ENHANCING STUDENTS READING COMPREHENSION AND WRITING ACHIEVEMENT ON RECOUNT TEXT THROUGH LANGUAGE EXPERIENCE APPROACH OF THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMP N 1 INDRALAYA

A Thesis by Dyah Ayu Pytaloka MN 06011181520015

English Education Study Program Language and Arts Education Department



FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY INDRALAYA

2019

ENHANCING STUDENTS READING COMPREHENSION AND WRITING ACHIEVEMENT ON RECOUNT TEXT THROUGH LANGUAGE EXPERIENCE APPROACH OF THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMP N 1 INDRALAYA

A Thesis by

Dyah Ayu Pytaloka MN

06011181520015

English Education Study Program

Language and Arts Education Department

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

INDRALAYA

2019

Approved by,

Advisor 1,

Advisor 2,

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 19740802 2002121003

Hesti Wahyuni Anggraini S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 198609282014042001

Certified by,

Head of Language and Arts

Departement

Dr. Didi Suhendi, S.Pd., M.Hum

NIP 196910221994031001

Coordinator of English Education Study Program

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 19740802 2002121003

ENHANCING STUDENTS READING COMPREHENSION AND WRITING ACHIEVEMENT ON RECOUNT TEXT THROUGH LANGUAGE EXPERIENCE APPROACH OF THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMP N 1 INDRALAYA

A Thesis by

Dyah Ayu Pytaloka MN

06011181520015

This thesis was defended by the writer in final program examination and was approved by the examination comitee on :

Day: Thursday

Date : December 26th, 2019

EXAMINATION COMITEE APPROVAL:

1. Chairperson : Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

2. Secretary : Hesti Wahyuni Anggraini S.Pd.,M.Pd (_____

3. Member : Soni Mirizon, M.A., Ed.D.

4. Member : Lingga Agustina Suganda, S.Pd., M.Pd.

5. Member : Erlina, S.Pd., M.Ed., M.Pd.

Palembang,
Certified by,
Coordinator of English Education Study Program

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 19740802 2002121003

DECLARATION

I, the undersign

Name : Dyah Ayu Pytaloka MN

Student Number : 06011181520015

Study Program : English Education

Certified that Thesis entitled "Enhancing Students Reading Comprehension and Writing Achievement on Recount Text Through Language Experience Approach Of The Eighth Grade Students Of SMP N 1 Indralaya" is my own work and I did not do any plagiarism or inappropriate quotation against the ethic and rules commended by the Ministry of Education of Republik Indonesia Number 17, 2010 regarding plagiarism in higher education. Therefore, I deserve to face court if I am found to have plagiarized this work.

Indralaya, December 2019

The Undersign,

Dyah Ayu Pytaloka MN 06011181520015 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

First of all, the writer would like to give his great gratitude to Allah SWT, the

Almighty one, for the blessings and mercies which become the most important reason to

make this thesis completed, Alhamdulillah. This thesis was done to fulfill one of the

requirements to get S1 degree at English Education Study Program, Language and Arts

Education Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of

Sriwijaya.

The writer would like to expressed his deepest appreciation and gratitude to his

advisors, Hariswan Putra Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd. and Hesti Wahyuni Anggraini S.Pd., M.Pd. also

Ida Rosmalina S.Pd., M.Pd. for their advice, guidance and support in writing her thesis.

Then, the writer's gratitude is expressed to the Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and

Education (Prof. Sofendi, M.A., Ph.D), and all his staff members, the Head of Language

and Arts Education Department (Dr. Didi Suhendi, M. Hum.) and the Coordinator of

English Education Study Program (Hariswan Putra Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.) for their

assistance in administrative matters. Finally, I would like to express my big appreciation

to the headmasters, the teachers, all the staffs and students of SMP N 1 Indralaya for their

help during the study.

