A thesis by

IMELDA OKTARIA Student Number: 06011381621054 English Education Study Program Language and Arts Education Department



FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY PALEMBANG 2020

A thesis by

IMELDA OKTARIA

06011381621054

English Education Study Program

Language and Arts Education Department

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

PALEMBANG

2020

Approved by,

Advisor 1,

L.D. O. le

<u>Machdalena Vianty, M.A., M.Pd., Ed.D</u> NIP. 197411242000122001 Advisor 2,

tinon

<u>Fiftinova, S.S., M.Pd</u>. NIP. 197911152006042028

Certified by, Coordinator of English Education Study Program

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd. NIP. 197408022002121003

IMELDA OKTARIA

Student No. : 06011381621054

This thesis was defended by the writer in the final program examination and was approved by the examination committee on:

Day : Wednesday

Date : July, 29th 2020

Approved by,

Advisor 1,

2 Oule

<u>Machdalena Vianty, M.A., M.Pd., Ed.D</u> NIP. 197411242000122001

Advisor 2,

tinon

<u>Fiftinova, S.S., M.Pd</u>. NIP. 197911152006042028

Certified by, Coordinator of English Education Study Program

rings

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd. NIP. 197408022002121003

DECLARATION

1, the undersigned

Name	: Imelda Oktaria
Student Number	: 06011381621054
Study Program	: English Education

Certified that the thesis entitled "A Survey on Language Learning Strategies Employed by Students of Cambridge Class at SMA Negeri 1 Palembang" is my own work and I did not do any plagiarism and inappropriate quotation against crime and rules commended by the Ministry of Education Republic Indonesia number 17, 2010 regarding on the plagiarism in higher education. Therefore, I deserve to face the court if I find the plagiarized in this work.

Palembang, The undersigned AE40AFF18431985 M -0 000 imelda Oktaria NIM. 06011381621054

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim

Thesis entitled "A Survey on Language Learning Strategies Employed by Students of Cambridge Class at SMA Negeri 1 Palembang" was written to fulfill one of the requirements for the final assignment for S1 degree at the English Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher, Training and Education Sriwijaya University. This thesis would never be finished without the help of God, The Almighty, Allah SWT who always settles everything in order as God's wish, listens every prayer and sees every efforts of his creations. I would like to express my gratitude to Allah who is always with me in my every condition. Because God's plan and help, I could finish this thesis on time. In completing this thesis, I have received a lot of help from so many people who I cannot thank enough. I personally say my greatest gratitude to:

- 1. My big family, parents, sisters, brother, and grandmother; mama Meri Hayati, aba David Yosef, Rida Septiani, Wulan Dari, Ikhsan Iza Mahendra, Nabila Salsabila, Nadia Anjani Putri (siblings), grandmother and my husband, Abdirahman Mao, whose life experiences, struggles, and triumphs inspired me to write this thesis, and who untiringly picked me up every time I failed, broke down and cried. Thank you, *Jazakumullahu khayran kathiran*, for your prayers and love.
- My two dedicated and reliable advisors who did not give up on me and my thesis even when I already had, Machdalena Vianty M.Ed., M.Pd., Ed.D., and Fiftinova, S.S., M.Pd. for their guidance, suggestions, helpful comments, thoughtfulness and support so I can complete this thesis on time.

- My three mindful and talented examiners; Eryansyah, M.A., Ph.D., Dr. Rita Inderawati, M.Pd., and Linggau Agustina Suganda, S.Pd., M.Pd, for their beneficial suggestions, comments, and precious time.
- 4. The Dean of Faculty of Teacher, Training, and Education of Sriwijaya Universituy, Prof. Sofendi, M.A., Ph.D. all the staff members, the Head of Language and Arts Departement (Dr. Didi Suhendi, S.Pd., M.Hum) and the Head of English Education Study Program (Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.) for their assistance in administrative matters.
- 5. The lecturers of English Education Study Program who gave me a lot of knowledge and life's lesson all this time. The administrative staff and teams who organize the institution's administrative matters well.
- 6. All of my friends from BUKITERS who gave me so many pleasing memories in these 4 years – the toughest, the most rewarding journey I have ever been on. I am so glad to be able to share some memories with you, thank you for your kindness, knowledge and help all this time. To my friend who I knew from the first meeting, you were a good thing. So, I did not need to think twice to consider you as a sibling. Thank you so much for your kindness, knowledge, help, advices, joy and joke. I believe you will be loved by so many people wherever you are. Thank you!

