

Impact of Climate Variability and Incidence on Dengue Hemorrhagic

by Ramzi Amin

Submission date: 16-Nov-2021 07:12PM (UTC-0600)

Submission ID: 1705087833

File name: t_of_Climate_Variability_and_Incidence_on_Dengue_Hemorrhagic.pdf (1.12M)

Word count: 5318

Character count: 29264

Impact of Climate Variability and Incidence on Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever in Palembang City, South Sumatra, Indonesia

Minarti Minarti¹, Chairil Anwar^{2*}, Irfannuddin Irfannuddin³, Chandra Irsan⁴, Ramzi Amin⁵, Ahmad Ghiffari^{1,6}

¹Department ¹⁴Environmental Science, Graduate School, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia; ²Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia; ³Department ¹⁴Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, South Sumatra, Indonesia; ⁴Department of Plant ²³Section, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia; ⁶Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Muhammadiyah Palembang, Palembang, Indonesia

Abstract

Edited by: Sasho Stoleski

Citation: Minarti M, Anwar C, Irfannuddin I, Irsan C, Amin R, Ghiffari A. Impact of Climate Variability and Incidence on Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever in Palembang City, South Sumatra, Indonesia. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2021 Sep 15; 9(E):952-958. <https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2021.6853>

Keywords: Dengue hemorrhagic fever; Rainfall; Number of rainy days; Temperature; Humidity

*Correspondence: ¹⁴Chairil Anwar, Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Ogan Ilir 30862, South Sumatra, Indonesia.

E-mail: chairi53@fk.unri.ac.id

Received: 15-Jul-2021

Revised: 02-Aug-2021

Accepted: 05-Sep-2021

Copyright: © 2021 Minarti Minarti, Chairil Anwar, Irfannuddin Irfannuddin, Chandra Irsan, Ramzi Amin, Ahmad Ghiffari. This study was supported by Unggulan Profesi Research Grant Universitas Sriwijaya (SP-DIPA-023.17.2.6775/15/2021)

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists

Open Access: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)

Introduction

Dengue fever affects about 400 million individuals globally each year [1]. There are four serotypes of dengue, which are transmitted by *Aedes* mosquitoes [2]; *Aedes aegypti* is more prevalent indoors, as a container breeder, while *Aedes albopictus* is more common outside, at city's outskirts. There is a tetravalent dengue vaccine; however, it is not widely utilized [3]. Concerns regarding the safety of the dengue vaccine have hampered its use. Children who had never been exposed to dengue before getting the vaccine had 50% increased risk of hospitalization [4]. The most effective method to reduce dengue transmission is to control vectors [5].

Transmission occurs across various biological zones and environmental circumstances [6], [7], [8]. The connection between vector density and disease transmission is complex and unpredictable [6], [7]. Incomplete coverage and improper application,

pesticide resistance, high labor costs, and community apathy make comprehensive vector control challenging to establish and maintain [9]. Some research shows that vector management methods have little impact on entomological indicators [5], [10], [11]. Most vector control technique assessments utilize entomological data rather than disease incidence rates. The human disease was unaffected by substantial decreases in vector indices [12], [13], [14], [15], [16]. The optimal timing of insecticide fogging and its impact on reducing dengue cases were greatly influenced by seasonality and the level of transmission intensity [16].

The Ministry of Health (MoH) used a three-pronged coordinated vector approach since the 1980s. An eradication campaign led by 3 million families got underway. Through television, radio, and the internet, the general population was regularly taught how to detect *Aedes* larvae and administer larvicide. Second, Abate® was employed to destroy larvae by both residents and MoH personnel. Abate was made accessible at local clinics for use with larvae in

water containers; for these methods to be effective, community involvement is required. Finally, in impacted regions, the MoH employed reactive outdoor pesticide fogging. The plan was to spray 100 m around the home of a dengue sufferer who had been hospitalized for a week. However, the trend of outbreaks in Palembang in 1998 showed the increase in dengue cases reached three doubled between January and April [17]. These vector control did not result in a significant reduction in dengue incidence. We hypothesized that climatic factors should be considered when planning a control strategy against dengue vectors. There is a need to understand better and predict dengue outbreaks and transmission risk based on the temporal data so that vector control resources can be allocated optimally.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional study was used in this research. The Palembang Health Office provided data on the incidence of dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF), and Climatology Class I Palembang – Station and UPT BMKG provided climate data (rainfall, number of rainy days, temperature, humidity, wind speed, and duration of sunshine). Due to the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, it was obligated to follow health protocols such as wearing masks, washing hands, and keeping a safe distance during data collection. SPSS was used to gather, process, and calculate all data. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Spearman correlation tests were used in a bivariate analysis, with $p = 0.05$ considered statistically significant.

