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ABSTRACT This research is aimed to investigate the influence of computer assisted realistic mathematics education on higher order thinking skills. Sample in this research are high school student in Palembang with higher shool level. This is experimental research. The instrument is used a test item for higher order thinking skills. Data analysis used parametric statistics. The results indicated that there is no influence of computer assisted realistic mathematics education on students' higher order thinking skills. Implications of the results were discussed and suggestions were students must have skills in using computer before learning with computer assisted realistic mathematics education.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Thinking skills is part of human intellectual cognitive process (Wilson in Sutrisno, 2011). Thinking skills is needed by every person including students to prepare to face the global era in future, advances in information technology, the convergence of science and technology, influence and impact technosains, as well as the rise of the creative industries in the future in the 21st century (Public Test of Materials Curriculum 2013). Thinking skills that have been described above can be developed through education and learning, by training students to be able
to acquire, to manage, to analyze, to synthesize and to use the information to achieve the goal or to find a settlement of a situation (problem) is difficult.

Based on the above obtained a description of the relationship between a person's ability to think and the ability to survive when faced with a challenge. The better a person's ability to think critically, the better the ability to solve the problems encountered and the greater the potential to survive and win in competitions such as global competition, due to higher-order thinking skills are better then the greater the potential for someone to make good problem solver.

Previous research suggests there are several learning activities that can improve students' higher-order thinking skills, such as: technology-enriched environment (Handa, 2000) and (Hapson, 2002), (2) computer-assisted learning (Cotton , 1991), (3) learning in small groups, peer tutoring, cooperative, collaborative (Tobin, Capie and Bettencourt, 1988), (4) books (teaching materials) and additional guidances is more emphasis on the activities of information gathering, remembering, and organizing skills (Shepardson, 1993), (5) inquiry learning (Haugh, 2002), (6) Scaffolding (Slavin, 1995).

One study that assessed in accordance with literature above is computer-assisted realistic mathematics education, so the formulation of the problem in this research is to reveal and find answers of the following: "Can computer-assisted realistic mathematics education enhance students' higher order thinking skills?"

Subsequent formulation based on problem above can be described in several sub problems as following:

1) Do students learn under computer-assisted RME have better achievement and influences on higher order thinking skills compared to students who learn under RME only?
2) Do students learn under computer-assisted RME have better achievement and influences on higher order thinking skills compared to students who learn under RME only based on their mathematical prior knowledge (upper, middle, and lower )?

Based on the above problems, the objectives of this study were to :

1) Assess differences of achievement and enhancement in higher-order thinking skills between student who under learn computer-assisted RME and RME only.
2) Assess differences of achievement and enhancement in higher level thinking skills between students who learn under computer-assisted RME and RME only based on their prior knowledge ( upper, middle, and lower )

The result is expected to be useful for :

1) Students as their alternative resource in learning, beside that learning activities from CA-RME can ultimately enhance higher-order thinking skills, build positive attitudes and habits
2) Teachers as conceptual contribution and as an input in planning and implementing learning activities that can enhance the quality of learning. School as a reference in the advancement in the field of educational technology in particular with regard to the utilization of information and communication technologies in the learning process.

## 2. RESEARCH METHOD

1) The study sample

The sample was 53 students of class IX of SMP Negeri 9 Palembang. The sampling technique used was nonprobability sampling, and the sampling technique used was purposive sampling because the sample selected is determined based on certain considerations (Sugiyono, 2012) . Here are some considerations in the selection of the study sample .
a) The selected schools have accreditation A.
b) The willingness of the schools (principals and teachers) to cooperate in the study of learning using computer-assisted approach to the PMR .
c) The selected schools have adequate computer facilities .

