' and 'Specific comments' under the 'Introduction section' and 'Materials and method section'.

Search: smujo

[biodiv] Editor Decision Eksternal Kotak Masuk x

S Smujo Editors via SMUJO <support@smujo.com> Min, 12 Nov 2023, 08.23

kepada saya, Erise

Terjemahkan ke Indonesia x

Chandra Irsan, Erise Anggraini:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity, "Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra". Complete your revision with a Table of Responses containing your answers to reviewer comments (for multiple comments) or enable Track Changes.

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Reviewer M:

Reviewer M:

General comment

The study is a very general account pertaining to aphid insects that feed and colonize ornamental and weed plants in parts of Sumatra. Numerous studies exist on this aspect from different parts of the world carried out as general survey of aphid-host plant association several decades ago. This study add up to the existing information from the study area without there being an element of novelty to aphid diversity or aphid-host plant association. In addition, I found several discrepancies in the presentation of results and preparation of the manuscript.

This comment being on a revised version of the manuscript that has already undergone initial review, I shall try to be as positive as possible to make the manuscript scientifically readable and acceptable.

Specific comments

Introduction section

1. This is a simple survey study undertaken in an area to record presence of aphid species in ornamental and herbaceous or shrub weed plants. However, the 'Introduction' section attempts to distinguish primary and alternate host plants of aphids, terming weeds as the 'alternate' host plants. This point is widely recorded and it does not require an explanation. It should be restricted to a few sentences as matter of reference only. Accordingly, I have suggested trimming of this section.

Materials and method section

1 I wonder that so few ornamental plant species are present in the study area in this study. This section must include the number

smujo

Aktif

8 dari 17

Results

1. This section should be divided into two sub-heading:
2. Aphids infesting ornamental plants
3. Aphids infesting wild and weed plants
4. Each sub-heading should have a table providing following information:

Sr No.	Aphid species*	Ornamental plants	Aphid	Plant parts	Ant
life color	colonized	attendance			

Present(+) or absent (-)

*Aphid species names should accompany by mention of author names in the first mention only.

1. Table 1. Following discrepancies require correction/clarification:
2. Record of *Sitobion luteum* from *Aster alpinus* is unusual; this aphid normally infest crops and weed plants of Cyperaceae family; *Aster alpinus* is a plant of Asteraceae family; authors may re-check the identification of this aphid sample!
3. Record of *Pentalonia* from *Caladium* sp. require a re-check! I suggest the authors to read the paper by Bhadra P, Agarwala BK. 2010. A comparison of fitness characters of two host plant-based congeneric species of the banana aphid, *Pentalonia nigronervosa* and *P. caladii*. *Journal of Insect Science* 10:140 available online: insectscience.org/10.140 and P. Bhadra and B.K. Agarwala, 2012. On the Morphological and Genotypic Variations of Two Congeneric species of Banana Aphid *Pentalonia* (Homoptera: Aphididae) from India, *Advances in Life Sciences*, 2(3): 75-81, DOI: 10.5932/j.als.20120203.06, DOI: 10.5932/j.als.20120203.06. Authors can identify the aphid species based on the identification key based on morphological characters and host plant association.

smujo

Aktif

8 dari 17

- Identification of *Pentalonia nigronervosa* from *Canna indica* require checking following the identification key provided in the above-said reference.

1. Identification of *Uroleucon* sp. from *Cosmos caudatus* mentioned in the table does not match with the figure legend "*Uroleucon* sp. in *Chrysanthemum*". These are entirely different.
1. Similarly, *Aphis craccivora* from *Murraya paniculata* stated in the table does not match with the "*aurantii* in the *M. paniculata* flower"
1. All the figures in the plate should be denoted by alphabets in serial order corresponding to those in the fused in the figure legend, and each of these figures should be credited to the photographer by name on the photographs.

- Table 2. Table contents be provided with similar information as suggested for the table 1. In addition, a column should include 'Plant type' to denote herb or shrub and weed or non-weed wild plant;

smujo

Aktif

Unzei

←

📅

🕒

🗑️

✉️

🕒

🔍

📄

⋮

8 dari 17

📅

🔔

🔍

👤

+

>

2. Other suggestions regarding improvements in the figures and figure legend made for figure 1 are to be followed for figure 2 as well.

Discussion

1. This section should be brief and to the point. Presently, it is written ad nauseous, without proper context and too elaborate. This section can divided in to three paragraphs as under:

1. First paragraph should briefly recount the results of this study.

2. Second paragraph should highlight the major features of aphid colonization of important ornamental and weed plants with respect to association of one or more aphid species association and pattern of colonization; for example, *Aphis gossypii* is found on many different plant species but their life color and colonization pattern differ in different plants;

- Third and final paragraph should be devoted to comparison of this study findings to those reported from neighboring or other parts of Indonesia or Southeast Asia.

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Abstract. Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species were found on plants that were not actually hosts such as wild plants. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental plants and the wild plants. The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. This study revealed that 15 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

Keywords: Aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

Running title: Aphids found in ornamental and wild plants

INTRODUCTION

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy and Stroyan 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Bass et al. 2014). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhve et al. 2020). Aphids can transmit 275 viruses (Ertunc 2020). In tropical areas, aphids can ~~always~~ be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman and Eastop 2017). Aphids ~~suck phloem sap of tender plant parts~~ ~~consume young leaves sap~~, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Cao et al. 2018). Moreover, ~~vector species when aphids transmit viral diseases from one plant to another, this~~ can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones 2022). ~~According to Kinley et al. (2021), aphids cause yield losses directly (35–40%) by sucking the plant sap or indirectly (20–80%) through viral transmission. Therefore, aphid infestations can have adverse effects on crop yields and overall plant health (Kumar 2019).~~

Due to their function as vectors, the presence of aphids on a plant can be highly damaging (Jaouannet et al. 2014). They feed by piercing the plant's tissues and consuming its sap, which can reduce the plant's growth and productivity, ultimately leading to weakness and possible death (Chandel et al. 2022). Additionally, as vectors, aphids can transmit a variety of plant diseases. They are as carriers for various plant viruses, and when they move from infected to healthy plants, these viruses can rapidly spread and cause extensive damage (Guo et al. 2019). In addition, the honeydew that aphids secrete can lead to the growth of sooty mold, a black fungus that can prevent sunlight from reaching the plant's leaves, thereby impairing photosynthesis, the process by which plants produce food (Singh and Singh 2021). Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Many aphid species ~~are~~ were found on plants that ~~are~~ were not their actual hosts (Maharani et al. 2018). Aphids have one or more secondary, or "alternative," host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al. 2020). ~~Alternative plants~~ ~~An alternative host can also be a collateral host belonging to the same plant family as the primary host, helping crop pests to survive when the primary hosts are unavailable (Kumar et al., 2021). These secondary hosts may offer less adequate nutrition for insects (Mo and Smilanich 2023). However, they may provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or~~

under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al., 2021). According to Liu et al. (2017), since hibiscus serves as an overwintering host for cotton-specialized aphids but not for cucurbit-specialized aphids, it is evident that host-specialized aphids have refuges during times of food shortage. The life cycles of numerous aphid species exhibit such complexity (Jousselin, Gwenaëlle, and Armelle 2010). They maintain a cycle of host alternation, shifting between their primary hosts (typically a woody plant) and secondary hosts (often herbaceous plants) (Yamamoto, Hattori, and Itino 2020). Weeds pose a continuous threat in both cropped and non-crop areas, providing food, shelter and reproductive sites for various pest organisms (Kumar et al., 2021). This indicates that weeds can serve as alternative hosts for aphids.

A study of aphid species on horticultural plants has been conducted (Maharani et al. 2018). However, information about aphid species on ornamental and wild plants has not received as much attention and remains largely unexplored. In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. The research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has received little attention. Therefore, this study reports was conducted in Pagar Alam, a highland region of South Sumatra, with the aim of obtaining information on the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants found in this area. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory of cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, and natural enemies where available, involved systematic searches of for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids were documented as aphid hosts. Aphids, along with their natural enemies within the aphid colonies, were systematically collected. All components of the collected observations were then identified.

Aphid identification was done using identification keys (Blackman and Eastop 2008). Identification of aphid species took place in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using weed identification hand book (Kallas, 2010; Meuninck, 2023; Naidu, 2012). The location and size of aphid colonies, morphology of aphids including their life shape and color, as well as any symptoms observed in the host plants were recorded, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were recorded taken.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagar Alam, namely (Tables 1, 2). Aphis gossypii, Uroleucon sp., Toxoptera odinae, Macrosiphum rosae, Aphis citricola, Aphis craccivora, Toxoptera aurantii, Pentalonía nigronevosa, Hystenura sp., Aphis glyeine, Greenidae sp., Rhopalosiphum padi, Rhopalosiphum maidis, Hyperomyzus sp., Lipapis erysimi. Based on the observation, these aphids mostly colonised flowers of were found on various ornamental plants (Table 1, Figure 1). The primary colony locations were generally in flowers, and this study documented these colony locations in ornamental plants (Figure 1).

Table 1. Aphid species recorded found in ornamental plants and their colony locations

No	Host Plant	Aphid Species	Colony location
1	Aster alpinus	Sitobion luteum	flower
2	Brugmansia suaveolens	Aulacorthum solani	flower
		Neomyzus circumflexus	
		Myzus persicae	
3	Caladium sp.	Pentalonia sp	flower
4	Cananga odoratum	Aphis gossypii	flower
5	Canna indica	Pentalonia nigronevosa	flower
6	Catharanthus roseus	Aphis citricola	flower
7	Cestrum sp.	Aphis gossypii	flower
		Neomyzus circumflexus	
8	Clitoria ternatea	Aphis craccivora	flower
9	Cosmos caudatus	Uroleucon sp.	flower
10	Dahlia "Kelvin"	Aphis gossypii	flower
11	Dendrobium sp.	Sinemogoura citricola	flower
12	Duranta sp.	Aphis gossypii	flower

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: English (United States)

13	<i>Helianthus sp.</i>	<i>Aphis glycines</i> <i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	flower
14	<i>Hibiscus rosinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
15	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
16	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
17	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> <i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	flower
18	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	flower
19	<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	flower
20	<i>Spondias dulcissolana</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	flower



Fig 1. Photos showing colonies of different aphid species in ornamental plants: The location of aphid colonization on various plant parts. a) *A. gossypii* in *D. kelvin* flower b) *A. gossypii* in *H. rosinensis* flower c) *A. gossypii* in tuberose flower, d) *A. craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower, e) *A. citricola* in *Helianthus* sp., f) *A. aurantii* on the *M. paniculata* flower, g) *T. odinae* in the *S. dulcissolana*, h) *Uroleucon* sp. in *chrysanthemums*, i) *Macrosiphum rosae* in *R. indica* flower, j) *Pentalonia nigronervosa* in *C. indica* leaves

98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107

108
109
110

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

108
109
110



Figure 2. Aphids found infesting wild plants a) *A. gossypii* on *Ageratum conyzoides*, b) *A. gossypii* on *Croton* weed *hirtus* c) *A. gossypii* on *Eupatorium odoratum*, d) *A. gossypii* on plants *Pachystochys* sp., e) *A. gossypii* on plants *Caladium* sp., f) *A. gossypii* on *Alternanthera sessilis*, g) *A. gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae* weeds, h) *A. gossypii* on the weed *Euphorbia hirta*, i) *A. citricola* on the weed *Phyllanthus nerruri*, j) *A. citricola* on *Sida rhombifolia* weed, k) *A. citricola* on plants *Annona muricata*, l) *A. citricola* on the weed *Ludwigia peruviana*, m) *A. craccivora* on *Mimosa pudica* weed, n) *A. craccivora* on

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

weeds-*Amaranthus gracilis*, o) *A. glycine* in *Mikania micranta*-weed, p) *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusin*-weeds, q) *Greenidae* sp. in kenidai
trees (shrubs) *indica*, r) *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinocloa crusgali*-Weed, s) *L. erysimi* on weed-sonchus arventris, t) *Rhopalosiphum* rice
on the-weed-*Oryza rufipogon*, u) *Rhopalosiphum* *Maidis* on the-weed-*Oryza rufipogon*.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Discussion

The plant species or host plant influences the distribution of aphids. There are aphid species that can be found on a wide range of host plants, which is closely related to the polyphagous nature of aphids, allowing them to colonize many different species of host plants. Host plants can also affect the distribution of aphids, as evidenced by the presence of aphid species exclusively found on certain host plants (Santiago et al. 2017). But there are some species of aphids found only on one particular host and are not found on other host plants (Döring 2014). *A. gossypii*, and *Aphis aurantii* have been found on many host plants because both aphids are classified as polyphagous aphids (Alotaibi et al., 2023).

Many aphid species can commonly be found infesting a variety of ornamental plants because these insects are attracted to such these plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Braham et al. 2023). In this present study, some aphid species were found on some ornamental plants in Pagaram. The location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Adiantum predatum* plants, aphids formed colonies on young leaf stalks and on newly emerging leaves. The aphids displayed brown and black coloration. The aphid colonies found were small, and the colonized plant parts showed no signs of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Neotoxoptera* sp., and notably, they were not associated with ants. On *Aster alpinus*, aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Uroleucon* sp., and they were associated with ants.

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Highlight

On the *Brugmansia suaveolens*, *M. persicae* were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have started to turn yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. (taro) was found one species of aphids: *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odoratum* (ylang-ylang), colonies of *T. aurantii* were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black in color. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants.

Aphids on *C. indica* (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body. The identification results showed that the aphids were *P. nigronervosa*. The colonies of *P. nigronervosa* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (periwinkle), *A. citricola* aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or flower stalks that had not fully bloomed. The colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants.

Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black in color. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. craccivora*. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. On the plant *Cosmos caudatus*, aphids were found on the flower petals. The colonies were not very large. The body color was green and light green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were also associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia kellyn plant* formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. Aphids on *Datura metel* (amethyst) were found to form colonies on the undersides of old leaves. The aphids were medium sized with a green body color. The colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Myzus ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within *Dendrobium* sp., aphid colonies were found on the young leaves. The aphids were yellow, green to dark green. The colonized plants did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp. (bonsai), colonies of aphids were located on the undersides of young leaves. The colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The aphids were green in color. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants.

On the *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) plants, aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The colonized flowers, especially the crowns, exhibited a tendency to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in color. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were

associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *M. ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that were parasitized by Aphidiidae were found.

On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, aphids ranging in color from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa*. First, the aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, similar to sugar. The aphids had yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were almost always associated with ants. The second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants.

In *Ixora* sp. flower plants, two forms of aphids were discovered. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves and unopened flowers. The affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white, resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost always associated with ants. Another species of aphids was founded and formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce any symptoms of plant disease. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also frequently found in association with ants.

Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa*, each forming colonies in different locations. The first type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease symptoms. The aphids were yellow, green, and some with dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots and within flower parts. The plant parts they infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*.

The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids. This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. These aphids formed colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green, yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* or alligator grass was also colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots, flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and they were often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus gracilis* was infested by *Aphis craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers and young and old leaves. They were dark brown to black in color, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* aphids. These aphids were bright green, and of medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* or fire grass was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow-green to dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon dactylon* or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the leaf axils of the plant. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to red-brown. They were associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* or nut grass was infested by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants. The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* or grass puzzle was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids, with small colonies scattered on the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinocloa crussgali* or water hyacinth plants were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Ecliptica prostrata* or urang aring was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants.

237 *Eleusine indica* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. *H. setariae*
238 formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks and leaf axils resulting in quite large colonies. *H. setariae* body color ranged
239 from red brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis* formed
240 colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and on leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were not
241 densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These aphids
242 had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants. The plant
243 *Emilia sonchifolia*, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow to green
244 in colour. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves.

245 *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red brown. Small colonies
246 formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae* were
247 consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* or wart grass was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids formed
248 colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in
249 color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupatorium odoratum* was colonized
250 by both *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young
251 twigs. Young leaves that were colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this
252 plant showed yellow-green to dark green in body colour. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the
253 shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of
254 both *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants.

255 *Hymenochera acutigluma* or hair axis was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae*, which formed colonies on the flower
256 stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia* sp. or
257 *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with
258 thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not
259 show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* or bamboo grass plants were colonized by two species of aphids:
260 *hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves,
261 leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to
262 red-brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis*
263 aphids were green to bright green in color, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species
264 of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix.

265 *Melastoma affine* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly emerging
266 shoots and on newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body colour of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The colonized
267 plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania miranta* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis glycine*. *A.*
268 *gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and curled leaves. *A.*
269 *glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. Glycine* aphids were light green to green
270 in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a single colony.

271 *Mimosa invisa* (cater-grass) was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants
272 formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa*
273 *pudica* was observed to be colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots,
274 and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the
275 colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa vigra* was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The colonies of
276 aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were
277 shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms.

278 *Oryza rufipogon* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum rice* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Both aphids
279 colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species could
280 be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green with black siphunculi and cauda, while *R. rice*
281 appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. rice* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants.
282 *Oxonopus compressus* or pait grass was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower
283 stalks, seeds, and sometimes in the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown in color. Small colonies were formed,
284 and they were also consistently associated with ants.

285 *Paspalum conjugatum* was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the seeds
286 and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phyllanthus niruri* was colonized by *Aphis citricola*. The
287 colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted,
288 and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black siphunculi and cauda, and the colonies formed were quite large.
289 *Portulaca oleraceae* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants
290 formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots and in flowers. The colonized plant parts
291 became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that
292 appeared glossy black.

293 *Physalis angulata* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with glossy
294 black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant parts did
295 not show any symptoms of disease. *Rorippa indica* or mustard land was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. The colonies
296 formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks and the lower surface of leaves. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms

such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* or cacabean was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids had green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks and flower petals. The colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* plants were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colours, and the colonies formed on flower stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically displayed typical symptoms of damage, but some did not show any symptoms. Generally, the symptoms of the plants caused by aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves. These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition happened because the colonized parts had reached their maximum growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the leaves and plant parts were old. The old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid infestations.

The part of the plant exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued to grow, resulting in some parts developing normally while others become stunted (Pettersson, Tjallingii, and Hardie 2017). This condition could lead to the bending of shoots or young stems, curling of leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants was often easier to recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al. 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the presence of aphid colonies.

Throughout their life cycle, aphids exhibited host alternation by switching between two distinct host plants (Yamamoto et al. 2020). They overwintered on woody plants, reproduced in the spring, and migrated to herbaceous plants during the summer before returning to their primary host in the autumn (Yamamoto et al. 2020). This allowed aphids to maximize resource utilization, avoid congestion and competition, evade predators and parasites, circumvent plant defenses, and colonize new areas. Aphids could distribute their population efficiently, thereby avoiding overcrowding, predators and parasites, and plant defenses developing over time through host switching (Yamamoto et al. 2020). This behavior was essential for the survival and environmental adaptation of aphids.

Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive parts of plants. Flowers contain a nutrient-rich nature and easy access to sap, therefore aphids were attractive to sap the flowers. Some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Jakubczyk et al. 2022). Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consume sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as "sap". Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilize needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brożek et al. 2015). Several aphids colonized herbs such as Indian mustards, *Lipaphis erysimi*, and *Myzus persicae* are the most devastating insects, infesting leaves, stems, and floral parts (Jayaswal et al. 2022).

Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive as a food source (Nelson and Mooney 2022). The honeydew contained an abundance of sugars, extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Zheng et al. 2022). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often 'farm' aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants provided aphids with protection from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-jamouri et al. 2018). Certain species of ants would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al. 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their own progeny (Biedermann and Vega 2020).

CONCLUSION

15 species of aphids were found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidea* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, that supported this research.

REFERENCES

- Alotaibi, N. J., T. Alsufyani, N. H. M'sakni, M. A. Almalki, E. M. Alghamdi, and D. Spiteller. 2023. "Rapid Identification of Aphid Species by Headspace GC-MS and Discriminant Analysis." *Insects* 14(589). doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14070589>.
- Bass, Chris, Alin M. Puinean, Christoph T. Zimmer, Ian Denholm, Linda M. Field, Stephen P. Foster, Oliver Gutbrod, Ralf Nauen, Russell Slater, and Martin S. Williamson. 2014. "The Evolution of Insecticide Resistance in the Peach Potato Aphid, *Myzus persicae*." *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 51:41–51. doi: [10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003).
- Biedermann, Peter H. W., and Fernando E. Vega. 2020. "Ecology and Evolution of Insect–Fungus Mutualisms." *Annual Review of Entomology* 65:431–55. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910>.
- Blackman, Roger L., and Victor F. Eastop. 2008. *Aphids on the World's Herbaceous Plants and Shrubs, 2 Volume Set*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Blackman, Roger L., and Victor F. Eastop. 2017. "Taxonomic Issues." Pp. 1–36 in *Aphids as crop pests*. CABI Wallingford UK.
- Braham, Mohamed, Synda Boulahia-kheder, Mouna Kahia, and Siwar Nouira. 2023. "Aphids and Citrus Responses to Nitrogen Fertilization." *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences* 22(6):374–83. doi: [10.1016/j.jssas.2023.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2023.03.003).
- Brożek, Jolanta, Ewa Mróz, Dominika Wylężek, Łukasz Depa, and Piotr Węgierek. 2015. "The Structure of Extremely Long Mouthparts in the Aphid Genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae)." *Zoomorphology* 134:431–45. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7>.
- Cao, He-he, Zhan-feng Zhang, Xiao-feng Wang, and Tong-xian Liu. 2018. "Nutrition versus Defense: Why *Myzus persicae* (Green Peach Aphid) Prefers and Performs Better on Young Leaves of Cabbage." *PloS One* 13(4):1–16. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196219>.
- Chandel, R. S., V. K. Chandra, K. S. Verma, and Mandeep Pathania. 2022. "Chapter 21 - Insect Pests of Potato in India: Biology and Management." Pp. 371–400 in, edited by A. Alyokhin, S. I. Rondon, and Y. B. T.-I. P. of P. (Second E. Gao. Academic Press.
- Clarke, Rebecca, Monica A. Kehoe, Sonya Broughton, and Roger A. C. Jones. 2020. "Host Plant Affiliations of Aphid Vector Species Found in a Remote Tropical Environment." *Virus Research* 281(December 2019):197934. doi: [10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934).
- Döring, Thomas F. 2014. "How Aphids Find Their Host Plants, and How They Don't." *Annals of Applied Biology* 165(1):3–26. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12142>.
- Ertunc, Filiz. 2020. "Chapter 46 - Emerging Plant Viruses." Pp. 1041–62 in, edited by M. M. B. T.-E. and R. V. P. Ennaji. Academic Press.
- Gadhav, Kiran R., Saurabh Gautam, David A. Rasmussen, and Rajagopalbabu Srinivasan. 2020. "Aphid Transmission of Potyvirus: The Largest Plant-Infecting RNA Virus Genus." *Viruses* 12(7):773. doi: [10.3390/v12070773](https://doi.org/10.3390/v12070773).
- Giannetti, Daniele, Mauro Mandrioli, Enrico Schifani, Cristina Castracani, Fiorenza A. Spotti, Alessandra Mori, and Donato A. Grasso. 2021. "First Report on the Acrobasis Ant Crematogaster Scutellaris Storing Live Aphids in Its Oak-Gall Nests." *Insects* 12(2):108. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12020108>.
- Guo, Huijuan, Liyuan Gu, Fanqi Liu, Fajun Chen, Feng Ge, and Yucheng Sun. 2019. "Aphid-Borne Viral Spread Is Enhanced by Virus-Induced Accumulation of Plant Reactive Oxygen Species 1." *Plant Physiology* 179(January):143–55. doi: [10.1104/pp.18.00437](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.00437).
- Jakubczyk, Karolina, Klaudia Koprowska, Aleksandra Gottschling, and Katarzyna Janda-Milczarek. 2022. "Edible Flowers as a Source of Dietary Fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a Potential Athlete's Dietary Supplement." *Nutrients* 14(12). doi: [10.3390/nu14122470](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14122470).
- Jaouannet, Maëlle, Patricia A. Rodriguez, Peter Thorpe, Camille J. G. Lenoir, and Ruari Macleod. 2014. "Plant Immunity in Plant – Aphid Interactions." *Front Plant Sci.* 5(December):1–10. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2014.00663](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00663).
- Jayaswal, Deepanshu, Pawan Mainkar, Kuldeep Kumar, Yamini Agarwal, and Ratna Prabha. 2022. "Pyramiding and Evaluation of Segregating Lines Containing Lectin and Protease Inhibitor Genes for Aphid Resistance in Brassica Juncea." *Indian Journal of Biochemistry & Biophysics* 59(August):800–807. doi: [10.56042/ijbb.v59i8.62319](https://doi.org/10.56042/ijbb.v59i8.62319).
- Jones, Roger A. C. 2022. "Alteration of Plant Species Mixtures by Virus Infection: Managed Pastures the Forgotten Dimension." *Plant Pathology* 71(6):1255–81. doi: [10.1111/ppa.13571](https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13571).
- Joussel, Emmanuelle, Genson Gwenaëlle, and Coeur D. Acie. Armelle. 2010. "Evolutionary Lability of a Complex Life Cycle in the Aphid Genus *Brachycaudus*." *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 10(1). doi: [10.1186/1471-2148-10-295](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-295).
- Kallas, John. 2010. *Edible Wild Plants*. Gibbs Smith.
- Karami-jamou, Tahereh, Alinaghi Mirmoayedi, Abbasali Zamani, and Yadolah Khajehzadeh. 2018. "The Impact of Ant Attendance on Protecting Aphis Gossypii against Two Aphidophagous Predators and Its Role on the Intraguild Predation between Them." *Journal of Insect Behavior* 31:222–39. doi: [10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4).
- Kennedy, J. S., and H. L. G. Stroyan. 1959. "Biology of Aphids." *Annual Review of Entomology* 4(1):139–60.
- Kinley, Chimi, A. Najitha Banu, A. M. Raut, Johnson Wahengbam, and Thinley Jamtsho. 2021. "A Review on Past, Present and Future Approaches for Aphids Management." *Journal of Entomological Research* 45(2):336–46. doi: [10.5958/0974-4576.2021.00053.0](https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-4576.2021.00053.0).
- Kumar, Sarwan. 2019. "Aphid-Plant Interactions: Implications for Pest Management." P. Ch. 7 in *Plant Communities and Their Environment*, edited by M. T. Oliveira, F. Candan, and A. Fernandes-Silva. Rijeka: IntechOpen.
- Kumar, Sushil, Malay K. Bhowmick, and Puja Ray. 2021. "Weeds as Alternate and Alternative Hosts of Crop Pests." *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 53(1):14–29. doi: [10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2](https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2).
- Liu, Xiang Dong, Ting Ting Xu, and Hai Xia Lei. 2017. "Refuges and Host Shift Pathways of Host-Specialized Aphids Aphis Gossypii." *Scientific Reports* 7(1):1–9. doi: [10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4).
- Maharani, Yani, Purnama Hidayat, Aunu Rauf, and Nina Maryana. 2018. "Short Communication: New Records of Aphid Species Subfamily Aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia." *Biodiversitas* 19(2):460–65. doi: [10.13057/biodiv/d190219](https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d190219).
- Margaritopoulos, J. T., M. Tzortzi, K. D. Zarpas, J. A. Tsitsipis, and R. L. Blackman. 2006. "Morphological Discrimination of Aphis Gossypii (Hemiptera: Aphididae) Populations Feeding on Compositae." *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 96(2):153–65. doi: [10.1079/ber2005410](https://doi.org/10.1079/ber2005410).
- Meuninck, Jim. 2023. *Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Mo, Carmen, and Angela M. Smilanich. 2023. "Feeding on an Exotic Host Plant Enhances Plasma Levels of Phenoloxidase by Modulating Feeding Efficiency in a Specialist Insect Herbivore." *Frontiers in Physiology* 14(February):1–10. doi: [10.3389/fphys.2023.1127670](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2023.1127670).
- Naidu, VSGR. 2012. "Hand Book on Weed Identification."
- Nelson, Annika S., and Kailen A. Mooney. 2022. "The Evolution and Ecology of Interactions between Ants and Honeydew-Producing Hemipteran Insects." *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 53:379–402. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840>.
- Petterson, Jan, W. Fred Tjallingii, and Jim Hardie. 2017. "Host-Plant Selection and Feeding." Pp. 173–95 in *Aphids as crop pests*. CABI Wallingford UK.
- Piron, PGM, MC de Haas, and MAHM Sonnemans. 2019. "The Presence of Aphis (Toxoptera) Aurantii (Homoptera: Aphididae) in the Netherlands." *Entomologische Berichten* 79(5):162–64.