Indralaya, December 2019

The Writer,

Dyah Ayu Pytaloka MN

٧

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITTLE PAGEi
APPROVALii
COMITEE APPROVALiii
DECLARATION OF PLAGIARISMiv
DEDICATIONv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSvi
TABLE OF CONTENTS vii
LIST OF TABLESx
LIST OF APPENDICESxi
ABSTRACT xiii
CHAPTER 1 1
INTRODUCTION 1
1.1 Background
1.2 The Problems of the Study
1.3 The Objectives of the Study
1.4 The Significance of the Study
CHAPTER 2 6
LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Reading as the Fundamental Skill of Language
2.2 Reading Comprehension in Classroom
2.3 Recount Text
2.4 Writing Recount text
2.5 Language Experience Approach 11
2.6 Teaching Reading and Writing through Language Experience Approach
2.7 The Previous Related Studies

CHAPTER III	
METHODOLOGY 16	ĺ
3.1 Research Design	,
3.2 The Procedures	,
3.3 Technique for Colleting the Data	
3.4 Validity of the Test	
3.4.1 Validity of the Reading Test	
3.4.2 Validity of the Writing Test23	
3.5 Reliability of the Test	
3.5.1 Reliability of the Reading Test24	
3.5.2 Reliability of the Writing Test24	
3.6 Variables of the Study25	
3.7 Operational Definitions	
3.8 Population and Sample	
3.8.1 Population of the Study	
3.8.2 Sample of the Study26	
3.9 Technique for Analyzing the Data	
3.9.1 Data Analysis for Reading Test	
3.9.2 Data Analysis for Writing Test	
CHAPTER IV	
FINDINGS AND INTERPRETATION	
4.1 The Findings Of The Study	
4.1.1 Reading Comprehension	
4.1.2 Writing Achievement	
4.2 The Statistical Analysis	
4.1.3 The Result of Normality Test	
4.1.4 The Result of Homogeinity Test	
4.1.5 The Statistical Analysis on the Result of the Pre-test and Post-test of the Experimental Group and Control Group in Reading	

Appendices	
References	
5.2 Suggestions	
5.1 Conclusion	
CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS46	
CHAPTER V	
4.3 Interpretation of the Study43	
4.1.8 The Statistical Analysis on the Result of the Post-test of the Experimental Group and Control Group in Writing	
4.1.7 The Statistical Analysis on the Result of the Pre-test and Post-test of the Experimental Group and Control Group in Writing	
4.1.6 The Statistical Analysis on the Result of the Post-test of the Experimental Group and Control Group in Reading	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Teaching and Learning Activities
Table 2	Test Spesifications of Reading
Table 3	Test Specification of Writing
Table 4	Interpretation of Kappa Score
Table 5	Population of the Study
Table 6	The Result of IRI Reading Test
Table 7	Sample of the Study
Table 8	Writing Score Rubric
Table 9	The Score Distribution in The Experimental Group
Гable 10	The Score Distribution in The Control Group
Гable 11	The Score Distribution in the Experimental Group
Гable 12	The Score Distribution in the Control Group
Гable 13	The Result of Normality Test of Reading
Гable 14	The Result of Normality Test of Writing
Гable 15	The result of Homogeinity test of Reading
Гable 16	The result of Homogeinity test of Writing
Гable 17	The Summary of Statistical analysis on the Experimental and Control Groups by Using Paired Sample T-test.
Гable 18	Independent Sample Statistic of Post-test
Гable 19	The Summary of the Statistical Analysis on the Experimental and Control Group by Using Independent Sample T-test.
Гable 20	The Summary of Statistical analysis on the Experimental and Control Groups by Using Paired Sample T-test.
Гable 21	Independent Sample Statistic of Post-test
Гable 22	The Summary of the Statistical Analysis on the Experimental and Control Group by Using Independent Sample T-test.

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Recount Text Reading Test

Appendix B Recount Text Writing Test

Appendix C Lecturers Reading and Writing Test Validations Statement from

Validator

Appendix D Result of Reading and Writing Test Validations

Appendix E Letters of Statement from Rater

Appendix F Result of Reading and Writing Try Out test.

