

[IIUMEJ] : "1075" Submission Acknowledgement : "LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS OF A PBC PILOT PROJECT FOR ROAD IN INDONESIA":

From: Prof. Dr. AHM Zahirul Alam (iiumej@iium.edu.my)

To: b_susanti@yahoo.com

Date: Saturday, February 2, 2019, 03:36 PM GMT+7

Dear Betty Susanti:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS OF A PBC PILOT PROJECT FOR ROAD IN INDONESIA" of article ID# "1075" to IIUM Engineering Journal. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL: <http://journals.iium.edu.my/ejournal/index.php/iiumej/authorDashboard/submission/1075>

Username: bsbettysusanti

If you have any questions, please contact me by citing article ID number. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Prof. Dr. AHM Zahirul Alam

IIUM Engineering Journal

[IIUMEJ] : "1075" Editor Decision - Revision Required

From: Abdullah Al-Mamun (iiumej@iium.edu.my)

To: b_susanti@yahoo.com

Date: Monday, May 13, 2019, 10:15 AM GMT+7

Betty Susanti:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to IIUM Engineering Journal, "LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS OF A PBC PILOT PROJECT FOR ROAD IN INDONESIA".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Abdullah Al-Mamun
IIUM
mamun@iium.edu.my

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Relevance of the title to the general content of the paper

Poor

Relevance of the title to the domain of research addressed by author(s)

Fairly good

Clear identification of the research problems

Fairly Good

Recommendation from the reviewer

Accepted with major corrections (the paper contains serious flaws and major revisions are required)

Comments and suggestions to authors

1. The paper requires major revision because the paper title, aim and the outcomes of the study are not consistent. The title should tell that the paper presents the outcomes of LCC comparison between Performance-Based contract and Traditional contract, and the results reported should reflect to the aim of the study.
2. Several points in the literature require further explanation and discussion to prevent ambiguity.
3. It has be cleared whether the LCC is carried out to compare the total cost, or to estimate the maintenance cost at present value, or to compare the most cost-effectiveness between the two procurement approaches, i.e. Performance-Based contract and Traditional contract.
3. The paper requires English checking and proofreading.
4. Inadequate explanation about the cost components of LCC analysis, and the data required to be used as inputs in each category of cost components in LCC estimation.
5. The mathematical formula used in LCC analysis is not reported and discussed.

- 6. The road's functional and structural performance are reported in paper but it is not mentioned as the aim and objective of the study. Emphasize should be given to the LCC analysis following the paper title and aim.
- 7. The authors should provide source of reference to support and prove the claim made in the sentences.
- 8. The authors need to discuss and justify the comprehensiveness and currency of data used in the LCC estimation to ensure the outcomes produced are reliable and accurate for the study
- 9. The cost difference between Performance-Based contract and Traditional contract should be shown either in monetary or percentage and justify how significant the difference when the contract use LCC technique.

 Reviewer B:
 Recommendation: Revisions Required

 Relevance of the title to the general content of the paper
 Relevance of the title to the domain of research addressed by author(s) Clear identification of the research problems
 Recommendation from the reviewer Comments and suggestions to authors-----

 Reviewer A:
 Recommendation: Revisions Required

 Relevance of the title to the general content of the paper

Poor

Relevance of the title to the domain of research addressed by author(s)

Fairly good

Clear identification of the research problems

Fairly Good

Recomendation from the reviewer

Accepted with major corrections (the paper contains serious flaws and major revisions are required)

Comments and suggestions to authors

- 1. The paper requires major revision because the paper title, aim and the outcomes of the study are not consistent. The title should tell that the paper presents the outcomes of LCC comparison between Performance-Based contract and Traditional contract, and the results reported should reflect to the aim of the study.
- 2. Several points in the literature require further explanation and discussion to prevent ambiguity.
- 3. It has be cleared whether the LCC is carried out to compare the total cost, or to estimate the maintenance cost at present value, or to compare the most cost-effectiveness between the two

procurement approaches, i.e. Performance-Based contract and Traditional contract.

3. The paper requires English checking and proofreading.

4. Inadequate explanation about the cost components of LCC analysis, and the data required to be used as inputs in each category of cost components in LCC estimation.

5. The mathematical formula used in LCC analysis is not reported and discussed.

6. The road's functional and structural performance are reported in paper but it is not mentioned as the aim and objective of the study. Emphasize should be given to the LCC analysis following the paper title and aim.