420 Santiago, Grazielle Silva, Luana Fonseca, Ernesto Oliveira Canedo-ju, Carla Rodrigues Ribas, Rafaela Pereira, and Guilherme Pereira Alves. 2017.
421 "Isolated and Community Contexts Produce Distinct Responses by Host Plants to the Presence of Ant-Aphid Interaction : Plant Productivity and
422 Seed Viability." Plos One 12(1):1–12. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0170915.
423 Singh, Rajendra, and Garima Singh. 2021. "Aphids." Pp. 105–82 in *Polyphagous Pests of Crops*. Springer.
424 Tegelaar, Karolina, Mattias Hagman, Robert Glinwood, Jan Pettersson, and Olof Leimar. 2012. "Ant–Aphid Mutualism: The Influence of Ants on the
425 Aphid Summer Cycle." Oikos 121(1):61–66. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x>.
426 Yamamoto, Tetsuya, Mitsuru Hattori, and Takao Itino. 2020. "Seasonal Migration in the Aphid Genus Stomaphis (Hemiptera : Aphididae): Discovery of
427 Host Alternation Between Woody Plants in Subfamily Lachninae." Journal of Insect Science 20(5):1–10. doi: 10.1093/jisesa/ieaa103.
428 Zheng, Zhou, Mengqin Zhao, Zhijun Zhang, Xin Hu, Yang Xu, and Cong Wei. 2022. "Lactic Acid Bacteria Are Prevalent in the Infrabuccal Pockets and
429 Crops of Ants That Prefer Aphid Honeydew." Front. Microbiol. 12(January):1–17. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.785016.
430

11 December 2023

Dear Editors,
BIODIVERSITAS Journal of Biological Diversity

As requested, this is our response to reviewers' comments and suggestions.

Thank you so much for the very kind attention and great help provided by editorial team of BIODIVERSITAS Journal of Biological Diversity.

"Letter on responses to reviewers' comments and suggestions"

No.	Location in manuscript	Reviewers' suggestion	Our response
1	Introduction section	This is a simple survey study undertaken in an area to record presence of aphid species in ornamental and herbaceous or shrub weed plants. However, the 'Introduction' section attempts to distinguish primary and alternate host plants of aphids, terming weeds as the 'alternate' host plants. This point is widely recorded, and it does not require an explanation. It should be restricted to a few sentences as matter of reference only. Accordingly, I have suggested trimming of this section.	The Introduction has been rewritten as recommended
2	Materials and method section	1. I wonder that so few ornamental plant species are present in the study area in this study. This section must include the number of aphid samples collected, the area in square kilometre surveyed, frequency of sampling done from the sampling area, any seasonal survey done, and a schematic diagram of the study area be provided showing scale in sq. km and geo-coordinates. 2. Names of some plant species and aphid species mentioned in tables 1 and 2 do not match with that mentioned in the figure legends and more so in the 'Discussion' section (see below).	1. We collected samples by direct observation and did not take the location sampling sites. Therefore, we do apologize can't revise as the suggestion. 2. We already made the corrections as suggested by reviewer

3	Results	1. This section should be divided into two sub-heading; 2. Aphids infesting ornamental plants. 3. Aphids infesting wild and weed plants. 4. Each sub-heading should have a table providing following information: <table><tr><td>Sr No.</td><td>Aphid species*</td><td>Ornamental plants</td><td>Aphid parts</td><td>Plant attendance</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Antlife color</td><td>colonized</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Present (+) or absent (-)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>	Sr No.	Aphid species*	Ornamental plants	Aphid parts	Plant attendance		Antlife color	colonized				Present (+) or absent (-)				The recommended tables had been added
Sr No.	Aphid species*	Ornamental plants	Aphid parts	Plant attendance														
	Antlife color	colonized																
	Present (+) or absent (-)																	
4	Results	*Aphid species names should accompany by mention of author names in the first mention only. 1. Table 1. Following discrepancies require correction/clarification: 2. Record of <i>Sitobion luteum</i> from <i>Aster alpinus</i> is unusual; this aphid normally infest crops and weed plants of Cyperaceae family; <i>Aster alpinus</i> is a plant of Asteraceae family; authors may re-check the identification of this aphid sample! 3. Record of <i>Pentalonia</i> from <i>Caladium</i> sp. require a re-check! I suggest the authors to read the paper by Bhadra P, Agarwala BK. 2010. A comparison of fitness characters of two host plant-based congeneric species of the banana aphid, <i>Pentalonia nigronervosa</i> and <i>P. caladii</i>. <i>Journal of Insect Science</i> 10:140 available online: insectscience.org/10.140 and P. Bhadra and B.K. Agarwala, 2012. On the Morphological and Genotypic Variations of Two Congeneric species of Banana Aphid <i>Pentalonia</i> (Homoptera: Aphididae) from India, <i>Advances in Life Sciences</i>, 2(3): 75-81, DOI: 10.5932/j.als.20120203.06, DOI: 10.5932/j.als.20120203.06. Authors can identify the aphid species based on the identification key based on morphological characters and host plant association.	1. We already checked and clarified. 2. We revised the species aphid; the aphid species is <i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i> 3. The species and the sentences have been revised															

5	Results	1. Identification of <i>Pentalonia nigronervosa</i> from <i>Canna indica</i> require checking following the identification key provided in the above-said reference. 2. Identification of <i>Uroleucon</i> sp. from <i>Cosmos caudatus</i> mentioned in the table does not match with the figure legend “<i>Uroleucon</i> sp. in <i>Chrysanthemum</i>”. These are entirely different. 3. Similarly, <i>Aphis craccivora</i> from <i>Murraya paniculata</i> stated in the table does not match with the “<i>aurantii</i> in the <i>M. paniculata</i> flower”	1. <i>Pentalonia nigronervosa</i> was revised to be <i>Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae</i> 2. The corrected sentences have been revised, the species of <i>Uroleucon</i> sp. In <i>Chrysanthemum</i> 3. The species has been corrected
6	Results	1. All the figures in the plate should be denoted by alphabets in serial order corresponding to those in the fused in the figure legend, and each of these figures should be credited to the photographer by name on the photographs. 2. Table 2. Table contents be provided with similar information as suggested for the table 1. In addition, a column should include ‘Plant type’ to denote herb or shrub and weed or non-weed wild plant. 3. Serial no. 19 in the table 2 mentions <i>Lagerstroemia</i> sp. infested by <i>Greenidea</i> sp. but the figure legend mentions (q) <i>Greenidae</i> in kenidai trees (shrubs) <i>indica</i>; these do not match! 4. ‘t) <i>Rhopalosiphum rice</i> in <i>Oryza rufipogon</i>,’ mentioned in the figure legend does not match with the sr. no. 26 of the table, please check and correct. 5. Other suggestions regarding improvements in the figures and figure legend made for figure 1 are to be followed for figure 2 as well.	1. The figures have been corrected 2. The table 2 has been corrected 3. The species has been corrected 4. The species has been corrected 5. The figures have been improved
7	Discussions	This section should be brief and to the point. Presently, it is written ad nauseous, without proper context and too elaborate. This section can divided in to three paragraphs as under: 1. First paragraph should briefly recount the results of this study. 2. Second paragraph should highlight the major features of aphid colonization of important ornamental and weed plants with respect to association of one or more aphid species association and pattern of	The discussions section has been changed

		colonization; for example, <i>Aphis gossypii</i> is found on many different plant species but their life color and colonization pattern differ in different plants; 3. Third and final paragraph should be devoted to comparison of this study findings to those reported from neighboring or other parts of Indonesia or Southeast Asia.	
--	--	---	--

Sincerely,

Corresponding author,

Chandra Irsan

Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Abstract. Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species were found on plants that were not actually hosts such as wild plants. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental plants and the wild plants. The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. This study revealed that 21 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Aphis glycines*, *Aulacorthum solani*, *Greenidae* sp., *Hyperomyzus* sp., *Hysteroneura setariae*, *Lipaphis erysimi*, *Macrosiphoniella sanborni*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Myzus persicae*, *Neomyzus circumflexus*, *Pentalonia caladii*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae*, *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Sinemogoura citricola*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Toxoptera citricidus*, *Toxoptera odinae*, and *Schizaphis rotundiventris*.

Keywords: Aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

Running title: Aphids found in ornamental and wild plants.

INTRODUCTION

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy and Stroyan 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Bass et al. 2014). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhawe et al. 2020). Aphids can transmit 275 viruses (Ertunc 2020). In tropical areas, aphids can be found throughout the year

due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman and Eastop 2017). Aphids suck phloem sap of tender plant parts, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Cao et al. 2018). Moreover, vector species can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones 2022). Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Many aphid species are found on plants that are not their actual hosts (Maharani et al. 2018). Aphids have one or more secondary, or "alternative," host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al. 2020). Alternative plants provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al., 2021). In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. Research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has received little attention. This study reports diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants found in this area. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory of cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, and natural enemies where available, involved systematic searches of all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids are documented as aphid hosts. Aphid identification was done using identification keys (Blackman and Eastop 2008) in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using weed identification hand book (Kallas, 2010; Meuninck, 2023; Naidu, 2012). The location and size of aphid colonies, including their life color, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

Aphids infesting in ornamental plants

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagar Alam (Tables 1, 2).. These aphids mostly colonised flowers of various ornamental plants (Table 1, Figure 1).

Table 1. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Aphid Species	Colony location
1	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	Leaves, young twig, flower
2	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	Leaves, flower

		<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	Leaves
		<i>Myzus persicae</i>	Leaves, flower
3	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia caladii</i>	Leaves,
4	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Leaves, flower
5	<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae</i>	Leaf
6	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, flower
7	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoot, flower
		<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	Young leaves
8	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flower
9	<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp.	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	Shoot, twig
10	<i>Dahlia</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
11	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	Flower
12	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoot, flower
13	<i>Helianthus giganteus</i> .	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	Flower
14	<i>Hibiscus rosinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
15	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> ,	Flower
		<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	Shoot, young leaves
16	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Flower
		<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
		<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	Shoot, flower
17	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Young Twig
		<i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	Shoot, flower
18	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, flower
		<i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	Shoot, flower
19	<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	Flower
20	<i>Spondias dulcis</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Flower



Fig 1. Photos showing colonies of different aphid species in ornamental plants: a) *Aphis gossypii* in *Dahlia* sp. flower b) *Aphis gossypii* in *Hibiscus rosasinensis* flower c) *Aphis gossypii* in *cestrum* twig and flower, d) *Aphis craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower, e) *Aphis glycines* in *Helianthus giganteus* flower, f) *Aphis craccivora* on the *Murayya paniculata* flower, g) *Toxoptera odinae* in the *Mussaenda frondosa*, h) *Macrosiphoniella sanborni*. in *Chrysanthemum* sp. leaves i) *Macrosiphum rosae* in *Rosa indica* flower, j) *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* in *Canna indica* leaves. All the photos were captured by Chandra Irsan.

The relationship between aphids and ants was also recorded. Aphids produce a sweet, sticky substance called honeydew. Ants are attracted to this honey because it serves as a food source for

them. When aphids are present, they secrete honeydew, which attracts ants. This research recorded the presence of ants on plant parts colonized by aphids (Table 2).

Table 2. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and the presence of the ants in the plant parts colonized

No	Aphid Species	Ornamental plants	Aphids life colour	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance
1	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	black	flowers	+
		<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	black	flowers	+
2	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	greenish yellow	flowers	+
		<i>Ixora</i> sp.	greenish yellow	flowers	+
		<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	greenish yellow	shoots, flowers	+
		<i>Spondias dulcis</i>	greenish yellow	flowers	+
3	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	<i>Helianthus giganteus</i>	greenish yellow	flowers	+
4	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	green	shoots, flowers	+
		<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	light green	shoots, flowers	+
		<i>Dahlia</i> sp.	green dark	flowers	+
		<i>Duranta</i> sp.	light green	shoots, flowers	+
		<i>Hibiscus rosinensis</i>	dark green	flowers	+
		<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	light green	flowers	+
		<i>Ixora</i> sp.	light green	flowers	+
5	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	greenish yellow	leaves, flowers	-
6	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	brown black	leaves, twigs, flowers	+
		<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp.	reddish brown	leaves, twigs	+
7	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	<i>Rosa indica</i>	green	flowers	-
8	<i>Myzus persicae</i>	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	greenish yellow	leaves, flowers	-
9	<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	light green	young leaves,	-
		<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	light green	flowers	
				flowers	
10	<i>Pentalonia caladii</i>	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	brown-black	leaves	+
11	<i>Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae</i>	<i>Canna indica</i>	green black	leaves	+
12	<i>Sinemegoura citricola</i>	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	brown	flowers	-
13	<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	brown black	flowers	+
		<i>Ixora</i> sp.	brown black	flowers	+
14	<i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	black	stems	+
15	<i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	reddish-brown	flowers	+

(+): present, (-): absent

Aphids infesting in wild plants (weed or non-weed plants)

In addition, this study documented aphid colonies on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves and old leaves of wild plants (Table 3, Figure 2).

Table 3. Species of aphids found in wild (weed or non-weed) plants and their colony locations.

No	Host Plant	Weeds or non-weed plants	Aphid species	Colony location
1	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
2	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, buds
3	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, buds
4	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
5	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	weed	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	flowers, shoots, and buds
6	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
7	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	flower, flower stalks
8	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
9	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
10	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flower, flower stalks
11	<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	weed	<i>Hiperomyzus</i> sp.	young leaves, old leaves
12	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves
13	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
			<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
14	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower, flower stalks, shoots
15	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flower, flower stalks, seeds
16	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	young leaves, old leaves
17	<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	young leaves, old leaves,
			<i>Aphis glycines</i>	shoot, young twigs
18	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
19	<i>Bridelia tomentosa</i>	Non-weed	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	young leaves
20	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
			<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
21	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	Non-weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves
22	<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	Weed - liana	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves, old leaves
			<i>Aphis glycines</i>	shoot, young twig
23	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	shoots, pods
24	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	shoots, pods, flowers
25	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	Non-weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	shoots, pods
26	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	weed	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> ,	old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils
		weed	<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils
27	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flowers, flower stalk, leaf axils
28	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	flowers, flower stalk, seeds
29	<i>Phylanthus neruri</i>	weed	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs, petioles
30	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	shoots, young leaves, flowers
31	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	shoots, young leaves, old leaves
		weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves, old leaves
32	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	weed	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	flowers, fruits, shoots, young leaves
33	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
34	<i>Sonchus arventris</i>	weed	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	young leaves, fruit stalks, flowers, fruits

The presence of ants in aphid colonization symbolizes a mutually beneficial relationship where the ants receive food from the aphids while providing protection to the aphids. This study recorded the ant attendance in aphids colonization (Table 4).

Table 4. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and the presence of the ants in the plant parts colonized.

No	Aphid Species	Wild plants	Aphids life colour	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance
1	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	Light green	shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers	+
		<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	Light green	shoots, buds	+
		<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	Light green	shoots, buds	-
		<i>Croton hirtus</i>	Dark green	flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young	+
		<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	green	twigs	+
		<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	green	shoots, young leaves	+
		<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	light green	flower, flower stalks, shoots	+
		<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	light green	young leaves, old leaves	+

No	Aphid Species	Wild plants	Aphids life colour	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance
2	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	light green	young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+
		<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	light green	shoots, young leaves	+
		<i>Physalis angulata</i>	yellowish green	shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+
		<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	yellowish green	shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds	-
		<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	black	flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+
		<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	black	shoots, pods	+
		<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	black	shoots, pods, flowers	+
		<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	black	shoots, pods	+
		<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	black	shoots, young leaves, flowers	+
3	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	black	shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+
		<i>Eupotarium odoratum</i>	Greenish yellow	young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+
4	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	<i>Mikania mikranta</i>	Light green	shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+
5	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	Greenish Yellow	shoot, young leaves, young twigs, petioles	+
6	<i>Hystrotraneura setariae</i>	<i>Bridelia Tomentosa</i>	Greenish Yellow	young leaves	-
		<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	reddish-brown	flower, flower stalks	+
		<i>Eleusin indica</i>	reddish-brown	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils	+
		<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	reddish-brown	flower, flower stalks, seeds	+
		<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	reddish-brown	flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+
		<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	reddish-brown	young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils	+
		<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	reddish-brown	flower, flower stalk, leaf axils	+
		<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	reddish-brown	flower, flower stalk, seeds	+
7	<i>Hiperomyzus</i> sp.	<i>Echinocloa crussgali</i>	Black	young leaves, old leaves	-
8	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	Whitish green	flowers, shoots, and buds	+
		<i>Rorippa indica</i>	Whitish green	flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves	+
		<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	Whitish green	young leaves, fruit stalks, flower, fruit	+
9	<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	green	flower, flower stalks, leaf axils	+
		<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	green	young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils	+
		<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	green	old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils	-
10	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i>	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	Whitish green	old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils	+
11	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Green	flowers, flower stalks	+
		<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	green	flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+
		<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	green	flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+

(+): present, (-): absent



Figure 2. Aphids found infesting wild plants a) *Aphis gossypii* in *Ageratum conyzoides*, b) *Aphis gossypii* in *Croton hirtus* c) *A. gossypii* in *Eupatorium odoratum*, d) *Aphis gossypii* in *Pachystochys* sp., e) *Pentalonia caladii* in *Caladium* sp., f) *Aphis. gossypii* in *Alternanthera sessilis*, g) *Aphis gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae* h) *Aphis gossypii* in *Euphorbia hirta*, i) *Aphis citricola* in *Phyllanthus nerruri*, j) *Aphis citricola* in *Sida rhombifolia*, k) *Aphis citricola* in *Annona muricata*, l) *Aphis citricola* in *Ludwigia peruviana*, m) *A. craccivora* in *Mimosa pudica*, n) *Aphis craccivora* in *Amaranthus gracilis*, o) *Aphis glycine* in *Mikania micrantha*, p) *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusin*, q) *Greenidae* sp. in *Bridelia tomentosa* young leaves., r) *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinocloa*

crusgali, s) *Lipaphis erysimi* in *sonchus arvensis*, t) *Rhopalosiphum padi* in *Oryza rufipogon*, u) *Rhopalosiphum Maidis* in *Oryza rufipogon*. All the photos were captured by Chandra Irsan.

Discussion

In the present study, some aphid species were found on some ornamental plants in Pagaralam. The location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Adiantum predatum* plants, aphids formed colonies on young leaf stalks and on newly emerging leaves. The aphids displayed brown and black coloration. The aphid colonies found were small, and the colonized plant parts showed no signs of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Neotoxoptera* sp., and notably, they were not associated with ants. On *Aster alpinus*, aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Macrosiphoniella sanborni*, and they were associated with ants. On the *Brugmansia suaveolens*, *M. persicae* were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have started to turn yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. was found one species of aphids: *P. caladii*. *P. caladii* was known and found in taro plants, the aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves (Bhadra and Agarwala 2014). According to this present study, the occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odoratum* (ylang-ylang), colonies of *T. aurantii* were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black in color. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants. Aphids on *C. indica* (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body and the identification results showed that the aphids were *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* (Acharya and Singh 2004). The colonies of *R. nymphaeae* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (periwinkle), *A. citricola* aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or flower stalks that had not fully bloomed. The colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants. Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black in color. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. craccivora*. These colonies

were consistently associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia* sp. formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. According to this present study, *Sinemegoura citricola* colonies were found on the young leaves of *Dendrobium* sp., with the color body of the *S. citricola* aphids were yellow, green to dark green, and the colonized plants did not show any disease symptoms, and they were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp., colonies of aphids were located on the undersides of young leaves and the colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The aphids were green in color. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Furthermore, on the *Helianthus annuus*, aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The colonized flowers, especially the crowns, exhibited a tendency to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in color. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *M. ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that were parasitized by Aphidiidae were found. On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, aphids ranging in color from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa*. First, the aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, like sugar. The aphids had yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were almost always associated with ants. The second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants. Moreover, in *Ixora* sp. flower plants, two forms of aphids were discovered. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves and unopened flowers. The affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white, resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost always associated with ants. Another species of

aphids was founded and formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce any symptoms of plant disease. The aphids were yellow or yellowish green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also frequently found in association with ants. Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa*, each forming colonies in different locations. The first type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Toxoptera odinae*. The aphids were yellow, green, and some with dark green (Blackman et al. 2011). The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots and within flower parts. The plant parts they infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. Many aphid species infest a variety of ornamental plants because these insects are attracted to such plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Braham et al. 2023).