Appendix G Result of Reading Test Validity and Reliability

Appendix H Result of Writing Test Validity and Reliability

Appendix I The Result of Reading Test Answered by Students

Appendix J The Result of Writing Test Answered by Students

Appendix K The Result of Paired Sample T-Test in Reading

Appendix L The Result of Paired Sample T-Test in Writing

Appendix M The Result of Independent Sample T-Test in Reading and Writing

Appendix N The Result of Normality Test

Appendix O The Result of Homogeinity Test

A ppendix P Absensi Siswa

Appendix Q RPP

Appendix R Surat Usul Judul Skripsi

Appendix S Surat Penunjukan Pembimbing Skripsi

Appendix T Surat Izin Melaksanakan Seminar Proposal

Appendix U Surat Telah Melaksanakan Seminar Proposal

Appendix V Attendance List of Research Design Seminar

Appendix W Research Design Seminar Suggestion List

Appendix X Surat Izin Penelitian FKIP

Appendix Y Surat Izin Penelitian DIKNAS

Appendix Z Surat Keterangan Telah Melaksanakan Penelitian

Appendix AA Surat Izin Melaksanakan Seminar Hasil

Appendix BB Surat Telah Melaksanakan Seminar Hasil

Appendix CC Attendance List of Preliminary Research Report

Appendix DD Preliminary Research Report Suggestion List

Appendix EE Surat Izin Melaksanakan Ujian Skripsi

Appendix FF Surat Telah Melaksanakan Ujian Skripsi

Appendix GG Thesis Final Exam Suggestion List

Appendix HH Thesis Consultation Card

Appendix II Dokumentari

ENHANCING STUDENTS READING COMPREHENSION AND WRITING ACHIEVEMENT ON RECOUNT TEXT THROUGH LANGUAGE EXPERIENCE APPROACH OF THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMP N 1 INDRALAYA

ABSTRACT

This study was intended to figure out how Language Experience Approach (LEA) improves students ability in reading comprehension and writing achievement. The objectives of this study were to find out wether or not there was significant difference in students' reading comprehension and writing achievement in the form of recount text before and after being taught by using the Language Experience Approach, and whether or not there was significant difference between the students who were taught by using the Language Experience Approach and those who were not. The subject of this study were the eighth-grade students of SMP Negeri 1 Indralaya which consist 64 students as a sample who were selected by using random sampling technique. The instrument for collecting the data were reading comprehension test and writing test which administered twice as pre-test and post-test. The pre-test was given to find out the basic ability of the students and the post-test was given to find out the improvement of the students. Paired sample t-test and independent sample t-test were applied to analyze the data. The finding showed that there was significant difference in students reading comprehension and writing achievement in the form of recount text before and after the implementation of LEA. The result of paired sample t-test of both experimental and control group showed that the t-obtained was higher than t-table and the p-value<0.05 (.000 < 0.05). Furthermore, this study also showed that there was significant difference between students who were taught by using LEA and those who were not. The result of independent sample t-test showed that the t-obtained was higher than the t-table (4.690 > 1.999) and the p-value < 0.05 (.000 < 0.05). Therefore, the implementation of LEA in teaching and learning process was quite successful. It is showed that LEA is effective learning strategies to be taught in school.

Keywords: Language Experience Approach (LEA), reading comprehension, writing achievement, recount text.

A Thesis by an English Education Study Program Student, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University.

Name : Dyah Ayu Pytaloka MN

NIM : 06011181520015

Approved by,

Advisor 1, Advisor 2,

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 19740802 2002121003

Hesti Wahyuni Anggraini S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 198609282014042001

Certified by,

Coordinator of English Education Study Programs,

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd. NIP. 19740802 2002121003

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter introduce background, problem, objective, and significance of the study.

