

Dokumen Bukti Korespondensi untuk karya penelitian dengan judul artikel : **Nutrient Digestibility and Productivity of Bali Cattle Fed Fermented Hymenachne amplexia-calis Based Rations Supplemented with Leucaena leucocephala.**

Penulis : *Riswandi**, a.i.m. Ali, Muhakka, Y. Syaifudin, dan I. Akbar, Nama Jurnal : Media Peternakan (Journal of Tropical Animal Science and Technology), Vol.38 No.3, Hal.156-162, Desember 2015. ISSN(Print) : 0126-0472, ISSN(Online) : 2087-4634. Penerbit : Faculty of Animal Science, IPB University (Bogor Agricultural University). Terindex di Scopus Q4.

Mulai edisi April 2018 diubah menjadi Jurnal Ilmu Hewan Tropis (Tropical Animal Science Journal), yang terdiri dari :

1. Surat Pemberitahuan Submission dan Proses Review
2. Revised Final Paper Oleh Author
3. Surat Pemberitahuan Penerbitan Artikel di Jurnal Media Peternakan (Journal of Tropical Animal Science and Technology)

1. SURAT PEMBERITAHUAN SUBMISSION DAN PROSES REVIEW



Bogor, 11 Mei 2015

Perihal: Informasi Manuskrip

Kepada Yth.

Bapak/Ibu Riswandi, Asep Indra M. Ali, Muhakka, Yusuf dan Ilham Akbar
Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya Research Center for Sub-optimal Lands (PUR-PLSO), University of Sriwijaya,
Jl. Palembang-Prabumulih KM.32, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatera, Indonesia 30662

Manuskrip yang Bapak/Ibu kirimkan dengan judul "Evaluasi Nilai Nutrisi Ransum Berbahan Dasar Rumput Rawa Fermentasi Dengan Suplementasi Lamtoro (*Leucaena Leucocephala*) Sebagai Bahan Pakan Berkualitas Terhadap Produktivitas Ternak Sapi Bali" telah diperiksa pada tahap prakualifikasi oleh Redaksi Media Peternakan, Journal of Animal Science and Technology. Manuskrip tersebut memiliki nomor registrasi MP-1513.

Manuskrip akan ditelaah oleh dua orang Mitra Bestari yang ditunjuk oleh Dewan Redaksi Media Peternakan. Hasil penelaahan akan disampaikan oleh Ketua Editor kepada Bapak/Ibu melalui email dalam waktu satu bulan.

Sebelumnya perlu kami sampaikan juga bahwa MP saat ini dalam tahap inisiasi penggunaan aplikasi online Open Journal System (OJS) untuk proses pengolahan manuskrip. Oleh karena itu, kami mohon Bapak/Ibu dapat melakukan pengiriman kembali melalui sistem tersebut yang dapat diakses di website MP <http://medpet.journal.ipb.ac.id/>. Mohon dapat menginformasikan kepada kami apabila Bapak/Ibu mengalami kesulitan dalam proses tersebut.

Demikian surat ini disampaikan, atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.

Irma Nuranthy Pumama
Technical Editor
Media Peternakan- Journal of Animal Science and Technology
<http://medpet.journal.ipb.ac.id/>



media peternakan <mediapeternakan@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: riswandi_dya@yahoo.com



Rab, 10 Jun 2015 jam 10.43

Nomor : 109/MP/06/2015

Lampiran : 3 eks.

Perihal : **Penyampaian Manuskrip Hasil Telaahan Mitra Bestari**

Kepada Yth. : Bapak/Ibu Riswandi, Asep Indra M. Ali, Muhakka, Yusuf dan Ilham Akbar
Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya Research Center for Sub-optimal Lands (PUR-PLSO), University of Sriwijaya,
Jl. Palembang-Prabumulih KM.32, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatera,
Indonesia 30662

Melalui surat ini kami sampaikan bahwa manuskrip yang Bapak/Ibu kirimkan, dengan judul "Evaluasi Nilai Nutrisi Ransum Berbahan Dasar Rumput Kumpai Fermentasi Dengan Suplementasi Lamtoro (*Leucaena leucocephala*) Sebagai Bahan Pakan Berkualitas Terhadap Produktivitas Ternak Sapi Bali" telah ditelaah oleh Mitra Bestari kami. Berdasarkan hasil penelaahan tersebut, masih terdapat beberapa hal yang perlu diperbaiki. Hasil penelaahan manuskrip tersebut kami sampaikan dalam lampiran surat ini. *Tanggapan atas setiap komentar Mitra Bestari dan petunjuk letak perbaikan yang dilakukan mohon disampaikan dalam keterangan yang terpisah dari manuskrip yang telah diperbaiki.*

Kami harap Bapak/Ibu dapat menyerahkan berkas perbaikan dalam waktu 30 hari setelah menerima manuskrip ini. Apabila Bapak/Ibu tidak dapat menyampaikan hasil perbaikan dalam waktu yang telah ditetapkan, mohon dapat mengkonfirmasi kepada kami. Hal ini untuk mendukung konsistensi penerbitan.

Demikian, atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.

Demikian, atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.

Bogor, 10 Juni 2015
Ketua Editor,
ttid

Prof. Dr. Ir. Komang G. Wiryawan
NIP 19610914 198703 1 002

Media Peternakan
Journal of Animal Science and Technology
Faculty of Animal Science Building, Bogor Agricultural University
Jln. Agatis, Kampus IPB Darmaga, Bogor 16680, Indonesia
Phone: +62-251-8421692, 8622841,
Fax: +62-251-8421692, 8622842
e-mail: mediapeternakan@yahoo.co.id; mediapeternakan@ipb.ac.id
Website: <http://medpet.journal.ipb.ac.id/>
[Unduh semua lampiran sebagai file zip](#)



Instructionpdf
15KB



Manuskrip... .pdf
102.5KB



Manuskrip... .pdf
213.1KB



Manuskrip... .pdf
213.4KB



Form CI M... .doc
213.5KB



TANGGAPAN MITRA BESTARI

Judul: Evaluasi Nilai Nutrisi Ransum Berbahan Dasar Rumput Kumpai Fermentasi Dengan Suplementasi Lamtoro (*Leucaena leucocephala*) Sebagai Bahan Pakan Berkualitas Terhadap Produktivitas Ternak Sapi Bali

Mitra Bestari I

1. Masih terdapat kesalahan penyetikan/penulisan. Penulisan agar mengikuti panduan Media Pernakan.
2. Judul terlalu panjang, seharusnya tidak lebih dari 14 kata.
3. Komposisi nutrient ransum belum ada.
4. Diskusi seharusnya lebih tajam dengan didukung pustaka jurnal terbaru, tidak hanya membandingkan saja.
5. Hijauan apa yang mensubstitusi lamtoro?
6. Beberapa data tidak lengkap, seperti unit, parameter.
7. Tabel 1 dan 3 perlu dicek kembali analisis statistiknya.
8. Daftar Pustaka:
 - a) Penulisan Daftar Pustaka agar mengikuti panduan dan ketentuan Media Pernakan.
 - b) Jumlah jurnal 10 tahun terakhir agar ditambah menjadi lebih dari 80% sesuai ketentuan. Saat ini baru 50% (11/21).
 - c) Agar ditambahkan pustaka dari artikel yang diterbitkan Media Pernakan.
9. Koreksi lengkap terdapat pada teks.