Palembang, July 2020 The Writer,

Imelda Oktaria

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS
TITLE PAGEi
APPROVALii
DECLARATIONiv
DEDICATIONv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTvi
TABLE OF CONTENTSviii
LIST OF TABLES, FIGURES AND CHARTS
LIST OF TABLES, FIGURES AND CHARTS
ABSTRACTxiv
CHAPTER I INDRODUCTION1
1.1 Background of the Study1
1.2 Problems of the Study
1.3 Objectives of the Study
1.4 Significance of the Study
5
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Language Learning Strategy
2.1.1 The Importance of Language Learning Strategies
2.1.2 Factors Affecting LLS Use
2.1.3 Classification of Language Learning Strategies (LLSs)
2.2 Language Learning Inventory
2.3 Cambridge Curriculum
2.4 Previous Studies17
CHAPTER III METHODO21
3.1 Research Design
3.2 Population and Sample
3.3 Data Colleting Technique
3.3.1 Research Questionnaire
3.3.2 Documentation
3.4 The Validity of the Instrument
3.5 Data Analysis
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION
4.1 Research Findings
4.1.1 Students' Learning Outcomes
4.1.2 Questionnaire Result
4.2 Discussion
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS
5.1 Conclusion
5.2Suggestions
References

LIST OF TABLES, FIGURES, AND CHARTS

Table 2.1 Language Learning Strategy	9
Table 3.1 The Population	21
Table 3.2 Sample Information	22
Table 3.3 The Categorization of Item in the Questionnaire	
Table 3.4 Oxford's Classification of the SILL Average Analysis	25
Table 4.1 Students' Learning Outcomes	26
Table 4.2 Memory Strategy	
Table 4.3 Cognitive Strategy	
Table 4.4 Compensation Strategy	29
Table 4.5 Metacognitive Strategy	
Table 4.6 Affective Strategy	
Table 4.7 Social Strategy	31
Figure 2.1 The Cambridge Programs	16
Figure 4.1 SILL Analysis	27

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix Name of Appendices
- Appendix A The Questionnaire
- Appendix B The Result of the Questionnaire
- Appendix C Students' Learning Outcomes
- Appendix D Surat Usul Judul
- Appendix ESurat Izin Penelitian dari Dinas Pendidikan provinsi SumateraSelatan Palembang
- Appendix F Surat Keterangan Telah Melakukan Penelitian dari Sekolah
- Appendix G Research Design Seminar Approval (pre)
- Appendix H Research Design Seminar Approval (post)
- Appendix I Research Design Seminar Suggestion List
- Appendix J Preliminary Research Report Approval (pre)
- Appendix K Preliminary Research Report Approval (post)
- Appendix L Thesis Final Examination Approval (pre)
- Appendix M Thesis Final Examination Approval (post)

ABSTRACT

A key to successful language learning is the use of language learning strategies. In relation to this, the purpose of the study was to investigate the language learning strategies employed by grade XI students who belonged to Cambridge class at SMA Negeri 1 Palembang and the students' most preferred strategy. There were fifty six students participated in the e-study. The SILL questionnaire was used as the instrument to collect the data; the data were analyzed statistically to find the means of each strategy. First, the result of the data analysis showed that the students employed the six language leaning strategies as stated in LLS. Second, the result of the data analysis showed among six categories of language learning strategies, students preferred to use metacognitive strategies, such noticing the English mistakes, doing self-evaluation to be a better learner of English, paying attention when someone is speaking than affective strategies which were found to be the least used strategy.

Key words: language learning strategies (LLSs), Cambridge curriculum, SILL questionnaire

A thesis by an English Education Study Program Student, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University

Approved by,

Advisor 2,

Jul Call

Advisor 1,

<u>Machdalena Vianty, M.Ed., M.Pd., Ed.D.</u> NIP. 197411242000122001

<u>Fiftinova, S.S., M.Pd</u>. NIP. 197911152006042028

Certified by, Head of English Study Program

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd. NIP. 197408022002121003

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents: (1) background of the study, (2) problems of the study, (3) objectives of the study, and (4) significance of the study.