7. The authors should provide source of reference to support and prove the claim made in the sentences.

8. The authors need to discuss and justify the comprehensiveness and currency of data used in the LCC estimation to ensure the outcomes produced are reliable and accurate for the study

9. The cost difference between Performance-Based contract and Traditional contract should be shown either in monetary or percentage and justify how significant the difference when the contract use LCC technique.

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The relevance of the title to the general content of the paper

The relevance of the title to the domain of research addressed by the author(s) Clear identification of the research problems Recommendation from the reviewer comments and suggestions to authors-----

IIUM Engineering Journal



A-1075-Article Text-5456-1-4-20190213-C1.docx

901.4kB



B-1075-C.docx

12.9kB

[IIUMEJ] : "1075" Editor Decision - Accept

From: Abdullah Al-Mamun (iimej@iium.edu.my)

To: b_susanti@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, July 30, 2019, 10:10 AM GMT+7

Dear Betty Susanti:

Congratulation!!! According to our record, your manuscript ID #"1075", entitled "LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS OF A PBC PILOT PROJECT FOR ROAD IN INDONESIA" has been accepted for publication in the IIUM Engineering Journal (IIUMEJ).

We are requesting you to **upload** your camera ready manuscript based of the IIUM Engineering Journal by two weeks of this email in the discussion section by **Add discussion**. For details for preparation of manuscript, please visit journal website at <http://journals.iium.edu.my/ejournal/index.php/iimej/about/submissions>

You can also find the Journal template and other related information in the **Submission Library** under **View Document Libray** at the right top corner when click your paper title after login to the journal site.

You are also requested to update contributor author(s) if all authors name are not in the database by following the guidelines as mention in Update Author List in the Document Library.

You are also advised to pay publication processing fee of **RM 500.00 /USD 140** (*to coverup bank charge*) (including GST) by two weeks of this email and send us the proof of payment by uploading in discussion section.

The payment can be made at following mode to bank account:

"By Cash Counter at BMMB, or Electronic Transfer or Telegraphic Transfer (TT) "

Name: UIAM Operating Account

Bank: **Bank Muamalat Malaysia Berhad**

Account No: **14070000004716**

Swift Code: BMMBMYKL

Branch: **International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM)**, Gombak, Selangor

Country : Malaysia

Normally, the pre-publication process takes up to six months. During this period, galley proofs will be sent to you for checking and corrections purposes. The galley proofs must be returned to IIUMEJ within 7 calendar days. Furthermore, you are responsible for any error in the published paper due to your oversight.

Thank you for your contribution to IIUMEJ and we hope you will consider submitting an article to IIUMEJ again in the future.

Thanking you.

Abdullah Al-Mamun

IIUM

mamun@iium.edu.my

IIUM Engineering Journal





D-996-Article Text-5547-1-18-20190318 Revised.docx
244.7kB



Template-May-D-2018.docx
117.9kB



UPDATE-OJS-META-DATA.pdf
613.5kB



IIUM Reference style Dec 2017.docx
16.3kB

[IIUMEJ] : "1075" Editor Decision -Production

From: Prof. Dr AHM Zahirul Alam (iiumej@iium.edu.my)

To: b_susanti@yahoo.com; wirahadi@si.itb.ac.id; b.soemardi@gmail.com; meisutrisno@polban.ac.id

Date: Sunday, October 20, 2019, 10:08 AM GMT+7

Betty Susanti, Reini D Wirahadikusumah, Biemo W Soemardi, Mei Sutrisno:

The editing of your submission, "LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS OF A PBC PILOT PROJECT FOR ROAD IN INDONESIA," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: <https://journals.iium.edu.my/ejournal/index.php/iiumej/authorDashboard/submission/1075>

Prof. Dr AHM Zahirul Alam
International Islamic University Malaysia
Phone +60361964529
zahirulalam@iium.edu.my

Dr AHM Zahirul Alam, CEng, FIEB, FBCS, SMIEEEE, MIET, MIEICE
Professor & Editor,
IIUM Engineering Journal
Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
International Islamic University Malaysia
Jalang Gombak, 53100 Kuala Lumpur
Malaysia

Tel: + 6 03 6196 4529 Fax: + 6 03 6196 4488
Web: <http://staff.iium.edu.my/zahirulalam>
Email : zahirulalam@iium.edu.my

IIUM Engineering Journal