

ISBN : 978-602-70378-1-6

2018

PROCEEDINGS INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

"IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF EDUCATION FOR STRENGTHERING THE GLOBAL COMPETITIVENESS"

October 7th - 9th, 2016 ARYA DUTA HOTEL, PALEMBANG SOUTH SUMATRA-INDONESIA

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY 2016

PREFACE

The present volume contains selected papers of the 2nd Sriwijaya University Learning and Education-International Conference (SULE-IC) held on October 7th-9th, 2016 in Palembang, South Sumatera, Indonesia. The conference, which was organized by the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University has accepted more than 160 abstracts. After an initial review of the submitted abstracts, 110 papers were presented at the conference. Finally, after a rigorous review process, 93 papers were accepted for publication in the 2nd SULE-IC Proceedings.

This conference focuses on an overarching theme of "Improving the Quality of Education for Strengthening the Global Competitiveness." The topics that are covered in this theme include (1) improving the educational services to learners of underprivileged families; (2) utilizing the educational technologies in learning; (3) strengthening the role of counsellors in education; (4) increasing the competence of language education and/or arts and literature teaching in global context; (5) increasing the role of the social sciences-humanities education in the development of multicultural consciousness; (6) improving the quality of mathematics and science teaching and learning process; (7) improving the development of qualified preschool and primary education, improving the quality of accountable management education through the development of schoolbased management; (8) enhancing the development of vocational education to meet the global labour market; and (9) civilizing healthy lifestyle and coaching outstanding athletes.

Reviewing papers of the 2nd SULE-IC 2016 was a challenging process that relies on the goodwill of those people involved in the field. We were assisted by 12 reviewers from related fields to review papers for the presentation and the publication in the 2nd SULE-IC Proceedings. The published papers have passed the process of the reviewers' comments who have guided any necessary improvement.

Finally, we would like to thank to all of the proceedings team who have dedicated their constant support and countless time to bring these scratches into a book. The 2nd SULE-IC 2016 proceedings is a credit to a large group of people, and everyone should be proud of the outcome.

Palembang, September 2016 Chairman of the committee,

Dr. Rita Inderawati, M.Pd.

PROCEEDINGS

The 2nd Sriwijaya University Learning and Education International Conference held by Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Sriwijaya University, October 7th-9th, 2016

This paper has been presented at the 2nd SULE-IC "Improving the Quality of Education for Strengthening the Global Competitiveness"

Editor Board:

- 1. Prof. Dr. Ratu Ilma Indra Putri, M.Si. (Sriwijaya University, Indonesia)
- 2. Kathy Cabe Trundle, Ph.D. (North Caroline State University, USA)
- 3. Dr. L. M. (Michiel) Doorman (Utrecht University, Netherlands)
- 4. Prof. Dr. Amal Abdel Fatah Suwaidan (Cairo University, Egypt)
- 5. Beryl Exley, Ph.D. (Queensland University of Technology, Australia)
- 6. Eiji Fujita, Ph.D. (Kochi University, Japan)
- 7. Soni Mirizon, M.A., Ed.D. (Sriwijaya University, Indonesia)
- 8. Dra. Umi Chotimah, M.Pd., Ph. D. (Sriwijaya University, Indonesia)
- 9. Fiftinova, S.Pd., M.Pd. (Sriwijaya University, Indonesia)
- 10. Edi Setiyo, S.Pd, M.Pd.T. (Sriwijaya University, Indonesia)
- 11. Dr. Ketang Wiyono, M.Pd. (Sriwijaya University, Indonesia)
- 12. Puji Astuti, S.Pd., M.Sc. (Sriwijaya University, Indonesia)

Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University, Palembang, South Sumatra, Indonesia 2016