The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids. This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. These aphids formed colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green, yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* or alligator grass was also colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots, flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and they were often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus gracilis* was infested by *Aphis craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers and young and old leaves. They were dark brown to black in color, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. These aphids were bright green, and of medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* or fire grass was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow green to dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon dactylon* or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the leaf axils of the plant. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to reddish brown. They were associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* or nut grass was infested by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants. The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* or grass puzzle was

colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids, with small colonies scattered on the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinocloa crussgali* or water hyacinth plants were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Ecliptica prostrata* or urang aring was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants. *Eleusin indica* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. *H. setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks and leaf axils resulting in quite large colonies. *H. setariae* body color ranged from red brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis* formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and on leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants. The plant *Emilia sonchifolia*, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow to green in colour. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves. *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red brown. Small colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae* were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* or wart grass was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupotarium odoratum* was colonized by both *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young twigs. Young leaves that were colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this plant showed yellow-green to dark green in body colour. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of both *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants. *Hymenochera acutigluma* or hair axis was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae*, which formed colonies on the flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia* sp. or *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* or bamboo grass plants were colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to red

brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis* aphids were green to bright green in color, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix. In addition, *Melastoma affine* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly emerging shoots and on newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body colour of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania miranta* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis glycine*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and curled leaves. *A. glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. Glycine* aphids were light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a single colony. *Mimosa invisa* (cater-grass) was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa pudica* was observed to be colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots, and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa vigra* was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The colonies of aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Oryza rufipogon* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum rice* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Both aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species could be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green with black siphunculi and cauda, while *R. rice* appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. rice* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants. *Oxonopus compressus* or *pait* grass was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower stalks, seeds, and sometimes in the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown in color. Small colonies were formed, and they were also consistently associated with ants. *Paspalum conjugatum* was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phyllanthus niruri* was colonized by *Aphis citricola*. The colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted, and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black siphunculi and cauda, and the colonies formed were quite large. *Portulaca oleraceae* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots and in flowers. The colonized plant parts became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that appeared glossy black. *Physalis angulata* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with glossy black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant parts did not show any symptoms of disease. *Rorippa indica* or mustard land was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. The

colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks and the lower surface of leaves. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* or cacabea was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids had green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks and flower petals. The colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* plants were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colours, and the colonies formed on flower stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically displayed typical symptoms of damage, but some did not show any symptoms. Generally, the symptoms of the plants caused by aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves. These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition happened because the colonized parts had reached their maximum growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the leaves and plant parts were old. The old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid infestations. The part of the plant exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued to grow, resulting in some parts developing normally while others become stunted (Pettersson, Tjallingii, and Hardie 2017). This condition could lead to the bending of shoots or young stems, curling of leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants was often easier to recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al. 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the presence of aphid colonies. According to this present study, ants were present in some aphids colonies from the subfamily aphidini, while the ants were absent in some aphids colonies from the macrocypini subfamily. The absence of ants in aphids colonies could be the colonies have just formed, or the population is still low (Kummel, Brown, and Bruder 2013). Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive parts of plants. Flowers contain a nutrient-rich nature and easy access to sap, therefore aphids were attracted to sap the flowers. Some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Jakubczyk et al. 2022). Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consume sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as "sap". Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilize needle-like mouthparts to

penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brožek et al. 2015). Several aphids colonized herbs such as Indian mustards, *Lipaphis erysimi*, and *Myzus persicae* are the most devastating insects, infesting leaves, stems, and floral parts (Jayaswal et al. 2022). Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive as a food source (Nelson and Mooney 2022). The honeydew contained an abundance of sugars, extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Zheng et al. 2022). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often 'farm' aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants provided aphids with protection from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-jamour et al. 2018). Certain species of ants would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al. 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their own progeny (Biedermann and Vega 2020).

CONCLUSION

21 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Aphis glycines*, *Aulacorthum solani*, *Greenidae* sp., *Hyperomyzus* sp., *Hysteroneura setariae*, *Lipaphis erysimi*, *Macrosiphoniella sanborni*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Myzus persicae*, *Neomyzus circumflexus*, *Pentalonia caladii*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae*, *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Sinemogoura citricola*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Toxoptera citricidus*, *Toxoptera odinae*, and *Schizaphis rotundiventris*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, that supported this research. This research is a part of Research with contract number 0188/UN9.3.1/SK/2023, 18 April 2023 with the chairman Chandra Irsan.

REFERENCES

- Acharya, Shelley, and Rajendra Singh. 2004. "Aphids on Medicinal Plants in North East India (Insecta : Homoptera : Aphididae)." *Rec. Zool. Surv. India* 102(June 2004). doi: 10.26515/rzsi/v103/i1-2/2004/159495.
- Bass, Chris, Alin M. Puinean, Christoph T. Zimmer, Ian Denholm, Linda M. Field, Stephen P. Foster, Oliver Gutbrod, Ralf Nauen, Russell Slater, and Martin S. Williamson. 2014. "The Evolution of Insecticide Resistance in the Peach Potato Aphid , *Myzus Persicae*." *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 51:41–51. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003.
- Bhadra, Parna, and Basant Kumar Agarwala. 2014. "On the Morphological and Genotypic Variations of Two Congeneric Species of Banana Aphid *Pentalonia* (Homoptera : Aphididae) from India." (March). doi: 10.5932/j.als.20120203.06.
- Biedermann, Peter H. W., and Fernando E. Vega. 2020. "Ecology and Evolution of Insect–Fungus Mutualisms." *Annual Review of Entomology* 65:431–55. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910>.
- Blackman, Roger L., and Victor F. Eastop. 2008. *Aphids on the World's Herbaceous Plants and Shrubs, 2 Volume Set*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Blackman, Roger L., and Victor F. Eastop. 2017. "Taxonomic Issues." Pp. 1–36 in *Aphids as crop pests*. CABI Wallingford UK.
- Blackman, Roger Laurence, Masato Sorin, and Masahisa Miyazaki. 2011. "Sexual Morphs and Colour Variants of *Aphis* (Formerly *Toxoptera*) *Odinæ* (Hemiptera, Aphididae) in Japan." *Zootaxa* (November 2011):53–60. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3110.1.5.
- Braham, Mohamed, Synda Boulahia-kheder, Mouna Kahia, and Siwar Noura. 2023. "Aphids and Citrus Responses to Nitrogen Fertilization." *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences* 22(6):374–83. doi: 10.1016/j.jssas.2023.03.003.
- Brožek, Jolanta, Ewa Mróz, Dominika Wylężek, Łukasz Depa, and Piotr Węgierek. 2015. "The Structure of Extremely Long Mouthparts in the Aphid Genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae)." *Zoomorphology* 134:431–45. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7>.
- Cao, He-he, Zhan-feng Zhang, Xiao-feng Wang, and Tong-xian Liu. 2018. "Nutrition versus Defense : Why *Myzus Persicae* (Green Peach Aphid) Prefers and Performs Better on Young Leaves of Cabbage." *PloS One* 13(4):1–16. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196219>.
- Clarke, Rebecca, Monica A. Kehoe, Sonya Broughton, and Roger A. C. Jones. 2020. "Host Plant a Ffi Liations of Aphid Vector Species Found in a Remote Tropical Environment." *Virus Research* 281(December 2019):197934. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934.
- Ertunc, Filiz. 2020. "Chapter 46 - Emerging Plant Viruses." Pp. 1041–62 in, edited by M. M. B. T.-E. and R. V. P. Ennaji. Academic Press.

- Gadhav, Kiran R., Saurabh Gautam, David A. Rasmussen, and Rajagopalbabu Srinivasan. 2020. "Aphid Transmission of Potyvirus: The Largest Plant-Infecting RNA Virus Genus." *Viruses* 12(7):773. doi: doi: 10.3390/v12070773.
- Giannetti, Daniele, Mauro Mandrioli, Enrico Schifani, Cristina Castracani, Fiorenza A. Spotti, Alessandra Mori, and Donato A. Grasso. 2021. "First Report on the Acrobat Ant *Crematogaster Scutellaris* Storing Live Aphids in Its Oak-Gall Nests." *Insects* 12(2):108. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12020108>.
- Jakubczyk, Karolina, Klaudia Koprowska, Aleksandra Gottschling, and Katarzyna Janda-Milczarek. 2022. "Edible Flowers as a Source of Dietary Fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a Potential Athlete's Dietary Supplement." *Nutrients* 14(12). doi: 10.3390/nu14122470.
- Jayaswal, Deepanshu, Pawan Mainkar, Kuldeep Kumar, Yamini Agarwal, and Ratna Prabha. 2022. "Pyramiding and Evaluation of Segregating Lines Containing Lectin and Protease Inhibitor Genes for Aphid Resistance in Brassica Juncea." *Indian Journal of Biochemistry & Biophysics* 59(August):800–807. doi: 10.56042/ijbb.v59i8.62319.
- Jones, Roger A. C. 2022. "Alteration of Plant Species Mixtures by Virus Infection: Managed Pastures the Forgotten Dimension." *Plant Pathology* 71(6):1255–81. doi: DOI: 10.1111/ppa.13571.
- Kallas, John. 2010. *Edible Wild Plants*. Gibbs Smith.
- Karami-jamou, Tahereh, Alinaghi Mirmoayed, Abbasali Zamani, and Yadolah Khajehzadeh. 2018. "The Impact of Ant Attendance on Protecting *Aphis Gossypii* against Two Aphidophagous Predators and Its Role on the Intraguild Predation between Them." *Journal of Insect Behavior* 31:222–39. doi: DOI: 10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4.
- Kennedy, J. S., and H. L. G. Stroyan. 1959. "Biology of Aphids." *Annual Review of Entomology* 4(1):139–60.
- Kumar, Sushil, Malay K. Bhowmick, and Puja Ray. 2021. "Weeds as Alternate and Alternative Hosts of Crop Pests." *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 53(1):14–29. doi: 10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2.
- Kummel, Miroslav, David Brown, and Andrea Bruder. 2013. "How the Aphids Got Their Spots: Predation Drives Self-Organization of Aphid Colonies in a Patchy Habitat." *Oikos* 122(6):896–906. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2012.20805.x>.
- Maharani, Yani, Purnama Hidayat, Aunu Rauf, and Nina Maryana. 2018. "Short Communication: New Records of Aphid Species Subfamily Aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia." *Biodiversitas* 19(2):460–65. doi: 10.13057/biodiv/d190219.
- Meuninck, Jim. 2023. *Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Naidu, VSGR. 2012. "Hand Book on Weed Identification."
- Nelson, Annika S., and Kailen A. Mooney. 2022. "The Evolution and Ecology of Interactions between Ants and Honeydew-Producing Hemipteran Insects." *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 53:379–402. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840>.
- Pettersson, Jan, W. Fred Tjallingii, and Jim Hardie. 2017. "Host-Plant Selection and Feeding." Pp. 173–95 in *Aphids as crop pests*. CABI Wallingford UK.
- Tegelaar, Karolina, Mattias Hagman, Robert Glinwood, Jan Pettersson, and Olof Leimar. 2012. "Ant–Aphid Mutualism: The Influence of Ants on the Aphid Summer Cycle." *Oikos* 121(1):61–66. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x>.
- Zheng, Zhou, Mengqin Zhao, Zhijun Zhang, Xin Hu, Yang Xu, and Cong Wei. 2022. "Lactic Acid Bacteria Are Prevalent in the Infrabuccal Pockets and Crops of Ants That Prefer Aphid Honeydew." *Front. Microbiol.* 12(January):1–17. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.785016.

6. Bukti konfirmasi review kelima dan hasil revisi kelima

15 Desember 2023

The image shows two screenshots of an email interface. The top screenshot shows the beginning of an email from 'Smujo Editors via SMUJO' with the subject '[biodiv] Editor Decision'. The email body states that a decision has been reached regarding a submission to the Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity, and that the decision is 'Revisions Required'. The bottom screenshot shows the 'Reviewer A' section of the email, which contains several specific comments and a final recommendation of 'Revisions Required'.

[biodiv] Editor Decision Eksternal Kotak Masuk x

Smujo Editors via SMUJO <support@smujo.com> kepada saya, Erise
Jum, 15 Des 2023, 16.59

Terjemahkan ke Indonesia x

Chandra Irsan, Erise Anggraini:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity, "Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra". Complete your revision with a Table of Responses containing your answers to reviewer comments (for multiple comments) or enable Track Changes.

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Reviewer A:

Plagiarism is under 5% without references.

The sentences in shading need more attention to accomplish.

The strike-through sentence could be deleted without any reduced information.

Please check this *Adiantum predatum* plant was not found on any Tables/Figures and observation results.

Rhopalosiphum rice differs in Tables 3 and 4, as *Rhopalosiphum* padi. Please check.

The presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants. Please check whether this sentence (plant) is redundant or a monocot and dicot plant. Please clarify

macrocyperi subfamily was not available online. Please clarify

Please clarify the wording Pagar Alam (as stated in the title); it is not a continuous pattern as Pagaralam.

This conclusion could be more deeply investigated by presenting (in brief) the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants (this study's purposes), what kind of aphid species are preferred by ants, why aphids prefer the weed species, why *aphis gossypii* species could colonize 12 plants while *aphis citricola* only one, etc.

It is better to state that further research on the diversity of aphid species found in the area's ornamental and wild plants.

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra

Abstract. Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species were found on plants that were not actually hosts such as wild plants. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental plants and the wild plants. The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. This study revealed that 15 species of aphids were found in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidae* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

Keywords: Aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

Running title: Aphids found in ornamental and wild plants

INTRODUCTION

Aphids are one of the crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy and Stroyan 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Bass et al. 2014). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhawe et al. 2020). Aphids can transmit 275 viruses (Ertunc 2020). In tropical areas, aphids can ~~always~~ be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman and Eastop 2017). Aphids ~~suck phloem sap of tender plant parts~~ ~~consume young leaves sap~~, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Cao et al. 2018). Moreover, ~~vector species when aphids transmit viral diseases from one plant to another, this~~ can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones 2022). ~~According to Kinley et al. (2021), aphids cause yield losses directly (35–40%) by sucking the plant sap or indirectly (20–80%) through viral transmission. Therefore, aphid infestations can have adverse effects on crop yields and overall plant health (Kumar 2019).~~

Due to their function as vectors, the presence of aphids on a plant can be highly damaging (Jaouannet et al. 2014). ~~They feed by piercing the plant's tissues and consuming its sap, which can reduce the plant's growth and productivity, ultimately leading to weakness and possible death (Chandel et al. 2022).~~ Additionally, as vectors, aphids can transmit a variety of plant diseases. They are as carriers for various plant viruses, and when they move from infected to healthy plants, these viruses can rapidly spread and cause extensive damage (Guo et al. 2019). ~~In addition, the honeydew that aphids secrete can lead to the growth of sooty mold, a black fungus that can prevent sunlight from reaching the plant's leaves, thereby impairing photosynthesis, the process by which plants produce food (Singh and Singh 2021).~~ Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Many aphid species ~~are~~ were found on plants that ~~are~~ were not their actual hosts (Maharani et al. 2018). Aphids have one or more secondary, or "alternative," host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al. 2020). ~~Alternative plants An alternative host can also be a collateral host belonging to the same plant family as the primary host, helping crop pests to survive when the primary hosts are unavailable (Kumar et al., 2021). These secondary hosts may offer less adequate nutrition for insects (Mo and Smitanich 2023). However, they may provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or~~

under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al., 2021). According to Liu et al. (2017), since hibiscus serves as an overwintering host for cotton-specialized aphids but not for cucurbit-specialized aphids, it is evident that host-specialized aphids have refuges during times of food shortage. The life cycles of numerous aphid species exhibit such complexity (Jousselin, Gwenaëlle, and Armelle 2010). They maintain a cycle of host alternation, shifting between their primary hosts (typically a woody plant) and secondary hosts (often herbaceous plants) (Yamamoto, Hattori, and Itino 2020). Weeds pose a continuous threat in both cropped and non-crop areas, providing food, shelter and reproductive sites for various pest organisms (Kumar et al., 2021). This indicates that weeds can serve as alternative hosts for aphids.

A study of aphid species on horticultural plants has been conducted (Maharani et al. 2018). However, information about aphid species on ornamental and wild plants has not received as much attention and remains largely unexplored. In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. The research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has received little attention. Therefore, this study reports was conducted in Pagar Alam, a highland region of South Sumatra, with the aim of obtaining information on the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants found in this area. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation approach to inventory of cultivated or wild plants hosting aphids and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants, and aphids, and natural enemies where available, involved systematic searches of for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids were documented as aphid hosts. Aphids, along with their natural enemies within the aphid colonies, were systematically collected. All components of the collected observations were then identified.

Aphid identification was done using identification keys (Blackman and Eastop 2008). Identification of aphid species took place in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using weed identification hand book (Kallas, 2010; Meuninck, 2023; Naidu, 2012). The location and size of aphid colonies, morphology of aphids including their life shape and color, as well as any symptoms observed in the host plants were recorded, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were recorded taken.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagar Alam, namely (Tables 1, 2). Aphis gossypii, Uroleucon sp., Toxoptera odinae, Macrosiphum rosae, Aphis citricola, Aphis craccivora, Toxoptera aurantii, Pentalonía nigronervosa, Hystenura sp., Aphis glyeine, Greenidae sp., Rhopalosiphum padi, Rhopalosiphum maidis, Hyperomyzus sp., Lipapis erysimi. Based on the observation, these aphids mostly colonised flowers of were found on various ornamental plants (Table 1, Figure 1). The primary colony locations were generally in flowers, and this study documented these colony locations in ornamental plants (Figure 1).

Table 1. Aphid species recorded found in ornamental plants and their colony locations

No	Host Plant	Aphid Species	Colony location
1	Aster alpinus	Sitobion luteum	flower
2	Brugmansia suaveolens	Aulacorthum solani	flower
		Neomyzus circumflexus	
		Myzus persicae	
3	Caladium sp.	Pentalonia sp	flower
4	Cananga odoratum	Aphis gossypii	flower
5	Canna indica	Pentalonia nigronervosa	flower
6	Catharanthus roseus	Aphis citricola	flower
7	Cestrum sp.	Aphis gossypii	flower
		Neomyzus circumflexus	
8	Clitoria ternatea	Aphis craccivora	flower
9	Cosmos caudatus	Uroleucon sp.	flower
10	Dahlia 'Kelvin'	Aphis gossypii	flower
11	Dendrobium sp.	Sinemogoura citricola	flower
12	Duranta sp.	Aphis gossypii	flower

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: English (United States)

13	<i>Helianthus sp.</i>	<i>Aphis glycines</i> <i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	flower
14	<i>Hibiscus rosinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	flower
15	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> , <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
16	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Aphis gossypii</i> <i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	flower
17	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> <i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	flower
18	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	flower
19	<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	flower
20	<i>Spondias dulcissolana</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i> <i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	flower



Fig 1. Photos showing colonies of different aphid species in ornamental plants: The location of aphid colonization on various plant parts. a) *A. gossypii* in *D. kelvin* flower b) *A. gossypii* in *H. rosinensis* flower c) *A. gossypii* in tuberose flower, d) *A. craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower, e) *A. citricola* in *Helianthus* sp., f) *A. aurantii* on the *M. paniculata* flower, g) *T. odinae* in the *S. dulcissolana*, h) *Uroleucon* sp. in *chrysanthemums*, i) *Macrosiphum rosae* in *R. indica* flower, j) *Pentalonia nigronervosa* in *C. indica* leaves



Figure 2. Aphids found infesting wild plants a) *A. gossypii* on *Ageratum conyzoides*, b) *A. gossypii* on *Croton hirtus* c) *A. gossypii* on *Eupatorium odoratum*, d) *A. gossypii* on *Pachystochys* sp., e) *A. gossypii* on *Caladium* sp., f) *A. gossypii* on *Alternanthera sessilis*, g) *A. gossypii* on *Portulaca oleraceae* weeds, h) *A. gossypii* on *Euphorbia hirta*, i) *A. citricola* on *Phyllanthus nerruri*, j) *A. citricola* on *Sida rhombifolia* weed, k) *A. citricola* on *Annona muricata*, l) *A. citricola* on *Ludwigia peruviana*, m) *A. craccivora* on *Mimosa pudica* weed, n) *A. craccivora* on

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

118 weeds-*Amaranthus gracilis*, o) *A. glycine* in *Mikania micranta*-weed, p) *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusin*-weeds, q) *Greenidae* sp. in kenidai
119 trees (shrubs) *indica*, r) *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinocloa crusgali*-Weed, s) *L. erysimi* ion weed-sonchus arventris, t) *Rhopalosiphum* rice
120 ion the-weed-*Oryza rufipogon*, u) *Rhopalosiphum* Maidis ion the-weed-*Oryza rufipogon*.
121

- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Font: Not Italic

122 Discussion

123
124 The plant species or host plant influences the distribution of aphids. There are aphid species that can be found on a
125 wide range of host plants, which is closely related to the polyphagous nature of aphids, allowing them to colonize many
126 different species of host plants. Host plants can also affect the distribution of aphids, as evidenced by the presence of aphid
127 species exclusively found on certain host plants (Santiago et al. 2017). But there are some species of aphids found only on
128 one particular host and are not found on other host plants (Döring 2014). *A. gossypii*, and *Aphis aurantii* have been found
129 on many host plants because both aphids are classified as polyphagous aphids (Alotaibi et al., 2023).

130 Many aphid species can commonly be found infesting a variety of ornamental plants because these insects are
131 attracted to such these plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Braham et al. 2023). In the present study,
132 some aphid species were found on some ornamental plants in Pagaram. The location of aphid colonization on the plants
133 varied. On *Adiantum predatum* plants, aphids formed colonies on young leaf stalks and on newly emerging leaves. The
134 aphids displayed brown and black coloration. The aphid colonies found were small, and the colonized plant parts showed
135 no signs of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Neotoxoptera* sp., and notably, they were not
136 associated with ants. On *Aster alpinus*, aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the
137 colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed
138 symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Uroleucon* sp., and they were associated with
139 ants.

- Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight
- Formatted: Font color: Red
- Formatted: Highlight

140 On the *Brugmansia suaveolens*, *M. persicae* were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have started to
141 turn yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts
142 did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. (taro) was found one species of aphids: *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed
143 colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms. The aphids
144 were yellow green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On
145 the *Cananga odoratum* (ylang-ylang), colonies of *T. aurantii* were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds,
146 and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots,
147 showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black in color. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be
148 associated with black ants.

149 Aphids on *C. indica* (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf
150 surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-
151 sized body. The identification results showed that the aphids were *P. nigronervosa*. The colonies of *P. nigronervosa* were
152 found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (periwinkle), *A. citricola* aphids were found. The aphids were
153 yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts
154 did not show any symptoms of disease. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of
155 young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or flower stalks that had not fully bloomed. The
156 colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green with small to medium-sized bodies. The
157 colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were
158 *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants.

159 Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems and young leaves. The
160 aphids were brown to black in color. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting
161 symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. craccivora*. These colonies were consistently
162 associated with ants. On the plant *Cosmos caudatus*, aphids were found on the flower petals. The colonies were not very
163 large. The body color was green and light green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and
164 they were also associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia kellyi* plant formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with
165 a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results
166 showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. Aphids on *Datura metel* (amethyst) were found to form colonies on the
167 undersides of old leaves. The aphids were medium sized with a green body color. The colonized plant parts did not show
168 any symptoms of disease. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Myzus ornatus*. The aphid colonies were
169 not associated with ants. Within *Dendrobium* sp., aphid colonies were found on the young leaves. The aphids were yellow,
170 green to dark green. The colonized plants did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the
171 aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp. (bonsai), colonies of aphids were located on
172 the undersides of young leaves. The colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The
173 aphids were green in color. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were
174 consistently associated with ants.

175 On the *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) plants, aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The colonized
176 flowers, especially the crowns, exhibited a tendency to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in color. The
177 colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were

178 associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies were
179 small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease
180 symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *M. ornatus*. The aphid colonies were not associated with
181 ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that were parasitized by Aphidiidae were found.

