

# Synthesis and Characterization of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanopar...

By: Poedji Loekitowati Hariani

As of: Mar 6, 2018 7:16:21 AM  
3,001 words - 26 matches - 15 sources

Similarity Index

17%

Mode: Similarity Report ▼

## paper text:

DOI: 10.21776/ub.jpacr.2018.007.02.393 Synthesis and Characterization of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles Modified with Polyethylene Glycol as Antibacterial Material Poedji Loekitowati Hariani<sup>1\*</sup>, Desnelli<sup>1</sup>, Fatma<sup>1</sup>, Rizki Indah Putri<sup>1</sup>, and Salni<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department

**of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sriwijaya University**

4

<sup>2</sup>Department

**of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sriwijaya University Jalan Palembang-Prabumulih Km 32**

4

Inderalaya OI, Indonesia \*Corresponding author: puji\_lukitowati@mipa.unsri

**.ac.id Received day month year; Accepted day month year (will be given) ABSTRACT**

1

The iron oxide (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) nanoparticles modified with polyethylene glycol (PEG) was synthesized by co-precipitation methods using ferric and ferrous ions as the precursors.

Further, the antibacterial activity was performed against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. The Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG was characterized using

**X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with energy dispersive X-Ray analysis (EDAX) and Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM).** 6

The particle size of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG calculated using XRD is 46.2 nm. The study confirmed that Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG is superparamagnetic and has a saturation magnetization of 56.43 emu/g. The prepared Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG gives the effect of both gram-positive and gram-negative pathogenic bacterial strains hence this material has potential utilization in the field of pharmaceutical and biomedical in the future. Keyword: Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, nanoparticle, PEG, antibacterial activity

**INTRODUCTION** In recent years, spinel ferrite nanoparticles have been the subject of developed research. The dimension of nanoparticle is between bulk materials and atoms or molecules. The spinel ferrite has a structural formula MFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, where M is a divalent metal with a cubic spinel crystal structure. In addition, the spinel ferrite has magnetic properties. Magnetic nanoparticles can be used in various applications such as adsorbent [1,2], magnetic storage, ferrofluids, biomedical applications [3,4] and gas sensor [5]. Various methods can be used to prepare magnetic nanoparticle such as co-precipitation [6,7], hydrothermal [8], microemulsion [9], electrochemical route [10,11] and sol-gel [12]. One of the magnetic nanoparticles is Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (magnetite). The materials exhibit superparamagnetic behavior, low toxicity, biocompatibility, easier surface modification [13,14]. Another study reported that Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticles have antibacterial activity properties. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles showed strong antibacterial activity, the antibacterial activity caused by the presence of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [4]. The Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticle has the greatest antibacterial effect to pathogenic bacteria of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* than *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* [15]. In addition, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticle has a zone of inhibition consideration to Ag nanoparticle for topical use [14].

**The journal homepage [www.jpacr.uobaghdia.edu.iq](http://www.jpacr.uobaghdia.edu.iq) p-ISSN : 2302 – 4690 | e-ISSN : 2541 – 0733 This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)** 1

Materials in the form of small particle sizes are easy to agglomerate and made it larger particle size, reducing surface area and magnetic properties. Agglomeration can be prevented by coating nanoparticles with organic polymeric materials. The coating process also prevents nanoparticles from oxidation processes, reduces toxicity and increases chemical stability [16,17]. Some research on coating nanoparticles with organic materials such as CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>- alginate [18], Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-polypropylene [8], CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-chitosan [19], CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-polyvinyl alcohol, gelatin [16]. In this study, we used co-precipitation method for preparing Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and coating with polyethylene glycol (PEG)-4000. PEG is long polymer chains with several advantages for coating Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> of non-toxic in a large extent, non-immunogenic, non-antigenic, and protein-resistant polymer [16,20]. In addition, the

incorporation of inorganic and organic particles has combination of the properties of inorganic particles such as thermal, mechanical, magnetic, and the properties of organic particles that is flexibility. Furthermore, the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG were evaluated for antibacterial activities. The bacteria used are gram-positive (*Staphylococcus aureus*) and gram-negative (*Escherichia coli*).