Table of Content

	Page
Preface	i
Table of Content	iii

No.	Paper Title	Page				
KEYN	KEYNOTE PAPERS					
1.	Integrating the Arts into Science Teaching and Learning	1				
	By: Kathy Cabe Trundle-North Carolina State University, USA					
2.	Design-Based Research in Mathematics Education	21				
	By: Michiel Doorman-Utrecht University, Netherlands					
	The Employment of the Technological Innovations in the Educational	47				
3.	Process					
	By: Amal Abdel Fattah Ahmad-Cairo University, Egypt					
	Indonesian Education, Pisa Scores and 3 Reading Strategies that	65				
4.	Work					
	By: Beryl Exley-Queensland University of Technology, Australia					
	How Can History Learning Contribute to Citizenship Education in	83				
5.	Dual Subjects System? - Case Japan -					
	By: Eiji Fujita-Kochi University, Japan					
6	Constructing A Standardized Test	97				
0.	By: Sofendi, Sriwijaya University, Indonesia					
PARA	LLEL PAPERS					
7	Success in Learning English: The Students' Definition	107				
/.	By: Agus Wahyudi-Sriwijaya University					
	The Correlation between the Students' Reading Habit and Reading	115				
8	Compehension Achievement of 12 th Grade Students of MA. PP.					
0.	Qodratullah Langkan					
	By. Agus Wahyudi-STIKA Bina Husada Palembang					
	English Teaching Implementation in Indonesian Pesantrens:	129				
0	Teachers' Demotivation Factors					
).	By: Akhmad Habibi, Muhammad Sofwan, and Amirul Mukminin-Jambi					
	University					
	Folklores From South Sumatera in English: Media to Introduce	145				
10.	Indonesian Culture to International World					
	By: Armilia Sari-Sriwijaya University					

	Learning Process of Biology through the Guided Discovery Learning	1141
81.	Based on Local Excellence on the Subconcept of Eubacteria Role in	
	Life	
	By: Suratmi, Rida Oktorida, Khastini, and Nur Lali Fauziyah-Sriwijaya	
	University	
	Developing Islamic-Based Reading Materials For The Tenth Graders	1155
82.	of Ma Nurul Huda Kasmaran of Babat Toman	
	By: Susilawati, Tahrun, and Rita Inderawati-SMAN 1 Lawang Wetan Kab.	
	Muba	
	The Enhancements of Numeracy Through the Flavell's Principal in	1179
83	The Cognitive's Development for the Children in TK B Srijaya KM	
0.5.	5,5 Palembang	
	By: Syafdaningsih-Sriwijaya University	
	Developing of Conceptual Change Texts (CCTs) Based on Conceptual	1191
84.	Change Model to Increase Students' Conceptual Understanding and	
04.	Remediate Misconceptions Inkinematics	
	By: Syuhendri-Sriwijaya University	
	Improving Reading Comprehension and Speaking Achievements of	1207
85.	the Eighth Graders of SMP Negeri 18 Palembang through Shared	
	Reading Strategy	
	By: Tiray Febria zananda-Sriwijaya University	
	Implementation of Character Education Local Wisdom Charged in	1229
86.	Basic Education Level In South Sumatra	
	By: Umi Chotimah-Sriwijaya University	1015
	The Effects of QAR Strategy, Data Chart Strategy, and Critical	1247
87.	Thinking on Reading Comprehension Achievement of the Tenth	
	Grade Students of SMK Nurul Iman Palembang	
	By: Uswatun Toiyibah-Baturaja University	1057
88.	Functioning Local Culture in EFL Readings	1257
	By: Utami Kusama Ningtyas-Sriwijaya University	1267
90	Decision-Making in Solving Math Problems on Elementary School	1207
89.	Students Dry Ving Amilia Suganda M Snimilana University	
	By: vina Amilia Suganda M-Sriwijaya University	1070
90.	The Enecuveness of Poe (Fredici-Observe-Explain) Based Teaching Strategy in Improving Students? Concentual Understanding of Used	12/9
	and Tomporature in SMAN 0 Polombana	
	and remperature in SiviAN 9 ratembang	
	by. violanu Anarky. Syunenuri, and Hamui Aknsan-Sriwijaya University	

DEVELOPING OF CONCEPTUAL CHANGE TEXTS (CCTs) BASED ON CONCEPTUAL CHANGE MODEL TO INCREASE STUDENTS' CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING AND REMEDIATE MISCONCEPTIONS INKINEMATICS

SYUHENDRI

Department of Physics Education Sriwijaya University e-mail: <u>hendrisyukur@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract

The main objectives of this research are to develop a valid and practical Conceptual Change Texts (CCTs) material related to Newtonian Mechanics and to test the influence of the CCTs in order to increase students' conceptual understanding and overcome their misconceptions in the relevant topics. The research is divided in to two years comprising of three steps, namely 1) preliminary study, 2) design development, and 3) product testing. This article reports some result of the first year research for kinematics materials. The method for the first and the second steps are descriptive qualitative and development research, respectively. Based on the analysis of the preliminary data it is found the weakness of the basic and standard competencies for Newtonian Mechanics materials in Basic Physics 1 course and it has been changed from 7 to 9 standard competencies. It was also arranged essential material and found 9 common misconceptions in kinematics, namely velocity and acceleration are considered equal, position and velocity are considered equal, velocity is not as vector quantity, ego-centered reference frame, heavier objects fall faster, gravity increase as an object falls, acceleration differs along the trajectory of a projectile motion, an object thrown vertically upward from a linier moving object will land behind this moving object, and a bullet will not hit a target if the target falls downat the same time the bullet is fired. These findings are basis to develop the CCTs. It is developing the CCTs for kinematics comprises of: case, question(s), space to answer and reason, kinds of misconceptions, and explanation of the right concepts. The CCTs in turn can be utilized to improve learners' conceptual understandings and to remediate their misconceptions toward the truer concepts in the Kinematics topics.

Keywords: Conceptual Change Texts, misconception, Kinematics.

1. Introduction

Learners come to physics classrooms with their own concepts and not necessary whether their concepts are correct or not. Their conceptions are constructed based on their own experiences from the beginning of their life, which may be include observation, perception, culture, langguage, prior teachers' explanation, and prior instructional materials (Lin, 2004). Constructivism believes that people construct their understanding about nature based on their interaction

with other objects or what they look in daily activities. The restriction of human senses and reasoning cause people construct different conceptions and it may differ from what the true conception is. Through experience, students develop explanations for what they know; some of these explanations may be incorrect or naive, but nevertheless they form the basis for the foundation of their knowledge. This pre-conception is resistant to change. Moreover, the conception will influence in acquisition for the next concept. Students' prior conceptions have a substantial influence on their future learning, in terms of both conceptual accumulation and conceptual change (Tomita, 2009).

Misconception phenomena are commonly in science such as in physics, astronomy, biology, chemistry, and earth science. A number of alternative conceptions appear across a wide variety of culture, countries, and ages (Grayson, 2004). In a variety of science topics, a growing number of studies have shown that students from different ages have a wide spectrum of alternative (Yürük, 2007). Research has shown that the same misconceptions are held by students from different countries and cultures. For instance, Bayraktar (2009) Studied comparing different cultures from different countries as to misconceptions of studentsabout various topics of physics suggest that they are universal in nature. It can happen to all level of students from elementary school to university, it is known that students of all ages (elementary, secondary, and undergraduate) can have alternative conceptions in all areas of science (Pinarbaşi, Canpolat, Bayrakceken, &Geban, 2006) even thought to teachers.

Kinematics is importance topics in physics. It is the main concept that students need to have an adequate understanding in order to move to next steps of physics study. It is usually given in the beginning of physics study. In Curriculum of Physics Education Department of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Sriwijaya University, kinematics is firstly given in *FisikaDasar 1* (Basic Physics 1) course, in the first semester. However based on researcher observation so far, it is found that many students have serious problem with their conception in this mechanics area. Students, for example, can calculate time needed by a stone to reach the ground in free fall motion, but unfortunately they give wrong answer when they are asked how two different weigh stones released from the same height reach the ground. It is a paradox. Based on preliminary research using FCI to Physics Educational Department students enrolled in 2010, it is found that students' mean scores are 20.17% and 15.33% for regular class and for extension class respectively. It is far under Newtonian mastery threshold 85% or even for entry threshold for Newtonian physics 60% (Hestenes&Halloun, 1995).

Therefore, the efforts to improve the mastery of concepts and overcoming misconceptions held by learners need to be done. Various strategies of learning oriented to this conceptual change, such as analogies, bridging analogy, Conceptual Change Text (CCT), the substitution concept, modification of the learning cycle, Observed Predict-explain (Syuhendri, 2010) can be used. Each strategy has its advantages and limitations. The Conceptual Change Text can be used flexibly by students both in class and outside of class. The CCT also be used as a supplement teaching materials that can be read by students repeated at home.Various studies on the chemistry materials have already widely tested in various countries and get satisfactory results e.g. by Yürük (2007) for an electrochemical cell and Ozmen (2007) for chemical equilibrium. However, the CCT is still a bit used in physics learning. Based on the description above, the problem solved in this research is how to develop the CCT on topics of Kinematics that is valid and practical to improve the mastery of concepts and remediate misconceptions physics students.