182 On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, aphids ranging in color from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed
183 colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large.
184 The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with
185 ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa*. First, the aphids formed colonies on the
186 undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The
187 occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and
188 slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, similar to sugar. The aphids had
189 yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The
190 identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*, and they were almost always associated with ants. The
191 second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could
192 also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs
193 of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The
194 identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants.

195 In *Ixora* sp. flower plants, two forms of aphids were discovered. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves
196 and unopened flowers. The affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from
197 yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white,
198 resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost
199 always associated with ants. Another species of aphids was founded and formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet
200 bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce any symptoms
201 of plant disease. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small
202 to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also
203 frequently found in association with ants.

204 Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa*, each forming colonies in different locations. The first
205 type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease
206 symptoms. The aphids were yellow, green, and some with dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids
207 were *A. gossypii*. The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as
208 if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots and within flower parts. The plant parts they
209 infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had
210 tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*.

211 The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids. This
212 indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were
213 generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. These aphids formed
214 colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green,
215 yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* or alligator grass was also colonized
216 by *Aphis gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging
217 from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots,
218 flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and they were often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus*
219 *gracilis* was infested by *Aphis craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers and young and old leaves.
220 They were dark brown to black in color, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with
221 both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* aphids. These aphids were bright green, and of
222 medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies
223 were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* or fire grass was infested by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow-green to
224 dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon*
225 *dactylon* or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks
226 and sometimes in the leaf axils of the plant. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to red-brown. They were
227 associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* or nut grass was infested by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were
228 found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants.
229 The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* or grass puzzle was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris*
230 aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small
231 colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids, with small colonies scattered on
232 the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinocloa crussgali* or water hyacinth
233 plants were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on
234 the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Ecliptica*
235 *prostrata* or urang aring was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids
236 were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants.

237 *Eleusine indica* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. *H. setariae*
238 formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks and leaf axils resulting in quite large colonies. *H. setariae* body color ranged
239 from red brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis* formed
240 colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and on leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were not
241 densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These aphids
242 had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants. The plant
243 *Emilia sonchifolia*, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids were yellow to green
244 in colour. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves.

245 *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red brown. Small colonies
246 formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae* were
247 consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* or wart grass was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids formed
248 colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in
249 color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupatorium odoratum* was colonized
250 by both *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young
251 twigs. Young leaves that were colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this
252 plant showed yellow-green to dark green in body colour. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the
253 shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of
254 both *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants.

255 *Hymenochera acutigluma* or hair axis was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae*, which formed colonies on the flower
256 stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia* sp. or
257 *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with
258 thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not
259 show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* or bamboo grass plants were colonized by two species of aphids:
260 *hysteroneura setariae* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves,
261 leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to
262 red-brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis*
263 aphids were green to bright green in color, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species
264 of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix.

265 *Melastoma affine* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly emerging
266 shoots and on newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body colour of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The colonized
267 plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania miranta* was colonized by *Aphis gossypii* and *Aphis glycine*. *A.*
268 *gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and curled leaves. *A.*
269 *glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. Glycine* aphids were light green to green
270 in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a single colony.

271 *Mimosa invisa* (cater-grass) was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants
272 formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa*
273 *pudica* was observed to be colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots,
274 and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the
275 colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa vigra* was colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The colonies of
276 aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were
277 shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms.

278 *Oryza rufipogon* was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum rice* and *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Both aphids
279 colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species could
280 be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green with black siphunculi and cauda, while *R. rice*
281 appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. rice* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants.
282 *Oxonopus compressus* or *pait* grass was colonized by *Hysteroneura setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower
283 stalks, seeds, and sometimes in the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown in color. Small colonies were formed,
284 and they were also consistently associated with ants.

285 *Paspalum conjugatum* was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the seeds
286 and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phyllanthus niruri* was colonized by *Aphis citricola*. The
287 colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted,
288 and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black siphunculi and cauda, and the colonies formed were quite large.
289 *Portulaca oleraceae* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants
290 formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots and in flowers. The colonized plant parts
291 became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that
292 appeared glossy black.

293 *Physalis angulata* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with glossy
294 black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant parts did
295 not show any symptoms of disease. *Rorippa indica* or mustard land was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi*. The colonies
296 formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks and the lower surface of leaves. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms

such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* or cacabea was colonized by *Aphis gossypii*. The aphids had green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks and flower petals. The colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* plants were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colours, and the colonies formed on flower stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically displayed typical symptoms of damage, but some did not show any symptoms. Generally, the symptoms of the plants caused by aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves. These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition happened because the colonized parts had reached their maximum growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the leaves and plant parts were old. The old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid infestations.

The part of the plant exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued to grow, resulting in some parts developing normally while others become stunted (Pettersson, Tjallingii, and Hardie 2017). This condition could lead to the bending of shoots or young stems, curling of leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants or plants was often easier to recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al. 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the presence of aphid colonies.

Throughout their life cycle, aphids exhibited host alternation by switching between two distinct host plants (Yamamoto et al. 2020). They overwintered on woody plants, reproduced in the spring, and migrated to herbaceous plants during the summer before returning to their primary host in the autumn (Yamamoto et al. 2020). This allowed aphids to maximize resource utilization, avoid congestion and competition, evade predators and parasites, circumvent plant defenses, and colonize new areas. Aphids could distribute their population efficiently, thereby avoiding overcrowding, predators and parasites, and plant defenses developing over time through host switching (Yamamoto et al. 2020). This behavior was essential for the survival and environmental adaptation of aphids.

Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive parts of plants. Flowers contain a nutrient-rich nature and easy access to sap, therefore aphids were attractive to sap the flowers. Some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Jakubczyk et al. 2022). Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consume sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as "sap". Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilize needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brożek et al. 2015). Several aphids colonized herbs such as Indian mustards, *Lipaphis erysimi*, and *Myzus persicae* are the most devastating insects, infesting leaves, stems, and floral parts (Jayaswal et al. 2022).

Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive as a food source (Nelson and Mooney 2022). The honeydew contained an abundance of sugars, extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Zheng et al. 2022). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often 'farm' aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants provided aphids with protection from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-jamouri et al. 2018). Certain species of ants would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al. 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their own progeny (Biedermann and Vega 2020).

CONCLUSION

15 species of aphids were found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagaralam, namely *Aphis gossypii*, *Uroleucon* sp., *Toxoptera odinae*, *Macrosiphum rosae*, *Aphis citricola*, *Aphis craccivora*, *Toxoptera aurantii*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, *Hystenura* sp., *Aphis glycine*, *Greenidea* sp., *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *Hyperomyzus* sp. *Lipaphis erysimi*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, that supported this research.

REFERENCES

- Alotaibi, N. J., T. Alsufyani, N. H. M'sakni, M. A. Almalki, E. M. Alghamdi, and D. Spiteller. 2023. "Rapid Identification of Aphid Species by Headspace GC-MS and Discriminant Analysis." *Insects* 14(589). doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14070589>.
- Bass, Chris, Alin M. Puinean, Christoph T. Zimmer, Ian Denholm, Linda M. Field, Stephen P. Foster, Oliver Gutbrod, Ralf Nauen, Russell Slater, and Martin S. Williamson. 2014. "The Evolution of Insecticide Resistance in the Peach Potato Aphid, *Myzus persicae*." *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 51:41–51. doi: [10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003).
- Biedermann, Peter H. W., and Fernando E. Vega. 2020. "Ecology and Evolution of Insect–Fungus Mutualisms." *Annual Review of Entomology* 65:431–55. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910>.
- Blackman, Roger L., and Victor F. Eastop. 2008. *Aphids on the World's Herbaceous Plants and Shrubs, 2 Volume Set*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Blackman, Roger L., and Victor F. Eastop. 2017. "Taxonomic Issues." Pp. 1–36 in *Aphids as crop pests*. CABI Wallingford UK.
- Braham, Mohamed, Synda Boulahia-kheder, Mouna Kahia, and Siwar Nouri. 2023. "Aphids and Citrus Responses to Nitrogen Fertilization." *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences* 22(6):374–83. doi: [10.1016/j.jssas.2023.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2023.03.003).
- Brożek, Jolanta, Ewa Mróz, Dominika Wylęzek, Łukasz Depa, and Piotr Węgierek. 2015. "The Structure of Extremely Long Mouthparts in the Aphid Genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae)." *Zoomorphology* 134:431–45. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7>.
- Cao, He-he, Zhan-feng Zhang, Xiao-feng Wang, and Tong-xian Liu. 2018. "Nutrition versus Defense: Why *Myzus persicae* (Green Peach Aphid) Prefers and Performs Better on Young Leaves of Cabbage." *PloS One* 13(4):1–16. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196219>.
- Chandel, R. S., V. K. Chandra, K. S. Verma, and Mandeep Pathania. 2022. "Chapter 21 - Insect Pests of Potato in India: Biology and Management." Pp. 371–400 in, edited by A. Alyokhin, S. I. Rondon, and Y. B. T.-I. P. of P. (Second E. Gao. Academic Press.
- Clarke, Rebecca, Monica A. Kehoe, Sonya Broughton, and Roger A. C. Jones. 2020. "Host Plant Affiliations of Aphid Vector Species Found in a Remote Tropical Environment." *Virus Research* 281(December 2019):197934. doi: [10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934).
- Döring, Thomas F. 2014. "How Aphids Find Their Host Plants, and How They Don't." *Annals of Applied Biology* 165(1):3–26. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12142>.
- Ertunc, Filiz. 2020. "Chapter 46 - Emerging Plant Viruses." Pp. 1041–62 in, edited by M. M. B. T.-E. and R. V. P. Ennaji. Academic Press.
- Gadhav, Kiran R., Saurabh Gautam, David A. Rasmussen, and Rajagopalbabu Srinivasan. 2020. "Aphid Transmission of Potyvirus: The Largest Plant-Infecting RNA Virus Genus." *Viruses* 12(7):773. doi: [10.3390/v12070773](https://doi.org/10.3390/v12070773).
- Giannetti, Daniele, Mauro Mandrioli, Enrico Schifani, Cristina Castracani, Fiorenza A. Spotti, Alessandra Mori, and Donato A. Grasso. 2021. "First Report on the Acrobasis Ant Crematogaster Scutellaris Storing Live Aphids in Its Oak-Gall Nests." *Insects* 12(2):108. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12020108>.
- Guo, Huijuan, Liyuan Gu, Fanqi Liu, Fajun Chen, Feng Ge, and Yucheng Sun. 2019. "Aphid-Borne Viral Spread Is Enhanced by Virus-Induced Accumulation of Plant Reactive Oxygen Species 1." *Plant Physiology* 179(January):143–55. doi: [10.1104/pp.18.00437](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.00437).
- Jakubczyk, Karolina, Klaudia Koprowska, Aleksandra Gottschling, and Katarzyna Janda-Milczarek. 2022. "Edible Flowers as a Source of Dietary Fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a Potential Athlete's Dietary Supplement." *Nutrients* 14(12). doi: [10.3390/nu14122470](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14122470).
- Jaouannet, Maëlle, Patricia A. Rodriguez, Peter Thorpe, Camille J. G. Lenoir, and Ruari Macleod. 2014. "Plant Immunity in Plant – Aphid Interactions." *Front Plant Sci.* 5(December):1–10. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2014.00663](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00663).
- Jayaswal, Deepanshu, Pawan Mainkar, Kuldeep Kumar, Yamini Agarwal, and Ratna Prabha. 2022. "Pyramiding and Evaluation of Segregating Lines Containing Lectin and Protease Inhibitor Genes for Aphid Resistance in Brassica Juncea." *Indian Journal of Biochemistry & Biophysics* 59(August):800–807. doi: [10.56042/ijbb.v59i8.62319](https://doi.org/10.56042/ijbb.v59i8.62319).
- Jones, Roger A. C. 2022. "Alteration of Plant Species Mixtures by Virus Infection: Managed Pastures the Forgotten Dimension." *Plant Pathology* 71(6):1255–81. doi: [10.1111/ppa.13571](https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13571).
- Jousselin, Emmanuelle, Genson Gwenaëlle, and Coeur D. Acie. Armelle. 2010. "Evolutionary Lability of a Complex Life Cycle in the Aphid Genus *Brachycaudus*." *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 10(1). doi: [10.1186/1471-2148-10-295](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-295).
- Kallas, John. 2010. *Edible Wild Plants*. Gibbs Smith.
- Karami-jamou, Tahereh, Alinaghi Mirmoayedi, Abbasali Zamani, and Yadolah Khajehzadeh. 2018. "The Impact of Ant Attendance on Protecting Aphis Gossypii against Two Aphidophagous Predators and Its Role on the Intraguild Predation between Them." *Journal of Insect Behavior* 31:222–39. doi: [10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4).
- Kennedy, J. S., and H. L. G. Stroyan. 1959. "Biology of Aphids." *Annual Review of Entomology* 4(1):139–60.
- Kinley, Chimi, A. Najitha Banu, A. M. Raut, Johnson Wahengbam, and Thinley Jamtsho. 2021. "A Review on Past, Present and Future Approaches for Aphids Management." *Journal of Entomological Research* 45(2):336–46. doi: [10.5958/0974-4576.2021.00053.0](https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-4576.2021.00053.0).
- Kumar, Sarwan. 2019. "Aphid-Plant Interactions: Implications for Pest Management." P. Ch. 7 in *Plant Communities and Their Environment*, edited by M. T. Oliveira, F. Candan, and A. Fernandes-Silva. Rijeka: IntechOpen.
- Kumar, Sushil, Malay K. Bhowmick, and Puja Ray. 2021. "Weeds as Alternate and Alternative Hosts of Crop Pests." *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 53(1):14–29. doi: [10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2](https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2).
- Liu, Xiang Dong, Ting Ting Xu, and Hai Xia Lei. 2017. "Refuges and Host Shift Pathways of Host-Specialized Aphids Aphis Gossypii." *Scientific Reports* 7(1):1–9. doi: [10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4).
- Maharani, Yani, Purnama Hidayat, Aunu Rauf, and Nina Maryana. 2018. "Short Communication: New Records of Aphid Species Subfamily Aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia." *Biodiversitas* 19(2):460–65. doi: [10.13057/biodiv/d190219](https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d190219).
- Margaritopoulos, J. T., M. Tzortzi, K. D. Zarpas, J. A. Tsitsipis, and R. L. Blackman. 2006. "Morphological Discrimination of Aphis Gossypii (Hemiptera: Aphididae) Populations Feeding on Compositae." *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 96(2):153–65. doi: [10.1079/ber.2005410](https://doi.org/10.1079/ber.2005410).
- Meuninck, Jim. 2023. *Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Mo, Carmen, and Angela M. Smilanich. 2023. "Feeding on an Exotic Host Plant Enhances Plasma Levels of Phenoloxidase by Modulating Feeding Efficiency in a Specialist Insect Herbivore." *Frontiers in Physiology* 14(February):1–10. doi: [10.3389/fphys.2023.1127670](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2023.1127670).
- Naidu, VSGR. 2012. "Hand Book on Weed Identification."
- Nelson, Annika S., and Kailen A. Mooney. 2022. "The Evolution and Ecology of Interactions between Ants and Honeydew-Producing Hemipteran Insects." *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 53:379–402. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840>.
- Petterson, Jan, W. Fred Tjallingii, and Jim Hardie. 2017. "Host-Plant Selection and Feeding." Pp. 173–95 in *Aphids as crop pests*. CABI Wallingford UK.
- Piron, PGM, MC de Haas, and MAHM Sonnemans. 2019. "The Presence of Aphis (Toxoptera) Aurantii (Homoptera: Aphididae) in the Netherlands." *Entomologische Berichten* 79(5):162–64.

420 Santiago, Grazielle Silva, Luana Fonseca, Ernesto Oliveira Canedo-ju, Carla Rodrigues Ribas, Rafaela Pereira, and Guilherme Pereira Alves. 2017.
421 "Isolated and Community Contexts Produce Distinct Responses by Host Plants to the Presence of Ant-Aphid Interaction : Plant Productivity and
422 Seed Viability." Plos One 12(1):1–12. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0170915.
423 Singh, Rajendra, and Garima Singh. 2021. "Aphids." Pp. 105–82 in *Polyphagous Pests of Crops*. Springer.
424 Tegelaar, Karolina, Mattias Hagman, Robert Glinwood, Jan Pettersson, and Olof Leimar. 2012. "Ant–Aphid Mutualism: The Influence of Ants on the
425 Aphid Summer Cycle." Oikos 121(1):61–66. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x>.
426 Yamamoto, Tetsuya, Mitsuru Hattori, and Takao Itino. 2020. "Seasonal Migration in the Aphid Genus Stomaphis (Hemiptera : Aphididae): Discovery of
427 Host Alternation Between Woody Plants in Subfamily Lachninae." Journal of Insect Science 20(5):1–10. doi: 10.1093/jisesa/ieaa103.
428 Zheng, Zhou, Mengqin Zhao, Zhijun Zhang, Xin Hu, Yang Xu, and Cong Wei. 2022. "Lactic Acid Bacteria Are Prevalent in the Infrabuccal Pockets and
429 Crops of Ants That Prefer Aphid Honeydew." Front. Microbiol. 12(January):1–17. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.785016.
430

Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagar Alam District, South Sumatra, Indonesia

CHANDRA IRSAN^{1,*}, ERISE ANGGRAIN^{1,2}, WENNY RAMADHANI³

¹Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Kampus Indralaya, Jl. Palembang-Prabumulih, KM 32 Inderalaya Ogan Ilir 30662, South Sumatra, Indonesia. Tel.: +62-711-580059, *email: chandrairsan@fp.unsri.ac.id

²Prograsm Study of Agroecotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Kampus Indralaya, Jl. Palembang-Prabumulih, KM 32 Inderalaya Ogan Ilir 30662, South Sumatra, Indonesia

³Plant Quarantine. Jl. Kol. H. Barlian Km. 6 No. 78, Srijaya, Alang-Alang Lebar, Palembang 30153, South Sumatera, Indonesia

Manuscript received: 8 September 2023. Revision accepted: xxx December 2023.

Abstract. Irsan C, Anggraini E, Ramadhani W. 2023. *Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagar Alam District, South Sumatra, Indonesia. Biodiversitas* 24: xxxx-xxxx. Aphids are one of the crucial pests in tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species, such as wild plants, were found on plants that were not hosts. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants. The field research employed purposive and direct observation to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants and wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants and aphids involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. This study revealed that the total of 15 species aphids found in Ornamental plants, *Aphis craccivora* Koch, 1854, *Aphis spiraecola* Patch, 1914, *Aphis glycines* Matsumura, 1917, *Aphis gossypii* Glover, 1877, *Aulacorthum solani* Kaltenbach, 1843, *Macrosiphoniella sanborni* Gillette, 1908, *Macrosiphum rosae* Linnaeus, 1758, *Myzus persicae* Sulzer, 1776, *Neomyzus circumflexus* Buckton, 1876, *Pentalonia caladii* van der Goot, 1917, *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* Linnaeus, 1761, *Sinemegoura citricola* van der Goot, 1917, *Toxoptera aurantii* Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1841, *Toxoptera citricidus* Kirkaldy, 1907, *Toxoptera odinae* van der Goot, 1917 and the total of 11 species aphids found in weeds, *A. gossypii*, *A. craccivora*, *A. glycines*, *A. citricola*, *Greenidea* sp., *Hystrotraneura setariae* Thomas, 1878, *Hipermomyzus* sp., *Lipaphis erysimi* Kaltenbach, 1843, *Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch, 1856, *Rhopalosiphum padi* Linnaeus, 1758, *Schizaphis rotundiventris* Signoret, 1860.

Keywords: Aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

INTRODUCTION

Aphids are crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy and Stroyan 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Bass et al. 2014). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhav et al. 2020); aphids can transmit 275 viruses (Ertunc 2020). In tropical areas, aphids can be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman and Eastop 2017). Aphids suck phloem sap of tender plant parts, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Cao et al. 2018). Moreover, vector species can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones 2022). In addition, the honeydew that aphids secrete can lead to the growth of sooty mold, a black fungus that can prevent sunlight from reaching the plant's leaves, thereby impairing photosynthesis, the process by which

plants produce food (Singh and Singh 2021). Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Many aphid species are found on plants that are not their actual hosts (Maharani et al. 2018). Aphids have one or more secondary, or alternative, host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al. 2020). Alternative plants provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al. 2021). These secondary hosts may offer less adequate nutrition for insects (Mo and Smilanich 2023). However, they may provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al., 2021). According to Liu et al. (2017), hibiscus serves as an overwintering host for cotton-specialized aphids but not for cucurbit-specialized aphids, it is evident that host-specialized aphids

have refuges during times of food shortage. The life cycles of numerous aphid species exhibit such complexity (Jousselin et al. 2010). They maintain a cycle of host alternation, shifting between their primary hosts (typically woody plants) and secondary hosts (often herbaceous plants) (Yamamoto et al. 2020). Weeds pose a continuous threat in both cropped and non-crop areas, providing food, shelter, and reproductive sites for various pest organisms (Kumar et al., 2021). This indicates that weeds can serve as alternative hosts for aphids.

In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and wild plants. Research on the inventory of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has less noticed. This study reports the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants found in this area. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting and collecting aphids. The plant selection included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. Where available, collecting and identifying host plants, aphids, and natural enemies involved systematic searches of all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids are documented as aphid hosts. Aphid identification was done using identification keys (Blackman and Eastop 2008) in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using the weed identification handbook (Kallas

2010; Naidu 2012; Meuninck 2023). The location and aphid colony sizes, including their life color, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

Aphids infesting in ornamental plants

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagar Alam (Tables 1 and 2). These aphids mostly colonized flowers of various ornamental plants (Table 1, Figure 1).

The relationship between aphids and ants was also recorded. Aphids produce a sweet, sticky substance called honeydew; ants are attracted to this honey because it serves as a food source. When aphids are present, they secrete honeydew, which attracts ants. This research recorded the presence of ants on plant parts colonized by aphids (Table 2).

Aphids infesting in wild plants (weed or non-weed plants)

In addition, this study documented aphid colonies on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves, and old leaves of wild plants (Table 3, Figure 2).

The presence of ants in aphid colonization symbolizes a mutually beneficial relationship where the ants receive food from the aphids while protecting them. This study recorded the ant attendance in aphids colonization (Table 4).




Figure 1. Photos showing colonies of different aphid species in ornamental plants: A. *Aphis gossypii* in *Dahlia* sp. flower; B. *Aphis gossypii* in *Hibiscus rosinensis* flower; C. *Aphis gossypii* in *Cestrum* twig and flower; D. *Aphis craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower; E. *Aphis glycines* in *Helianthus giganteus* flower; F. *Aphis craccivora* on the *Murayya paniculata* flower; G. *Toxoptera odinae* in the *Mussaenda frondosa*; H. *Macrosiphoniella sanborni*. in *Chrysanthemum* sp. Leaves; I. *Macrosiphum rosae* in *Rosa indica* flower; J. *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* in *Canna indica* leaves. Chandra Irsan captured all the photos.