1.1. Background

Curriculum is an inseparable part of education. It has a fundamental role throughout the teaching and learning process. Curriculum outlines all forms of instructional activities in order to achieve a number of specific educational objectives. Curriculum fosters quality learning and describes what, why, how and how well learning in a systematic and intentional way should be for students (UNESCO IBE, 2011). It is in accordance with the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 20 Year 2003 on National Education System that curriculum is a set of plans and regulations regarding the objectives, content and learning materials and method used as guidelines for the organization of learning activities to achieve specific educational goals.

The Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 20 Year 2003 also affirms the goal of Indonesia's national education, that is, to shape students to have faith, religiosity, noble character, health, knowledge, capability creativity, independence, democracy, and responsibility. This goal can be attained if education is performed systematically to make students active in developing their potential, extending the spiritual strength, and expanding their horizons (Syaiful, 2018). The description of the national education goal is internalized in the 2013 Curriculum.

The 2013 curriculum significantly emphasizes building students' characters, developing applicable skills based on students' interests and needs, and developing a thematic approach that benefits students' cognitive ability. The 2013 Curriculum began to be put into practice simultaneously in July in the academic year 2014/2015 by various education units in Indonesia (Alawiyah, 2014). The curriculum has a diverse formulation from the previous curriculum in

terms of Graduate Competency Standards, Content Standards, Core Competencies, Character Development, and integrating subjects.

In addition to the 2013 National Curriculum, some schools also adopt an international curriculum. It is due to the high commitment for the quality of graduates with international competitiveness and critical thinking, communicative, and other 21st century skills such as collaboration, problem-solving, and creativity. There is a push to have qualified graduates and prepare them for local, regional, and global needs and developments. One of the worldwide curricula that are widely adopted is the Cambridge curriculum.

Cambridge curriculum is an international curriculum that is developed by Cambridge University. One of the supremacies of the Cambridge curriculum is that it trains the students to think critically, to examine, to pose and to solve problems (CIE, 2009). Pratiwi (2018) argues that the Cambridge curriculum incorporates core aspects of life and learning as a form of the international curriculum to adequately prepare students for higher education, further employment opportunities, and real life. Cambridge students are aimed at confident, reflective, cultivating responsible, innovative and engaged characteristics with a student-centered approach. Besides, independent learning, critical thinking, research, and communication are encouraged through classroom activities (Pratiwi, 2018). Some aspects are globally called for and most of them align with what the Indonesian government puts on basic competences of English subject. For example, English is the medium of instruction for the teaching and learning process in the Cambridge curriculum.

There are a lot of schools in Indonesia that adopt Cambridge curriculum. There are schools that adopt only the Cambridge curriculum which is called international school; often schools adopt both. Ben Schmidt, the regional director of Cambridge International for the Southeast Asia and Asia Pacific region reported that in 2018, there are approximately 200 Cambridge schools in Indonesia ranging from international schools and national schools (as cited in Andriyanto, 2018). The total is outnumbered by schools that adopt Cambridge as the only curriculum. The number is followed by schools that implement dual curricula: Cambridge and 2013 Curriculum.

In Palembang, South Sumatra, there are three schools that employ dual curricula; 2013 Curriculum and Cambridge Curriculum. One of them is SMA Negeri 1 Palembang. Dual curriculum use in SMA Negeri 1 was first implemented in the academic year 2018/2019 for the first-year students who are now in the second year. The program is continually conducted in this academic year 2019-2020. This means that the implementation of the Cambridge curriculum in SMA Negeri 1 Palembang has been conducted for 2 years. During these years, the Cambridge curriculum has not yet been implemented for every class and/ every teacher.

There is a certain procedure to conduct the Cambridge curriculum. For example, the Head of the South Sumatra Education Department, Widodo explained that the recruitment of teachers and students should be conducted carefully. For the teachers, it involves Subject Mastery, Classroom Observation, interview, personal statement, the document screening, the linearity of academic background and satisfactory English proficiency proven through TOEFL certificates, while for the students, they will have a TPA test, write a personal statement essay, and have an interview with the school recruitment committee (Andriyanto, 2018). All these are done in English.