Mitra Bestari II

Koreksi lengkap terdapat pada teks.



TANGGAPAN SELEKSI PRAKUALIFIKASI

Indai: **Eksplorasi Nilai Nutrisi Rumput Berbahan Dasar Rumput Rawa Fermentasi Dengan Suplementasi Lemak (Laccosia Laccoschala) Sebagai Bahan Pakan Berkualitas Terhadap Produktivitas Ternak Sapi Bali**

	Tanggapan
Judul	Komposisi isitlah yang di pakan Rumput Rawa atau Rumput Kumopi? (Pada abstrak-rumput kumopi?)
Abstrak	Perlakuan A kalau dijumlahkan, total mixed ration (TMR)-nya hanya 85%, lalu yang 15% apa? Perlakuan B = TMR 95%?? Perlakuan C = TMR = 105%?? Perlakuan D = TMR = 115%?? Maksudnya bagaimana, TMR seharusnya 100% Perlakuan apa yang berbeda nyata? Perlu dipertanyakan secara lebih eksplisit perlakuan mana yang berpengaruh nyata pada parameter
Desain Penelitian dan Analisa Data	Perlakuan yang digunakan desainnya agak aneh. Perlakuan A kalau dijumlahkan, Total Mixed Ration (TMR)-nya hanya 85%, lalu yang 15% apa? Perlakuan B = TMR 95%?? Perlakuan C = TMR = 105%?? Perlakuan D = TMR = 115%?? Maksudnya bagaimana, bolanya TMR seharusnya 100%
Tampilan Data (Tabel, Gambar)	Perlakuan D (Laccosia 30%), konsumsi BK dan BO paling tinggi, kecernaan BK, BO, PK dan serta juga paling tinggi. Tetapi mengapa pertambahan bobotnya lebih rendah dari kontrol dan Perlakuan C? Harus diberikan penjelasan beserta referensinya
Daftar Pustaka	1. Selesaikan Daftar Pustaka agar mengikuti panduan Media Peternakan 2. Jumlah jurnal 10 tahun terakhir baru lebih dari 80% dari total pustaka yang digunakan 3. Tidak diperkenankan menggunakan pustaka laporan penelitian, maupun buku populer.
Lampiran	Naskah agar ditulis kembali dengan mengikuti panduan penulisan jurnal Media Peternakan



Riswandi Wandi <riswandi_dya@yahoo.com>
Kepada: mediapeternakan@ipb.ac.id



Kam, 7 Mei 2015 jam 11:49

yth. Bapak/ibu Ketua redaksi journal media peternakan.

Dengan hormat kami sampaikan revisi manuskrip makalah, bila makalah ini nantinya lolos dalam penerbitan di jurnal media peternakan, kami mohon bantuannya untuk menterjemahkan makalah ini ke dalam bhs Inggris yang sesuai standar jurnal media peternakan. Semua biaya dalam proses tersebut akan kami penuh. tks

Hormat saya

Riswandi



Riswandidocx
13 kb



Riswandi Wandi <riswandi_dya@yahoo.com>
Kepada: mediapeternakan@ipb.ac.id



Jum, 10 Jul 2015 jam 11:35

Kepada Yth. Tim Redaksi Media Peternakan IPB

Dengan hormat, bersama ini kami sampaikan revisi makalah untuk diproses lebih lanjut atas perhatian dan bantuannya diucapkan terima kasih.

Hormat kami

riswandi

[Unduh semua lampiran sebagai file zip](#)



Riswandidocx
10 kb



Form C1 M... .docx
24 kb



mediapeternakan@ipb.ac.id <mediapeternakan@ipb.ac.id>
Kepada: riswandi_dya@yahoo.com

Sen, 13 Jul 2015 jam 09:09

Bogor, 13 Juli 2015

Perihal: Penerimaan Manuskrip

Kepada Yth,

Bapak/Ibu Riswandi, Asep Indra M. Ali, Muhakka, Yusuf dan Ilham Akbar
Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya Research Center for Sub-optimal Lands (PUR-PLSO), University of Sriwijaya,
Jl. Palembang-Prabumulih KM 32, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatera, Indonesia 30662

Redaksi Media Peternakan, Journal of Animal Science and Technology, telah menerima perbaikan manuskrip yang Bapak/Ibu kirimkan dengan judul "Evaluasi Nilai Nutrisi Ransum Berbahan Dasar Rumpuk Kumpai (*Hymenachne amplexicaulis*) Fermentasi Dengan Suplementasi Lamtoro (*Leucaena leucocephala*) Terhadap Produktivitas Sapi Bali". Manuskrip tersebut akan ditelaah oleh Penyunting Ahli Media Peternakan untuk melihat kesesuaiannya dengan saran yang disampaikan.

Demikian surat ini disampaikan, atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.

Irma Nuranthy Purnama
Technical Editor
Media Peternakan- Journal of Animal Science and Technology
<http://medpet.journal.ipb.ac.id/>



media peternakan <mediapeternakan@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: riswandi_dya@yahoo.com

Rab, 9 Sep 2015 jam 14:07

Perihal: **Penyampaian Manuskrip Hasil Penterjemahan**

Kepada Yth,

Bapak/Ibu Riswandi, Asep Indra M. Ali, Muhakka, Yusuf dan Ilham Akbar
Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya Research Center for Sub-optimal Lands (PUR-PLSO), University of Sriwijaya,
Jl. Palembang-Prabumulih KM.32, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatera, Indonesia 30662

Melalui surat ini kami sampaikan bahwa manuskrip yang Bapak/Ibu kirimkan, dengan judul "Evaluasi Nilai Nutrisi Ransum Berbahan Dasar Rumpuk Kumpai (*Hymenachne amplexicaulis*) Fermentasi Dengan Suplementasi Lamtoro (*Leucaena leucocephala*) Terhadap Produktivitas Sapi Bali" telah diterjemahkan ke dalam bahasa Inggris oleh Tim Media Peternakan. Bersama surat ini kami sampaikan kembali manuskrip tersebut beserta koreksi pada bab References dan mohon tanggapannya terhadap hasil penterjemahan tersebut. Mohon koreksi perbaikan dapat disampaikan dalam waktu 7 hari setelah menerima manuskrip ini. Hal ini untuk mendukung konsistensi penerbitan.