1.1 Background

Language is foremost a tool of communication which is used to communicate between two or more people in carrying out their daily activities and has the main role to make people understand. The function of language is not only for communication but also for culture transfer because communication almost always takes place within some sort of social context (Amberg & Vause, 2010). English is known as an International language that is used all over the world. However, English is still as foreign language in Indonesia. In fact, by learning English, we have opportunity to open the chance for a good life career. As for in Indonesia, people will searching to those who are good in English, because a tutor or educator to teach English is nowadays become very necessary thing. Students whose major in English are exposed to become teacher of English (Jaya, 2011). English is considered as a foreign language (EFL) which is taught as a compulsory subject from junior high school, senior high school, and university level as stated in (The Indonesia Departement of Education, number 19, year 2005).

There are four basic skills in a language that must be mastered by EFL students; listening, speaking, reading, and writing. Every skill is correlated with each other. Reading skill is the skill that leads to written language. The ability to read will affect writing skill. Reading is considered important since it affects all areas one's life from childhood to adulthood because one of the ways to enrich knowledge is by reading. Meanwhile, writing may truly be considered the most difficult for many students because they do not know how to write and develop a topic, especially in the English language.

Departemen Pendidikan Nasional (Departement of National Education, year 2003) states that teaching of reading as a foreign language (EFL reading) in Indonesia aims at enabling students to read and comprehend text and other materials written in English. Additionally, the regulation of national education system, UU SISDIKNAS, No. 20/2003 (12-13), the goal of teaching reading is to enable the students to communicate using English as well as to compete in the international field. Many educators see that reading as the foundation of success in school learning and the key to the achievement of potential after graduation. This is also in line with Mart (2012) that states "reading is an important part of

successful language acquisition." Reading is worth-noted for English learners and English has become a universal language. It is fruitful not only for careers, study, and pleasure but also for language acquisition (Harmer, 2007, cited in Sukyadi and Hasanah (n.d)).

Meanwhile, writing is also one of the skills which have to be mastered by the students because writing can help them to think critically and deeply build good writing (Brookfield, 2009). Writing also a necessary component of education, livelihood, and functional basic in our society nowadays. Good writing skills are needed for all the students in order to accomplish their educational and employable requirements, and strong writing skills may enhance students' chances for success (Durga & Rao, 2018). Writing is important to be taught to the students because students have to learn the art of good writing which is essential in order to excel at both academic and professional levels. By learning writing, the students will get knowledge on how to write effectively, how to express ideas, how to state their thoughts with anyone else through writing. In writing a sentence or a text, the students have to focus on the rules of writing such as the topic of the paragraph, body of the paragraph, conclusions of the paragraph and so on.

Writing and reading have long been considered to be interrelated activities (Petty, 1983, cited in Llach, 2010). For many years, writing partly comes from reading and reading partly comes from writing where it shows that it has a correlation relationship. Because of this relationship, many people believe, especially scholars, that "good readers are good writers" and "good writers are good readers" Hirvela (2001).

However, most of the Indonesian students find it difficult to deal with an activity of reading and writing. As a foreign language in Indonesia, English is not used daily which means that students do not have sufficient exposure to English. They have difficulties to comprehend an English text in reading activity because they have less understanding about the meaning of the text or passages. Hamra and Syatriana (2010) found that the ability of Indonesian students to read English text was very low. Referring to similar information, Madya (2007) describes the condition of Indonesian students of age 15, around 69% has the lowest level in reading. Moreover, it is reported English Proficiency Index (EPI) (2018) in their site (https://www.ef.com/wwen/epi/) that Indonesia is on the low category in the 51st rank out of 88 countries the world. As in for learning academic writing in English is, however, not easy for nonnative speakers of English. Indonesian university students and graduates are reported to have low writing ability (Kridalaksana,

2002). Students do not see an immediate need to learn and use English in daily life, a situation compounded by the fact that writing receives less attention in the syllabus than other skills (Sukandi, 2013). Fahim & Nejad (2006) state that the low writing skills of the Indonesian people, including lecturers, practitioners, business people, and college students, are a reality that Indonesians are not used to

writing their ideas productively. Moreover, according to Erlina, Hayati and Jaya (2018) one of the reasons why students are still hard to deal with writing is because the lack of knowledge on how to write since writing activity have its own pattern and its organization.