**EXPERIMENT Chemicals and instrumentation The chemical reagents used in this study were**

11

FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, NaOH, HCl and PEG-4000, nutrient agar from Merck.

**The bacteria species Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 and Escherichia coli ATCC 25922**

13

from PT Bio Farma. Synthesis Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> -PEG 4000 The Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticles prepared by co-precipitation. Initially,

**5.41 g of FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and 1.99 g of FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O were added into 20 mL of distilled deionized water.** Into **the** mixture, aqueous **solution**

7

NaOH 1 M is added dropwise until pH 11 while flowing N<sub>2</sub> gas at room temperature and stirring at 200 rpm [21,22]. The magnetic nanoparticles are black precipitates, which can be separated from the solution using magnet permanent. The powder was washed using aquadest until neutral and then washed using ethanol. The product was dried under vacuum for 3 h at 60 °C. The reaction synthesis of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> by coprecipitation method is as follows: Fe<sup>3+</sup> + Fe<sup>2+</sup> + 8OH<sup>-</sup> → Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>↓ + 4H<sub>2</sub>O (1) The next step was dissolving PEG (2.50 g) in 5 mL deionized water. The solution was stirred for 30 minutes until homogeneous. Then, 0.25 g of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>

**was added to the suspension. The mixture was stirred under nitrogen atmosphere for**

12

10 h at 450°C. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> coated PEG product were separated from the solution by centrifugation. Finally, the product washed with ethanol to dissolve the remaining of PEG. The crystal structure of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG was obtained by XRD (Shimadzu XD-3H) with Cu Kα (λ = 1.5406 Å) radiation, magnetic properties were determined by VSM (Lake Shore 7410), in

an external field (temperature in the range 10-400 K), functional group was analyzed by FTIR Shimadzu 5400 in the range 4.000-400  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , morphology and element composition of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ -PEG were studied by SEM-EDX JEOL-JSM-6510 LV. Screening of antibacterial activities In this study, the test of antibacterial activity was conducted using diffusion disc method [23]. The bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*) were inoculated to Petri dish with Nutrient Agar (NA) medium, then paper disc with 6 mm diameter were used to inoculated test organism.  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ -PEG 10  $\mu\text{L}$  was instilled

with different concentration (0; 12.5; 25; 50; 100 and 200  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ).

9

The Petri dish were wrapped by parafilm tape and then all of Petri dish

were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The antibacterial activities were determined by measurement the zone inhibition diameter

14

in millimeters. RESULT AND DISCUSSION Characteristic of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ -PEG 4000 The XRD pattern of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ -PEG shown in figure 1. The product has a cubic spinel structure in accordance with JCPDS 19-0629. The main peak of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  that appears on  $2\theta$  corresponds to the reflection planes (220), (311), (400), (422), (511) and (440). The  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ -PEG spectra decreased in intensity due to the addition PEG which has amorphous properties.

Using the Scherrer formula ( $D = \frac{0.89\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$ ) one can estimate the average size

10

of crystals of nanoparticles,

where  $\beta$  is the full width at half maxima (FWHM). Calculation of

15

crystal size based on the peak is highest in reflection plane of the (311) peak. It was found that the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ -PEG crystal size larger than  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ . The crystallite sizes is estimated 46.2 and 35.7 nm, respectively. The similar results that  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles coated using polyethyleneimine (PEI) has larger crystal size than  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  without coating [11]. Other