Demikian, atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.

Irma Nuranthy Purnama
Technical Editor
Media Peternakan- Journal of Animal Science and Technology
<http://medpet.journal.ipb.ac.id/>



mediapeternakan <mediapeternakan@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: riswandi_dya@yahoo.com

Sen, 14 Sep 2015 jam 08:47

Bapak Riswandi,
Kami mengucapkan terima kasih atas konfirmasi naskah akan kami proses kembali

Salam

Riswandi Wandi <riswandi_dya@yahoo.com> wrote:

Yth. Bapak/Ibu ketua redaksi media peternakan
Dengan hormat, kami telah menerima hasil terjemahan dan perbaikan dari tim redaksi media peternakan dengan baik. Kami menerima hasil terjemahan tersebut dan perbaikan dari kami tidak ada, mohon manuskrip tersebut diproses lebih lanjut. Demikianlah atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.

Hormat kami
An, Penulis

Riswandi



media peternakan <mediapeternakan@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: riswandi_dya@yahoo.com



Kam, 29 Okt 2015 jam 15:40

Kepada Yth,
Bapak/Ibu Riswandi, Asep Indra M. Ali, Muhaidka, Yusuf dan Ilham Akbar
Di
Tempat

Perbaiki manuskrip yang Bapak/Ibu kirimkan dengan judul "Evaluation of Nutritive Values of Fermented Kumpai Grass (*Hymenachne amplexicaulis*) Based Rations Supplemented with Lamtoro (*Leucaena leucocephala*) on Productivity of Bali Cattle" telah didiskusikan pada rapat Dewan Redaksi edisi Desember 2015. Terdapat hal yang perlu dikonfirmasi, yaitu:

1. Tabel 1: Sebaiknya ditambahkan keterangan sumber data, disebutkan Laboratorium tempat analisa dilaksanakan atau penganalisa.
2. Tabel 2: satuan untuk bahan pakan/food ingredient?
3. Tabel 3: sebaiknya dihilangkan saja karena telah diwakili oleh Tabel 2.

Kami harap Bapak/Ibu dapat segera memperbaiki dan memberikan tanggapannya sebelum 5 November 2015. Mohon perbaikan diberikan pembeda, seperti font yang berbeda warna, dan dilakukan di file yang kami lampirkan.

Demikian, atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.

Bogor, 29 Oktober 2015

Ketua Editor,

ttd

Prof. Dr. Ir. Komang G. Wiryawan
NIP 19610914 198703 1 002



Riswandi Wandu <riswandi_dya@yahoo.com>
Kepada: mediapeternakan@yahoo.co.id



Rab, 4 Nov 2015 jam 00:47

konfirmasi manuskrip

Kepada Yth Bapak Ketua Media Peternakan
Bersama ini kami sampaikan hasil revisi makalah, mohon untuk diproses lebih lanjut atas perhatian dan kerja samanya diucapkan terima kasih.

> Tampilkan pesan asli



Layout MP-... .doc
137kB

2. REVISED FINAL PAPER OLEH AUTHOR

30 weight gain and feed efficiency was found in Bali cattle fed ration with 20% lamtoro
31 leave supplementation. The level of lamtoro leave supplementation in the ration did not
32 affect the digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, and crude fiber. It was concluded
33 that the supplementation of lamtoro leaves in the ration could increase dry matter,
34 organic matter, and crude protein intakes. Addition of 20% lamtoro leaves gave the best
35 effect on the increased body weight gain and feed efficiency in Bali cattle.

36 Keywords: fermented kumpai grass, lamtoro, digestibility, feed efficiency, growth rate,
37 Bali cattle

38

39

INTRODUCTION

40 Feed is the main factor in the animal husbandry business since 60%-70% total
41 operational cost is feed cost. A good quality feed is a feed that meets the nutrient
42 requirement of the animal for maintenance and for growth and productivity. The
43 increased population of animal should be balanced by the increase in the supply of good
44 feed in quality, quantity, and continuity (Haryanto, 2012). Feeds consumed by ruminant
45 animals are mostly grasses and forages that must be available and meet the requirement
46 of animals both in quantity and quality (Fernandes, 2007). Nutritionally, forage is a
47 source of fiber, and the supply and the production of forage and grass are determined by
48 the season and climate. The availability of land for grass and forage production for
49 supplying feed for animal industry is decrease due to the increased use of land for plant
50 agriculture, plantation, and for industrial and residential development.

51 Wet-land forages have a great potential for feed diversity to sustain the
52 availability and supply of quality feed that does not compete with human need. The use
53 of wet-land forage as a potential source of nonconventional feed resources is needed to

54 be considered (Syarifuddin & Wahdi, 2010; Akhadiarto & Fariani, 2012). This
55 alternative feed could be used as a main ruminant feed in the future and its use should
56 be increased by optimizing the use of wet-land forage and tree legume as a quality feed
57 for animal industry.

58 Kumpai grass (*Hymenachne amplexiacalis* (Rudge) Nees) is one of forages that
59 mostly found in the wet land. The productivity of this wet-land forage is high but its
60 nutritive value is low. Rostini *et al.* (2014) reported that kumpai grass had crude protein
61 content around 10.88% with crude fiber content around 16.37%, NDF around 62.60%
62 and ADF around 36.75%. Fariani and Evitayani (2008) reported that the content of
63 fiber fraction of wet-land grass was 71% NDF, 47.07% ADF, 36.32% cellulose, and
64 29.93% hemicellulose, so that the nutritive value of wet-land grass needed to be
65 improved by supplementing the grass with tree legume such as lamtoro (*Luecaena*
66 *leucocephala*) leaves as a source of by-pass protein.

67 By-pass protein is a protein in the feed of ruminant animals that is not degraded
68 by the rumen microbes. The result is the protein will be digested enzymatically in the
69 abomasum and intestine to produce amino acids. The increased supply of by-pass
70 protein in the feed that is not degraded in the rumen is designed to increase the amount
71 of protein and amino acids that enter the intestine that will be digested and reabsorbed
72 in the intestine (Widyobroto *et al.*, 2007). By-pass protein is important for ruminant
73 animals since this protein could increase the availability of protein in post-rumen
74 digestion and absorption. In ruminant animals that are in production stage the use of by-
75 pass protein could increase the efficiency of protein use so that increase the number of
76 protein that by pass the rumen into the abomasum and intestine (Sun *et al.*, 2009) that
77 would be digested into amino acids.