By those facts, the teachers need a suitable method to solve the problems and help the students in a better way in learning English especially reading and writing skill. Therefore, this research is intended to introduce the Language Experience Approach (LEA) as a method in teaching reading and writing and investigate how LEA improve students' reading comprehension and writing achievement.

Language Experience Approach (LEA) is a method for reading instruction based on activities and stories developed from personal experiences of the learner(Allan, 1960). LEA is an interesting method to use. LEA is an effective instructional method in teaching language because it combines and integrates four skills components in language learning (Stauffer, 1970). The implementation of LEA will be needed to improve the quality of teaching reading and writing and achieve the objectives in the learning process.

In the relation of this method, recount text will be a suitable material to apply the Language Experience Approach. According to curriculum 2013, the purpose of teaching English at junior high school is to make students have knowledge, skillful, critical thinking, creative and innovative, and characters' building. For that, there are types of texts that should be taught at this level. There are five genres which are taught in junior high school, they are; narrative, recount, procedures, descriptive, and report text. Each genre has own social function, generic structure, purpose and language features. Recount text has some characteristic that makes it different from other genres. Recount text comes from experiences.

Recount text is a text genre which is used to retell a series of events or experiences in the past to inform or entertain (Purwanti, 2013). According to Anderson(1997:48), the social purpose of recount text is to document a series of events and evaluate their significance in some way. It is also to give the audience a description of what occurred and when it occurs. The story recount has expressions of attitude and feeling, usually made by the narrator about the events. Students are expected that when they have more experiences they will have more ideas to tell which is they have learned the words or some vocabularies regarding the experiences that they have, consequently they might stand out in the reading and writing activity.

Applying the LEA in the class, the students must take turn giving dictation of their experience, can be: a field trip, pet, process of making something and so on. As the students giving their story, the teacher is going to write exactly what is being said by the student even if there is an incorrect pronoun, incorrect tense, the teacher should write exactly what it said. Teacher use students' vocabularies and way of speaking to practice reading and writing. The teacher then read the story back to the students and track

each word with marker, then students take turn reciting the story themselves and each sentence is cut into strips. Students must reconstruct the story. The teacher makes a small group for students' presentation about their story. The teacher also helps correct students when reading a story back to students. Then each student could write a sentence to be added to the story. Get students to practice reading their story at home. The students will be able to relate the steps of the activity to the word that they see on the paper to help with word recognition. They also will get new vocabularies (Stauffer, 1980)

A previous study conducted by Rahayu (2013) that used LEA to improve EFL students shows that students' motivation in learning English increased during the implementation of LEA. Students claimed that LEA helped them understood the material and encouraged their motivation and confidence.

Based on the teaching experience that has been done by the writer in SMP N 1 Indralaya, she found that the students are not interested in reading, especially for recount text. As they are not interesting in reading, they did not put much attention in writing activity. The result of the English score in their daily examination and midterm test also showed that just a few students could pass the standard score. Meanwhile, the standard of passing grade (SKM) of English Achievement in SMP N 1 Indralaya is 73. Due to the problems, the writer was interested to apply the Language Experience Approach to the eighthgrade students of SMP N 1 Indralaya by conducting a study entitled "Enhancing Students Reading Comprehension and Writing Achievement On Recount Text Through Language Experience Approach Of The Eighth Grade Students Of SMP N 1 Indralaya"

1.2 The Problems of the Study

Based on the background above, the problems investigated in this study are formulated in the following questions:

- 1. Is there any significant difference in reading comprehension and writing achievement in the form of recount text of the eighth-grade students of SMP N 1 Indralaya between before the students being taught and after the students being taught by using the Language Experience Approach?"
- 2. Is there any significant difference in reading comprehension and writing achievement in the form of recount text of the eighth-grade students of SMP N 1 Indralaya between those who are taught by using the Language Experience Approach and those who are not?