studies show that CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles have a smaller particle size than CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> that is coated using PEG [24]. 6000 5000 4000 3000 Intensity (311) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 (220) (440) 2000 (400) (422) (511) 1000 0 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 2 Theta (deg) Figure 1. XRD pattern of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000. The presence of PEG on the surface of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles was evaluated by FTIR. Figure 2 displays the FTIR spectra of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 at range 400-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The peaks that appeared at the wave number 3390.6 cm<sup>-1</sup> on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and 3392.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> on Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 showed the absorption band of O-H groups from the adsorbed H<sub>2</sub>O onto materials. The peaks of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 at the 1626.7 and 1627.8 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicate the bending vibrations of O-H. The characteristic peaks of PEG showed at 2862.2 and 1458.1 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned stretching vibration and bending vibration of C-H in -CH<sub>2</sub>. The band at 1470 cm<sup>-1</sup> is C-C vibration stretching PEG. The peak that appears at 1110.9 cm<sup>-1</sup> is to the bond stretching vibrating of C-O [8]. The peak is characteristic of PEG, that does not appear on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spectra. The wave numbers are characteristic of Fe-O bonds shown with a strong peak at 584.4 and 532.3 cm<sup>-1</sup> on Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG, respectively. The shift of wave numbers of Fe-O bond on Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG spectra shows the interaction between Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and PEG. Several studies have shown that the wave number of the Fe-O bond appears at 569 cm<sup>-1</sup> [20], 581 cm<sup>-1</sup> [25], and 567.12 cm<sup>-1</sup> [10]. % T 110 100 90 80 1110.9 70 60 532.3 50 40 20 30 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 584.4 4400 4000 3600 3200 2800 2400 2000 1600 1200 800 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> Figure 2. Spectra FTIR of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000. Figure 3 shows the magnetic curves of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG. It reveals that Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> has high saturation magnetization than Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG. The addition of organic polymers (PEG) causes a small reduction in magnetic properties. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG is classified as superparamagnetic which in this research shows saturation magnetization of 56.43 emu/g while Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is 74.33 emu/g. The changes in magnetic properties due to the effect of surface modification of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> by large polymer molecules. The greater the concentration of PEG is added, the lesser of the saturation magnetization where the polymer coat the nanoparticles so that giving a protection effect from the magnetic field [24]. The saturation magnetization value is similar to the other reference [20,25]. Their study reported Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> modified with sodium citrate and oleic acid with various concentrations of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> showed magnetization saturation of 50.61 – 61.36 emu/g [25]. 100 Momen Magnet (emu/g) 80 60 40 20 0 -1 -0,5 -20 -40 -60 -80 -100 0 0,5 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 1 Field (tesla) Figure 3. The saturation magnetization of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000. The morphology of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 and its constituent elements were analyzed using SEM-EDX. SEM image of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 are displayed in figure 4. The image shows a clear difference between Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> before and after modification with PEG. The morphology of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> appears to be agglomerated while modified with PEG appears to be dispersed on PEG surface. a b Figure 4. SEM image of (a) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and (b) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 Table 1 shows the constituent elements of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000. It can be seen that the addition of carbon elements in Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 indicates that modification of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG is successful. The main elements of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> are Fe and O while modified of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with PEG affects as the percentage of C element increases. Table 1. The data of elements Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 Materials Mass (%) Fe O C Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 43.36 56.98 - Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 26.80 38.93 34.27 Antibacterial activity Antibacterial activities in this study show in figure 5 and table 2. In the figure, we can see that zone of inhibition of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 to Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli. The different concentrations of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 give different diameter of inhibition zone. The size of the inhibitory zone depends on the type of bacteria, the size, and concentration of the nanoparticles [26]. The antibacterial activity of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> can be explained that Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is positively charged while the bacterium is negatively charged, so there is an interesting attraction between Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and bacteria. Bacteria is oxidized and dies [4]. In this study, it appears that gram-positive bacteria has a smaller diameter of inhibition zone than that in gram-negative bacteria. Another study, also suggest that

the gram-negative bacteria are more sensitive compare to gram-positive bacteria

5

[4]. (a) (b) ii i ii i iii vi iii vi iv v iv v Figure 5. Antibacterial activity of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 with concentration (i)0