78 Lamtoro is a tree legume that belongs to multipurpose plant with crude protein
79 content of 20.40%, 2.30% Ca, and 0.23% P with carotene content of 530.00 mg/kg and
80 tannin content of 10.15 mg/kg. Lamtoro could be used as a source of by-pass protein in
81 feed formulation since this legume contains tannin that protects the feed protein from
82 degradation and digestion by rumen microbes (Kavana *et al.*, 2005) that finally increase
83 nutritive value of a ration. This experiment was designed to analyze the optimum level
84 of lamtoro leaves supplementation in fermented kumpai grass based ration on feed
85 consumption, nutrient digestibility, and body weight gain in Bali cattle.

86

87 **MATERIALS AND METHODES**

88 **Materials**

89 The concentrated used in the formulation of diet was rice bran, corn meals, rice
90 bran, ultra mineral, urea, and salt. The ration was formulated with a final 11%-14%
91 crude protein content and 54%-60% TDN.

92 The experiment used 4 male Bali cattle with 1 year of age and body weight
93 ranged of 110–140 kg. The experimental cattle were maintained in metabolic cages
94 provided with feed and water. The feces and urine were collected separately so that the
95 urine was not mixed with feces.

96 Kumpai grass fermentation was conducted to improve and increase the nutritive
97 values of the kumpai grass. Fermentation was conducted by adding 8% probiotic
98 (inoculant) and 5% molasses per weight of kumpai grass. Before fermentation and
99 mixing with prebiotic and molasses, the kumpai grass was cut to the size of 3 cm and
100 then shriveled or dried to decrease the moisture content. **Probiotic used was EM-4**
101 **(Effective microorganism-4) type (PT. Songgolangit Persada Bali) containing**

102 *Lactobacillus casei*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, and *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*.
103 Silage materials were put into a plastic bag (silo), compacted, and then the plastic bag
104 was tied tightly so the condition inside the bag was anaerobe. The plastics bags
105 containing silage were maintained in a room with temperature ranged of 26-28 °C for 21
106 d (Riswandi, 2014). Silage produced during 21 d incubation was fed to the experimental
107 cattle during the experiment.

108 **Method**

109 **Preparation of Pen and Experimental Cattle**

110 Before the experimental Bali cattle were maintained in the pen, the pens were
111 cleaned, limed, and disinfected with disinfectant. Before the experiment, the
112 experimental cattle were administered anthelmintic. The pens were numbered and the
113 experimental cattle were assigned into each pen randomly with the experimental ration.

114 **Feeding and Drinking Water**

115 The feed was given based on dry matter requirement i.e., 3% of body weight.
116 Concentrate was given separately from grass. Concentrate was given at 8.00 WIB in the
117 morning and then followed by administration of forage feed at 11.00 WIB and 15.00
118 WIB. Drinking water was available *ad libitum*. The drinking water was replaced and
119 added daily.

120 **Data Collection**

121 The experiment was conducted in the experimental pens and Laboratory of
122 Animal Nutrition and Feed, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya from Mei to
123 August 2014. The experiment was conducted in several periods i.e., adaptation,
124 preliminary, growth, and collection. Adaptation period was conducted for 1 month to
125 adapt the experimental cattle to the experimental condition, ration, and animal

126 maintenance. Preliminary period was conducted to remove the residues of previous feed
127 consumed by the experimental cattle. This period was conducted for 15 days.

128 Growth period was the period for measuring body weight gain of the
129 experimental cattle. This period was designed to study the effect of experimental ration
130 on the body weight gain of the experimental cattle. The growth period was conducted
131 for 30 days. The experimental cattle were weighed 3 days consecutively in the
132 beginning of the period and 3 days consecutively at the end of the period. Collection
133 period was designed to record and measure the digestibility of nutrients. **This period**
134 **was conducted for 1 week. During this period, the feces were collected daily for 24**
135 **hours and the samples of feed were collected daily.** The weight of the feces was
136 recorded and 10% of the total weight of the feces was collected for sample analysis.

137 **Procedures of Parameters Measurement**

138 **Dry matter consumption.** Dry matter consumption was calculated by multiplying the
139 fresh feed fed with dry matter content of the ration and the result was subtracted with
140 dry matter of the unconsumed feed that was calculated in kilogram per day (Imran *et al.*,
141 2012).

142 **Dry matter digestibility.** Dry matter digestibility was calculated by subtracting dry
143 matter consumed with dry matter of the feces and the result was divided by the total dry
144 matter consumed and multiplied by 100% (Pond *et al.*, 2005). Dry matter consumption
145 was based on the results of proximate analysis and the dry matter of the feces was
146 calculated by averaging the dry matter of feces measured during the last week of
147 experimental period. The digestibility coefficient of dry matter (DCDM) was calculated
148 by the following formula:

149 DCDM% = [(dry matter consumption – dry matter of feces)/dry matter
150 consumption] x 100%

151 **Organic matter digestibility.** Organic matter digestibility was obtained by subtracting
152 the consumption of organic matter with organic matter of the feces and the result was
153 divided by organic matter consumption and multiplied by 100% (Pond *et al.*, 2005).
154 Organic matter consumption was based on the proximate analysis and the dry matter of
155 feces was calculated by averaging the organic matter of the feces measured during the
156 last week of the experimental period. The digestibility coefficient of organic matter
157 (DCOM) was calculated by using the following formula:

158 DCOM %= [(consumption of organic matter – organic matter of the
159 feces)/consumption of organic matter] x 100%

160 **Protein digestibility.** Protein digestibility was obtained by subtracting the protein
161 consumed with the protein of the feces and the result was divided with protein
162 consumption and the result was multiplied by 100% (Pond *et al.*, 2005). Protein
163 consumption was based on the results of proximate analysis and protein content of the
164 feces was calculated by averaging the protein contents of the feces measured during the
165 last week of experimental period. Digestibility coefficient of crude protein (DCCP) was
166 calculated by the following formula:

167 DCCP % = [(consumption of crude protein – feces crude protein)/consumption
168 of crude protein] x 100%

169 **Crude fiber digestibility.** Fiber digestibility was calculated by subtracting the
170 consumption of crude fiber with crude fiber content of the feces and the result was
171 divided by the consumption of the crude fiber and then the result was multiplied by
172 100% (Pond *et al.*, 2005). The consumed crude fiber was based on the result of

173 proximate analysis of the ration and feces crude fiber was calculated by averaging crude
174 fiber of the feces measured during the last week of the experimental period.
175 Digestibility coefficient of crude fiber (DCCF) was calculated by using the following
176 formula:

$$177 \quad \text{DCCF \%} = [(\text{consumption of crude fiber} - \text{crude fiber of the feces}) / \text{consumption} \\ 178 \text{ of crude fiber}] \times 100\%$$

179 **Body weight gain.** Body weight gain is a difference between the final body weight at
180 the end of experiment and the body weight at the beginning of experiment (Imran *et al.*,
181 2012).