## 2) Methods and Research Design

This is a experiment research. Design used in this study is Pretest-Posttest Two Treatment (Cohen, 2007). In short , the research design, can be described as follows.

| Experiment class | $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Control class | $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ |

## 3) The Research Instrument

There are two instrument that used in this research, such as:
a) Prior Knowledge Test ( PAM )

Initial knowledge tests performed to obtain a picture of students' knowledge of material that has been previously owned by students.
owned by the former will be used to construct knowledge in a computer-assisted learning PMR later. Another objective tests done PAM is to group students in three categories, such as upper, middle and lower. The upper category for students who have a good knowledge of mathematics. Middle category for students who have a moderate knowledge of mathematics and lower category for students who have a poor knowledge of mathematics .
b) Higher-Order Thinking Skills Test

Pretest-posttest instrument used to measure higher-order thinking skills of students before and after learning. About the pre-test and post-test to be used in this study were adopted and modified from the questions PISA 2003, 2006 and 2009.

## 4) Data Analysis

Data from PAM is analyzed using statistics. Statistics is used to see differences prior knowledge of students based on whole or each category. Data from HOTS were analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively. Quantitative analysis for data HOTS also use statistics, but qualitative is analyzed such as following categories.

Table. 1 Achievement Category in HOTS

| Score | Categories |
| :---: | :---: |
| $90 \leq$ Score $\leq 100$ | Very good |
| $75 \leq$ Score $<90$ | Good |
| $55 \leq$ Score $<75$ | Enough |
| $40 \leq$ Score $<55$ | Less |
| Score $<40$ | Poor |

(Modification of Nasoetion, 2007)

## 3. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

This chapter presents an overview on the implementation of CA-RME on HOTS based on ovaral and PAM.

## a) Equality of PAM

Tests prior knowledge of mathematics (PAM) was given in order to determine the equality of students' prior knowledge in sample in solving mathematics problems. Results of PAM are used as a reference to: (1) classifying students as experimental and control group, (2) to make three categories: lower, middle, and upper. Experimental and control group students
should have equal prior knowledge. Analysis descriptive of prior knowledge based learning approach, and school level, PAM categories are presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Descriptive of PAM

| School <br> Level | Category <br> PAM | CA-RME |  |  | RME |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher | Below | 5 | 1.22 | 5 | 4.83 | 1.17 | 6 |  |
|  | Middle | 10.88 | 2.91 | 16 | 11.13 | 3.01 | 16 |  |
|  | Upper | 17 | 1.23 | 5 | 17 | 1.23 | 5 |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 10.92 | 4.47 | 26 | 10.82 | 4.63 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Based on data above, obtained information that students' PAM from experimental and control group are relative equal, but this equality must be evidenced by statistics tests such as non parameterics Mann-Whitney test (because number of data is less than 30). This following hypotheses is provided to see equality PAM.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{H}_{0} & : \text { No difference PAM in the two groups of students. } \\
\mathrm{Ha} & : \text { There are differences PAM in two groups of students }
\end{array}
$$

criteria: if the value of significance (sig.) is greater than $\alpha=0.05$, then $H_{0}$ is accepted, otherwise $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is rejected.

Table 3. Statistical Analysis for Equality PAM

|  | PAM |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mann-Whitney U | 344.500 |
| Wilcoxon W | 722.500 |
| Z | -0.116 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.908 |

From the statistical analysis above, we can see that the value of significance (sig.) is greater than $\alpha=0.05$, and its means that $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted. Thus, we can be concluded that there is no differences PAM in the two groups of students. Based on the analysis above, we can concluded IX. 7 class and class IX. 8 from SMP Negeri 9 Palembang as sample.

## b) Statistical Analysis of HOTS

This section aims to describe and to compare achievement and increasing students' highorder thinking skills. Description of students' higher order thinking skills are presented in the following bar chart and table 4 .


Figure 1 Category High Order Thinking Skills Students

Figure above indicate that the experimental group have a higher order thinking skills better than the control group. It can be seen from the number of students that include in enough categories in experimental group, beside that number of students in poor category is less than control group.