(ii)12.5 (iii)25 (iv)50 (v)100 and (vi)200 µg/mL

8

to (a) Staphylococcus aureus and (b) Escherichia coli (give information number in image; the number refer to concentration sample in ppm or other) The same result was reported previously, that gram-negative have higher susceptibility than gram-positive bacteria. The killing rate of Escherichia coli is higher than that in Staphylococcus aureus [27]. The differences in susceptibility are caused by differences in cell wall structures, cell physiology and metabolism [15,28]. PEG was also reported to have antibacterial activity. The hydrophilic properties of PEG inhibit bacterial growth. Water is very important for bacteria for growth and multiplication [29]. The MIC (Minimum Inhibitory Concentration) for Staphylococcus aureus is 12.5 µg/mL with an average of inhibitory diameter 6.6 mm, and this result is smaller than that in Escherichia coli with an average of inhibitory diameter 6.3 mm at concentration 25 µg/mL. Table 2. The diameter of inhibition zone for Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG 4000 Concentration Average inhibitory diameter (mm) (µg/mL)

Concentration (µg/mL)	Staphylococcus aureus (mm)	Escherichia coli (mm)
200	11.0	10.2
100	11.1	11.3
50	7.3	8.4
25	6.3	7.2
12.5	0	6.6
0	0	0

CONCLUSION Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles modified with PEG could be used as an antibacterial material. The Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG showed

antibacterial properties on gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial strains. The antibacterial effect of

5

Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG on Escherichia coli is stronger than Staphylococcus aureus. The MIC value of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG for Escherichia coli is 12.5 µg/mL whilst for Staphylococcus aureus is 25 µg/mL. These results suggest that the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG has a potential applications, and further research has to be undertaken for toxicity evaluation in animal model or human.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT The financial support of the research by Sriwijaya University for Unggulan Kompetitif Universitas Sriwijaya Research Grant No. 988/UN9.3.1/PP/2017.

REFERENCES [1] Sharma, Y.C., and Srivastava, V., J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2011, 56, 819–825. [2] Shahriari, T., Bidhendi, G.B., Mehrdadi, N., Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol., 2014, 11, 349–356. [3] Sam, S., and Nesaraj, A.S., Int. J. Appl. Sci. Eng., 2011, 9(4), 223–239. [4] Prabhu, Y. T., and Rao, K, V., Int. Nano Lett., 2015, 5, 85–92. [5] Vignesh, R. H., Sankar, K.V., Amaresh, S., Lee, Y.S., Selvan, R.K., Sensor Actuat B- Chem., 2015, 220, 50–58. [6] Iwasaki, T., Mizutani, N., Watano, S., Yanagida, T., Kawai, T., J. Exp. Nano Sci., 2010, 5(3), 251–