182 **Feed efficiency.** Feed efficiency was calculated by dividing the body weight gain with
183 the total feed consumption during the experimental period and the result was multiplied
184 by 100% (Campbell *et al.*, 2006).

185 **Data Analysis**

186 The experiment used Latin Square Design with a 4 X 4 arrangement with row as
187 4 periods of experiment, column as 4 Bali cattle, and 4 types of ration used as a
188 treatment. The treatments used were:

189 Ration A = 45% fermented wet grass + 40% benggala grass + 15% concentrate + 0%
190 lamtoro leave.

191 Ration B = 45% fermented wet grass + 30% benggala grass + 15% concentrate + 10%
192 lamtoro leave.

193 Ration C = 45% fermented wet grass + 20% benggala grass + 15% concentrate + 20%
194 lamtoro leave.

195 Ration D = 45% fermented wet grass + 10% benggala grass + 15% concentrate + 30%
196 lamtoro leave.

197 The collected data were analyzed for variance by using ANOVA and if there
198 was a difference between treatment the difference was tested by Duncan (Steel &
199 Torrie, 1991).

200

201

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

202

Dry Matter Consumption and Organic Matter Consumption

203

204 The results of analysis of variance showed that supplementation of various
205 levels of lamtoro leave in ration based on fermented kumpai grass significantly
206 increased ($P < 0.05$) dry matter and organic matter consumptions. The range of dry
207 matter consumption in this experiment was 6.72-8.18 kg and the range of organic matter
208 consumption was 6.12-7.47 kg. The significant differences in dry matter and organic
209 matter consumptions among treatments were caused by the nutrient content, especially
210 protein and energy contents of the experimental rations and the materials used in the
211 rations (Lewis & Emmans, 2010; Aregheore, 2006). Lamtoro leave supplementation
212 plays a significant role in increasing protein content of the experimental rations that
213 affect dry matter consumption. Supplementation of lamtoro leave could increase the
214 palatability of the ration. Further test showed that the highest dry matter and organic
215 matter consumptions were found in ration D i.e., ration contained 30% lamtoro and the
216 lowest level was found in ration A i.e., ration without lamtoro leave supplementation
217 (Table 1). This result was caused by the higher crude protein content in the diet D as
218 compared to those in diets A, B, and C. The higher the levels of lamtoro leave
219 supplementation the higher the crude protein content of the ration because lamtoro is a
220 legume with a rich content of crude protein. This result indicated that the higher the
crude protein contents of the ration the higher the palatability of the ration. The same

221 result was also reported by Sanh *et al.* (2002) that found that the higher the level of
222 crude protein of the diet the higher the palatability and digestibility of the ration. Further
223 Parakkasi (1999) stated that the amount of dry matter consumed was affected by several
224 factors i.e., (a) physical or chemical characteristics of the diet, (b) the physiological
225 requirement of the experimental animal for maintenance and production according to
226 the capacity of digestive tract, (c) live body weight that related with the development of
227 the digestive tract, since the capacity of the digestive tract was generally increased with
228 the increase in the live body weight so that the digestive tract could accommodate the
229 higher amount of dry matter.

230 **The Values of Nutrient Digestibility**

231 The analysis of variance showed that the level of lamtoro leave supplementation
232 in the ration did not affect ($P>0.05$) the digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, and
233 crude fiber, but significantly increased ($P<0.05$) crude protein digestibility (Table 2).
234 This result could be related to the increase in crude protein content of the ration with the
235 lamtoro leave supplementation since the lamtoro leave is rich in crude protein. The dry
236 matter digestibility of the experimental diets ranged from 78.66% to 79.75% and the
237 digestibility of organic matter ranged from 80.78% to 81.49%. The results found in the
238 present experiment is in accordance with the result reported by Wanapat *et al.* (2011)
239 that the supplementation of lamtoro leave at the level of 23.7% does not affect the
240 digestibility of dry matter and organic matter in lactating dairy cows fed with ration
241 based on ammoniated straw. The digestibility of dry matter and organic matter in each
242 type of ration did not show a significant difference. Even though the dry matter and
243 organic matter consumptions showed a significant difference, there was no significant
244 difference in the digestibility of dry matter and organic matter. The digestibility of

245 organic matter decreased due to the increased feed consumption since the retention time
246 of the feed in the digestive tract became shorter that eventually decreased the
247 fermentation of feed by rumen microbes (Church, 1988).

248 The values of dry matter and organic matter digestibility in the ration without
249 lamtoro leave supplementation tended to be higher as compared to rations with lamtoro
250 leave supplementation. This result indicated that the increased level of lamtoro leave
251 supplementation would increase the amount of tannin in the ration that protect the
252 nutrient such as crude protein and carbohydrate so that the tannin content of the diet
253 would affect the digestibility of dry matter and organic matter of the diet that was
254 tended to decrease with the addition of lamtoro leave. As it is known that tannin is a
255 polyphenol compound that has capacity to bind protein and other compounds (such as
256 carbohydrate, mineral, and vitamin) and form a complex compound. In general, tannin
257 has the ability to decrease the use of feed (Suhartati, 2005; Yulistiani *et al.*, 2011). The
258 values of crude fiber digestibility in this experiment ranged from 82.07 to 85.29%. The
259 value of crude fiber digestibility in diet D tended to be higher as compared to diets A, B
260 and C. This result was caused by the higher level of lamtoro leave supplementation that
261 could decrease the crude fiber content of the ration that eventually increased the values
262 of crude fiber digestibility of ration with 30% level of lamtoro leave supplementation
263 (ration D).

264 The range of crude protein digestibility in this experiment was 67.78%-76.73%.
265 Further test showed that the highest level of crude protein was found in ration
266 supplemented with 30% lamtoro leave (ration D) that was significantly different
267 ($P<0.05$) from rations A, B, and C. There was no significant difference among diets A,
268 B, and C. This result was caused by the higher tannin content of ration D (ration with

269 30% level of lamtoro leave supplementation) as compared to rations A, B, and C. There
270 was no significant difference in crude fiber digestibility among ration A (0% lamtoro
271 leave), B (10% lamtoro leave), and C (20% lamtoro leave). This result was caused by
272 the level of tannin in rations A, B, and C that were not optimum to protect protein from
273 degradation by rumen microbe so that the crude protein digestibility among treatments
274 were similar. It was known that tannin found in the lamtoro leave would bind with
275 protein, and protein would be protected from degradation by rumen microbes so that the
276 number protein entered post-rumen digestive tract would increase so that the
277 digestibility of crude protein in the intestine would increase. This result indicated that
278 the higher the crude protein contents of the ration the higher the digestibility of
279 nutrients. This result is in accordance with the results reported by Suhartati (2005) and
280 Widyobroto *et al.* (2007) that the increased concentration of by-pass protein is caused
281 by the binding between tannin and protein to form complex compounds that insoluble in
282 the rumen that could be directly digested enzymatically in the post rumen. The effect of
283 tannin on organic matter of the ration is more significant on protein component as
284 compared to the other components of the rations (Getachew *et al.*, 2008).