Because the process of students' recruitment is conducted in English, it is worth saying that these students who belong to the Cambridge curriculum class have English proficiency above the average students. This is also evidenced by their English skills during the program. Therefore it raises a question of what factors influence the students' English performance. William and Burden (1997) argue that one of the ways to answer such a question is by investigating language learning strategies (LLSs). It is also supported by Green and Oxford (1995) who state that active use of strategies helps learners attain higher proficiency in their language learning.

Rubin (1987) identifies LLSs as approaches utilized by a learner to manage their learning. O'Malley and Chamot (1990) underline the use of thoughts

or behaviors to attain comprehension, learning, and maintenance of new information. Distinctive LLS classifications have been proposed. Metacognitive strategies are depicted as techniques through which allow individuals conciously learn about learning. Cognitive strategies incorporate the actions that students take to strengthen or facilitate the management of the content. Social/affective strategies comprise social contacts and the cognitive aspects of language acquisition (O'Malley & Chamot, 1990). The above can be further apportioned into direct and indirect strategies. Direct strategies explicitly implicate targeted language and cover memory strategies, cognitive strategies, and compensation strategies. Indirect strategies tacitly support and comprise metacognitive strategies, affective strategies, and social strategies (Oxford, 1990).

Students' preferred strategy is influenced by many factors. As mentioned by Oxford (1990) the factors that affect students' language learning strategies are motivation, the language learning environment, learning style or personality type, gender, culture or national origin, career orientation, age, and the nature of the language task. Therefore, researchers may need to take into account these factors in analyzing and accounting for the use of LLSs by different learner.

A number of studies on language learning strategies appeared to result in the classification of successful language learners since many research findings verified the effective use of language learning strategies by good language learners. Consequently, it is critical to map out the language learning strategies (LLSs) employed by the learners as it can foster learning process and improve language level (Habok & Magyar, 2018).

Since 1970s, numerous studies have been carried out to explore successful language learners and the association between applied strategies and success in language learning. Within the context of the language learning strategies at the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Sriwijaya University, South Sumatra, the earliest study focusing on language learning strategies and language learning styles had been conducted by Ihsan and Diem (1997) with a sample of 156 students of English at the University of Sriwijaya. The instruments used in the study were the Barsch Learning Styles Inventory (Barsch, 1974) and the Strategy Inventory of Language Learning (Oxford, 1989). They found that the students' most preferred language learning strategies are metacognitive and affective and females employ a greater variety of language learning strategies than males. The latest study was done by Hartina, Vianty, and Inderawati (2018) with a sampling of 83 sixth semester students of English Education at Sriwijaya University, focusing on the correlation between the metacognitive strategy in writing process and essay writing. The instruments used in the study were a metacognitive awareness of writing strategies questionnaire and an essay writing test. She discovered a significant correlation between the metacognitive strategy in writing process and essay writing.

In addition, Apriani, Vianty, and Fiftinova (2017) investigated the relationships between thinking styles and language learning strategies which used English Education Study Program students of FKIP Sriwijaya University in the Academic Year 2013-2014 as the sample of the study, and Thinking Styles Inventory (TSI) (Sternberg & Wagner, 1992) and the Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (Oxford, 1990) as the instruments of the study. They found out that there was a positive correlation between thinking styles and language learning strategies. However, the thinking styles only contributed 38.5% to students' language learning strategies. Further, the researchers suggested that English teachers should accommodate students' learning by considering other factors that influence their learning.

Furthermore, Zakaria, Azmi, and Hadi (2019) also conducted a study to investigate language learners' most preferred strategies in improving their reading skills in general distributing a Language Strategy Use Survey adapted by Oxford (1990) to 37 secondary students in a suburban area in Selangor, Malaysia and uncovered that cognitive and planning strategies are the most preferred ones. Sartika, Santihastuti, and Wahjuningsih (2019) located a different result. SILL questionnaires were distributed to the participants who were 40 students consisting of 20 successful students and 20 unsuccessful students of tenth grade in SMAN 2 Jember. The results showed that the successful learners actively employed metacognitive strategy, while the unsuccessful learners are the medium users of cognitive strategy in learning English.