2. Theoretical Backgroud

Misconception and identifying

Misconception is believed as a result of individual's long experience in his/her life influenced by word around him/her. Many researchers agree that most preconceptions emerge as a result of experience in interacting with the environment. Through continues use, this preconceptions become readily available and whenever need ready to interpret the events. So, misconceptions star being constructed from the birth and then continue developing as a result of day to day experience. In other words, misconceptions are an accumulation of

individual's experience in the environment, not because of "yesterday" teaching. Furthermore, misconceptions are mostly not the fault of students themselves, nor that they learn wrongly, and no because they difficult to understand or because of difficult subject-matter, but rather they are misled by their experience that construct their conception as a result of interacting with the environment.

Whenever misconceptions want to be overcome, the first step that has to be done is to identify them. Identification of misconception and distinguishing them from a lack of knowledge is a fertile area in education research (Hasan, Bagayoko, & Kelley, 1999). However, some researchers say that an effort to identify misconception is difficult. One of the difficulties is how to differentiate between students who have misconception and students who really do not know the concepts. If researchers do not identify the misconception correctly, the researchers will not be able to overcome it. There are several ways to identify misconceptions, for instance diagnostic interview, concept mapping, class discussion, question-answer practicum, essay test, multiple choice test with reasoning. In addition, there are other ways that also can be used to identify the misconception, such as 1) giving diagnostic test in the beginning or in the end of learning process. The diagnostic test can be in terms of multiple choice or other tests such as using physical diagram, vector, or graph, and explanation in words. 2) using structure assignment such as individual or group task in the end of learning or as a homework. 3) giving open-ended questions, reverse question, and context-rich problems. 4) analyzing each step students do to solve essay physics problems. 5) giving open-ended questions to students, and 6) interviewing by using a tool such as question card.

The Model of Conceptual Change

Overcoming a misconception means a process to shift status of conception on students' mind. That is a process of how a new conception can be replace the old one. In other words, it is a process how to change someone belief, truth, or view of point that are rooted far in his/her mind with a new paradigm. This is of course not a simple case. The science education literatures suggest that how to conceptual change takes place is not a simple thing (Baser, 2006; Pinarbaşi, Canpolat, Bayrakceken, & Geban, 2006), for instances; it is not enough to simply inform students of scientific conceptions (see e.g. Hakkarainen & Ahtee, 2006).

To discuss conceptual change it is essential to back to constructivism paradigm about how conceptions are constructed. Constructivism is a philosophy of learning founded on the premise that, by reflecting on our experiences, we construct our own understanding of the word we live in. Any discussion of conceptual change needs to consider the nature of conceptions (Treagust & Duit, 2008). Conceptual change strategies based on cognitive conflict are grounded on Piaget's notion of disequilibrium (Baser, 2006).

Jean Piaget asserted that the basic pronciples of cognitive development are the same as those of biological development (Wardsworth, 1984). He believed that the mind has structures such as the same way of the body does. He called this cognitive or mental structure as schema (plural: schemata) that adopts individual to and organize his//her envoronment. Schema can be simplistically thought of as *concepts*or categories (Wardsworth, 1984) stored in the mind. As an analogy, schema might be an index card in a file. These schemata continue growing and developing. It never stops changing or becoming more refined. The index cards in the file gradually growth based on time and needs.

Influenced by Piaget's work (see Hakkarainen&Ahtee, 2006; Pinarbaşi, Canpolat, Bayrakceken, & Geban, 2006; Greiffenhagen & Sheram, 2008) Posner, Strike, Hewson, & Gertzog (1982) explained a *general model of conceptual change* in learning which is largely derived from philosophy of science. They are known as initiators of *Conceptual Change Model* of learning (*CCM*) (Hewson, Beeth, & Thorley, 1998; Park, Hewson, Lemberger, & Marion, 2010). The central concepts of the CCM are status and conceptual ecology (Hewson, Beeth, & Thorley, 1998). The status is an indication of the degree to which a person knows and accepts his/her holding idea. Meanwile, conceptual ecology deals with all the knowledge that a person holds.