Table 1. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and their colony locations

Host plant	Aphid species	Colony location
<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	Leaves, young twig, flower
<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	Leaves, flower
	<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	Leaves
	<i>Myzus persicae</i>	Leaves, flower
<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia caladii</i>	Leaves,
<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Leaves, flower
<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae</i>	Leaf
<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis spiraeicola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, flower

<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoot, flower
	<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	Young leaves
<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flower
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp.	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	Shoot, twig
<i>Dahlia</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	Flower
<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Helianthus giganteus</i> .	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	Flower
<i>Hibiscus rosasinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> ,	Flower
	<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	Shoot, young leaves
<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis spiraecola</i>	Flower
	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
	<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Young Twig
	<i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis spiraecola</i>	Shoot, flower
	<i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	Flower
<i>Spondias dulcis</i>	<i>Aphis spiraecola</i>	Flower

Table 2. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and the presence of the ants in the plant parts colonized

Aphid species	Ornamental plants	Aphids life color	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance	Total individual of ant
<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	Black	Flowers	+	3
	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	Black	Flowers	+	2
<i>Aphis spiraecola</i>	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	Greenish yellow	Flowers	+	2
	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	greenish yellow	Flowers	+	3
	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	greenish yellow	Shoots, flowers	+	7
	<i>Spondias dulcis</i>	greenish yellow	Flowers	+	8
<i>Aphis glycines</i>	<i>Helianthus giganteus</i>	Greenish yellow	Flowers	+	3
<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	Green	Shoots, flowers	+	4
	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	Light green	Shoots, flowers	+	1
	<i>Dahlia</i> sp.	Green dark	Flowers	+	2
	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	Light green	Shoots, flowers	+	5
	<i>Hibiscus rosasinensis</i>	Dark green	Flowers	+	6
	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	Light green	Flowers	+	2
	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	Light green	Flowers	+	7
<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	Greenish yellow	Leaves, flowers	-	0
<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	Brown black	Leaves, twigs, flowers	+	5
	<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp.	Reddish brown	Leaves, twigs	+	5
<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	<i>Rosa indica</i>	Green	Flowers	-	0
<i>Myzus persicae</i>	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	Greenish yellow	Leaves, flowers	-	0
<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	Light green	Young leaves, flowers	-	0
	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	Light green	Flowers	-	0
<i>Pentalonia caladii</i>	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	Brown-black	Leaves	+	7
<i>Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae</i>	<i>Canna indica</i>	Green black	Leaves	+	1
<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	Brown	Flowers	-	0
<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	Brown black	Flowers	+	5
	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	Brown black	Flowers	+	4
<i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	Black	Stems	+	6
<i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	Reddish-brown	Flowers	+	4

Notes: (+) = present; (-) = absent




Figure 2. Aphids found infesting wild plants A. *Aphis gossypii* in *Ageratum conyzoides*; B. *Aphis gossypii* in *Croton hirtus*; C. *Aphis gossypii* in *Eupatorium odoratum*; D. *Aphis gossypii* in *Pachystochys* sp.; E. *Pentalonia caladii* in *Caladium* sp.; F. *Aphis gossypii* in *Alternanthera sessilis*; G. *Aphis gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae*; H. *Aphis gossypii* in *Euphorbia hirta*; I. *Aphis spiraecola* in *Phyllanthus nerruri*; J. *Aphis spiraecola* in *Sida rhombifolia*; K. *Aphis spiraecola* in *Bridelia tomentosa*; L. *Aphis spiraecola* in *Ludwigia peruviana*; M. *A. craccivora* in *Mimosa pudica*; N. *Aphis craccivora* in *Amaranthus viridis*; O. *Aphis glycine* in *Mikania micrantha*; P. *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusine* sp.; Q. *Greenidae* sp. in *Bridelia tomentosa*; R. *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinochloa crusgali*; S. *Lipaphis erysimi* in *sonchus arvensis*; T. *Rhopalosiphum padi* in *Oryza rufipogon*; U. *Rhopalosiphum maidis* in *Oryza rufipogon*. All the photos were captured by Chandra Irsan.

Table 3. Species of aphids found in wild (weed or non-weed) plants and their colony locations

Host plant	Weeds or non-weed plants	Aphid species	Colony location
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
<i>Amaranthus viridis</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
<i>Blumea lacera</i>	Weed	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flowers, shoots, and buds
<i>Bridelia tomentosa</i>	Non-weed	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	Young leaves
		<i>Aphis spiraeicola</i>	Shoot, young leaves
<i>Croton hirtus</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	Weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	Weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks
<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	Weed	<i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	Young leaves, old leaves
<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
<i>Eleusin indica</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
		<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower, flower stalks, shoots
<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, seeds
<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves
<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves,
		<i>Aphis glycines</i>	Shoot, young twigs
<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
		<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
<i>Melastoma affine</i>	Non-weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
<i>Mikania micrantha</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
		<i>Aphis glycines</i>	Shoot, young twig
<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods, flowers
<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	Non-weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	Weed	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> ,	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils
	Weed	<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils
<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, seeds
<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis spiraeicola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs, petioles
<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, flowers
<i>Physalis angulata</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
<i>Rorippa indica</i>	Weed	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Flowers, fruits, shoots, young leaves
<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	Weed	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flowers, fruits

Table 4. Aphid species were recorded in wild plants, and the presence of ants in the plant parts colonized

Aphid species	Wild plants	Aphids life color	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance	Total individual of ant
<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	Light green	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers	+	5
	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	Light green	Shoots, buds	+	3
	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	Light green	Shoots, buds	-	0
	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	Dark green	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves,	+	7
	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	Green	young twigs	+	5
	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	Green	Shoots, young leaves	+	6
	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	Light green	Flower, flower stalks, shoots	+	7
	<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i>	Light green	Young leaves, old leaves	+	8
	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	Light green	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+	8
	<i>Mikania micrantha</i>	Light green	Shoots, young leaves	+	9
	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	Yellowish green	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+	10
	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	Yellowish green	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds	-	0
	<i>Amaranthus viridis</i>	Black	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+	3
	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	Black	Shoots, pods	+	2
<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	Black	Shoots, pods, flowers	+	3
	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	Black	Shoots, pods	+	4
	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	Black	Shoots, young leaves, flowers	+	7
	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	Black	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+	4
	<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i>	Greenish yellow	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+	6

<i>Aphis spiraecola</i>	<i>Mikania micrantha</i>	Light green	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+	4
	<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	Greenish yellow	Shoot, young leaves, young twigs, petioles	+	5
<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	<i>Bridelia Tomentosa</i>	Greenish yellow	Shoot, young leaves	+	2
	<i>Bridelia Tomentosa</i>	Greenish yellow	Young leaves	-	0
<i>Hystroneura setariae</i>	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalks	+	3
	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	4
	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalks, seeds	+	4
	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	Reddish-brown	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	3
	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	Reddish-brown	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils	+	6
	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalk, leaf axils	+	3
	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalk, seeds	+	6
	<i>Echinocloa crussgali</i>	Black	Young leaves, old leaves	-	0
	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	Whitish green	Flowers, shoots, and buds	+	4
	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	Whitish green	Flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves	+	4
<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	Whitish green	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flowers, fruit	+	5
	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	Green	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	3
	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	Green	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils	+	4
	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	Green	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils	-	0
<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i>	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	Whitish green	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils	+	4
<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Green	Flowers, flower stalks	+	6
	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	Green	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	4
	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	Green	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	4

Notes: (+): present, (-): absent

Discussion

In the present study, some aphid species were found on several ornamental plants in Pagar Alam, the location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Aster alpinus* L., aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Macrosiphoniella sanborni* Gillette, 1908 associated with ants. On the *Brugmansia suaveolens* (Humb. & Bonpl. ex Willd.) Bercht. & J.Presl, *Myzus persicae* Sulzer, 1776 were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have turned yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. was found one species of aphids: *Pentalonia caladii* van der Goot, 1917. *P. caladii* was known and found in taro plants; the aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves (Bhadra and Agarwala 2014). This study found that the occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms; the aphids were yellow-green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odorata* (Lam.) Hook.f. & Thomson (ylang-ylang), colonies of *Toxoptera aurantii* Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1841 were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii* colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants. Aphids on *Canna indica* L. (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were

dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body and the identification results showed that the aphids were *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* Linnaeus, 1761 (Ghosh and Singh 2004). The colonies of *R. nymphaeae* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (L.) G.Don (periwinkle), *Aphis spiraecola* Patch, 1914 aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts showed no disease symptoms. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or stalks that had not fully bloomed; the colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green, with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Aphis gossypii* Glover, 1877. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants. Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* L. were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems, and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Aphis craccivora* Koch, 1854. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia* sp. formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. According to this present study, *Sinemegoura citricola* van der Goot, 1917 colonies were found on the young leaves of *Dendrobium* sp., with the color body of the *S. citricola* aphids were yellow, green to dark green, and the colonized plants showing no disease

symptoms, and were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp., colonies of aphids on the undersides of young leaves, and the colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The aphids were green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Furthermore, on the *Helianthus annuus* L., aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The colonized flowers, especially the crowns, tended to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow in color. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Aphis glycines* Matsumura, 1917. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that Aphidiidae parasitized were found. On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* L., aphids ranging from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa* (Blume) Kurz. First, the aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, like sugar. The aphids had yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii* almost always associated with ants. The second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants. Moreover, two forms of aphids were discovered in *Ixora* sp. flower plants. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves, and unopened flowers; the affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from yellow and green to a slightly darker green.

Sometimes, the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white, resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost always associated with ants. Another species of aphids formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce plant disease symptoms. The aphids were yellow or yellowish green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also frequently found in association with ants. Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa* L., each forming colonies in different locations. The first type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Toxoptera odinae* van der Goot, 1917. The aphids were yellow, green, and dark green (Blackman et al. 2011). The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts. The plant parts they infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow-green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. Many aphid species infest various ornamental plants because these insects are attracted to such plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Braham et al. 2023).

The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids. This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* L. was infested by *A. gossypii*. These aphids formed colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green, yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* (Mart.) Griseb., or alligator grass, was also colonized by *A. gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* (L.) R.Br. ex DC. was colonized by *A. gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots, flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus viridis* Linnaeus was infested by *A. craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers, and young and old leaves. They were dark brown to black, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with both black and red ants.

Blumea lacera (Burm.fil.) DC. was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* Kaltenbach, 1843. These aphids were bright green and of medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks, and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* L'Hér., or fire grass, was infested by *A. gossypii*; the aphids were yellow-green to dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds, and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers. or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* Signoret, 1860. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks, and sometimes the plant leaf axils. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to reddish brown. They were associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* L., or nut grass, was infested by *S. rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants. The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* L., or grass puzzle, was colonized by *S. rotundiventris* aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks, and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* (Retz.) Koeler was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* Thomas 1878 aphids, with small colonies scattered on the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P.Beauv., or water hyacinth plants, were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Eclipta prostrata* (L.) L., or urang-aring, was colonized by *A. gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants. *Eleusin indica* (L.) Gaertn. was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* Thomas, 1878 and *Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch, 1856. *H. setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks, and leaf axils, resulting in large colonies. *H. setariae*'s body color ranged from red-brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis* formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants. The plant *Emilia sonchifolia* (L.) DC. ex Wight, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *A. gossypii*; the aphids were yellow to green in color. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot

leaves. *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *H. setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red-brown. Small colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae* were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* L., or wart grass, was colonized by *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupatorium odoratum* L. was colonized by *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young twigs. Young leaves colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this plant showed yellow-green to dark-green body color. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants. *Hymenachne acutigluma* (Steud.) Gilliland, or hair axis, was colonized by *H. setariae*, which formed colonies on the flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia* sp., or *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* Brongn. or bamboo grass plants, were colonized by two species of aphids: *H. setariae* and *R. maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to red-brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis* aphids were green to bright green, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix. In addition, *Melastoma affine* D.Don was colonized by *A. gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly emerging shoots and newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body color of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania micrantha* Kunth was colonized by *A. gossypii* and *Aphis glycines* Matsumura, 1917. *A. gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and curled leaves. *A. glycines* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. glycines* aphids were light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two

species of aphids could mix to form a single colony. *Mimosa invisa* Mart. ex Colla (cater-grass) was colonized by *A. craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa pudica* L. was observed to be colonized by *A. craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots, and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa pigra* L. was colonized by *A. craccivora*. The colonies of aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Oryza rufipogon* Griff. was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum padi* and *R. maidis*. Both aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species could be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green with black siphunculi and cauda, while *Rhopalosiphum padi* Linnaeus, 1758 appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. padi* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants. *Axonopus compressus* (Sw.) P.Beauv., or *pait* grass, was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower stalks, seeds, and sometimes the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown. Small colonies were formed, and they were also consistently associated with ants. *Paspalum conjugatum* was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phyllanthus neruri* L. was colonized by *A. citricola*. The colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted, and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black siphunculi and cauda; the colonies formed were large. *Portulaca oleracea* L. plants were colonized by *A. craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleraceae* plants formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The colonized plant parts became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that appeared glossy black. *Physalis angulata* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with glossy black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant parts did not show disease symptoms. *Rorippa indica* (L.) Hiern, or mustard land, was colonized by *L. erysimi*. The colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks, and the lower leaf's surface. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms

such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* L., or cacabea, was colonized by *A. gossypii*. The aphids had green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks, and flower petals. The colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* L. plants were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colors, and the colonies formed on flower stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically displayed typical damage symptoms, but some did not show any symptoms at all. Generally, the plants' symptoms due to aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves. These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition occurred because the colonized parts had reached maximum growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the leaves and plant parts were old. Furthermore, the old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid infestations. The plant parts exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued growing, resulting in some parts developing ordinary while others became stunted (Pettersson et al. 2017). This condition could lead to bending shoots or young stems, curling leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants was often easier to recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al. 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the aphid colonies. According to this study, ants were present in some aphid colonies from the subfamily Aphidinae, while the ants were absent in some aphid colonies from the macrosiphini subfamily. The bodies of aphids from the subfamily Aphidinae are relatively small and have short siphunculi. On the other hand, aphids, which

have large bodies and relatively long sifunculi, are never visited by ants. This happens because long sifunculi are reported to disturb ants, so the ants don't like to come close. Additionally, large aphids and long sifunculi generally do not produce honeydew, so ants do not want to come close.

The absence of ants in aphid colonies could be because the colonies have just formed or the population is still low (Kummel et al. 2013). Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive plant parts. Flowers contain a nutrient-rich nature and easy access to sap; therefore, aphids were attracted to flower saps. In addition, some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Jakubczyk et al. 2022). Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consume sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as sap. Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilize needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brożek et al. 2015). Several aphids colonized herbs such as Indian mustards, *L. erysimi*, and *M. persicae*, the most devastating insects, infesting leaves, stems, and floral parts (Jayaswal et al. 2022). Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive food sources (Nelson and Mooney 2022). The honeydew contained abundant sugars extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Zheng et al. 2022). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often farm aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants protected aphids from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-Jamour et al. 2018). Certain ant species would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al. 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their progeny (Biedermann and Vega 2020). Ornamental plants, and also weeds are generally grown with simple maintenance and usually free pesticides. The ecological habitat of ornamental plants and weeds is assumed to be the same. Therefore, many species of aphids found on ornamental plants were also found on weeds.

In conclusion total of 15 species aphids found in ornamental plants, *A. craccivora*, *A. citricola*, *A. glycines*, *A. gossypii*, *A. solani*, *M. sanborni*, *M. rosae*, *M. persicae*, *N. circumflexus*, *P. caladii*, *R. nymphaeae*, *S. citricola*, *T. aurantii*, *T. citricidus*, *T. odinae*. The total of 11 species aphids found in weeds, *A. gossypii*, *A. craccivora*, *A.*

glycines, *A. citricola*, *Greenidea* sp., *H. setariae*, *Hiperomyzus* sp., *L. erysimi*, *R. maidis*, *R. padi*, *S. rotundiventris*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS


The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, who supported this research. This research is a part of contract number 0188/UN9.3.1/SK/2023, 18 April 2023, with the chairman Chandra Irsan.

REFERENCES

- Ghosh S, Singh R. 2004. Aphids on medicinal plants in North East India (Insecta : Homoptera : Aphididae). Rec Zool Surv India 102 (1-2): 169-186. DOI: 10.26515/rzsi/v103/i1-2/2004/159495.
- Bass C, Puinean AM, Zimmer CT, Denholm I, Field LM, Foster SP, Gutbrod O, Nauen R, Slater R, Williamson MS. 2014. The evolution of insecticide resistance in the peach potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 51: 41-51. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003.
- Bhadra P, Agarwala BK. 2014. On the morphological and genotypic variations of two congeneric species of banana aphid *Pentalonia* (Homoptera : Aphididae) from India. Aadv Life Sci 2 (3): 75-81. DOI: 10.5932/j.als.20120203.06.
- Biedermann PHW, Vega FE. 2020. Ecology and evolution of insect-fungus mutualisms. Ann Rev Entomol 65: 431-55. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910.
- Blackman RL, Eastop VF. 2008. Aphids On The World's Herbaceous Plants And Shrubs 2 Volume Set. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Blackman RL, Eastop VF. 2017. Taxonomic Issues. CABI, Wallingford, UK. DOI: 10.1079/9781780647098.0001.
- Blackman RL, Sorin M, Miyazaki M. 2011. Sexual morphs and colour variants of *Aphis* (Formerly *Toxoptera*) *Odinae* (Homoptera, Aphididae) in Japan. Zootaxa 3110 (1): 53-60. DOI: 10.11646/zootaxa.3110.1.5.
- Braham M, Boulahia-kheder S, Kahia M, Noura. S 2023. Aphids and Citrus responses to nitrogen fertilization. J Saudi Soc Agric Sci 22 (6):374-83. DOI: 10.1016/j.jssas.2023.03.003.
- Brożek J, Mróz E, Wylęzek D, Depa Ł, Węgierek P. 2015. The structure of extremely long mouthparts in the aphid genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Homoptera : Sternorrhyncha : Aphididae). Zoomorphology 134:431-45. DOI: 10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7.
- Cao HH, Zhang ZF, Wang XF, Liu TX. 2018. Nutrition versus defense : Why *Myzus persicae* (Green peach aphid) prefers and performs better on young leaves of cabbage PloS One 13 (4):1-16. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0196219.
- Clarke R, Kehoe MA, Broughton S, Jones RAC. 2020. Host plant affiliations of aphid vector species found in a remote tropical environment. Virus Res 281: 197934. DOI: 10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934.
- Ertunc F. 2020. Emerging plant viruses. In: Emerging and Reemerging Viral Pathogens. Academic Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Gadhav KR, Gautam S, Rasmussen DA, Srinivasan R. 2020. Aphid transmission of potyvirus: The largest plant-infecting RNA virus genus. Viruses 12 (7): 773. DOI: 10.3390/v12070773.
- Giannetti D, Mandrioli M, Schifani E, Castracani C, Spotti FA, Mori A, Grasso DA. 2021. First report on the acrobat ant *crematogaster* *scutellaris* storing live aphids in its oak-gall nests. Insects 12 (2): 108. DOI: 10.3390/insects12020108.
- Jakubczyk K, Koprowska K, Gottschling A, Janda-Milczarek K. 2022. Edible flowers as a source of dietary fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a potential athlete's dietary supplement. Nutrients 14 (12): 2470. DOI: 10.3390/nu14122470.
- Jayaswal D, Mainkar P, Kumar K, Agarwal Y, Prabha R. 2022. Pyramiding and evaluation of segregating lines containing lectin and protease inhibitor genes for aphid resistance in *Brassica juncea*.

- Indian J Biochem Biophys 59 (8): 800-807. DOI: 10.56042/ijbb.v59i8.62319.
- Jones RAC. 2022. Alteration of plant species mixtures by virus infection: Managed pastures the forgotten dimension. Plant Pathol 71 (6): 1255-81. DOI: DOI: 10.1111/ppa.13571.
- Jousselin, Emmanuelle, Genson Gwenaelle, and Coeur D. Acie. Armelle. 2010. "Evolutionary Lability of a Complex Life Cycle in the Aphid Genus *Brachycaudus*." BMC Evolutionary Biology 10(1). doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-10-295.
- Kallas J. 2010. Edible Wild Plants. Gibbs Smith, Layton, Utah.
- Karami-Jamour T, Mirmoayedi A, Zamani A, Khajehzadeh Y. 2018. The impact of ant attendance on protecting *Aphis gossypii* against two aphidophagous predators and it's role on the intraguild predation between them. J Insect Behav 31: 222-39. DOI: 10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4.
- Kennedy JS, Stroyan HLG. 1959. Biology of aphids. Ann Rev Entomol 4 (1): 139-60. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.en.04.010159.001035.
- Kumar S, Bhowmick MK, Ray P. 2021. Weeds as alternate and alternative hosts of crop pests. Indian J Weed Sci 53 (1): 14-29. DOI: 10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2.
- Kummel M, Brown D, Bruder A. 2013. How the aphids got their spots: predation drives self-organization of aphid colonies in a patchy habitat. Oikos 122 (6): 896-906. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2012.20805.x.
- Liu, Xiang Dong, Ting Ting Xu, and Hai Xia Lei. 2017. "Refuges and Host Shift Pathways of Host-Specialized Aphids *Aphis Gossypii*." Scientific Reports 7(1):1–9. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4.
- Maharani Y, Hidayat P, Rauf A, Maryana N. 2018. Short Communication: New records of aphid species subfamily Aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 19 (2):460-65. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d190219.
- Meuninck J. 2023. Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham, Maryland, US.
- Mo, Carmen, and Angela M. Smilanich. 2023. "Feeding on an Exotic Host Plant Enhances Plasma Levels of Phenoloxidase by Modulating Feeding Ef Fi Cieny in a Specialist Insect Herbivore." Frontiers in Physiology 14(February):1–10. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1127670.
- Naidu VSGR. 2012. Hand Book on Weed Identification. Directorate of Weed Science Research, Jabalpur, India.
- Nelson AS, Mooney KA. 2022. The evolution and ecology of interactions between ants and honeydew-producing hemipteran insects. Ann Rev Ecol Evol Syst 53: 379-402. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840.
- Pettersson J, Tjallingii WF, Hardie J. 2017. Host-plant selection and feeding. In: Aphids As Crop Pests. CABI, Wallingford, UK. DOI: 10.1079/9781780647098.0173.
- Singh, Rajendra, and Garima Singh. 2021. "Aphids." Pp. 105–82 in Polyphagous Pests of Crops. Springer. Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-8075-8_3.
- Tegelaar K, Hagman M, Glinwood R, Pettersson J, Leimar O. 2012. Ant-aphid mutualism: The influence of ants on the aphid summer cycle." Oikos 121 (1): 61-66. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x.
- Yamamoto, Tetsuya, Mitsuru Hattori, and Takao Itino. 2020. "Seasonal Migration in the Aphid Genus *Stomaphis* (Hemiptera : Aphididae): Discovery of Host Alternation Between Woody Plants in Subfamily Lachninae." Journal of Insect Science 20(5):1–10. doi: 10.1093/jisesa/ieaa103.
- Zheng Z, Zhao M, Zhang Z, Hu X, Xu Y, Wei C. 2022. Lactic acid bacteria are prevalent in the infrabuccal pockets and crops of ants that prefer aphid honeydew. Front Microbiol 12 (1): 1-17. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.785016.

7. Bukti konfirmasi accepted submission, uncorrected Proof dan billing
10 Januari 2024





KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN DAN KEBUDAYAAN
UNIVERSITAS SRIWIJAYA
UPT BAHASA

Jalan Srijaya Negara Bukit Besar, Palembang 30169 Telp. & Faks. 0711-354981
Jalan Raya Palembang-Prabumulih KM.32 Indralaya Telp. 0711-580064
Email: uptbahasa@unsri.ac.id

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Number: 0025/UN9/UPT.BHS/2023

UPT Bahasa Universitas Sriwijaya, hereby verifies that the scientific paper entitled **"Species of Aphids Found in Ornamental and Wild Plants in Highland, Pagar Alam, South Sumatra"** written by Chandra Irsan, Erise Anggraini, and Wenny Ramadhani has been professionally proofread by providing some input (such as the consistency and accuracy in grammar, spelling, punctuation, and wording) so that the English used in the paper is academically correct and appropriate.

Thus this certificate is made for proper use.



Palembang, 6 September 2023

Hendri

Des. Damaris MSLS

NIP. 196201021088031004



Biodiversitas & Nusantara Biosci.