There are more studies focusing on language learning strategies. However, there had not yet been one study that investigated language learning strategies employed by students under Cambridge curriculum. In other hand, Cambridge curriculum creates prosperous environment for English learning. Consequently, to provide more recent data about language learning strategies in the context of the Cambridge curriculum at schools in South Sumatra, the writer was interested in conducting a research entitled, "A Survey on Language Learning Strategies Employed by Students of Cambridge Class at SMAN 1 Palembang."

1.2. The Problems of the Study

- 1. What were the language learning strategies employed by the students of the Cambridge class at SMAN 1 Palembang?
- 2. What was the most preferred language learning strategy(s) employed by the students of Cambridge class at SMAN 1 Palembang?

1.3. The Objective of the Study

- To find out the language learning strategies employed by the students of Cambridge class at SMAN 1 Palembang.
- 2. To find out the most preferred language learning strategy(s) employed by the students of Cambridge class at SMAN 1 Palembang.

1.4. The Significance of the study

The study is expected to give a theoretical and practical contribution to the following parties:

1. The writer

By conducting this research, the writer can improve and discover new insight and experience in quantitative research in terms of the language learning strategies utilized by students. 2. English teachers of Senior High School

The results of this research are expected to be one of the resources for English teachers of Senior High School in developing teaching strategies in order to improve their English teaching and learning process.

3. Other English researchers

The results of this research can be used as a reference for other English researchers to conduct a similar study but in a different focus of discussion.

References

- Alawiyah, F. (2014). Kesiapan guru dalam implementasi kurikulum 2013. *Info Singkat*, 6(15), 9–12.
- Albiladi, W. S., Abdeen, F. H., & Lincoln, F. (2018). Learning English through movies: Adult English language learners' perceptions. *Theories and Practice in Language Studies*, 8(12), 1567-1574.
- Andriyanto, H. (2018, August 2). 218 Sekolah Indonesia terapkan kurikulum Cambridge international. *Beritabersatu.Com*.
- Apriani, F., Vianty, M., & Fifitinova. (2017). Students' thinking styles and its relation to their language learning strategies. *The Journal of English Literacy Education*, 4(1), 59-70.
- Balci, O., & Uguten, S. D. (2018). The Relationship between EFL learners' language learning strategy use and achievement. *International Education Studies*, *11*(2), 1-12. <u>https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v11n2p1</u>
- Božinovic, N., & Sindik, J. (2011). Gender differences in the use of learning strategies in adult foreign language learners. *Methodological Horizons*, 11(6), 5-20.
- Cambridge Assessment International Education (CAIE). (2019). *Implementing the curriculum with Cambridge: A guide for school leaders*. Cambridge International Publications.
- Cambridge International Examinations (CIE). (2009). *Thinking skills syllabus* 9694. Cambridge International Publications.
- Chamot, A. U. (2004). Issues in language learning strategy research and teaching. *Electronic journal of foreign language teaching*, *1*(1), 14-26.
- Chamot, A. U., & Kupper, L. (1989). Learning strategies in foreign language instruction. *Foreign Language Annals*, 22, 13-24. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-9720.1989.tb03138.x
- Cohen, A. D. (1990). Language learning: Insights for learners, teachers, and researchers. Newbury House.
- Cohen, A. D. (1998). Strategies in learning and using a second language. Longman.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (4th ed.). Merrill.