262. [7] Chen, F., Xie, S., Zhang, J., Liu, R., Mater. Lett, 2013, 112, 177–179. [8] Lei, W., Liu, Y., Si, X., Xu, J., Du, W., Yang, J., Zhou, T., Lin, J., Phys. Lett. A, 2016, 8(16), 1–5. [9] Zhou, Z. H., Wang, J., Liu, X., Chan, H. S. O., J. Mater. Chem., 2001, 11, 1709–1711. [10] Es'haghzade, Z., Pajootan, E., Bahrami, H., Arami, M., J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng., 2016, 9(54), 1–15. [11] Karimzadeh, I., Aghazadeh, M., Doroudi, T., Ganjali, M. R., Kolivand, P. H., Adv. in Phys. Chem., 2017, 1–8. [12] Shanmugavel, T., Raj, S. G., Kumar, G. R., Rajarajan, G., Phys. Procedia, 2014, 54, 159–163. [13] Sharafi, A., and Seyedsadjadi, M., Int. J. Bio-Inorg. Hybd. Nanomat., 2013, 2(3), 437– 441. [14] Behera, S.S., Patra, J.K., Pramanik, K., Panda, N., Thatoi, H., World J. Nano Sci. Eng., 2012, 2, 196–200. [15] Shahzeidi, Z. S., and Amiri, Gh., Int. J. Bio-Inorg. Hybd. Nanomat., 2015, 4(3), 135– 140. [16] Topkaya, R., Kurtan, U., Baykal, A., Sozeri, K., Toprak, M. S., J. Inorg Organomet Polym., 2013, 23, 592–598. [17] Junejo, Y., Baykal, A., Sozeri, H., Cent. Eur. J. Chem., 2013, 11(9), 1527–1532. [18] Tamhakar, P.M., Kulkarni, A.M., Watawe, S.C., Mater. Sci. App., 2011, 2, 1317–1321. [19] Zheng, X. F., and Lian, Q., J. Disper. Sci. Technol., 2015, 36, 245–251. [20] Mukhopadhyay, A., Joshi, N., Chattopadhyay, K., De, G., ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces., 2012, 4, 142–149. [21] Hariani, P. L., Faizal, M., Ridwan, Marsi, Setiabudidaya, D., Int. J. Env. Sci. Dev., 2013, 4(3), 336–340. [22] Zhu, H. Y., Fu, Y. Q., Jiang, R., Jiang, J. H., Xiao, L., Zeng, G. M., Zhao, S.L., Wang, L., Chem. Eng. J., 2011, 173, 494 – 502. [23] Paredes, D., Ortiz, C., Torres, Int. J. Nanomed., 2014, 9, 1717–1729. [24] Sulanjari., Santi, W. N., Artanti, A. A., Suharyadi, E., Kato, E., Iwata, S., JFI, 2014, 54, XVIII, 103–107. [25] Wei, Y., Han, B., Hu, X., Lin, Y., Wang, X., Deng, X., Procedia Engineering, 2012, 27, 632 – 637. [26] Reddy, D. H. K., and Yun, Y. S., Coord. Chem. Rev., 2016, 315, 90 – 111. [27] Atmaca, S., Gul, K., Cicek., R., Tr. J. of Medical Science, 1998, 28, 595 – 597. [28] Sanpo, N., Wen, C., Berndt, C., Wang, J., Antibacterial Properties of Spinel Ferrite Nanoparticles in Microbacterial pathogens and strategies for combating them: science, technology and education, 2013, Formatex Research Center, Spain. [29] Nalawade, T.M., Bhat, K., Sogi, S. H. P., J. Int. Soc. Prev. Comm. Dent., 2015, 5(2), 114–119.

**J. Pure App. Chem. Res., 2018, 7( 2), XX-XX Day month Year X J.**

3

**Pure App. Chem. Res., 2018, 7( 2), XX-XX Day month Year X**

1

**J. Pure App. Chem. Res., 2018, 7( 2), XX-XX Day month Year X J.**

3

**Pure App. Chem. Res., 2018, 7( 2), XX-XX Day month Year X**

1

J. Pure App. Chem. Res., 2018, 7( 2), XX-XX Day month Year X J.

3

Pure App. Chem. Res., 2018, 7( 2), XX-XX Day month Year X

1

J. Pure App. Chem. Res., 2018, 7( 2), XX-XX Day month Year X

1

J. Pure App. Chem. Res., 2018, 7(2), XX-XX Day month Year X

The journal homepage [www.jpacr.ub.ac.id](http://www.jpacr.ub.ac.id) p-ISSN : 2302 – 4690 | e-ISSN : 2541 – 0733 2 The journal homepage [www.jpacr.ub.ac.id](http://www.jpacr.ub.ac.id) p-ISSN : 2302 – 4690 | e-ISSN : 2541 – 0733