1.3 The Objectives of the Study

Reffering to the problems above, the objectives of this study are:

1. To find out whether or not there is significant difference in the eighth-grade students' reading comprehension and writing achievement in the form of recount text between before the students being taught and after the students being taught by using the Language Experience Approach

2. To find out whether or not there is significant difference in the eighth-grade students' reading comprehension and writing achievement between the students who are taught by using the Language Experience Approach and those who are not

1.4 The Significance of the Study

This study was expected to be useful for English teachers, especially those dealing with teaching reading and writing skill. The writer also hopes that this method will be useful to increase students' reading comprehension and writing achievement so that in the future it will be used as one of the alterntive methods for the teachers. For the writer herself, it was also expected that this study can give her valuable knowledge as an English teacher in the future.

References

Allen, van R. (1976). The Language Experience Approach to Reading Instruction. Boston: Ginn and Company

Amberg, J. S., Vause, D. J., Amberg, J. S., & Vause, D. J. (2010). *Introduction: What is language? American English*, 1–18. Retrieved from: https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511757792.002

Andersson, R., Spiro, R.J., & Andersson, M.C.(1977). *Schemata as scaffolding for the representation of information in connected discourse*. University of Illinois at Urbana - Champaign.

Arikunto, S. (2002). Prosedur Penelitian: Suatu Pendekatan Praktik. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.

Arvin, R. (1987). UNF Graduate Theses and Disertation: *Application of the Language Experience Approach for Secondary Level Students*. 297. Retrieved from https://digitalcommonsunf.edu/etd/297

Bussiere, P., & Gluszynski, T. (2004). The impact of computer use on reading achievement of 15-yers-olds. Human resources and skill development, Quebec

Brophy, J. (1986). Occasional Paper No.101: *Motivating Students*. East Lansing, Michigan: Institute for Research on Teaching, Michigan State University. ED 276 724

Brown, J. D. (2000). Testing in Language Program. Upper Sadle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Brown, D. (2001) *Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy, Second Edition*. New York: Pearson Education

Brown, H D. (2000). Principles of Language Learning Teaching. New York: Pearson Education, Inc.

Brown, H.D. (2007). Teaching by Principles: *An Interactive Approach to Langguage Pedagogy 3rd edition*. White Plains, NY: Pearson Education Inc.

Brookfield, D. Stephen. (2009). Teaching for Critical Thingking: *Tools and Technique to Help Students Question their Assumpstions (1st edition)*. Jossey-Bass

Catapano, J. (2009). How to Motivate Students with Encouragement. New York: teachub.com.

Combs, M. (1996). *Develoing Competent Reader and Writers in the Primary Grades*. Englewood Cliff: Prentice Hall, Inc.

Creswell, J.W. (2012). Educational Research: planning, conducting and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research (4^{th} edition). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education

Departemen Pendidikan Nasional (2003) . *Kompetensi dasar mata pelajaran bahasa Inggris SMA & MA*. Jakarta ; Pusat Kurikulum, Balitbang Depdiknas. Retrieved from : http://www.scribd.com

Durkin, D. (1993). Teaching them to read (6th Ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Dixon, C. N., & Nessel, D. D. (1983). Language experience approach to reading and writing: Language experience reading for second language learners. Hayward, CA: Alemany Press.

English Proficiency Index (EPI). (2018). Retrieved from: https://www.ef.com/wwen/epi/

Erlina, Hayati, R., & Jaya, H. P. (2018). International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature: *Helping Students to Write Better through Reading and Writing Connections Strategy.*, 7(6), 23. https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijalel.v.7n.6p.23

Fahim, M & Nejad, A.D. (2006). A Multiple intelligence-based investigation into the effects of Feedback Conditions of EFL Writing Ahievement. IJAL, Vol 9, No.2.