Society for Indonesian Biodiversity
Jl. Ir. Sutami 36 A Surakarta 57126
Tel./Fax: 0271-663375, email: unsjournals@gmail.com
<http://biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id/>
<http://biosains.mipa.uns.ac.id/nusbioscience.htm>

INVOICE

for AUTHOR

DATE	03/01/2024
CUSTOMER ID	696
DUE DATE	10/01/2024

BILL TO

CHANDRA IRSAN
Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya
Kampus Indralaya, Jl. Palembang-Prabumulih, KM 32 Indralaya Ogan Ilir 30662, South Sumatra, Indonesia
Tel.: +62-711-580059
email: chandrairsan@fp.unsri.ac.id

Title:
Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants in Highland, Pagar Alam District, South Sumatra, Indonesia

DESCRIPTION	TAXED	AMOUNT (IDR)
Payment for manuscript publication		4.500.000,00
Payment for English improvement		-
Cost reduction for manuscript presented in the SIB Meeting		-

Subtotal	4.500.000,00
Taxable	-
Tax rate	0,00%
Tax due	-
Other	-
TOTAL IDR	4.500.000,00

OTHER COMMENTS

1. Currency exchange: USD 1 = IDR 14,000
2. Total bill: IDR 4,500,000,-
3. Transfer to BNI (BNINIDJA), Acc. no. 0356986994 (Dewi Nur Pratiwi)
4. Send the proof of payment to finance@smujo.id and a carbon copy (CC) to unsjournals@gmail.com

If you have any questions about this invoice, please contact
Dewi NP. HP +62-812-9165-0588, email: dewinp11@gmail.com
Terimakasih atas partisipasi anda

RECEIPT

Date 05/01/2024

No. 696

Received From CHANDRA IRSAN

Amount IDR Rp 4.500.000,00

Amount EMPAT JUTA LIMA RATUS RIBU RUPIAH

For Payment of Biaya publikasi naskah dengan judul:

"Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants in Highland, Pagar Alam District, South Sumatra, Indonesia"



Received

Dewi Nur Pratiwi
Bendahara

Paid by ☐ Cash
☒ BNI transfer 356986994
☐ Money Order

Account Amt	Rp	4.500.000,00
This Payment	Rp	4.500.000,00
Balance Due	Rp	-

Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants in Highland, Pagar Alam District, South Sumatra, Indonesia

CHANDRA IRSAN^{1,✉}, ERISE ANGGRAINI^{1,2}, WENNY RAMADHANI³

¹Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Kampus Indralaya, Jl. Palembang-Prabumulih, KM 32 Indralaya Ogan Ilir 30662, South Sumatra, Indonesia. Tel.: +62-711-580059, ✉email: chandrairsan@fp.unsri.ac.id

²Prograsm Study of Agroecotechcnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Kampus Indralaya, Jl. Palembang-Prabumulih, KM 32 Indralaya Ogan Ilir 30662, South Sumatra, Indonesia

³Plant Quarantine. Jl. Kol. H. Barlian Km. 6 No. 78 Srijaya, Alang-Alang Lebar, Palembang 30153, South Sumatera, Indonesia

Manuscript received: 8 September 2023. Revision accepted: xxx December 2023.

Abstract. Irsan C, Anggraini E, Ramadhani W. 2023. Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants in Highland, Pagar Alam District, South Sumatra, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 24: xxxx-xxxx. Aphids are one of the crucial pests in tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species, such as wild plants, were found on plants that were not hosts. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants. The field research employed purposive and direct observation to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants and wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants and aphids involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. This study revealed that the total of 15 species aphids found in Ornamental plants, *Aphis craccivora* Koch, 1854, *Aphis citricola* van der Goot, 1912, *Aphis glycines* Matsumura, 1917, *Aphis gossypii* Glover, 1877, *Aulacorthum solani* Kaltenbach, 1843, *Macrosiphoniella sanborni* Gillette, 1908, *Macrosiphum rosae* Linnaeus, 1758, *Myzus persicae* Sulzer, 1776, *Neomyzus circumflexus* Buckton, 1876, *Pentalonia caladii* van der Goot, 1917, *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* Linnaeus, 1761, *Sinemegoura citricola* van der Goot, 1917, *Toxoptera aurantii* Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1841, *Toxoptera citricidus* Kirkaldy, 1907, *Toxoptera odinae* van der Goot, 1917 and the total of 11 species aphids found in weeds, *A. gossypii*, *A. craccivora*, *A. glycines*, *A. citricola*, *Greenidea* sp., *Hysteronura setariae* Thomas, 1878, *Hiperomyzus* sp., *Lipaphis erysimi* Kaltenbach, 1843, *Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch, 1856, *Rhopalosiphum padi* Linnaeus, 1758, *Schizaphis rotundiventris* Signoret, 1860.

Keywords: Aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

INTRODUCTION

Aphids are crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy and Stroyan 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Bass et al. 2014). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhav et al. 2020); aphids can transmit 275 viruses (Ertunc 2020). In tropical areas, aphids can be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman and Eastop 2017). Aphids suck phloem sap of tender plant parts, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Cao et al. 2018). Moreover, vector species can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones 2022). Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Many aphid species are found on plants that are not their actual hosts (Maharani et al. 2018). Aphids have one or more secondary, or alternative, host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al. 2020). Alternative plants provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain

environmental conditions (Kumar et al. 2021). In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and native plants. Research on the diversity of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has less noticed. This study reports the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants found in this area. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting and collecting aphids. The plant selection included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. Where available, collecting and identifying host plants, aphids, and natural enemies involved systematic searches of all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids are documented as aphid hosts. Aphid identification was done using identification keys (Blackman and Eastop 2008) in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on

morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using the weed identification handbook (Kallas 2010; Naidu 2012; Meuninck 2023). The location and aphid colony sizes, including their life color, and photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

Aphids infesting in ornamental plants

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagar Alam (Tables 1 and 2). These aphids mostly colonized flowers of various ornamental plants (Table 1, Figure 1).

The relationship between aphids and ants was also recorded. Aphids produce a sweet, sticky substance called honeydew; ants are attracted to this honey because it serves as a food source. When aphids are present, they secrete honeydew, which attracts ants. This research recorded the presence of ants on plant parts colonized by aphids (Table 2).

Aphids infesting in wild plants (weed or non-weed plants)

In addition, this study documented aphid colonies on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves, and old leaves of wild plants (Table 3, Figure 2).

The presence of ants in aphid colonization symbolizes a mutually beneficial relationship where the ants receive food from the aphids while protecting them. This study recorded the ant attendance in aphids colonization (Table 4).




Figure 1. Photos showing colonies of different aphid species in ornamental plants: A. *Aphis gossypii* in *Dahlia* sp. flower; B. *Aphis gossypii* in *Hibiscus rosinensis* flower; C. *Aphis gossypii* in *Cestrum* twig and flower; D. *Aphis craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower; E. *Aphis glycines* in *Helianthus giganteus* flower; F. *Aphis craccivora* on the *Murayya paniculata* flower; G. *Toxoptera odinae* in the *Mussaenda frondosa*; H. *Macrosiphoniella sanborni*. in *Chrysanthemum* sp. Leaves; I. *Macrosiphum rosae* in *Rosa indica* flower; J. *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* in *Canna indica* leaves. Chandra Irsan captured all the photos

Table 1. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and their colony locations

Host plant	Aphid species	Colony location
<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	Leaves, young twig, flower
<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	Leaves, flower
	<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	Leaves
	<i>Myzus persicae</i>	Leaves, flower
<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia caladii</i>	Leaves,
<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Leaves, flower
<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae</i>	Leaf
<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, flower
<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoot, flower
	<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	Young leaves
<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flower
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp.	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	Shoot, twig
<i>Dahlia</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	Flower
<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Helianthus giganteus</i>	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	Flower
<i>Hibiscus rosinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
	<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	Shoot, young leaves
<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Flower
	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
	<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Young Twig
	<i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, flower
	<i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	Flower
<i>Spondias dulcis</i>	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Flower

Table 2. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and the presence of the ants in the plant parts colonized

Aphid species	Ornamental plants	Aphids life color	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance	Total individual of ant
<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	Black	Flowers	+	3
	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	Black	Flowers	+	2
<i>Aphis citricola</i>	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	Greenish yellow	Flowers	+	2
	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	greenish yellow	Flowers	+	3
	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	greenish yellow	Shoots, flowers	+	7
	<i>Spondias dulcis</i>	greenish yellow	Flowers	+	8
<i>Aphis glycines</i>	<i>Helianthus giganteus</i>	Greenish yellow	Flowers	+	3
<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	Green	Shoots, flowers	+	4
	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	Light green	Shoots, flowers	+	1
	<i>Dahlia</i> sp.	Green dark	Flowers	+	2
	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	Light green	Shoots, flowers	+	5
	<i>Hibiscus rosinensis</i>	Dark green	Flowers	+	6
	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	Light green	Flowers	+	2
	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	Light green	Flowers	+	7
<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	Greenish yellow	Leaves, flowers	-	0
<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	Brown black	Leaves, twigs, flowers	+	5
	<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp.	Reddish brown	Leaves, twigs	+	5
<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	<i>Rosa indica</i>	Green	Flowers	-	0
<i>Myzus persicae</i>	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	Greenish yellow	Leaves, flowers	-	0
<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	Light green	Young leaves, flowers	-	0
	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	Light green	Flowers	-	0
<i>Pentalonia caladii</i>	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	Brown-black	Leaves	+	7
<i>Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae</i>	<i>Canna indica</i>	Green black	Leaves	+	1
<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	Brown	Flowers	-	0
<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	Brown black	Flowers	+	5
	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	Brown black	Flowers	+	4
<i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	Black	Stems	+	6
<i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	Reddish-brown	Flowers	+	4

Notes: (+) = present; (-) = absent




Figure 2. Aphids found infesting wild plants A. *Aphis gossypii* in *Ageratum conyzoides*; B. *Aphis gossypii* in *Croton hirtus*; C. *Aphis gossypii* in *Eupatorium odoratum*; D. *Aphis gossypii* in *Pachystochys* sp.; E. *Pentalonia caladii* in *Caladium* sp.; F. *Aphis gossypii* in *Alternanthera sessilis*; G. *Aphis gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae*; H. *Aphis gossypii* in *Euphorbia hirta*; I. *Aphis citricola* in *Phyllanthus nerruri*; J. *Aphis citricola* in *Sida rhombifolia*; K. *Aphis citricola* in *Annona muricata*; L. *Aphis citricola* in *Ludwigia peruviana*; M. *A. craccivora* in *Mimosa pudica*; N. *Aphis craccivora* in *Amaranthus gracilis*; O. *Aphis glycine* in *Mikania micrantha*; P. *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusine* sp.; Q. *Greenidae* sp. in *Bridelia tomentosa* young leaves.; R. *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinochloa crusgali*; S. *Lipaphis erysimi* in *sonchus arvensis*; T. *Rhopalosiphum padi* in *Oryza rufipogon*; U. *Rhopalosiphum maidis* in *Oryza rufipogon*. All the photos were captured by Chandra Irsan

Table 3. Species of aphids found in wild (weed or non-weed) plants and their colony locations

Host plant	Weeds or non-weed plants	Aphid species	Colony location
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
<i>Blumea lacera</i>	Weed	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flowers, shoots, and buds
<i>Croton hirtus</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	Weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	Weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	Weed	<i>Hystroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks
<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	Weed	<i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	Young leaves, old leaves
<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
<i>Eleusin indica</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
		<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower, flower stalks, shoots
<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, seeds
<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves
<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves,
		<i>Aphis glycines</i>	Shoot, young twigs
<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Bridelia tomentosa</i>	Non-weed	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	Young leaves
<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
		<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
<i>Melastoma affine</i>	Non-weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
<i>Mikania micrantha</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
		<i>Aphis glycines</i>	Shoot, young twig
<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods, flowers
<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	Non-weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	Weed	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> ,	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils
	Weed	<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils
<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteroneura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, seeds
<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis citricola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs, petioles
<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, flowers
<i>Physalis angulata</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
<i>Rorippa indica</i>	Weed	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Flowers, fruits, shoots, young leaves
<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
<i>Sonchus arventris</i>	Weed	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flowers, fruits

Table 4. Aphid species were recorded in wild plants, and the presence of ants in the plant parts colonized

Aphid species	Wild plants	Aphids life color	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance	Total individual of ant
<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	Light green	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers	+	5
	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	Light green	Shoots, buds	+	3
	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	Light green	Shoots, buds	-	0
	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	Dark green	Shoots, buds	+	7
	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	Green	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+	5
	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	Green	old leaves, young twigs	+	6
	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	Light green	Shoots, young leaves	+	7
	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	Light green	Flower, flower stalks, shoots	+	8
	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	Light green	Young leaves, old leaves	+	8
	<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i>	Light green	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+	9
	<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i>	Yellowish	twigs	+	10
	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	green	Shoots, young leaves	-	0
	<i>Mikania micrantha</i>	Yellowish	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves		
	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	green	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds		
	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>		fruit/seeds		
<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i>	Black	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+	3
	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	Black	old leaves	+	2
	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	Black	Shoots, pods	+	3
	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	Black	Shoots, pods, flowers	+	4
	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	Black	Shoots, pods	+	7

	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	Black	Shoots, young leaves, flowers	+	4
<i>Aphis glycines</i>	<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i>	Greenish yellow	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves		
			Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+	6
	<i>Mikania micrantha</i>	Light green		+	4
<i>Aphis citricola</i>	<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	Greenish yellow	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves		
			Shoot, young leaves, young twigs, petioles	+	5
<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	<i>Bridelia Tomentosa</i>	Greenish yellow	Young leaves	-	0
<i>Hystroneura setariae</i>	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalks	+	3
	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	4
	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalks, seeds	+	4
	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	Reddish-brown	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	3
	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	Reddish-brown	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils	+	6
	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalk, leaf axils	+	3
	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>		Flower, flower stalk, seeds	+	6
	<i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.				
	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>				
	<i>Echinocloa crussgali</i>	Black	Young leaves, old leaves	-	0
	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	Whitish green	Flowers, shoots, and buds	+	4
	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	Whitish green	Flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves	+	4
	<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	Whitish green	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flowers, fruit	+	5
<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	Green	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	3
	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	Green	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils	+	4
	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	Green	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils	-	0
<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i>	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	Whitish green	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils	+	4
<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Green	Flowers, flower stalks	+	6
	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	Green	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	4
	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	Green	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	4

Notes: (+): present, (-): absent

Discussion

In the present study, some aphid species were found on several ornamental plants in Pagar Alam, the location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Aster alpinus* L., aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Macrosiphoniella sanborni* Gillette, 1908 associated with ants. On the *Brugmansia suaveolens* (Humb. & Bonpl. ex Willd.) Bercht. & J. Presl, *Myzus persicae* Sulzer, 1776 were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have turned yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. was found one species of aphids: *Pentalonia caladii* van der Goot, 1917. *P. caladii* was known and found in taro plants; the aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves (Bhadra and Agarwala 2014). This study found that the occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms; the aphids were yellow-green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odorata* (Lam.) Hook.f. & Thomson (ylang-ylang), colonies of *Toxoptera aurantii* Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1841 were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The *T. aurantii*

colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants. Aphids on *Canna indica* L. (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body and the identification results showed that the aphids were *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* Linnaeus, 1761 (Ghosh and Singh 2004). The colonies of *R. nymphaeae* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (L.) G. Don (periwinkle), *Aphis citricola* van der Goot, 1912 aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts showed no disease symptoms. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or stalks that had not fully bloomed; the colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green, with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Aphis gossypii* Glover, 1877. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants. Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* L. were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems, and young

leaves. The aphids were brown to black. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Aphis craccivora* Koch, 1854. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia* sp. formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. According to this present study, *Sinemegoura citricola* van der Goot, 1917 colonies were found on the young leaves of *Dendrobium* sp., with the color body of the *S. citricola* aphids were yellow, green to dark green, and the colonized plants showing no disease symptoms, and were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp., colonies of aphids on the undersides of young leaves, and the colonized plant parts showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The aphids were green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Furthermore, on the *Helianthus annuus* L., aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The colonized flowers, especially the crowns, tended to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow ~~in color~~. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Myzus ornatus* Laing, 1932. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that Aphididae parasitized were found. On the *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* L., aphids ranging from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa* (Blume) Kurz. First, the aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, like sugar. The aphids had yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless adults having a powdery white upper surface. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii* almost always associated with ants. The second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants.

Moreover, two forms of aphids were discovered in *Ixora* sp. flower plants. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves, and unopened flowers; the affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from yellow and green to a slightly darker green. Sometimes, the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white, resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost always associated with ants. Another species of aphids formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce plant disease symptoms. The aphids were yellow or yellowish green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also frequently found in association with ants. Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa* L., each forming colonies in different locations. The first type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Toxoptera odinae* van der Goot, 1917. The aphids were yellow, green, and dark green (Blackman et al. 2011). The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts. The plant parts they infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow-green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. Many aphid species infest various ornamental plants because these insects are attracted to such plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Braham et al. 2023).

The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids. This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* L. was infested by *A. gossypii*. These aphids formed colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green, yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* (Mart.) Griseb., or alligator grass, was also colonized by *A. gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* (L.) R.Br. ex DC. was colonized by *A. gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots, flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large, and often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus gracilis* Desf. was infested by *A. craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers, and young and old leaves. They were dark brown to black, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* (Burm.fil.) DC. was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* Kaltenbach, 1843. These aphids were bright green and of medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower

stalks, and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* L'Hér., or fire grass, was infested by *A. gossypii*; the aphids were yellow-green to dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds, and flowers, often forming large colonies. *Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers. or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* Signoret, 1860. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks, and sometimes the plant leaf axils. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to reddish brown. They were associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* L., or nut grass, was infested by *S. rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants. The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* L., or grass puzzle, was colonized by *S. rotundiventris* aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks, and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* (Retz.) Koeler was infested by *Hysteronura setariae* Thomas 1878 aphids, with small colonies scattered on the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P.Beauv., or water hyacinth plants, were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Eclipta prostrata* (L.) L., or urang-aring, was colonized by *A. gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants. *Eleusin indica* (L.) Gaertn. was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteronura setariae* Thomas, 1878 and *Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch, 1856. *H. setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks, and leaf axils, resulting in large colonies. *H. setariae*'s body color ranged from red-brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis* formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *R. maidis* colonies were always associated with ants. The plant *Emilia sonchifolia* (L.) DC. ex Wight, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *A. gossypii*; the aphids were yellow to green in color. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves. *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *H. setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red-brown. Small colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae* were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* L., or wart grass, was colonized by *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in color. *A. gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupatorium odoratum* L. was colonized by *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young

leaves, old leaves, and young twigs. Young leaves colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *A. gossypii* found in this plant showed yellow-green to dark-green body color. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants. *Hymenachne acutigluma* (Steud.) Gilliland, or hair axis, was colonized by *H. setariae*, which formed colonies on the flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia* sp., or *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* Brongn. or bamboo grass plants, were colonized by two species of aphids: *H. setariae* and *R. maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *H. setariae* aphids were brown to red-brown. *R. maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *R. maidis* aphids were green to bright green, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix. In addition, *Melastoma affine* D.Don was colonized by *A. gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly emerging shoots and newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body color of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania micrantha* Kunth was colonized by *A. gossypii* and *Aphis glycines* Matsumura, 1917. *A. gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and curled leaves. *A. glycine* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *A. glycines* aphids were light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a single colony. *Mimosa invisa* Mart. ex Colla (cater-grass) was colonized by *A. craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa pudica* L. was observed to be colonized by *A. craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots, and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa pigra* L. was colonized by *A. craccivora*. The colonies of aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Oryza rufipogon* Griff. was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum macr* and *R. maidis*. Both aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species could be distinguished by their body color. *R. maidis* appeared green

with black siphunculi and cauda, while *Rhopalosiphum padi* Linnaeus, 1758 appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis* and *R. padi* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants. *Axonopus compressus* (Sw.) P.Beauv., or *pait* grass, was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower stalks, seeds, and sometimes the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown. Small colonies were formed, and they were also consistently associated with ants. *Paspalum conjugatum* was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phyllanthus neruri* L. was colonized by *A. citricola*. The colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted, and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black siphunculi and cauda; the colonies formed were large. *Portulaca oleracea* L. plants were colonized by *A. craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleracea* plants formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The colonized plant parts became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that appeared glossy black. *Physalis angulata* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with glossy black wingless imagoes. *A. craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant parts did not show disease symptoms. *Rorippa indica* (L.) Hiern, or mustard land, was colonized by *L. erysimi*. The colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks, and the lower leaf's surface. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* L., or cacabean, was colonized by *A. gossypii*. The aphids had green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks, and flower petals. The colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* L. plants were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colors, and the colonies formed on flower stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically displayed typical damage symptoms, but some did not show any symptoms at all. Generally, the plants' symptoms due to aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves. These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition occurred because the colonized parts had reached maximum growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the leaves and plant parts were old. Furthermore, the old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid infestations.

The plant parts exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a cessation in growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued growing, resulting in some parts developing ordinary while others became stunted (Pettersson et al. 2017). This condition could lead to bending shoots or young stems, curling leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants was often easier to recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al. 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the aphid colonies. According to this study, ants were present in some aphid colonies from the subfamily Aphidinae, while the ants were absent in some aphid colonies from the macrosiphini subfamily. The bodies of aphids from the subfamily Aphidinae are relatively small and have short siphunculi. On the other hand, aphids, which have large bodies and relatively long siphunculi, are never visited by ants. This happens because long siphunculi are reported to disturb ants, so the ants don't like to come close. Additionally, large aphids and long siphunculi generally do not produce honeydew, so ants do not want to come close.

The absence of ants in aphid colonies could be because the colonies have just formed or the population is still low (Kummel et al. 2013). Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive plant parts. Flowers contain a nutrient-rich nature and easy access to sap; therefore, aphids were attracted to flower saps. In addition, some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Jakubczyk et al. 2022). Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consume sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as sap. Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilize needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brožek et al. 2015). Several aphids colonized herbs such as Indian mustards, *L. erysimi*, and *M. persicae*, the most devastating insects, infesting leaves, stems, and floral parts (Jayaswal et al. 2022). Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive food sources (Nelson and Mooney 2022). The honeydew contained abundant sugars extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Zheng et al. 2022). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often farm aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants protected aphids from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-Jamouri et al. 2018). Certain ant species would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al. 2021). Honeydew

not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their progeny (Biedermann and Vega 2020). Ornamental plants, and also weeds are generally grown with simple maintenance and usually free pesticides. The ecological habitat of ornamental plants and weeds is assumed to be the same. Therefore, many species of aphids found on ornamental plants were also found on weeds.

In conclusion total of 15 species aphids found in ornamental plants, *A. craccivora*, *A. citricola*, *A. glycines*, *A. gossypii*, *A. solani*, *M. sanborni*, *M. rosae*, *M. persicae*, *N. circumflexus*, *P. caladii*, *R. nymphaeae*, *S. citricola*, *T. aurantii*, *T. citricidus*, *T. odinae*. The total of 11 species aphids found in weeds, *A. gossypii*, *A. craccivora*, *A. glycines*, *A. citricola*, *Greenidea* sp., *H. setariae*, *Hiperomyzus* sp., *L. erysimi*, *R. maidis*, *R. padi*, *S. rotundiventris*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, who supported this research. This research is a part of contract number 0188/UN9.3.1/SK/2023, 18 April 2023, with the chairman Chandra Irsan.