Posner, Strike, Hewson, & Gertzog (1982) argued that there are analogous patterns of conceptual change in science and conceptual change in learning. They

stated there are two phase of conceptual change in learning such as in science. The first phase they called as assimilation, that is when students use their existing concepts to deal with new phenomena. However, if the students' current concepts are inadequate to allow them to grasp some new phenomena, then the students must replace or reorganize his central concept. This second phase of conceptual change they called as accomodation, a radical form of conceptual change. Nevertheless, Posner, Strike, Hewson, & Gertzog (1982) only focus their work on the kinds of radical conceptual change, i.e. accomodation. They didn't discuss and no more information about assimilation. What happen? Indeed, Wardsworth (1984) stated that assimilation does not change the schemata, it places new stimulus events into existing schemata.

Posner, Strike, Hewson, & Gertzog (1982) expressed their theory about accomodation under two questions, (1) what condistions does central concept come to be replace by another? and (2) what are features of conceptual ecology which govern the selection of new concpts? The CCM assumes that learning is a rational process in which lerners use their existing knowledge (their conceptual ecology) to evaluate the status of new information and experiences, relative to the status of their existing knowledge (Park, Hewson, Lemberger, & Marion, 2010). Answering two questions above, Posner, Strike, Hewson, & Gertzog (1982) sated that there are four important conditions in order to accomodation take place. Fisrtly, the existing concepts must be dissatisfaction; students must have experiences which load them to lose faith in the ability of their current concepts to solve problems. Secondly, the new concept must be intelligible; the student must be able to understand sufficiently how experience can be structured by the new concept. Thirdly, the new concept must appear plausible; any new concept adapted must be least appear to have the ability to solve the problems generated by its predecessor. Finally, the new concept must be fruitful; it should have the capability to open up new areas of inquiry. There are five features of conceptual ecology related to the four conditions of a conceptual change above, i.e. (1) anomalies, (2) analogies and metaphors, (3) epistemological commitments, (4) metaphysical beliefs and concepts, and (5) other knowledge.

3. Method

The method of this research is education research and development). Research and development is a process to develop a product in the field of education with a validation. The study was conducted in three stages, namely 1) the preliminary stage or preparation, 2) the development stage of design, and 3) the testing phase (Nieveen&Plomp, 2007). The introductory phase is done with a qualitative descriptive approach, whereas the design development phase is in the form of validation and revision of Conceptual Change Text (CCT) of kinematics materials with expert validation and continued with limited testing. The testing phase will be done in year 2 by taking a sample of two groups to treatments with pre-test and post-test before and after treatment.

At the preliminary study stage or preparation of the research, the studies focused on: 1) the study of literature to analyze competence, essential materials, the analysis of the concepts and misconceptions experienced by respondents in Newtonian mechanics. 2) a field study to collect documents, materials, methods, media, evaluation techniques and other activities in the lecture Physics 1. 3) describe the findings of misconceptions in kinematics so far.

At the development stage design, the CCT for the material of kinematics was developed. Development begins with an analysis of the materials and concepts of Kinematics, followed by making a prototype of the CCT and then doing the next steps to gain a valid and practical CCT as recommended by Tessmer (1993).

The research was conducted in Science and Mathematics Department of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of UniversitasSriwijaya. Subjects were student teachers who are taking courses Physics 1. The instruments used in this study are (1) Validation Expert Sheets: sheets granted to experts in order to validate the CCT products that are being developed. This sheet will be obtained from the assessment and the advice and recommendations from experts to improve the CCT, (2) Questionnaire: The questionnaire used to determine the opinions of students and lecturer about Kinematics materials supplement CCT of

Kinematics materials. In accordance with the research question in Introduction session, the data was analyzed to answer the research question by a qualitative analyst of the instrument used (Sheet Validation Expert, Questionnaire) with descriptive statistical analysis. Data were obtained through a questionnaire in the form of qualitative scale is converted into a quantitative scale.

4. Result and Discussion

The study was conducted in three stages. This article reports the research activities for some activities in stages 1 and 2 for kinematics topics. Based on the analysis of the Basic Competence and Competency Standards produced some changes in the Basic Competence and Competency Standards that must be mastered by students for Newtonian mechanics materials in the Physics 1 course. The new Competency and Competency Standards also emphasis on conceptual understandings besides mastery of knowledge/decrease equations. Number of Competency Standards also changed from 5 to 7. The new Basic Competencies are 1) Mastering the basic knowledge about relationships of physics with others knowledge and the development of physics and physical science structure, quantities and units, dimensional formula, vector operations in depth, comprehensively and correctly and be able to apply them to solve simple physics problems and to study the higher physics concepts, 2) Mastering the general equation of motion of point particles and its application in a one-dimensional motion in depth, comprehensively and correctly and be able to apply it to solve simple physics problems and to study the higher physics concepts, 3) Mastering the general equation of motion of a point particle and its application in two-and three-dimensional motion in depth, comprehensively and correctly and be able to apply it to solve simple physics problems and to study the higher physics concepts, 4) Students master the basic concepts of dynamics in depth, comprehensive, and correct and be able to apply to solve simple physics problems and to study the higher physics concepts, 5) Students are able to apply the basic concepts of dynamics in depth, comprehensively, and right to solve various more complex physics cases and be able to develop it to study the higher physics