- Diocolano, N. G., & Nafiah. (2019). Implementasi kurikulum Cambridge di sekolah dasar. Jurnal Pemikiran dan Pengembangan Sekolah Dasar, 7(1), 38–45.
- El-Dib, M. A. B. (2004). Language learning strategies in Kuwait: Links to gender, language level, and culture in a hybrid context. *Foreign Language Annals*, *37*(1), 85-95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-9720.2004.tb02176.x</u>
- Fewell, N. (2010). Language learning strategies and English language proficiency: An investigation of Japanese EFL university students. *TESOL Journal*, 2, 159-174.
- Field, A. P. (2005). Discovering statistics using SPSS. Sage Publications.
- Green, J. M., & Oxford, R. L. (1995). A closer look at learning strategies, L2 proficiency, and gender. *Tesol Quarterly*, 29(2), 261-297. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/3587625</u>
- Griffiths, C. (2003). Patterns of language learning strategy use. *System*, *31*, 367-383. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X(03)00048-4</u>
- Griffiths, C. (2004). *Language learning strategies: Theory and research*. School of Foundations Studies.
- Habók, A., & Magyar, A. (2018). The Effect of language learning strategies on proficiency, attitudes and school achievement. *Frontiers in Psycholog*, 8, 1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02358</u>
- Hartina, S. S., Vianty, M., & Inderawati, R. (2018). Correlation between students' metacognitive strategy used in writing process and essay writing performance. *The Journal of English Literacy Education*, 5(2), 158-167.
- Hasanah, U. (2019). The integration model of curriculum 2013 and Cambridge curriculum in elementary schools. *Al-Ibtida: Jurnal Pendidikan Guru MI*, 6(2), 144–158.
- Hosenfeld, C. (1976). Learning about learning: Discovering our students' strategies. *Foreign Language Annuals*, 9, 117-129.
- Ihsan, D., & Diem, C. D. (1997). The learning styles and language learning strategies of the EFL students at tertiary level. *The Journal of Education*, *4*, 319-332.
- Ismaili, M. (2013). The effectiveness of using movies in the EFL classroom: A study conducted at South East European University. *Academic Journal of Interdiciplinary Studies*, 2(4), 121-132.

- Jones, R. (1998). Two faces of AIDS in Hong Kong: Culture and the construction of the AIDS celebrity. *Discourse and Society*, *9*(3), 353-382.
- Lee, C. K. (2010). An overview of language learning strategies. *ARECLS*, 7, 132–152.
- Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (1999). *How languages are learned*. UK Oxford University Press
- Lobidze, M. (2019). Effective metacognitive strategies to boost English as a foreign language reading comprehension. *Journal of Education in Black Sea Region*, 4(2), 116-137.
- Magogwe, J. M., & Oliver, R. (2007). The relationship between language learning strategies, proficiency, age and self-efficacy beliefs: A study of language learners in Botswana. *System*, *35*(3), 338-352.
- Mohammadi, H., & Alizadeh, K. (2014). An investigation of reliability and validity of strategy inventory for language learning among Iranian university students. *International Journal of English Language Teaching*, 1(2), 53-63.
- Naiman, N., Fröhlich, M., Stern, H. H., & Todesco, A. (1975). *The good language learner*. Ontario Institute for Studies in Education.
- Nyikos, M., & Oxford, R.L. (1993). A factor analytic study of language learning strategy use interpretations from information processing theory and social psychology. *Modem Language Journal*, 77, 11-22.
- O'Malley, J., & Chamot, A. (1993). Learning strategies in second language acquisition. *The Modern Language Journal*, 76(2), 312-334.
- O'Malley, J.M., & Chamot, A. (1990). *Learning strategies in second language acquisition*. UK Cambridge University Press.
- Oxford, R. (1990). Language learning strategies: What every teacher should know. Newbury House Publishers.
- Oxford, R. (1996). Language learning strategy around the world: Cross cultural *perspective*. Second Language Teaching and Curriculum Center, University of Hawai.
- Oxford, R., & Burry-Stock, J. A. (1995). Assessing the use of language learning strategies worldwide with the ESL/EFL version of the strategy inventory for language learning (SILL). *System*, 23(1), 1-23. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0346-251X(94)00047-A</u>