285 **Body Weight Gain**

286 Further test showed that Bali cattle fed with ration C (supplemented with 20%
287 lamtoro leave) gave a significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) body weight gain as compared to
288 those fed with rations A, B, and D. There was also a significant difference in body weight
289 gain among the Bali cattle fed with rations A, B, and D. The highest body weight gain
290 was found in Bali cattle fed with ration C (0.61 kg) and the lowest level was found in
291 those fed with ration B (0.32 kg) (Table 3). This result is probably related to the
292 differences in nutrient contents, consumption or intake and digestibility of dry matter

293 and nutrient with the lamtoro leave supplementation in the diet. This result is in
294 agreement with the statement of Simanihuruk (2006) that daily body live weight gain is
295 a reflection accumulation of consumption, fermentation, metabolism, and absorption of
296 nutrients in the body (digestive tracts) and is a reflection of feed quality and feed
297 biological value.

298 The ranges of daily body weight gain were 0.32 to 0.61 kg. The highest average
299 daily body weight gain in cattle fed with diet C was due to the optimal level of lamtoro
300 leave supplementation where the structural and nonstructural carbohydrate contents and
301 protein content met the requirement of the growth of rumen microbes so that the
302 nutrient requirement of the experiment cattle was met with the final result increased
303 daily body weight gain. The low daily body weight gain in cattle fed with diet B is
304 probably caused by the possibility that part of the protected protein or by-pass protein
305 was not digested completely enzymatically in the post rumen digestive tracts (Sun *et al.*,
306 2009). In addition, the low daily body weight gain in cattle fed with diet supplemented
307 with 30% lamtoro leave is also caused by high content of structural nutrients such as
308 structural carbohydrate (crude fiber) so that when it bound to tannin in post rumen of
309 digestive tract would decrease digestibility and absorption nutrients in general.
310 Therefore, tannin concentration must be formulated optimally. When the concentration
311 of the tannin is not formulated optimally, the digestibility and absorption of nutrients in
312 general will be disturbed since tannin was not only interact with protein, but also with
313 crude fiber and other components such as vitamin and mineral (Jayanegara *et al.*, 2009;
314 Makkar *et al.*, 2007).

315 Cattle fed diet without lamtoro leave supplementation (ration A) had higher
316 daily body weight gain as compared to those fed with rations with lamtoro leave

317 supplementation (rations B and D). This result is caused by the lower content of anti-
318 nutrient compound in ration without lamtoro leave supplementation (ration A) so that
319 the biological value (digestibility) of the ration met the optimal requirement for animal
320 growth.

321 Analysis of variance showed that the lamtoro leave supplementation up to 20%
322 could increase ($P<0.05$) efficiency values of the ration of Bali cattle. The efficiency
323 values the experimental rations ranged from 3.66% to 6.59%.

324 The effect of treatment on daily body weight gain is a reflection of efficiency of
325 feed or nutrients utilization. The higher the daily body weight gains the higher the
326 efficiency of feed utilization in the body for growth. The efficiency of feed utilization is
327 the ratio between daily live body weight gains with the amount of feed consumed.
328 Especially in ruminant animals, the efficiency of feed utilization is affected by the high
329 quality and biological values of the feed, the values of daily live weight gain and the
330 digestibility of the feed. The significant difference in efficiency of feed utilization as
331 was shown in Table 3 is caused by the relative difference in daily body weight gain,
332 digestibility, feed consumption and biological values of the feed. Further test showed
333 that ration C gave the significantly different effect ($P<0.05$) as compared to rations A,
334 B, and D, and among rations A, B, and D were also showed a significant difference. The
335 highest efficiency of feed utilization was found in cattle fed with ration C i.e., 6.59 and
336 the lowest was found in cattle fed with ration D i.e., 3.66 kg (Table 3). The highest feed
337 efficiency in cattle fed with diet C showed the optimal level of lamtoro leave
338 supplementation, where the structural and non-structural carbohydrate content as well as
339 protein content has met the requirement of rumen microbe for growth so that the

340 nutrient requirement of the experimental cattle is also met so the final result is the
341 increased daily body weight gain.

342 In the treatment of lamtoro leave supplementation at the level of 20%, the
343 experimental cattle had higher efficiency in utilization of the experimental diet that was
344 reflected in higher body growth rate. The efficiency of feed utilization is affected by
345 several factors such as the capacity or ability of the experimental animals to digest the
346 feed materials, the availability of nutrient for basic or maintenance, the growth and the
347 function of the body, and the type of feed used (Campbell *et al.*, 2006). Feed with
348 higher quality (high crude protein and low crude fiber) has a higher efficiency of feed
349 utilization for energy formation and production (Pond *et al.*, 2005).

350

351 CONCLUSION

352 From the results of this experiment it was concluded that the supplementation of
353 lamtoro leaves in the diet based on fermented kumpai grass could increase feed
354 consumption, protein digestion, body weight gain, and feed efficiency. However,
355 lamtoro leave supplementation could not improve the digestibility of dry matter, organic
356 matter, and crude fiber. The lamtoro leave supplementation at the level of 20% gave the
357 best result in daily body weight gain and feed efficiency in Bali cattle.

358

359 REFERENCES

360 **Aregheore, E. M.** 2006. Utilization of concentrate supplements containing varying
361 levels of copra cake (*Cocos nucifera*) by growing goats fed a basal diet of napier
362 grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*). Small Rumin. Res. 64:87-93.
363 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2005.04.003>

- 364 **Akhadiarto, S. & A. Fariani.** 2012. Evaluasi pencernaan rumput kumpai minyak
365 (*Hymenachne amplexicaulis*) amoniasi secara in vitro. Jurnal Sains dan Teknologi
366 Indonesia 14 : 50-55.
- 367 **Church, D. C.** 1988. The Ruminant Animal: Digestive Physiology and Nutrition.
368 Prentice Hall. Engelwood Cliffs. New Jersey.
- 369 **Campbell, J. R., M. D. Kenealy, & K. L. Campbell.** 2006. Animal Sciences. 4th
370 Edition. McGraw- Hill, New York.
- 371 **Fernandes, M. H. M. R.** 2007. Energy and protein requirements for maintenance and
372 growth of Boer crossbred kids. J. Anim. Sci. 85:1014-1023.
373 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2527/jas.2006-110>
- 374 **Fariani & Evitayani.** 2008. The potency of swamp grass as ruminant feed: grass
375 production, carrying capacity and fiber fraction. J. Indon. Trop. Anim. Agric. 33:
376 299-304.
- 377 **Getachew, G., W. Pittroff, D. H. Putnam, A. Dandekar, S. Goyal, & E. J. De**
378 **Peters.** 2008. The influence of addition of gallic acid, tannic acid, or quebracho
379 tannins to alfalfa hay on *in vitro* rumen fermentation and microbial protein
380 synthesis. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 140: 444-461.
381 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2007.03.011>
- 382 **Haryanto. B.** 2012. Perkembangan penelitian nutrisi ternak ruminansia. Wartazoa 22:
383 169–177.
- 384 **Imran, S. P. S. Budhi, N. Ngadiyono, & Dahlanuddin.** 2012. Pertumbuhan pedet sapi
385 bali lepas sapih yang diberi rumput lapangan dan disuplementasi daun turi
386 (*Sesbania grandiflora*). Jurnal Agrinimal 2: 55-60.