Ferlazo, L. (2014). Response: The Best Ways To Engage Students In Learning. Pp.1-2.

Goodman, Kenneth.(1986). What's Whole in Whole Language?. Ontario: Scholastic.

Gordon, J. (1967). *Language experience approach* (lea), 1–11.

Hall, MaryAnne. (1965). The Development and Evaluation of a Language Experience Approach to Reading with First-Grade Culturally Disadvantaged Children. Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland, 1965.

Hamka (2007). *Korelasi antara kebiasaan membaca dan pemahaman*. Retrieved from: http://www.cabiklunik.co.id/04/minatbaca.htm

Hamra, A., & Syatriana, E. (2010). Developing a model of teaching reading comprehension for ESL students. TEFFLIN Journal, 1(21), 270-285

Hatch, Evelyn and Anne Lazaraton. (1991). *The Research Manual Design and Statistic for Applied Linguistics*. New York, NY: Newbury House Publisher.

Harmer, Jeremy. (2005). How to Teach English. Addison Wesley Longman Limited, England.

Hedge, T. (2005) Writing. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hirvela, A. (2001). Research perspectives on English for Academic Purposes: Incorporating reading into EAP writing courses. In J. Flowerdeu (Ed.). New York: Cambridge University Press.

Hornby, A.S. (1995). Oxford Advance Learner's Dictionary of Current English. 5th Edition. New York, NY: Oxford University Press

Jalongo, Mary Renck. (1992). Early Childhood Language Arts. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Jaya, H.P. (2011). What Really Influences English Proficiency of The Students of English Departement Sriwijaya University?. 3(6) (P. 1). ISSN: 2085-4021.

Jones, E. V. (1986). Teaching reading through experience. Life Learning, 9 (7), 29-31.

H. Kridalaksana. (2002). *A matter of no research university. Lecturer's writing ability is low.* The Kompas. Retrieved from :

http://perpustakaan.bappenas.go.id/lontar/file?file=digital/blob/F16999/sOAL%20Tidak%20Ada%20Universitas%20Riset.htm

Karnowski, L. (1989). The Reading Teacher: *Using LEA With Process Writing*. 42(7), pp. 462–465. International Literacy Association.

Llach, M. d. P. A., (2010). Examining the role of L2 proficiency in the relationship between L2 reading and writing. Estudios ingleses de la Universidad Complutense(18), 35-52.

Lumsden, L.S. (1994). ERIC Digest: *Students Motivation to Learn.* 37(92). Retrieved from www.ericdigests.org

Lunzer, E. A., & Gardnaer, K. (1979). The effective use of reading. London: Heinemann.

Mart, C. G (2012). *Encouraging young learners to learn English through stories*. English Language Teaching, 5(5), 101-106. Doi:10.5539/elt.v5n5p101

Marques, J. F., & McCal, C. (2005). The application of interrater reliability as a solidification instrument in a phenomenological study. The Qualitative Report, 10(3), 439-462. Retrieved from: http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR-10-4/marques

Madya, S. (2007). Penelitian Tindakan Kelas. Retrieved from: http://www.sacarerfocus.co.24/

McMillan, J.H. (2004). *Educational research : Fundamentals for the consumer. 4th ed.* Boston, MA :Pearson Allyn & Bacon.

McMillan, J.H., & Schumacher,S. (2010). Research in education.: *Evidance-based inquiry* (7th edition). New York, NY: Haper Collins Publisher.