- Oxford, R., & Crookall, D. (1989). Research on language learning strategies: Methods, findings, and instructional issues. *The Modern Language Journal*, 73(4), 404-419.
- Oxford, R., & Nyikos, M. (1989). Variables affecting choice of language learning strategies by university students. *The Modern Language Journal*, *3*, 291-299.
- Parker, R. A., & Rea, L. M. (2014). *Designing and conducting survey research: A comprehensive Guide* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Pratiwi, A. N. (2018). The practice of English language teaching using Cambridge primary curriculum in SDK Kalam. *Kajian Linguistik Dan Sastra*, 3(2), 109– 118.
- Pressley, M., & Associates. (1990). Cognitive strategy instruction that really improves children's academic performance. Brookline Books.
- Rachmawati, D. (2013). A correlation analysis of students' language learning strategies use and their academic performance. *ETLIN Journal*, 1(1), 23-31.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2001). Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching (2nd Ed). UK Cambridge University Press.
- Richards, J., Platt, J., & Platt, H. (1992). Longman dictionary of language teaching and applied linguistic. Longman.
- Rigney, J. (1978). Learning strategies: A theoretical perspective. Academic Press.
- Rubin, J. (1975). What the good language learner can teach us. *TESOL Quarterly*, 9(1), 41-51.
- Rubin. J. (1987). Learner strategies: Theoretical assumptions, research history and typology. In A. L. Wenden & J. Rubin (Eds.). *Learner strategies in language learning* (pp. 15-30). Prentice Hall.
- Rubin. J. (1981). Study of cognitive processes in second language learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 2, 117-131.
- Sartika, D. H. M., Santihastuti, A., & Wahjuningsih, E. (2019). The learning strategies used by EFL students in learning English. *IJEE (Indonesian Journal of English Education)*, 6(1), 10–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.15408/ijee.v6i1.12111</u>

- Seffar, S. (2014). An exploratory study of vocabulary learning strategies of Moroccan university students. *Journal of Research and Method in Education*, 4(2), 38-45.
- Shyr, W.-J., Feng, H.-Y., Zeng, L.-W., Hsieh, Y.-M., & Shih, C.-Y. (2017). The relationship between language learning strategies and achievement goal orientations from Taiwanese engineering students in EFL earning. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics Science and Technology Education*, 13(10), 6431– 6443. <u>https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmste/76660</u>
- Stern, H. (1992). *Issues and options in language teaching*. Oxford University Press.
- Stern, H. H. (1975). What can we learn from the good language learner? *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 31, 304-318.
- Suwaranak, K. (2012). English language learning beliefs, learning strategies and achievement of masters students in Thailand. TESOL in Context. Special Edition S3: November 2012.
- Syaiful, A. (2018). Peningkatan mutu pendidikan melalui implementasi kurikulum terpadu di sekolah menengah atas Pesantren Al-In'Am Banjar Timur Gapura Sumenep. *Kariman*, 6(1), 1-20.
- The Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 20 Year 2003 on National Education System.
- UNESCO International Bureau of Education (IBE). (2011). What makes a good quality school curriculum. UNESCO IBE.
- Wenden, A. (1985). Learner strategies. TESOL Newsletter, 19(5), 4-7.
- Wenden, A. (1991). Learner strategies for learner autonomy: planning and implementing learner training for language learners. Prentice Hail.
- Wenden, A. (1998). Metacognitive knowledge and language learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 19(4), 515-537.
- Wenden, A., & Rubin, J. (1987). *Learner strategies in language learning*. Prentice Press.
- Wharton, G. (2000). Language learning strategy use of bilingual foreign language learners in Singapore. *Language Learning*, 50(2), 203-243.
- Williams, M., & Burden, R. (1997). *Psychology for language teachers: A social constructivist approach*. UK Cambridge University Press.

- Yudha, U. A. (2016). The implementation of Cambridge curriculum (Case study the implementation of Cambridge curriculum in SD Laboratorium Universitas Negeri Malang). Maulana Malik Ibrahim State Islamic University.
- Yustitiasari, H. (2014). Implementing the curriculum with Cambridge a guide for school leaders. Brawijaya University.
- Zakaria, N. Y. K., Azmi, N. E., & Hadi, N. A. A. (2019). Improving reading skills using language learning strategies (LLS). *Journal of Counseling and Educational Technology*, 2(1), 1–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.32698/0271</u>
- Zhang, D. L., & Goh, C. (2006). Strategy knowledge and perceived strategy use: Singaporean students' awareness of listening and speaking strategies. *Language Awareness*, 15(3), 199-219.
- Zimmerman, B.J., & Pons, M. M., (1986). Development of a structured interview for assessing student use of self-regulated learning strategies. *American Educational Research Journal*, 23. 614-628.