Table 4. Descriptive of High Order Thinking Skills

| School Level | Category <br> PAM | statistic | Learning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CA-RME |  |  |  | RME |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Pre | Post | N-gain | n | Pre | Post | N-gain | n |
| Higher | Lower | $\bar{X}$ | 23.28 | 38.80 | 0.20 | 5 | 20.65 | 26.90 | 0.77 | 6 |
|  |  | SD | 10.79 | 12.21 | 0.10 |  | 5.21 | 3.31 | 0.046 |  |
|  | Middle | $\bar{X}$ | 33.02 | 42.63 | 0.12 | 16 | 30.59 | 40.27 | 0.14 | 16 |
|  |  | SD | 12.94 | 10.58 | 0.22 |  | 10.34 | 8.38 | 0.081 |  |
|  | Upper | $\bar{X}$ | 43.29 | 47.76 | 0.53 | 5 | 36.12 | 49.42 | 0.20 | 5 |
|  |  | SD | 13.67 | 10.44 | 0.22 |  | 9.04 | 5.77 | 0.07 |  |
|  | Sub Total | $\bar{X}$ | 33.12 | 42.88 | 0.12 | 26 | 29.41 | 38.99 | 0.13 | 27 |
|  |  | SD | 13.75 | 10.80 | 0.20 |  | 10.33 | 10.17 | 0.08 |  |

Table above also shows that the experimental group had a better average achievement than the control group. The following hypothesis is used to examine differences achievement and ability on students' higher order thinking skills.

| $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | $:$ | No differences of achievement in HOTS between students who learn under CA- <br> RME and only RME. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $:$ | There is difference of achievement in HOTS between who learn under CA-RME <br> and only RME. |
| $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | $:$ | No differences of enhancement in HOTS between students who learn under CA- <br> RME and only RME. |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $:$ | There is difference of enhancement in HOTS between students who learn under <br> CA-RME and only RME. |

Criteria used are: if the value of significance (sig.) is greater than $\alpha=0.05$, then $H_{0}$ is accepted and otherwisw $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is rejected. Statistical analaysis for achievement and ability in HOTS is presented in following Table 5.

Table 5. Statistical Analysis for HOTS

|  | Achievement <br> HOTS | Enhancement <br> HOTS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Mann-Whitney U | 276.000 | 340.000 |
| Wilcoxon W | 654.000 | 718.000 |
| Z | -1.335 | -0.196 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.182 | 0.845 |

Table 5 above shows that the value of significance more than over the 0,05 , or in other words $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted, thus we can conclute that "No differences achievement and enhancement of HOTS between students who learn under CA-RME and RME only.

Beside that, we will analyze achievement and ability in HOTS based on PAM categories. The following hypothesis is also used to examine differences achievement and ability in HOTS based on their PAM categories.

| $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | $:$ | No differences of achievement in HOTS between students who learn under CA- <br> RME and only RME based on their PAM categories |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $:$ | There is difference of achievement in HOTS between who learn under CA-RME <br> and only RME based on their PAM categories |

Criteria: if the value of significance (sig.) is more than $\alpha=0.05$, then $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted, and otherwise $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is rejected. The results of Mann-Whitney test are presented in Table 6 below.

Table 6. Statistical Analysis HOTS Based on PAM Categories.

|  | Lower |  | Middle |  | Upper |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Achieve. | Enhance. | Achieve. | Enhance. | Achieve. | Enhance. |
| Mann-Whitney U | 3.000 | 4.000 | 110.000 | 120.000 | 11.000 | 5.000 |
| Wilcoxon W | 24.000 | 25.000 | 246.000 | 256.000 | 26.000 | 20.000 |
| Z | -2.191 | -2.008 | -0.679 | -0.302 | -0.314 | -1.567 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.028 | 0.045 | 0.497 | 0.763 | 0.753 | 0.117 |
| Exact Sig. [2*(1-tailed <br> Sig.)] | $0.030(a)$ | $0.052(\mathrm{a})$ | $0.515(\mathrm{a})$ | $0.780(\mathrm{a})$ | $0.841(\mathrm{a})$ | $0.151(\mathrm{a})$ |

Based on Table 6 above, it can be concluded that:

1) In upper and middle PAM category, there is no difference achievement and enhancement HOTS between students who learn under CA-RME and RME only.
2) In lower PAM category, there is a difference achievement and enhancement HOTS between students who learn under CA-RME and RME only.