Mensah, J. A. (2014). *Investigating The Use Of The Language Experience Approach At La Anglican Basic School (Pp. 317-328)*. Retrieved from: http://ugspace.ug.edu.gh/

Mukarto, Sujatmiko, Murwati, & Kiswara. (2009). English on Sky 2 for Junior High School Student Year VIII. Jakarta: Erlangga

Mickulecky, S. (2008). Teaching Reading in a Second Language. Longman Pearson Education, Inc

Nessel, D., & Jones, M. (1981). *The language-experience approach to reading: A handbook for teachers*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Nunan, David. (2003). Practical English Language Teaching. New York: Mc

Octarina, Vivi D. (2014). Teaching Writing By Using Thematic Pictures to The Eight-Grade Students of SMP N 16 Palembang.

Petty, W.T. (1983). A history of the national conference on research in English. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.

Purwanti. (2013). Let's Writing English Texts. Yogyakarta: Citra Aji Pratama

Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia. (2005). Standar Nasional Pendidikan. Retrieved from: pelayanan.jakarta.go.id/download/regulasi/peraturan-pemerintah-nomor-19-tahun-2005-tentang-standar-pendidikan-nasional.pdf

Popham, W. J., & Sirotnik, A. K. (1973). *Educational Statistic. 2nd (ed)*. New York, NY: Mcgraw-Hill book Company.

Rahayu, D. S. (2013). *The Use of Language Experience Approach in Teaching Reading For Young Learners*. Retrieved from: repository.upi.idu.

Rao, C. S., & Durga, S.S. (2018). Developing Students 'Writing Skills in English-A Process Approach, (June).

Sugiyono. (2013). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif Dan R&d. Bandun: Penerbit Alfabeta.

Sukandi, S. S. (2013). *Teaching Writing to Indonesian EFL - Challenges of the "Voice" and "Style" in Adjusting Cross Cultural Communication Skills*. Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris. Sekolah Tinggi Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan STKIP PGRI Sumatra Barat

Snow, Catherine. (2002) Reading For Understanding Toward an R & D Program in Reading Comprehension. (p.11)

Stauffer, R.G. (1970). *The Language Experience Approach to The Teaching of Reading*. New York: Harper and Row.

Stauffer, R. G. (1980). *The language experience approach to the teaching of reading* (2nd ed.). New York: Harper & Row.

Syafi'ie, Imam. (1995). *Pendekatan Whole Language dalam Pembelajaran Bahasa*. Jurnal Bahasa dan Seni. Tahun 23, Nomor 2, Agustus 1995. Fpbs Ikip Malang

Sukyadi, D. & Hasanah, E.U. (n.d). *Scaffolding students' reading cmprehension with think aloud strategy*. Retrieved from: http://file.upi.edu/direktori/fpbs/jur. pend. bahasa_inggris/196060991994031-didi_sukyadi/scaffolding_reading.pdf">http://file.upi.edu/direktori/fpbs/jur. pend. bahasa_inggris/196060991994031-didi_sukyadi/scaffolding_reading.pdf

Tavakol, M. & Dennick, R. (2011). Making sense of Cronbach's Alpha. *Medical Education Journal*. 2(1).53-33. Retrieved on November 26, 2019, from http://Creativescommon.org/license/by.3.0

Tuckman, B.W. (1978). Conducting Educational Research. New York, NY: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

Uni Sapkota, & Ashok. (2012). Developing Students' Writing Skill through Peer and Teacher Correction: An Action Research. *Journal of Nelta*. 17:70-82. versity Press. 242-262.

Undang-Undang Sistem Pendidikan Nasional. Retrieved from: http://www.inheredikti.net/files/sisdiknas.pdf

Van den Broek, P., & Espin, C. A. (2012). Connecting cognitive theory and assessment: Measuring individual differences in reading comprehension. School Psychology Review, 41(3), 315-325.

Wallen, N. E., & Fraenkel J. R.. (1991). Educational research : A guide to the process. New York, NY : McGraw-Hill.

Wixson, K., Peters, C., Weber, E., &Roeber, I. (1987). New directions in statewide reading assessment. *The Reading Teacher*, 40(8), 749-755.

Wrightstone, J. Wayne. (1951). Research Related to Experience Recoras and Basal Readers, The Reading Teacher 5 (p.5-6.)