concept, 6) Mastering the basic concepts of work and energy in depth, comprehensively and correctly and be able to apply it to solve simple physics problems and to study the higher physics concepts, and 7) Mastering basic concepts of linear momentum and collisions in depth, comprehensively and correctly and be able to apply them to solve simple physics problems and be able to develop it to study the higher physics concepts.

The indicators havebeen also change in the quantity from 24 items to 61 items and a change of quality from emphasis on equation mastery into conceptual mastery. As an example for Kinematics II: Motion in Two and Three Dimensions, the indicators are: 1) Describe and apply the equations of position, displacement, velocity and acceleration in two-and three-dimensional motion; 2) Formulate and apply the equations of projectile motion; 3) Explain that on the projectile motion, the horizontal and vertical motions are independent; 4) Create and interpret graphs of position, velocity, and acceleration as a function of time for projectile motion; 5) Analyze various cases of projectile motions; 6) Explain that the equations of projectile motion is equation; 7) Describe and derive the equations of uniform circular motion and changed uniform circular motion; 8) Distinguish radial acceleration and tangential acceleration; and 9) Describe and apply the equation for the relative motion.

Based on the analysis of the concepts obtained key concepts that must be mastered by students on Kinematics topic, namely terms of reference, position, displacement, distance traveled, time needed, average velocity, average speed, instantaneous velocity, speed, average acceleration, instantaneous acceleration, rectilinear uniform motion, uniformly accelerated motion, free fall motion, projectile motion, and relative velocity.

Furthermore, based on the study of literature from previous studies e.g. by Schoon (1995), Hestenes&Halloun (1995), Bayraktar (2009), and a preliminary study by researcher using the instrument of Indonesian version of the Force Concept Inventory (FCI)e.g.inSyuhendri&Mayanti (2013), Syuhendri, Jaafar, and Yahya (2014a), Syuhendri (2014), Syuhendri, Jaafar, and Yahya (2014b) can be

recapitulated the various forms of misconceptions that commonly held by students in kinematics. The misconceptions can be seen in Table 5.1 below.

No	Dimension	Kinds of misconceptions
	Kinematics	
1		Position and velocity are considered equal
2		Velocity and acceleration are considered
3		Velocity is not as vector quantity
4		Ego-centered reference frame
5		Heavier objects fall faster
6		Grafity increase as object falls
7		Acceleration differs along the trajectory of a projectile motion
8		An object thrown vertically upward from alinier moving object will
		land behind the moving object.
9		The bullet will not hit the target if the target falls when the bullet was
		fired.

Table4.1 Recap of misconceptions on kinematics

Misconceptions found above constitute the basis for the development of Conceptual Changes Text (CCT) for Kinematics materials.

At the development of design stagewas done drafting/prototype the CCT of kinematics. The prototype was developed for the Kinematics 1: Motion in one dimension, Kinematics II: Motion in two and three dimensions. The format of the CCT is:

- Case
- Question
- The empty space for answers and reasons
- Kinds of misconceptions
- Explanation of the right concepts

Draft 1 of CCT of Kinematics was developed based on the format above. Furthermore, based on self evaluation, researcher carried out improvements to the Draft 1. Improvements regarding content, language, and lay out. The results of revision are cited as Draft 2. The example of Draft 2 of CCT can be seen as below: The configuration of like that texts are believed successful inincreasing the conceptual understanding toward the correct concept. The reasons are firstly, CCT was credible sources of information for the students. The CCT informed various alternative conceptions that some may be equal to the students' views or in linewith their thinking. Statements of alternative conceptions that are in the CCT remind learners that their ideas are wrong. Then the argument of scientific explanation given that guarantee why the replacement concepts are correct and explain the consequences that occur if the concept is not as described make students to see the difference between alternative conceptions and scientific concepts. Secondly, the CCT are powerful tool forl argeclass sizes. Lecturer will not beable to touch more deeply every problem that exists in the mind of every student if the number of students is large and the time is limited.