387 **Jayanegara, A., H. P. S. Makkar, & K. Becker.** 2009. *In vitro* methane emission and
388 rumen fermentation of hay diet contained purified tannins at low concentration.
389 Med. Pet. 32:185-195.

390 **Kavana, V. P. Y., J. B. Kizima, Y. N. Msanga, N. B. Kilongozi, B. S. J. Msangi, L.**
391 **A. Kadeng'uk, S. Mngulu, & P. K. Simba.** 2005. Potential of pasture and forage
392 for ruminant production in Eastern zone of Tanzania. Livestock Research for
393 Rural Development 17(12).

394 **Lewis, R. M. & G. C. Emmans.** 2010. Feed intake of sheep as affected by body
395 weight, breed, sex, and feed composition. J. Anim. Sci. 88:467-480.
396 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2527/jas.2008-1735>

397 **Makkar, H. P. S., G. Francis, & K. Becker.** 2007. Bioactivity of phytochemicals in
398 some esserknown plants and their effects and potential applications in livestock
399 and aquaculture production systems. Animal 1: 1371-1391.
400 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1751731107000298>

401 **Parakkasi, A.** 1999. Ilmu Nutrisi dan Makanan Ternak Ruminansia. Penerbit
402 Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta.

403 **Pond, W. G., D. C. Church, K. R. Pond, & P. A. Schoknet.** 2005. Basic Animal
404 Nutrition and Feeding. 5th revised edition. John Willey and Sons Inc, New York.

405 **Riswandi.** 2014. Evaluasi pencernaan silase rumput kumpai (*Hymenachne acutigluma*)
406 dengan penambahan legum Turi Mini (*Sesbania rostra*). Jurnal Peternakan
407 Sriwijaya 3: 43-52.

408 **Rostini, T., L. Abdullah, K. G. Wiryawan, & P. D. M. H. Karti.** 2014. Utilization of
409 swamp forages from South Kalimantan on local goat performances. Med. Pet.
410 37:50-56. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5398/medpet.2014.37.1.50>

- 411 **Sanh, M. V., H. Wiktorson, & L. V. Ly.** 2002. Effects of natural grass forage to
412 concentrate ratio and feeding principles on milk production and performance of cross
413 bred lactating cows. *J. Anim. Sci.* 15: 650-657.
- 414 **Simanihuruk, K., K. G. Wiryawan, & S. P. Ginting.** 2006. The effect of passion fruit
415 hulls level (*Passiflora edulis* Sims f. *edulis* Deg) as Kacang goat feed component:
416 I. Intake digestibility and nitrogen retention. *JITV* 11: 97- 105.
- 417 **Steel, R. G. D. & J. H. Torrie.** 1993. Prinsip dan Prosedur Statistika. Gramedia
418 Pustaka Utama, Jakarta.
- 419 **Suhartati, F. M.** 2005. Proteksi protein dauin lamtoro (*Leucaena leucocephala*)
420 menggunakan tanin, saponin, minyak dan pengaruhnya terhadap *ruminal*
421 *undegraded dietary protein* (RUDP) dan sintesis protein mikroba rumen. *Jurnal*
422 *Animal Production* 7: 52-58.
- 423 **Sun, T., X. Yu, S. L. Li, Y. X. Dong, & H. T. Zhang.** 2009. Responses of dairy cows
424 to supplemental highly digestible rumen undegradable protein and rumenprotected
425 forms of methionine. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 22: 659–666.
- 426 **Syarifuddin. N. A. & A. Wahdi.** 2010. Kandungan mineral (Na, Se, Co, Fe) pakan
427 alami ternak kerbau rawa di kalimantan selatan. *Media Sains* 2 (1).
- 428 **Wanapat, M., K. Boonnop, C. Promkot, & A. Cherdthong.** 2011. Effects of
429 alternative protein sources on rumen microbes and productivity of dairy cows.
430 *Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol.* 5: 13-23.
- 431 **Widyobroto, B. P., S. P. S. Budhi, & A. Agus.** 2007. Pengaruh aras undegraded
432 protein dan energy terhadap kinetik fermentasi rumen dan sintesis protein mikroba
433 pada sapi. *Journal Indonesian Tropic Animal Agriculture* 32: 194-200.

434 **Yulistiani, D., I. W. Mathius, & W. Puastuti.** 2011. Bungkil kedelai terproteksi tanin
435 cairan batang pisang dalam pakan domba sedang tumbuh. JITV. 16: 33-40.
436
437

438 Table 1. Average dry matter and organic matter intakes of Bali cattle fed with different
 439 levels of lamtoro leave supplementation in the fermented kumpai grass
 440 based ration (4)

No	Intake	Type of Ration			
		A	B	C	D
1	Dry matter Intake (kg)	6.72 ± 0.13 ^a	7.34±0.15 ^b	8.05±0.22 ^c	8.18±0.20 ^d
2	Organic Matter Intake (kg)	6.12±0.11 ^a	6.68±0.14 ^b	6.67±0.20 ^c	7.47±0.18 ^d

441 ^{a,b,c,d}Different superscripts in the same column indicate a significant difference between
 442 means (P<0,05). Ration A = (45% fermented kumpai grass + 40% benggala
 443 grass) + 15% concentrate + 0% lamtoro leave, B = (45% fermented kumpai
 444 grass + 30% benggala grass) + 15% concentrate + 10% lamtoro leave, C =
 445 (45% fermented kumpai grass + 20% benggala grass) + 15% concentrate +
 446 20% lamtoro leave, D = (45% fermented kumpai grass + 10% benggala
 447 grass) + 15% concentrate + 30% lamtoro leave.