## C. Discussion

This section discussed about findings during research. Some relevant theories and its relationship to students' higher-order thinking skills were used here. Some factors cause difficulties and errors in solving higher-order thinking skills were discussed too. Hadi (2003) suggested that the approach to learning with RME also relatively more complicated than conventional learning, because before implementing RME teacher should change his views abour students, teachers and the role of contextual questions. From these view, it can be concluded that using computer-assisted in RME as a factor that affect in low ability students' higher-order thinking, because in constructing process of knowledge becomes more complicated for students.

Other factor that cause in low higher-order thinking skills of students is not solid concept yet. It can be influenced to mathematization process. It is also in line Hadi (2003) who argued that the horizontal and vertical mathematization the RME learning is not a simple thing.

Low achievement of higher-order thinking skills of students in this study generally also were influenced by two things: the difficulty and error in solving higher-order thinking skills test. In this study, the difficulties experienced by students in solving higher-order thinking skills of students who have difficulty in analyzing and producing a variety of information contained in the problem. Inability of students are influenced by students' difficultic
concepts. These difficulties can be attributed to ignorance or incomplete knowledge, or have not been unqualified students to elaborate his understanding, and linking the various concepts. As a result of these difficulties, the students experienced an error in solving higher-order thinking skills. Here's an example of the difficulties and errors in solving students' higher-order thinking skills.


Figure 4 . Less scrupulous, Wrong Argument

If the terms of the depth and breadth of material in strategies appears, there was a difference between students who learn under CA-RME and RME only. Based on their answers, the students who learn under CA-RME give a more detailed answer, more detailed concepts that used in completing the answer, beside that the strategies were used to solve the problem also more precise.

Other factors that influence the outcome of the study is the presence of a diverse student PAM. As a result the students have levels of varying difficulty in solving contextual problems associated with high-level thinking skills.

One part of the learning PMRK potentially cause difficulties for students is the use of computers in the learning segment. In this situation, the teacher is not only directing the herd mathematical concepts, but sometimes have to be involved in technical operating computer. In addition, students who have advantages in operating computers also sometimes have to teach a friend in their group for operating computers. This is consistent with the theory raised by Suwarsono (Hadi, 2003) related to realistic learning weaknesses. He noted that realistic learning has several drawbacks, including: (1) search contextual issues that are not too easy for every topic that needs to be studied mathematics students, (2) realistic assessment and learning mathematics more complicated than conventional learning, and (3) election props must be careful so as to help the students thinking process.

## CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

## a. Conclusion

Based on the above results can be generally concluded that " There is no difference in achievement and enhancement of higher-order thinking skills between students who learn under computer-assisted RME and RME only "

Based on conclusion above, it can be described the following sub conclusion.

1) In upper and middle PAM category, there is no difference achievement and enhancement of HOTS between students who learn under CA-RME and RME only.
2) In lower PAM category, there is a difference achievement and enhancement of HOTS between students who learn under CA-RME and RME only.

## b. Suggestion

Based on finding and discussion, it can be put several recommendations such as:

1) Based on findings in the field turned out to makes inferences indicator is an indicator that obtained the lowest performance level. Therefore, teachers should provide a well-planned exercise and the more servings.
2) CA-RME for the learning process goes smoothly, teachers should introduce and excercising this learning strategy in other subjects before research, so students will be more familiar with this learning conditions.
3) In order for the constructing process of knowledge, technical issues such as using computers had been minimized before the research.
4) to implemented CA-RME, teachers should select students of lower PAM category because they have better potential for growth in learning CA-RME