The successful of CCT have been reported for examples by Özmen (2007), Palmer (2003), Hynd, Mcwhorter, Phares, and Suttles (1994), Baser and Geban (2007), and Tekkaya (2003). Palmer (2003) found that conceptual change text instruction are effective increase students' understanding of photosynthesis, human circulatory system, and ecological roles. Meanwhile, Tekkaya (2003) investigated the effectiveness of combining conceptual change texts and concept mapping strategies on students' understandings of diffusion and osmosis and reported there was a statistically significant difference between experimental and control groups after treatment. In addition, Özmen (2007) also demonstrated that conceptual change texts based instruction was more successful in remediating students' alternative conceptions about chemical equilibrium than traditional instruction.

Yürük (2007) argued that CCTs can be used effectively in both large and small classrooms to facilitate conceptual change. In addition, CCTs do not demand additional and expensive materials to be used in the instructional environment. However, Syuhendri (2010) recommended to use the CCTs for large classes that are often encountered in Indonesia with the number of students of 35

to 50 or more to cover the limitations of the interaction among teacher and students. Teachers and textbook writers can easily incorporate CCTs into the science texts or teachers can use them in a worksheet format or as supplement classroom instruction materials. Unlike to the other instructional strategies, CCTs are flexible, they can be read by the students anytime and anywhere when needed. Although the implementation of the CCTs instruction needs the intensive teacher-student interaction (Balci et al., 2006), it supports flexibility in learning process.

5. Conclusion and Remark

Based on the description above, it can be concluded that it has been successfully carried out analysis of competencies, essential materials, and the dominant misconceptions experienced by students on the kinematics materials. Then, it was obtained the revision of basic competencies and competency standards for Physics 1 course qualitatively and quantitatively. Qualitatively, it was given the emphasis on mastery of concepts in addition to mastery of content knowledge and derivation of equations. In quantitatively, it do change the number of competence standards from 5 to 7. Furthermore, it has found 9 kinds of common misconceptionsin kinematics. These misconceptionsare the basis for the development of CCT.

The CCT for Kinematics topics has started to be developed with the format: case, question, answer and reasons, kinds of misconception, and explanation of right concepts. The CCT finally can be used to improve conceptual understanding and remediation of misconceptions on the kinematics.

I express my gratitude to the Indonesian Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education, which is kindly funding the research under HibahBersaing schema for Year 2016 and 2017. This article is based on some results of this research. I wish also to express my sincere gratitude to Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University.

References

- Balci, S., Cakiroglu, J., & Tekkaya, C. (2006). Engagement, Exploration, Explanation, Extension, and Evaluation (5E) Learning Cycle and Conceptual Change Texts as Learning Tools. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*, 34(3), 199-203.
- Baser, M. (2006).Effect of conceptual change and traditional confirmatory imulation on pre-service teachers' understanding of direct current circuit. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 15(5), 367-381. doi: 10.1007/s10956-006-9025-3.
- Baser, M., &Geban, Ö. (2007).Effectiveness of conceptual change instruction on understanding of heat and temperature concepts.*Research in Science &Technological Education*, 25(1), 115 133.
- Bayraktar, S. (2009). 'Misconceptions of Turkish pre-service teachers about force and motion', International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 7: 273-291.
- Hake, R. R. (1999). Analyzing Change/Gain Score. American Educational Research Division D, Measurement and Research Methodology.
- Hakkarainen, O., & Ahtee, M. (2006). The durability of conceptual change in learning the concept of weight in the case of a pulley in balance. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, *5*, 461-482.
- Hasan, S., Bagayoko, D., & Kelley, E. L. (1999). 'Misconceptions and the Certaintyof Response Index (CRI)', Physics Education, 34(5): 294-299.
- Hestenes, D. &Halloun, I. (1995). 'Interpreting the Force Concept Inventory: A response to Huffman and 'Heller', The Physics Teacher, 33: 502-506.
- Hestenes, D., Wells, M., &Swackhamer, G. (1992). Force Concept Inventory. The Physics Teacher, 30(3), 141-158.
- Hynd, C., Mcwhorter, J., Phares, V., &Suttles, C. (1994). The role of instruction in conceptual change in high school physics topics. *Journal of Research in ScienceTeaching*, *31*, 933-946.
- Jannati, E. D. (2013). Model Pembelajaran Experimental Kolb untuk MeningkatkanPemahamanKonsepdanKemampuanMenjelaskanFenomenaF isisSiswa SMA Kelas X padaKonsepAlatOptik. Thesis S2 yang tidakditerbitkan: UPI. Diakses 28 Desember 2013 dari http://repository.upi.edu/.
- Kim, E., & Pak, S.J. (2002). 'Students Do Not Overcome Conceptual Difficulties after Solving 1000 Traditional Problem', American Journal of Physics, 70(7): 759-765.
- Lawson, A. E. (1994). *Science teaching and the development of thinking*.Belmont, California: Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Muller, et.al.(2007). Conceptual change through vicarious learning in an authentic physics setting.*Instructional Sciences*, 35, 519–533. doi: 10.1007/s11251-007-9017-6.