REFERENCES

- Ghosh S, Singh R. 2004. Aphids on medicinal plants in North East India (Insecta : Homoptera : Aphididae). *Rec Zool Surv India* 102 (1-2): 169-186. DOI: 10.26515/rzsi/v103/i1-2/2004/159495.
- Bass C, Puinean AM, Zimmer CT, Denholm I, Field LM, Foster SP, Gutbrod O, Nauen R, Slater R, Williamson MS. 2014. The evolution of insecticide resistance in the peach potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 51: 41-51. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003.
- Bhadra P, Agarwala BK. 2014. On the morphological and genotypic variations of two congeneric species of banana aphid *Pentalonia* (Homoptera : Aphididae) from India. *Aadv Life Sci* 2 (3): 75-81. DOI: 10.5932/j.als.20120203.06.
- Biedermann PHW, Vega FE. 2020. Ecology and evolution of insect-fungus mutualisms. *Ann Rev Entomol* 65: 431-55. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910.
- Blackman RL, Eastop VF. 2008. Aphids On The World's Herbaceous Plants And Shrubs 2 Volume Set. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Blackman RL, Eastop VF. 2017. Taxonomic Issues. CABI, Wallingford, UK. DOI: 10.1079/9781780647098.0001.
- Blackman RL, Sorin M, Miyazaki M. 2011. Sexual morphs and colour variants of *Aphis* (Formerly Toxoptera) Odinae (Hemiptera, Aphididae) in Japan. *Zootaxa* 3110 (1): 53-60. DOI: 10.11646/zootaxa.3110.1.5.
- Braham M, Boulahia-kheder S, Kahia M, Noura S. 2023. Aphids and Citrus responses to nitrogen fertilization. *J Saudi Soc Agric Sci* 22 (6):374-83. DOI: 10.1016/j.jssas.2023.03.003.
- Brożek J, Mróz E, Wylęzek D, Depa Ł, Węgierek P. 2015. The structure of extremely long mouthparts in the aphid genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae). *Zoomorphology* 134:431-45. DOI: 10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7.
- Cao HH, Zhang ZF, Wang XF, Liu TX. 2018. Nutrition versus defense : Why *Myzus persicae* (Green peach aphid) prefers and performs better on young leaves of cabbage *PloS One* 13 (4):1-16. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0196219.
- Clarke R, Kehoe MA, Broughton S, Jones RAC. 2020. Host plant affiliations of aphid vector species found in a remote tropical environment. *Virus Res* 281: 197934. DOI: 10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934.
- Ertunc F. 2020. Emerging plant viruses. In: *Emerging and Reemerging Viral Pathogens*. Academic Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Gadhare KR, Gautam S, Rasmussen DA, Srinivasan R. 2020. Aphid transmission of potyvirus: The largest plant-infecting RNA virus genus. *Viruses* 12 (7): 773. DOI: 10.3390/v12070773.
- Giannetti D, Mandrioli M, Schifani E, Castracani C, Spotti FA, Mori A, Grasso DA. 2021. First report on the acrobat ant *crematogaster* *scutellaris* storing live aphids in its oak-gall nests. *Insects* 12 (2): 108. DOI: 10.3390/insects12020108.
- Jakubczyk K, Koprowska K, Gottschling A, Janda-Milczarek K. 2022. Edible flowers as a source of dietary fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a potential athlete's dietary supplement. *Nutrients* 14 (12): 2470. DOI: 10.3390/nu14122470.
- Jayaswal D, Mainkar P, Kumar K, Agarwal Y, Prabha R. 2022. Pyramiding and evaluation of segregating lines containing lectin and protease inhibitor genes for aphid resistance in *Brassica juncea*. *Indian J Biochem Biophys* 59 (8): 800-807. DOI: 10.56042/ijbb.v59i8.62319.
- Jones RAC. 2022. Alteration of plant species mixtures by virus infection: Managed pastures the forgotten dimension. *Plant Pathol* 71 (6): 1255-81. DOI: DOI: 10.1111/ppa.13571.
- Kallas J. 2010. *Edible Wild Plants*. Gibbs Smith, Layton, Utah.
- Karami-Jamouri T, Mirmoayedi A, Zamani A, Khajehzadeh Y. 2018. The impact of ant attendance on protecting *Aphis gossypii* against two aphidophagous predators and it's role on the intraguild predation between them. *J Insect Behav* 31: 222-39. DOI: 10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4.
- Kennedy JS, Stroyan HLG. 1959. Biology of aphids. *Ann Rev Entomol* 4 (1): 139-60. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.en.04.010159.001035.
- Kumar S, Bhowmick MK, Ray P. 2021. Weeds as alternate and alternative hosts of crop pests. *Indian J Weed Sci* 53 (1): 14-29. DOI: 10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2.
- Kummel M, Brown D, Bruder A. 2013. How the aphids got their spots: predation drives self-organization of aphid colonies in a patchy habitat. *Oikos* 122 (6): 896-906. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2012.20805.x.
- Maharani Y, Hidayat P, Rauf A, Maryana N. 2018. Short Communication: New records of aphid species subfamily Aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 19 (2):460-65. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d190219.
- Meuninck J. 2023. *Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs*. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham, Maryland, US.
- Naidu VSGR. 2012. *Hand Book on Weed Identification*. Directorate of Weed Science Research, Jabalpur, India.
- Nelson AS, Mooney KA. 2022. The evolution and ecology of interactions between ants and honeydew-producing hemipteran insects. *Ann Rev Ecol Syst* 53: 379-402. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840.
- Pettersson J, Tjallingii WF, Hardie J. 2017. Host-plant selection and feeding. In: *Aphids As Crop Pests*. CABI, Wallingford, UK. DOI: 10.1079/9781780647098.0173.
- Tegelaar K, Hagman M, Glinwood R, Pettersson J, Leimar O. 2012. Ant-aphid mutualism: The influence of ants on the aphid summer cycle." *Oikos* 121 (1): 61-66. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x.
- Zheng Z, Zhao M, Zhang Z, Hu X, Xu Y, Wei C. 2022. Lactic acid bacteria are prevalent in the infrabuccal pockets and crops of ants that prefer aphid honeydew. *Front Microbiol* 12 (1): 1-17. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.785016.

Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagar Alam District, South Sumatra, Indonesia

CHANDRA IRSAN^{1,✉}, ERISE ANGGRAINI^{1,2}, WENNY RAMADHANI³

¹Department of Plant Pests and Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Kampus Indralaya, Jl. Palembang-Prabumulih, Km. 32 Inderalaya Ogan Ilir 30662, South Sumatra, Indonesia. Tel.: +62-711-580059, ✉email: chandrairsan@fp.unsri.ac.id

²Prograsm Study of Agroecotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Kampus Indralaya, Jl. Palembang-Prabumulih, Km. 32 Inderalaya Ogan Ilir 30662, South Sumatra, Indonesia

³Plant Quarantine. Jl. Kol. H. Barlian Km. 6 No. 78, Srijaya, Alang-Alang Lebar, Palembang 30153, South Sumatera, Indonesia

Manuscript received: 8 September 2023. Revision accepted: 22 December 2023.

Abstract. Irsan C, Anggraini E, Ramadhani W. 2023. *Species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants in Pagar Alam District, South Sumatra, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 24: 6602-6612.* Aphids are one of the crucial pests in tropical and sub-tropical regions. The presence of aphids in a plant can be very detrimental due to their role as vectors. Aphids exhibit species diversity, but not much information has been reported about the species diversity of aphids associated with essential crops such as ornamental plants. Furthermore, many aphid species, such as wild plants, were found on plants that were not hosts. Therefore, this study reported the species of aphids found in ornamental and wild plants. The field research employed purposive and direct observation to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting and collecting aphids. The plant selection process included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants and wild plants or weeds. The collection and identification of host plants and aphids involved systematic searches for the selected plants and subsequent examination for the presence of aphids. Observations were made to all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. This study revealed that a total of 15 species of aphids were found in Ornamental plants, *Aphis craccivora* Koch, 1854, *Aphis spiraeicola* Patch, 1914, *Aphis glycines* Matsumura, 1917, *Aphis gossypii* Glover, 1877, *Aulacorthum solani* Kaltenbach, 1843, *Macrosiphoniella sanborni* Gillette, 1908, *Macrosiphum rosae* Linnaeus, 1758, *Myzus persicae* Sulzer, 1776, *Neomyzus circumflexus* Buckton, 1876, *Pentalonia caladii* van der Goot, 1917, *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* Linnaeus, 1761, *Sinemegoura citricola* van der Goot, 1917, *Toxoptera aurantii* Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1841, *Toxoptera citricidus* Kirkaldy, 1907, *Toxoptera odinae* van der Goot, 1917 and the total of 11 species aphids found in weeds, *A. gossypii*, *A. craccivora*, *A. glycines*, *A. citricola*, *Greenidea* sp., *Hystrotraneura setariae* Thomas, 1878, *Hiperomyzus* sp., *Lipaphis erysimi* Kaltenbach, 1843, *Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch, 1856, *Rhopalosiphum padi* Linnaeus, 1758, *Schizaphis rotundiventris* Signoret, 1860.

Keywords: Aphids, ornamental plants, wild plants

INTRODUCTION

Aphids are crucial pests in the tropics and sub-tropics, exhibiting various polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous characteristics (Kennedy and Stroyan 1959). One species of aphids can host more than 400 species from 40 families (Bass et al. 2014). In addition to pests, aphids can also be vectors of plant viral diseases (Gadhav et al. 2020); aphids can transmit 275 viruses (Ertunc 2020). In tropical areas, aphids can be found throughout the year due to their parthenogenetic nature of reproduction (Blackman and Eastop 2017). Aphids suck phloem sap of tender plant parts, which can deplete essential nutrients for healthy growth (Cao et al. 2018). Moreover, vector species can further weaken and stunt the growth of infected plants (Jones 2022). In addition, the honeydew that aphids secrete can lead to the growth of sooty mold, a black fungus that can prevent sunlight from reaching the plant's leaves, thereby impairing photosynthesis, the process by which plants produce food (Singh and Singh 2021). Therefore, it is crucial to control aphid populations in gardens and crops.

Many aphid species are found on plants that are not their actual hosts (Maharani et al. 2018). Aphids have one

or more secondary or alternative host plants in addition to their primary host plants, which are the types of plants they feed on most frequently (Clarke et al. 2020). Alternative plants provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al. 2021). These secondary hosts may offer less adequate nutrition for insects (Mo and Smilanich 2023). However, they may provide a means of survival when primary hosts are unavailable, during certain seasons, or under certain environmental conditions (Kumar et al. 2021). According to Liu et al. (2017), hibiscus serves as an overwintering host for cotton-specialized aphids but not for cucurbit-specialized aphids; it is evident that host-specialized aphids have refuges during times of food shortage. The life cycles of numerous aphid species exhibit such complexity (Jousselin et al. 2010). They maintain a cycle of host alternation, shifting between their primary hosts (typically woody plants) and secondary hosts (often herbaceous plants) (Yamamoto et al. 2020). Weeds pose a continuous threat in both cropped and non-crop areas, providing food, shelter, and reproductive sites for various pest organisms

(Kumar et al. 2021). This indicates that weeds can serve as alternative hosts for aphids.

In South Sumatra, particularly in the highland areas like Pagar Alam, there are numerous ornamental and wild plants. Research on the inventory of aphid species in ornamental and wild plants has less noticed. This study reports the diversity of aphid species found in ornamental and wild plants found in this area. The findings from this study can serve as a valuable resource for aphid management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research employed a purposive and direct observation to inventory cultivated or wild plants hosting and collecting aphids. The plant selection included cultivated plants encompassing ornamental plants, as well as wild plants or weeds. Where available, collecting and identifying host plants, aphids, and natural enemies involved systematic searches of all existing plant species to find those colonized by aphids. Any plants colonized by aphids are documented as aphid hosts. Aphid identification was done using identification keys (Blackman and Eastop 2008) in the Laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya. Identification relied on morphological characteristics. The host plants were identified using the weed identification handbook (Kallas 2010; Naidu 2012; Meuninck 2023). The location and aphid colony sizes, including their life color, and

photographs of the aphid colonies and their host plants were recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

Aphids infesting in ornamental plants

The results showed that 15 aphid species were found in Pagar Alam (Tables 1 and 2). These aphids mostly colonized flowers of various ornamental plants (Table 1, Figure 1).

The relationship between aphids and ants was also recorded. Aphids produce a sweet, sticky substance called honeydew; ants are attracted to this honey because it serves as a food source. When aphids are present, they secrete honeydew, which attracts ants. This research recorded the presence of ants on plant parts colonized by aphids (Table 2).

Aphids infesting in wild plants (weed or non-weed plants)

In addition, this study documented aphid colonies on flowers, stalks, plant tops, young leaves, and old leaves of wild plants (Table 3, Figure 2).

The presence of ants in aphid colonization symbolizes a mutually beneficial relationship where the ants receive food from the aphids while protecting them. This study recorded the ant attendance in aphids colonization (Table 4).

Table 1. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and their colony locations

Host plant	Aphid species	Colony location
<i>Aster alpinus</i>	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	Leaves, young twig, flower
<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	Leaves, flower
	<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	Leaves
	<i>Myzus persicae</i>	Leaves, flower
<i>Caladium</i> sp.	<i>Pentalonia caladii</i>	Leaves,
<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Leaves, flower
<i>Canna indica</i>	<i>Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae</i>	Leaf
<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	<i>Aphis spiraeicola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, flower
<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoot, flower
	<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	Young leaves
<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flower
<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp.	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	Shoot, twig
<i>Dahlia</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	<i>Sinemogoura citricola</i>	Flower
<i>Duranta</i> sp.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Helianthus giganteus</i>	<i>Aphis glycines</i>	Flower
<i>Hibiscus rosinensis</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
	<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	Shoot, young leaves
<i>Ixora</i> sp.	<i>Aphis spiraeicola</i>	Flower
	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower
	<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Young Twig
	<i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	<i>Aphis spiraeicola</i>	Shoot, flower
	<i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	Shoot, flower
<i>Rosa indica</i>	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	Flower
<i>Spondias dulcis</i>	<i>Aphis spiraeicola</i>	Flower

Table 2. Aphid species recorded in ornamental plants and the presence of the ants in the plant parts colonized

Aphid species	Ornamental plants	Aphids life color	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance	Total individual of ant
<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	Black	Flowers	+	3
	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	Black	Flowers	+	2
<i>Aphis spiraeicola</i>	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	Greenish yellow	Flowers	+	2
	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	greenish yellow	Flowers	+	3
	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	greenish yellow	Shoots, flowers	+	7
	<i>Spondias dulcis</i>	greenish yellow	Flowers	+	8
<i>Aphis glycines</i>	<i>Helianthus giganteus</i>	Greenish yellow	Flowers	+	3
<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	Green	Shoots, flowers	+	4
	<i>Cananga odoratum</i>	Light green	Shoots, flowers	+	1
	<i>Dahlia</i> sp.	Green dark	Flowers	+	2
	<i>Duranta</i> sp.	Light green	Shoots, flowers	+	5
	<i>Hibiscus rosasinensis</i>	Dark green	Flowers	+	6
	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	Light green	Flowers	+	2
	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	Light green	Flowers	+	7
<i>Aulacorthum solani</i>	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	Greenish yellow	Leaves, flowers	-	0
<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	<i>Aster alpinus</i>	Brown black	Leaves, twigs, flowers	+	5
	<i>Chrysanthemum</i> sp.	Reddish brown	Leaves, twigs	+	5
<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	<i>Rosa indica</i>	Green	Flowers	-	0
<i>Myzus persicae</i>	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	Greenish yellow	Leaves, flowers	-	0
<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i>	<i>Cestrum</i> sp.	Light green	Young leaves, flowers	-	0
	<i>Brugmansia suaveolens</i>	Light green	Flowers	-	0
<i>Pentalonia caladii</i>	<i>Caladium</i> sp.	Brown-black	Leaves	+	7
<i>Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae</i>	<i>Canna indica</i>	Green black	Leaves	+	1
<i>Sinemegoura citricola</i>	<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	Brown	Flowers	-	0
<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i>	<i>Ixora paludosa</i>	Brown black	Flowers	+	5
	<i>Ixora</i> sp.	Brown black	Flowers	+	4
<i>Toxoptera citricidus</i>	<i>Murraya paniculata</i>	Black	Stems	+	6
<i>Toxoptera odinae</i>	<i>Mussaenda frondosa</i>	Reddish-brown	Flowers	+	4

Notes: (+) = present; (-) = absent

Discussion

In the present study, some aphid species were found on several ornamental plants in Pagar Alam, and the location of aphid colonization on the plants varied. On *Aster alpinus* L., aphids were found to form colonies on the stems or young leaf shoots, and the colonies were relatively large. The color of the aphids was dark brown to black. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms of stunting. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Macrosiphoniella sanborni* Gillette, 1908 associated with ants. On the *Brugmansia suaveolens* (Humb. & Bonpl. ex Willd.) Bercht. & J.Presl, *Myzus persicae* Sulzer, 1776 were found on the undersides of old leaves or leaves that have turned yellow. The colonies were relatively small. The aphids found were green and large bodies. The colonized plant parts did not show any signs of disease. On *Caladium* sp. one species of aphids was found: *Pentalonia caladii* van der Goot, 1917. *Pentalonia caladii* was known and found in taro plants; the aphids formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves (Bhadra and Agarwala 2014). This study found that the occupied leaf areas did not display severe symptoms; the aphids were yellow-green to dark green. The wingless adult aphids often had a white, flour-like appearance on their bodies. On the *Cananga odorata* (Lam.) Hook.f. & Thomson (*ylang-ylang*), colonies of *Toxoptera aurantii* Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1841 were found on the undersides of the leaves, the shoots, buds, and unopened flower petals. The

T. aurantii colonies found were relatively large. Colonized parts, especially shoots, showed signs of stunting. The aphids found were brown to black. The colonies of *T. aurantii* were found to be associated with black ants. Aphids on *Canna indica* L. (Indian shot, African arrowroot) were found to form colonies in the leaf axils and under the leaf surface near the leaf base. The colonies were quite large. The aphids were dark brown to dark red coloring with a medium-sized body and the identification results showed that the aphids were *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* Linnaeus, 1761 (Ghosh and Singh 2004). The colonies of *R. nymphaeae* were found to be associated with ants. In the *Catharanthus roseus* (L.) G.Don (periwinkle), *Aphis spiraeicola* Patch, 1914 aphids were found. The aphids were yellow-green, sifunculi, and black cauda. The aphids formed colonies on flowers and shoots, and the colonized plant parts showed no disease symptoms. On *Cestrum* sp. (Bastard jasmine), aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts, especially between petals or stalks that had not fully bloomed; the colonies were quite large. The body color of aphids was green to dark green, with small to medium-sized bodies. The colonized plant parts, especially leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Aphis gossypii* Glover, 1877. The aphid colonies found were consistently associated with ants.




Figure 1. Photos showing colonies of different aphid species in ornamental plants: A. *Aphis gossypii* in *Dahlia* sp. flower; B. *Aphis gossypii* in *Hibiscus rosasinensis* flower; C. *Aphis gossypii* in *Cestrum* twig and flower; D. *Aphis craccivora* in *Clitoria ternatea* flower; E. *Aphis glycines* in *Helianthus giganteus* flower; F. *Aphis craccivora* on the *Murayya paniculata* flower; G. *Toxoptera odinae* in the *Mussaenda frondose*; H. *Macrosiphoniella sanborni*. in *Chrysanthemum* sp. Leaves; I. *Macrosiphum rosae* in *Rosa indica* flower; J. *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* in *Canna indica* leaves. Chandra Irsan captured all the photos

Aphids on *Clitoria ternatea* L. were found to form colonies on flower parts, flower crowns, stems, and young leaves. The aphids were brown to black. Colonized plant parts, especially shoots and young leaves, showed stunting symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Aphis craccivora* Koch, 1854. These colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids on the *Dahlia* sp. formed colonies on unopened flower buds, with a significant population among the blooming petals. The body color was green to dark green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. According to this present study, *Sinemegoura citricola* van der Goot, 1917 colonies were found on the young leaves of *Dendrobium* sp., with the color body of the *S. citricola* aphids were yellow, green to dark green, and the colonized plants showing no disease symptoms, and were associated with ants. On *Duranta* sp., colonies of aphids on the undersides of young leaves, and the colonized plant parts

showed stunting symptoms. The colonies were very large. The aphids were green. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Furthermore, on the *Helianthus annuus* L., aphid colonies were found between the flower petals. The colonized flowers, especially the crowns, tended to fall off easily. The aphids were green and yellow. The colonies were small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were associated with ants. Aphid colonies on *Helianthus* sp. were found on the undersides of old leaves. These colonies were small in size. The aphids were green with a medium body size. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Aphis glycines* Matsumura, 1917. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. Within the colonies, mummified aphids that Aphidiidae parasitized were found.




Figure 2. Aphids found infesting wild plants A. *Aphis gossypii* in *Ageratum conyzoides*; B. *Aphis gossypii* in *Croton hirtus*; C. *Aphis gossypii* in *Eupatorium odoratum*; D. *Aphis gossypii* in *Pachystochys* sp.; E. *Pentalonia caladii* in *Caladium* sp.; F. *Aphis gossypii* in *Alternanthera sessilis*; G. *Aphis gossypii* in *Portulaca oleraceae*; H. *Aphis gossypii* in *Euphorbia hirta*; I. *Aphis spiraeicola* in *Phyllanthus nerruri*; J. *Aphis spiraeicola* in *Sida rhombifolia*; K. *Aphis spiraeicola* in *Bridelia tomentosa*; L. *Aphis spiraeicola* in *Ludwigia peruviana*; M. *A. craccivora* in *Mimosa pudica*; N. *Aphis craccivora* in *Amaranthus viridis*; O. *Aphis glycine* in *Mikania micrantha*; P. *Hysteneura* sp. in *Eleusine* sp.; Q. *Greenidae* sp. in *Bridelia tomentosa*; R. *Hyperomyzus* sp. in *Echinochloa crusgali*; S. *Lipaphis erysimi* in *sonchus arventris*; T. *Rhopalosiphum padi* in *Oryza rufipogon*; U. *Rhopalosiphum maidis* in *Oryza rufipogon*. All the photos were captured by Chandra Irsan

Table 3. Species of aphids found in wild (weed or non-weed) plants and their colony locations

Host plant	Weeds or non-weed plants	Aphid species	Colony location
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers
<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, buds
<i>Amaranthus viridis</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves
<i>Blumea lacera</i>	Weed	<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	Flowers, shoots, and buds
<i>Bridelia tomentosa</i>	Non-weed	<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	Young leaves
		<i>Aphis spiraeicola</i>	Shoot, young leaves
<i>Croton hirtus</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	Weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	Weed	<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks
<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	Weed	<i>Hyperomyzus</i> sp.	Young leaves, old leaves
<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
<i>Eleusin indica</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
		<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Flower, flower stalks, shoots
<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flower, flower stalks, seeds
<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves
<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Young leaves, old leaves,
		<i>Aphis glycines</i>	Shoot, young twigs
<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
		<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils
<i>Melastoma affine</i>	Non-weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves
<i>Mikania micrantha</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
		<i>Aphis glycines</i>	Shoot, young twig
<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods, flowers
<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	Non-weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, pods
<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	Weed	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> ,	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils
	Weed	<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils
<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils
<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	Weed	<i>Hysteronura setariae</i>	Flowers, flower stalks, seeds
<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis spiraeicola</i>	Shoot, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs, petioles
<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, flowers
<i>Physalis angulata</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves
<i>Rorippa indica</i>	Weed	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Flowers, fruits, shoots, young leaves
<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	Weed	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds
<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	Weed	<i>Lipapis erysimi</i>	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flowers, fruits

On *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* L., aphids ranging from yellow to dark green were found. The aphids formed colonies on flower buds, unopened flower crowns, and the undersides of aging leaves. The colonies grew to be very large. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii*. The aphid colonies were consistently associated with ants. Two types of aphids were found on the flowering plant *Ixora paludosa* (Blume) Kurz. First, the aphids formed colonies on the undersides of young leaves that were still red or light green and sometimes on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed. The occupied plant parts showed symptoms such as stunted leaf growth, leaf shrinkage, necrotic spots on the leaf surface, and slightly downward-curved leaf edges. The upper leaf surface looked wet and sticky, like sugar. The aphids had yellow, green, or slightly dark green bodies, with some wingless

adults having a powdery white upper surface. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. gossypii* almost always associated with ants. The second type of aphids on *Ixora paludosa* formed colonies under the surface of young and older leaves. The colonies could also be found on newly emerging flowers and leaves. The plant parts occupied by these aphids did not show obvious signs of illness. These aphids were dark red to black, with once-branched stigma and venation in their black wings. The identification results showed that the aphids were *T. aurantii*. These aphids were also associated with ants. Moreover, two forms of aphids were discovered in *Ixora* sp. flower plants. These aphids occupied the shoots, young leaves, and unopened flowers; the affected plant parts did not show obvious symptoms. The aphids exhibited colors ranging from yellow and green to a slightly darker green.