448
 449 Table 2. Digestibilities of dry matter, organic matter, crude protein, and crude fiber of
 450 different types of rations with different levels of lamtoro leave
 451 supplementation in the fermented kumpai grass based ration in Balli cattle
 452 (5)

No	Digestibility	Type of Ration			
		A	B	C	D
1	Dry Matter Digestibility (%)	79.75 ± 2.93	78.66±4.96	79.45±4.14	79.15±5.36
2	Organic Matter Digestibility (%)	81.49±1.74	80.78±2.24	81.02±3.62	80.98±4.76
3	Crude Protein Digestibility (%)	68.61±4.04 ^a	68.36±5.78 ^a	67.78±5.40 ^a	76.73±4.48 ^b
4	Crude Fiber Digestibility (%)	83.33±2.25	82.07±4.74	82.56±3.43	85.29±3.27

453 ^{a,b,c,d}Different superscripts in the same column indicate a significant difference between
 454 means (P<0,05). Ration A = (45% fermented kumpai grass + 40% benggala
 455 grass) + 15% concentrate + 0% lamtoro leave, B = (45% fermented kumpai

456 grass + 30% benggala grass) + 15% concentrate + 10% lamtoro leave, C =
 457 (45% fermented kumpai grass + 20% benggala grass) + 15% concentrate +
 458 20% lamtoro leave, D = (45% fermented kumpai grass + 10% benggala
 459 grass) + 15% concentrate + 30% lamtoro leave.

460

461 Tabel 3. Average daily live weight gain and feed efficiency of Bali cattle fed with
 462 different levels of lamtoro leave supplementation in the fermented kumpai
 463 grass based ration (6)

No	Parameters	Types of Ration			
		A	B	C	D
1	Daily Body Weight Gain (kg)	0.38 ± 0.13 ^c	0.32±0.04 ^a	0.61±0.13 ^d	0.36±0.10 ^b
2	Feed Efficiency (%)	4.90±1.61 ^c	3.79±0.48 ^b	6.59±1.27 ^d	3.66±0.97 ^a

464 ^{a,b,c,d}Different superscripts in the same column indicate a significant difference between
 465 means (P<0,05). Ration A = (45% fermented kumpai grass + 40% benggala
 466 grass) + 15% concentrate + 0% lamtoro leave, B = (45% fermented kumpai
 467 grass + 30% benggala grass) + 15% concentrate + 10% lamtoro leave, C =
 468 (45% fermented kumpai grass + 20% benggala grass) + 15% concentrate +
 469 20% lamtoro leave, D = (45% fermented kumpai grass + 10% benggala
 470 grass) + 15% concentrate + 30% lamtoro leave.

471

472

473 Table 1. Nutrient compositions of feeds used for formulation of the experimental rations

No	Feed Materials	CP	CF	TDN
1	Rice brans	11.2	18.513	65
2	Corn meal	10.5	2	83
3	Bekatul	14	7.5	87.6
4	Mineral	0	0	0
5	Salt	0	0	0
6	Urea	261	0	0
7	Fermented Kumpai Grass	11.62	30.16	59.3
8	Benggala Grass	10.5	35.64	45.3
9	Lamtoro Leave	23.2	23.58	63.1

474 **Ket : Hasil analisis laboratorium Nutrisi dan Makanan Ternak Fakultas Pertanian Unsri**
 475 **(2014)**


476 Table 2. Composition and crude protein, crude fiber and total digestible nutrient of
 477 experimental rations

Feed Material	Type of Rations			
	A	B	C	D
Fermented Kumpai Grass (%)	45	45	45	45
Benggala Grass (%)	40	30	20	10
Concentrate (%)	15	15	15	15
Lamtoro Leave (Supplement) (%)	0	10	20	30
Crude Protein (%)	11.40	12.48	13.37	14.13
Crude Fiber (%)	30.19	29.59	29.09	28.66
Total Digestible Nutrient (%)	54.92	55.66	56.28	56.80

478

479

3. SURAT PEMBERITAHUAN PENERBITAN ARTIKEL DI JUORNAL MEDIA PETERNAKAN

 **media peternakan** <mediapeternakan@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: nowandi_dya@yahoo.com

Sel, 24 Nov 2015 jam 17:28

No : 240/MP/11/2015
Lampiran : 1 (satu eka.)
Perihal : **Pemberitahuan Penerbitan Artikel**

Kepada Yth. Bapak/Ibu Riswandi, A. I. M. Ali, Muhakka, Y. Syaifudin, & I. Akbar
di
Tempat

Dengan hormat,
Bersama surat ini, kami sampaikan bahwa:

1. Berdasarkan hasil keputusan tim penelaah (Dewan Redaksi) Jurnal Media Peternakan, artikel Bapak/Ibu dengan judul "Nutrient Digestibility and Productivity of Bali Cattle Fed Fermented Hymenachne amplexicaulis Based Rations Supplemented *Leucaena leucocephala*", disetujui untuk diterbitkan pada Jurnal Media Peternakan Vol. 38 No. 3 Tahun 2015. Apabila terdapat koreksi terhadap manuskrip tersebut, kami mohon agar hasil revisi dapat diserahkan 2 (dua) hari setelah Bapak/Ibu menerima pemberitahuan ini, hal ini untuk memperlancar penerbitan.
2. Sebagai bentuk kemitraan bagi penulis yang artikelnya diterbitkan pada Media Peternakan, diharuskan untuk:
 - a) Berlangganan jurnal ini selama satu tahun dengan harga Rp165.000/tahun, membayar biaya kontribusi sebesar Rp750.000,-, dan ongkos kirim sebesar Rp60.000/tiga kali pengiriman (Total Rp975.000,-).
 - b) Sistem pembayaran dapat dilakukan melalui rekening BRI KCP Kampus IPB No. 0595-01-005208-53-3, a.n. Media Peternakan, Fakultas Peternakan IPB atau pembayaran langsung ke Sekretariat Media Peternakan.
 - c) Kami mohon agar pembayaran dilakukan paling lambat satu minggu setelah menerima surat pemberitahuan ini. Bukti pembayaran dapat dikirim melalui fax: 0251 8421692, 8622841, atau email: mediapeternakan@ipb.ac.id; mediapeternakan@yahoo.co.id.
3. Penulis berhak mendapatkan jurnal Media Peternakan dan 10 buah cetak lepas.


Demikian, atas perhatian, partisipasi dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.


Bogor, 24 November 2015
Ketua Editor,

ttd
Prof. Dr. Ir. Komang G. Wiryawan
NIP 19610914 198703 1 002

Media Peternakan Journal of Animal Science and Technology Faculty of Animal Science Building, Bogor Agricultural University Jln. Agatis, Kampus IPB Darmaga, Bogor 16680, Indonesia Phone: +62-251-8421692, 8622841, Fax: +62-251-8421692, 8622842 e-mail: mediapeternakan@yahoo.co.id; mediapeternakan@ipb.ac.id Website: <http://medpet.journal.ipb.ac.id/>

[Unduh semua lampiran sebagai file zip](#)

 3 Riswandipdf
145.4kB

 3 Riswandipdf
423.7kB