- Nieveen, N. &Plomp, T. (2007).Formative Evaluation in Educational Design Research (Eds).AnIntroduction to Educational Design Research.Enschede: SLO.
- Özmen, H. (2007). The effectiveness of conceptual change texts in remediating high school students' alternative conceptions concerning chemical equilibrium. *Asia Pacific Education Review*. 8 (3), 413-425.
- Palmer, D. (2003). Investigating the relationship between refutational text and conceptual change texts. *Science Education*, 87, 663-684.
- Pinarbaşi, et. al. (2006). An investigation of effectiveness of conceptual change text-oriented instruction on students' understanding of solution concepts. *Researchon Science Education*, 36, 313-335. doi:10.1007/s11165-005-9003-4

Posner, G. J., Strike, K. A., Hewson, P. W., &Gertzog, W. A. (1982). Accommodation of a scientific conception: Toward a theory of

- conceptual change', Science Education, 66(2): 211-227
- Puskur.(2008). NaskahAkademikKajianKebijakanKurikulum Mata Pelajaran IPA.
- Rahman, N. A. (2004). Secondary physics teachers' attitudes, strategies and reflections on practice in relation to pupils' misconceptions (Unpublished Doctotral Dissertation). The University of Mancester.
- Schoon, K. J. (1995). The Origin and Extent of Alternative Conceptions in the Earth and Space Sciences: A Survey of Pre-service Elementary Teachers. *Journal of Elementary Science Education*, 7(2), 27-46.
- Slavin, R. E. (2009).*Educational Psychology: Theory and practice*. New Jersey:Pearson Education, Inc.
- Syuhendri (2010).PembelajaranPerubahanKonseptual: PilihanPenulisanSkripsi Mahasiswa. *Forum MIPA*, 13(2), 133-140.
- Syuhendri. (2014). KonsepsiAlternatifMahasiswapadaranahMekanika: Analisis untuk Konsep Impetus danKecepatan Benda Jatuh. *Jurnal Inovasi dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 1(1), 56-68.
- Syuhendri, Jaafar, R., &Yahya, R. A. S. (2014a). Condition of Student Teacher Conceptions on Mechanics: An Investigation Using FCI Empowered by CRI. In Proceedings of International Seminar on Education (ISE 2014), Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Sultan AgengTirtayasa.147-156.
- Syuhendri, Jaafar, R., &Yahya, R. A. S. (2014b). Analysis of Physics Education Department Students' Misconceptions on Other Influences of Motion. In Proceedings of The 1stSriwijaya University Learning and Education-International Conference (SULE-IC 2014), C16-622 – C16-630.
- Syuhendri & Mayanti, R. (2013). AnalisisPemahamanKonsepMekanikaMahasiswa Program Studi Pendidikan Fisika Tahun 1 denganmenggunakan FCI dan CRI. LaporanPenelitian. TidakDipublikasikan. FKIP Unsri.
- Tessmer, M. (1993). Planning and conducting formative evaluations: Improving the quality of education and training. Psychology Press.
- Wadsworth, B. J. (1984). *Piaget's theory of cognitive and affective development,* third edition. New York: Longman, Inc.

Yürük, N. (2007). The effect of supplementing instruction with conceptual change texts on students' conceptions of electrochemical cells. *Journal of Science Education Technology*, *16*, 515–523. doi: 10.1007/s10956-007-9076-0.