Table 4. Aphid species were recorded in wild plants, and the presence of ants in the plant parts colonized

Aphid species	Wild plants	Aphids life color	Plant parts colonized	Ant attendance	Total individual of ant
<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	Light green	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, flowers	+	5
	<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i>	Light green	Shoots, buds	+	3
	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	Light green	Shoots, buds	-	0
	<i>Croton hirtus</i>	Dark green	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+	7
	<i>Ecliptica prostrata</i>	Green	Shoots, young leaves	+	5
	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i>	Green	Flower, flower stalks, shoots	+	6
	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	Light green	Young leaves, old leaves	+	7
	<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i>	Light green	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+	8
	<i>Melastoma affine</i>	Light green	Shoots, young leaves	+	8
	<i>Mikania micrantha</i>	Light green	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+	9
	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	Yellowish green	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves, fruit/seeds	+	10
	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	Yellowish green		-	0
<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	<i>Amaranthus viridis</i>	Black	Flowers, shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+	3
	<i>Mimosa invisa</i>	Black	Shoots, pods	+	2
	<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	Black	Shoots, pods, flowers	+	3
	<i>Mimosa vigra</i>	Black	Shoots, pods	+	4
	<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i>	Black	Shoots, young leaves, flowers	+	7
	<i>Physalis angulata</i>	Black	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+	4
<i>Aphis glycines</i>	<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i>	Greenish yellow	Young leaves, old leaves, young twigs	+	6
	<i>Mikania micrantha</i>	Light green	Shoots, young leaves, old leaves	+	4
<i>Aphis spiraecola</i>	<i>Phyllanthus neruri</i>	Greenish yellow	Shoot, young leaves, young twigs, petioles	+	5
	<i>Bridelia Tomentosa</i>	Greenish yellow	Shoot, young leaves	+	2
<i>Greenidea</i> sp.	<i>Bridelia Tomentosa</i>	Greenish yellow	Young leaves	-	0
<i>Hystroneura setariae</i>	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalks	+	3
	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	4
	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalks, seeds	+	4
	<i>Hymenochera acutigluma</i>	Reddish-brown	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	3
	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	Reddish-brown	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils	+	6
	<i>Oxonopus compressus</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalk, leaf axils	+	3
	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	Reddish-brown	Flower, flower stalk, seeds	+	6
	<i>Echinocloa crusgali</i>	Black	Young leaves, old leaves	-	0
<i>Lipaphis erysimi</i>	<i>Blumea lacera</i>	Whitish green	Flowers, shoots, and buds	+	4
	<i>Rorippa indica</i>	Whitish green	Flower, fruit, shoots, young leaves	+	4
	<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	Whitish green	Young leaves, fruit stalks, flowers, fruit	+	5
<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i>	<i>Eleusin indica</i>	Green	Flower, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	3
	<i>Lophatherum gracile</i>	Green	Young leaves, old leaves, leaf axils	+	4
	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	Green	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils	-	0
<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i>	<i>Oryza rufipogon</i>	Whitish green	Old leaves, young leaves (shoot), leaf axils	+	4
<i>Schizaphis rotundiventris</i>	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Green	Flowers, flower stalks	+	6
	<i>Cyperus compressus</i>	Green	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	4
	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	Green	Flowers, flower stalks, leaf axils	+	4

Notes: (+): present, (-): absent

Sometimes, the upper surface of the wingless imago's body appeared white, resembling flour. The identification results showed that these aphids were *A. gossypii*. These aphid colonies were almost always associated with ants. Another species of aphids formed colonies on flower stalks that had not yet bloomed and on newly emerging shoots or leaves. The presence of these aphids on the plant did not induce plant disease symptoms. The aphids were yellow or yellowish green, with black cauda and siphunculi. Their bodies were very small to small. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. The colonies of *A. citricola* were also frequently found in association with ants. Two types of aphids were found on *Mussaenda frondosa* L., each forming colonies in different locations. The first type formed colonies on young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The plant parts they occupied showed no obvious disease symptoms. The identification results showed that the aphids were *Toxoptera odinae* van der Goot, 1917. The aphids were yellow, green, and dark green (Blackman et al. 2011). The second type of aphids formed colonies on the stems or young twigs, appearing densely clustered as if piled up. The aphid colonies could also be found on young leaves, shoots, and within flower parts. The plant parts they infested showed no signs of diseases. The aphids were yellow or yellow-green, with black cauda and siphunculi. They had tiny to small bodies. The identification results showed that the aphids were *A. citricola*. Many aphid species infest various ornamental plants because these insects are attracted to such plants due to the rich nutrient content in the plant sap (Braham et al. 2023).

The results showed that 34 species of wild plants, including weeds, were growing in the yard colonized by aphids. This indicated that multiple species of aphids colonized various host plants. The aphid species colonizing these plants were generally consistent within the same taxon. *Ageratum conyzoides* L. was infested by *A. gossypii*. These aphids formed colonies on the flower sections, shoots, lower surfaces of young leaves, or leaves turning yellow. The aphids were green, yellow-green to dark green, often forming large colonies. *Alternanthera philoxeroides* (Mart.) Griseb., or alligator grass, was also colonized by *A. gossypii*. Small colonies were found on shoots or stems. These aphids had small bodies and were green, ranging from yellow-green to dark green. *Alternanthera sessilis* (L.) R.Br. ex DC. was colonized by *A. gossypii*, forming colonies on shoots, flowers, and fruit. The colonies were typically large and often associated with tiny brown ants. *Amaranthus viridis* Linnaeus was infested by *A. craccivora*. These aphids established colonies on shoots, flowers, and young and old leaves. They were dark brown to black, with shiny black wingless imagoes. Colonies of these aphids were associated with both black and red ants. *Blumea lacera* (Burm.fil.) DC. was colonized by *Lipaphis erysimi* Kaltenbach, 1843. These aphids were bright green and of medium size. The colonies formed on flowers, flower stalks, and the undersides of the leaves at the top. The aphid colonies were not associated with ants. *Croton hirtus* L'Hér., or fire grass, was infested by *A. gossypii*; the aphids were yellow-green to dark green. The colonies were found on the stems, leaves, buds, and flowers, often

forming large colonies. *Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers. or Bermuda grass was colonized by *Schizaphis rotundiventris* Signoret, 1860. The aphids colonized the flowers, flower stalks, and sometimes the plant leaf axils. Small colonies were formed. The aphids were brown to reddish brown. They were associated with ants. *Cyperus rotundus* L., or nut grass, was infested by *S. rotundiventris* aphids. The colonies were found on flower stalks, flowers, and leaf axils. The colonies were quite large and associated with both black and red ants. The aphids were dark brown in color. *Cyperus compressus* L., or grass puzzle, was colonized by *S. rotundiventris* aphids, forming colonies in the flowers, flower stalks, and sometimes in the axils and leaves of the shoots or buds. Small colonies were observed. *Digitaria ciliaris* (Retz.) Koeler was infested by *Hysteroneura setariae* Thomas 1878 aphids, with small colonies scattered on the flowers and flower stalks. These aphids were light brown to brown in color. *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P.Beauv., or water hyacinth plants, were colonized by *Hiperomyzus* sp. aphids. These aphids were dark brown to black and formed large colonies on the undersides of both young and old leaves. The aphid colonies were never found in association with ants. *Eclipta prostrata* (L.) L., or urang-aring, was colonized by *A. gossypii*, forming small colonies on the shoots and flowers. The aphids were bright green to blackish green. The aphid colonies were also consistently associated with ants. *Eleusine indica* (L.) Gaertn. was colonized by two species of aphids: *Hysteroneura setariae* Thomas, 1878 and *Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch, 1856. *Hysteroneura setariae* formed colonies in flower parts, flower stalks, and leaf axils, resulting in large colonies. *Hysteroneura setariae*'s body color ranged from red-brown to dark brown. The colonies were consistently associated with ants. The aphids of *R. maidis* formed colonies in the leaf axils and undersides of leaves and leaf shoots that had not yet opened. The colonies were not densely packed. The leaf aphids of *R. maidis* were green in color, with distinct black siphunculi and cauda. These aphids had relatively large bodies with a slightly elongated shape. *Rhopalosiphum maidis* colonies were always associated with ants. The plant *Emilia sonchifolia* (L.) DC. ex Wight, characterized by its purple flowers, was colonized by *A. gossypii*; the aphids were yellow to green in color. The colonies formed near flowers, flower stalks, and shoot leaves. *Eragrostis tenella* was infested by *H. setariae* aphids. The aphids were brown to red-brown. Small colonies formed on flowers near the seeds, with groups of aphids surrounding the plant's seeds. The aphids of *H. setariae* were consistently associated with ants. *Euphorbia hirta* L., or wart grass, was colonized by *A. gossypii*. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, resulting in stunted growth of the leaves. The aphids were yellow to dark green in color. *Aphis gossypii* colonies on *E. hirta* plants were consistently associated with ants. *Eupatorium odoratum* L. was colonized by *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola*. *A. gossypii* formed colonies in the buds, young leaves, old leaves, and young twigs. Young leaves colonized by *A. gossypii* became stunted with an irregular shape. *Aphis gossypii* found in this plant showed yellow-green to dark-green

body color. The colonies of *A. citricola* formed on the young twigs near the shoots, with these aphids displaying yellow-green coloration and having black siphunculi and cauda. Aphid colonies of *A. gossypii* and *A. citricola* on *E. odoratum* plants were associated with either black or red ants. *Hymenachne acutigluma* (Steud.) Gilliland, or hair axis, was colonized by *H. setariae*, which formed colonies on the flower stalks and flowers. The colonized parts of the plants did not display any noticeable symptoms. *Lagerstromia* sp., or *kenidai*, was infested by *Greenidae* sp. These aphids had bright green bodies and distinctive elongated siphunculi with thorns. The aphids formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially on young leaves. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *Lophatherum gracile* Brongn. or bamboo grass plants, were colonized by two species of aphids: *H. setariae* and *R. maidis*. The aphids of *H. setariae* formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, leaf shoots, and leaf axils. The colonized leaves did not show any disease symptoms. *Hystroeneura setariae* aphids were brown to red-brown. *Rhopalosiphum maidis* aphids also formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, but the colonies were small. *Rhopalosiphum maidis* aphids were green to bright green, with black siphunculi and cauda. It was possible for colonies of the two species of aphids on *L. gracile* to mix. In addition, *Melastoma affine* D. Don was colonized by *A. gossypii*. The colonies formed on shoots, particularly near newly emerging shoots and newly emerging fruits and flowers. The body color of aphids ranged from yellow to green. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Mikania micrantha* Kunth was colonized by *A. gossypii* and *Aphis glycines* Matsumura, 1917. *Aphis gossypii* formed colonies on the shoots, especially on the undersides of the leaves, resulting in stunted and curled leaves. *Aphis glycines* formed colonies on the branches. The colonies were densely populated. *Aphis glycines* aphids were light green to green in color. The colonized plant parts became distorted. The two species of aphids could mix to form a single colony. *Mimosa invisa* Mart. ex Colla (cater-grass) was colonized by *A. craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* on *M. invisa* plants formed colonies only on the shoots with small colonies. The aphids appeared dark black with wingless imagoes. *Mimosa pudica* L. was observed to be colonized by *A. craccivora*. The aphids formed colonies on shoots, especially young shoots, and occasionally on flowers and pods. The aphids were black and of medium size, resulting in stunted growth of the colonized plant parts. The colonies were quite large. *Mimosa pigra* L. was colonized by *A. craccivora*. The colonies of aphids occupied the pods and shoots with small colonies. The nymphs of aphids were black, and wingless adults were shiny black. The colonized plant parts did not show any disease symptoms. *Oryza rufipogon* Griff. was colonized by two species of aphids: *Rhopalosiphum padi* and *R. maidis*. Both aphids colonized the same plant parts, namely the unopened leaves and the leaf axils with large colonies. The two species could be distinguished by their body color. *Rhopalosiphum maidis* appeared green with black siphunculi and cauda, while *Rhopalosiphum padi* Linnaeus, 1758 appeared white. The colonies of *R. maidis*

and *R. padi* in *O. rufipogon* plants were associated with the presence of red ants. *Axonopus compressus* (Sw.) P. Beauv., or *pait* grass, was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flowers, flower stalks, seeds, and sometimes the leaf axils. The aphids were brown to dark brown. Small colonies were formed, and they were also consistently associated with ants. *Paspalum conjugatum* was colonized by *H. setariae* aphids. The colonies occupied flower parts, especially the seeds and flower stalks. Aphids had brown to dark brown bodies. *Phylanthus neruri* L. was colonized by *A. citricola*. The colonies formed on the shoots and the undersides of leaves and petioles. The colonized parts became distorted, stunted, and wrinkled. The aphids had yellow bodies with black siphunculi and cauda; the colonies formed were large. *Portulaca oleracea* L. plants were colonized by *A. craccivora*. The aphids of *A. craccivora* in *P. oleracea* plants formed colonies on the undersides of leaves, especially young leaves, shoots, and flowers. The colonized plant parts became stunted, and leaf edges curled downward. The aphids had dark brown to black bodies, with wingless imagoes that appeared glossy black. *Physalis angulata* plants were colonized by *Aphis craccivora*. The aphids had dark green to black bodies, with glossy black wingless imagoes. *Aphis craccivora* formed colonies on the shoots or near the leaf buds. The colonized plant parts did not show disease symptoms. *Rorippa indica* (L.) Hiern, or mustard land, was colonized by *L. erysimi*. The colonies formed on the flowers, fruits, flower stalks, and the lower leaf's surface. The colonized plant parts showed symptoms such as curling and stunting. *Sida rhombifolia* L., or cacabea, was colonized by *A. gossypii*. The aphids had green-yellow to green body colors. The colonies formed on the surface of lower leaves, stalks, and flower petals. The colonized plant parts, especially the shoots, showed curling, and the leaf edges curled downward. *Sonchus arvensis* L. plants were colonized by *L. erysimi*. The aphids had green to whitish green body colors, and the colonies formed on flower stalks, under petals, and on young shoots or leaves. The colonized plant parts became stunted over time.

In general, aphids observed on ornamental and wild plants formed colonies. The colonized plant parts typically displayed typical damage symptoms, but some did not show any symptoms at all. Generally, the plants' symptoms due to aphid colonies were relatively the same, such as stunted growth, abnormal shape, and stunted or curly leaves. These characteristic symptoms serve as indicators of aphid infestations. However, some plants or plant parts did not show symptoms when colonized by aphids. This condition occurred because the colonized parts had reached maximum growth or development. It indicated that the colonized part was not currently undergoing a growth phase. The colonies that did not induce symptoms typically occurred when the colonized leaves had reached their maximum growth or when the leaves and plant parts were old. Furthermore, the old leaves or twigs might not show the typical symptoms associated with aphid infestations. The plant parts exhibiting characteristic symptoms when colonized by aphids also often experienced a cessation in

growth due to the piercing by the aphids. In contrast, the areas surrounding the puncture site continued growing, resulting in some parts developing ordinary while others became stunted (Pettersson et al. 2017). This condition could lead to bending shoots or young stems, curling leaves, downward curling of leaf edges, or stunted leaf growth. In this observation, monocot plants or groups of grasses with narrow leaves generally did not display any distinctive symptoms when colonized by aphids. This might be because the growth or development of their leaves differed from that of dicot plants. Therefore, the presence of aphids in monocot plants was often easier to recognize through the presence of ants. If a plant was found to have a significant number of ants, there was a possibility that aphids had colonized the plant (Tegelaar et al. 2012). Therefore, the presence of ants could serve as an indicator of the aphid colonies. According to this study, ants were present in some aphid colonies from the subfamily Aphidinae, while the ants were absent in some aphid colonies from the macrosiphini subfamily. The bodies of aphids from the subfamily Aphidinae are relatively small and have short sifunculi. On the other hand, aphids, which have large bodies and relatively long sifunculi, are never visited by ants. This happens because long sifunculi are reported to disturb ants, so the ants don't like to come close. Additionally, large aphids and long sifunculi generally do not produce honeydew, so ants do not want to come close.

The absence of ants in aphid colonies could be because the colonies have just formed or the population is still low (Kummel et al. 2013). Aphids colonized flowers because they may offer an accessible and rich food source, sugary plant sap found in new growth or reproductive plant parts. Flowers contain a nutrient-rich nature and easy access to sap; therefore, aphids were attracted to flower saps. In addition, some aphid species were drawn to certain colors (Jakubczyk et al. 2022). Herbs served as an alternative host for aphids in this present study. Aphids consume sugar-rich liquid in plants, known as sap. Aphids considered herbs and other green vegetation as abundant food sources. Aphids utilize needle-like mouthparts to penetrate plant tissues and access this fluid (Brożek et al. 2015). Several aphids colonized herbs such as Indian mustards, *L. erysimi*, and *M. persicae*, the most devastating insects, infesting leaves, stems, and floral parts (Jayaswal et al. 2022). Due to a symbiotic relationship, the prevalence of aphids and ants was frequently correlated. Aphids produced a delicious substance known as honeydew as a waste product, which ants found highly attractive food sources (Nelson and Mooney 2022). The honeydew contained abundant sugars extracted by aphids from the plant juice (Zheng et al. 2022). Ants were drawn to this nutrient-rich food source and would often farm aphids for it. In exchange for honeydew, ants protected aphids from other insects and predators, such as ladybugs, lacewing larvae, and parasitic wasps (Karami-Jamour et al. 2018). Certain ant species would transport aphids to new host plants for improved foraging opportunities, ensuring that aphids had a continuous food source (Giannetti et al. 2021). Honeydew not only nourished the ant colony, but its high sugar content also supported the development of their fungus

farms (in certain species) and provided energy for the growth of their progeny (Biedermann and Vega 2020). Ornamental plants and also weeds are generally grown with simple maintenance and are usually pesticides-free. The ecological habitat of ornamental plants and weeds is assumed to be the same. Therefore, many species of aphids found on ornamental plants were also found on weeds.

In conclusion total of 15 species of aphids were found in ornamental plants, *A. craccivora*, *A. citricola*, *A. glycines*, *A. gossypii*, *A. solani*, *M. sanborni*, *M. rosae*, *M. persicae*, *N. circumflexus*, *P. caladii*, *R. nymphaeae*, *S. citricola*, *T. aurantii*, *T. citricidus*, *T. odinae*. A total of 11 species of aphids are found in weeds, *A. gossypii*, *A. craccivora*, *A. glycines*, *A. citricola*, *Greenidea* sp., *H. setariae*, *Hiperomyzus* sp., *L. erysimi*, *R. maidis*, *R. padi*, *S. rotundiventris*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia that supported this research. This research is a part of contract number 0188/UN9.3.1/SK/2023, 18 April 2023, with the chairman Chandra Irsan.

REFERENCES

- Bass C, Puinean AM, Zimmer CT, Denholm I, Field LM, Foster SP, Gutbrod O, Nauen R, Slater R, Williamson MS. 2014. The evolution of insecticide resistance in the peach potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 51: 41-51. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003.
- Bhadra P, Agarwala BK. 2014. On the morphological and genotypic variations of two congeneric species of banana aphid *Pentalonia* (Homoptera : Aphididae) from India. *Adv Life Sci* 2 (3): 75-81. DOI: 10.5932/j.als.20120203.06.
- Biedermann PHW, Vega FE. 2020. Ecology and evolution of insect-fungus mutualisms. *Ann Rev Entomol* 65: 431-55. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024910.
- Blackman RL, Eastop VF. 2008. Aphids on The World's Herbaceous Plants And Shrubs 2 Volume Set. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Blackman RL, Eastop VF. 2017. Taxonomic Issues. CABI, Wallingford, UK. DOI: 10.1079/9781780647098.0001.
- Blackman RL, Sorin M, Miyazaki M. 2011. Sexual morphs and colour variants of *Aphis* (Formerly *Toxoptera*) *Odinae* (Homoptera, Aphididae) in Japan. *Zootaxa* 3110 (1): 53-60. DOI: 10.11646/zootaxa.3110.1.5.
- Braham M, Boulahia-kheder S, Kahia M, Nouira. S 2023. Aphids and citrus responses to nitrogen fertilization. *J Saudi Soc Agric Sci* 22 (6): 374-83. DOI: 10.1016/j.jssas.2023.03.003.
- Brożek J, Mróz E, Wylęzek D, Depa Ł, Węgiełek P. 2015. The structure of extremely long mouthparts in the aphid genus *Stomaphis* Walker (Homoptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae). *Zoomorphology* 134: 431-45. DOI: 10.1007/s00435-015-0266-7.
- Cao HH, Zhang ZF, Wang XF, Liu TX. 2018. Nutrition versus defense : Why *Myzus persicae* (Green peach aphid) prefers and performs better on young leaves of cabbage *PloS One* 13 (4): 1-16. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0196219.
- Clarke R, Kehoe MA, Broughton S, Jones RAC. 2020. Host plant affiliations of aphid vector species found in a remote tropical environment. *Virus Res* 281: 197934. DOI: 10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197934.
- Ertunc F. 2020. Emerging plant viruses. *Emerging and Reemerging Viral Pathogens*. Academic Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819400-3.00046-6.

- Gadhavé KR, Gautam S, Rasmussen DA, Srinivasan R. 2020. Aphid transmission of potyvirus: The largest plant-infecting RNA virus genus. *Viruses* 12 (7): 773. DOI: 10.3390/v12070773.
- Ghosh S, Singh R. 2004. Aphids on medicinal plants in North East India (Insecta : Homoptera : Aphididae). *Rec Zool Surv India* 102 (1-2): 169-186. DOI: 10.26515/rzsi/v103/i1-2/2004/159495.
- Giannetti D, Mandrioli M, Schifani E, Castracani C, Spotti FA, Mori A, Grasso DA. 2021. First report on the acrobat ant *crematogaster scutellaris* storing live aphids in its oak-gall nests. *Insects* 12 (2): 108. DOI: 10.3390/insects12020108.
- Jakubczyk K, Koprowska K, Gottschling A, Janda-Milczarek K. 2022. Edible flowers as a source of dietary fibre (Total, Insoluble and Soluble) as a potential athlete's dietary supplement. *Nutrients* 14 (12): 2470. DOI: 10.3390/nu14122470.
- Jayaswal D, Mainkar P, Kumar K, Agarwal Y, Prabha R. 2022. Pyramiding and evaluation of segregating lines containing lectin and protease inhibitor genes for aphid resistance in *Brassica juncea*. *Indian J Biochem Biophys* 59 (8): 800-807. DOI: 10.56042/ijbb.v59i8.62319.
- Jones RAC. 2022. Alteration of plant species mixtures by virus infection: Managed pastures the forgotten dimension. *Plant Pathol* 71 (6): 1255-81. DOI: 10.1111/ppa.13571.
- Jousselin E, Genson G, Coeur d'acie A. 2010. Evolutionary lability of a complex life cycle in the aphid genus *Brachycaudus*. *BMC Evol Biol* 10 (1): 1-15. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2148-10-295.
- Kallas J. 2010. *Edible Wild Plants*. Gibbs Smith, Layton, Utah.
- Karami-Jamouri T, Mirmoayedi A, Zamani A, Khajehzadeh Y. 2018. The impact of ant attendance on protecting *Aphis gossypii* against two aphidophagous predators and its role on the intraguild predation between them. *J Insect Behav* 31: 222-39. DOI: 10.1007/s10905-018-9670-4.
- Kennedy JS, Stroyan HLG. 1959. Biology of aphids. *Ann Rev Entomol* 4 (1): 139-60. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.en.04.010159.001035.
- Kumar S, Bhowmick MK, Ray P. 2021. Weeds as alternate and alternative hosts of crop pests. *Indian J Weed Sci* 53 (1): 14-29. DOI: 10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00002.2.
- Kummel M, Brown D, Bruder A. 2013. How the aphids got their spots: predation drives self-organization of aphid colonies in a patchy habitat. *Oikos* 122 (6): 896-906. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2012.20805.x.
- Liu XD, Xu TT, Lei HX. 2017. Refuges and host shift pathways of host-specialized aphids *Aphis gossypii*. *Sci Rep* 7 (1): 1-9. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-02248-4.
- Maharani Y, Hidayat P, Rauf A, Maryana N. 2018. Short Communication: New records of aphid species subfamily Aphidinae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in West Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 19 (2): 460-65. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d190219.
- Meuninck J. 2023. *Basic Illustrated Edible Wild Plants and Useful Herbs*. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham, Maryland, US.
- Mo, Carmen, and Angela M. Milanich. 2023. Feeding on an exotic host plant enhances plasma levels of phenoloxidase by modulating feeding efficiency in a specialist insect herbivore. *Front Physiol* 14: 1-10. DOI: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1127670.
- Naidu VSGR. 2012. *Hand Book on Weed Identification*. Directorate of Weed Science Research, Jabalpur, India.
- Nelson AS, Mooney KA. 2022. The evolution and ecology of interactions between ants and honeydew-producing hemipteran insects. *Ann Rev Ecol Syst* 53: 379-402. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102220-014840.
- Pettersson J, Tjallingii WF, Hardie J. 2017. Host-plant selection and feeding. *Aphids As Crop Pests*. CABI, Wallingford, UK. DOI: 10.1079/9781780647098.0173.
- Singh, Rajendra, and Garima Singh. 2021. *Aphids. Polyphagous Pests of Crops*. Springer, Singapore. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-15-8075-8_3.
- Tegelaar K, Hagman M, Glinwood R, Pettersson J, Leimar O. 2012. Ant-aphid mutualism: The influence of ants on the aphid summer cycle. *Oikos* 121 (1): 61-66. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19387.x.
- Yamamoto T, Hattori M, Itino T. 2020. Seasonal migration in the aphid genus *Stomaphis* (Hemiptera: Aphididae): Discovery of host alternation between woody plants in subfamily Lachninae. *J Insect Sci* 20 (5): 1-10. DOI: 10.1093/jisesa/ieaa103.
- Zheng Z, Zhao M, Zhang Z, Hu X, Xu Y, Wei C. 2022. Lactic acid bacteria are prevalent in the infrabuccal pockets and crops of ants that prefer aphid honeydew. *Front Microbiol* 12 (1): 1-17. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.785016.