2

3

The journal homepage [www.jpacr.ub.ac.id](http://www.jpacr.ub.ac.id) p-ISSN : 2302 – 4690 | e-ISSN : 2541 – 0733 4 The journal homepage [www.jpacr.ub.ac.id](http://www.jpacr.ub.ac.id) p-ISSN : 2302 – 4690 | e-ISSN : 2541 – 0733

2

5



The journal homepage [www.jpacr.uib.ac.id](http://www.jpacr.uib.ac.id) p-ISSN : 2302 – 4690 | e-ISSN : 2541 – 0733 6

1

The journal homepage [www.jpacr.uib.ac.id](http://www.jpacr.uib.ac.id) p-ISSN : 2302 – 4690 | e-ISSN : 2541 – 0733 7 The journal homepage [www.jpacr.uib.ac.id](http://www.jpacr.uib.ac.id) p-ISSN : 2302 – 4690 | e-ISSN : 2541 – 0733 8

**sources:**

- 1 140 words / 5% - Internet from 21-Nov-2017 12:00AM  
[media.neliti.com](http://media.neliti.com)
- 2 64 words / 2% - Internet from 08-Sep-2017 12:00AM  
[media.neliti.com](http://media.neliti.com)
- 3 42 words / 2% - Internet from 21-Nov-2017 12:00AM  
[media.neliti.com](http://media.neliti.com)
- 4 25 words / 1% - Internet from 13-Dec-2017 12:00AM  
[uad.portalgaruda.org](http://uad.portalgaruda.org)
- 5 23 words / 1% - Internet from 05-Sep-2017 12:00AM  
[link.springer.com](http://link.springer.com)
- 6 22 words / 1% - Internet from 02-Dec-2016 12:00AM  
[ic3pe.chemistry.uii.ac.id](http://ic3pe.chemistry.uii.ac.id)
- 7 22 words / 1% - Crossref  
[Guo, Tongxiao, Xiufang Bian, and Chuncheng Yang. "A new method to prepare water based Fe3O4 ferrofluid with high stabilization", Physica A Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 2015.](#)

- 
- 8 14 words / 1% - Crossref  
[Brounts, Sabrina H, Jae Sung Lee, Sean Weinberg, Sheeny K Lan Levengood, Everett L Smith, and William L Murphy. "High affinity binding of an engineered, modular peptide to bone tissue", Molecular Pharmaceutics, 2013.](#)
- 
- 9 12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Oct-2017 12:00AM  
[pdfs.semanticscholar.org](#)
- 
- 10 12 words / < 1% match - Crossref  
[Chinnaraj, K., A. Manikandan, P. Ramu, S. Arul Antony, and P. Neeraja. "Comparative Studies of Microwave- and Sol-Gel-Assisted Combustion Methods of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanostructures: Structural, Morphological, Optical, Magnetic, and Catalytic Properties", Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism, 2015.](#)
- 
- 11 11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 08-Sep-2017 12:00AM  
[media.neliti.com](#)
- 
- 12 11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 19-Jan-2016 12:00AM  
[arizona.openrepository.com](#)
- 
- 13 11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 11-Sep-2017 12:00AM  
[acervodigital.unesp.br](#)
- 
- 14 11 words / < 1% match - Publications  
Sim, Jiun-Horng Jamaludin, Nazzatush Shimar Khoo, Chai-Hoon Cheah, Yoke-Kqueen Halim, Siti Nadiah Bi. "In vitro antibacterial and time-kill evaluation of phosphanegold(I) dithiocarbamates, [R.sub.3]PAu[", Gold Bulletin, Dec 2014 Issue
- 
- 15 10 words / < 1% match - Crossref  
[N. Rezaei, M. H. Ehsani, Mustafa Aghazadeh, Isa Karimzadeh. "An Investigation on Magnetic-Interacting Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles Prepared by Electrochemical Synthesis Method", Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism, 2017](#)
-