Results and Discussion

The incidence rate of DHF based on temporal analysis and subdistrict categories

Data of DHF incidence immensely varied every year and also in months. The number of DHF patients in 2020 decreased compared to the previous year (Figure 1). DHF incidence rose from January to May, then fell sharply from June to September. In this regard, it is noteworthy that the pattern of DHF patients has remained relatively consistent over the past 5 years. A general description of DHF incidence and climate over 5 years (2016–2020) is depicted in Figure 2. Seasonality and the degree of transmission intensity significantly affected the optimum timing of pesticide fogging and its impact on decreasing dengue incidence. The best time to fog insecticides to decrease dengue incidence is between the start of the rainy season and the peak of a large epidemic, rather than waiting




Figure 1: The incidence rate of dengue hemorrhagic fever by subdistrict in Palembang city

until the peak of a significant outbreak happens [16]. Dengue incidence was shown to be associated with several meteorological factors in Binh Thuan Province, Vietnam. A look at dengue population transmission patterns from 1996 to 2001 found a robust annual oscillation mode with a substantial multi-annual cycle. When it comes to developing early warning systems, the presence of these plausible climatic factors may be especially relevant since accurate predictors of dengue epidemics may help reduce the risk of dengue outbreaks [18]. Predictions of dengue season based on climate variability have led to early warning systems. Preemptive countermeasures innovative control approach for *A. aegypti* to reduce populations during periods of rapid population increase resulted in the first 2 months of the rainy season being sufficient to reduce *A. aegypti* numbers associated with the onset of dengue transmission [13]. There is no clear evidence for recommending peridomestic space spraying as a single, effective control intervention. Thus, peridomestic space spraying is more likely best applied as part of an integrated vector management strategy. The effectiveness of this intervention should be measured in terms of impact on both adult and immature mosquito populations and disease transmission [14]




Figure 2: Monthly distribution of dengue virus infection patients based on climatic factors

Figure 1 illustrates that all 18 Palembang subdistricts reported a decline in dengue cases by 2020; however, from 2016 to 2019, three subdistricts,

Sukarami, Seberang Ulu 1, and Ilir Barat 1, had a high incidence trend. Whereas the Sematang Borang, Gandus, and Kalidoni subdistricts are classified as sporadic yet located on the city's outskirts, others are classified as endemic and are located in the city center [19], [20]. *A. aegypti* mosquito is mainly found in houses in the city center. In contrast, *A. albopictus* is found outdoors in the city's outskirts [21]. Despite good public knowledge, the behavior of preventing and controlling mosquito nests must be improved to break the chain of transmission through the elimination of breeding of *A. aegypti* mosquito's site [22]. The community effectiveness of temephos depended on factors such as quality of delivery, water turnover rate, type of water, and environmental factors such as organic debris, temperature, and exposure to sunlight. As a single intervention, temephos effectively suppressed entomological indices; however, the same effect has not been observed when temephos was applied in combination with other interventions. There is no evidence to suggest that temephos use is associated with reductions in dengue transmission [15].

The incidence rate of DHF based on climatic factors

Over 5 years, 3398 cases of DHF have been reported. Every year, the distribution of dengue cases follows a cyclical pattern. The Spearman correlation test reveals that rainfall, the number of rainy day^[17] and temperature all impact the occurrence of DHF rainfall ($r = 0.320$; $p = 0.005$). The relative number of rainy days correlated with DHF ($r = 0.295$; $p = 0.020$). The incidence of DHF was also affected by temperature ($r = 0.371$; $p = 0.040$), whereas humidity, wind speed, and sunlight do not affect the incidence of DHF (Figure 3). Data on the weather in Palembang from 2016 to 2020. In Palembang, monthly rainfall peaked in January and then declined rapidly until April. The lowest monthly rainfall (2.0 mm) was in 2019. In 2018, March had the rainiest days (29/month), while August had the least (2/month). Temperature fluctuations every month as the daily average temperature in 5 years did not show a significant increase and tended to fluctuate relatively low, as the highest temperature in 2020 was 33.9°C and a minimum of 24.2°C. Every month, the humidity level rises. In 2018, the highest average air humidity was 91.4%, and the lowest was 77.6%. Weather in Palembang ranged from 4.2 to 2.5 knots from 2016 to 2020. The sun's irradiance was 50.2%.

Rainfall in Palembang ranged from 0.0 to 45.84 mm from 2016 to 2020. These conditions are ideal for the development and survival of *Aedes* mosquito. More puddles in the house or yard from around the house can result from light rain. Rainfall serves to enhance the availability of *A. aegypti* vector habitat. The *Aedes* mosquito's eggs can survive and keep conditions secure. When the rainy season arrives, and the eggs of this type of mosquito are exposed to

just a little water, they hatch promptly. DHF transmission is sensitive to fluctuations in rainfall [23]. Vector abundance and population will be affected by climate change, such as rainfall, thus affecting the transmission of DHF [24]. In India, rainfall is the single factor in the increase in the incidence of DHF [25]. Rainfall in the Philippines is associated with an increased incidence of DHF [26]. The study results were inversely proportional in Sri Lanka, which showed no relationship between rainfall and an increase in DHF [27]. Nonetheless, excess rain can also throw away mosquito eggs, larvae, pupae, and mosquito breeding grounds [28]. In South Taiwan, mosquito density and rainfall have a negative relationship when it rains heavily. In recent years, it has been noticed that many mosquito eggs and larvae are drifting away from breeding sites [29].

The number of rainy days in Palembang was <3 mm/day and <15 mm/day on 50 and 75% of days, respectively. The number of consecutive rainy days in the previous 2 months, increasing the probability of at least one case of DHF occurring 2 months later, increased by 17%. The number of rainy days is a robust predictor [30]. The number of days of rainfall plays an essential role in the dengue epidemic [31], [32].

The average humidity in Palembang was 87.7%, and the analysis discovered that it was not associated with the incidence of DHF. Humidity 60–70% affects mosquitoes' lives; mosquitoes cannot breed when humidity is <60%. It can interfere with the respiratory system, so mosquitoes' lifespan is short [33]. A study from 1983 through 2001, collecting monthly case numbers, temperature, humidity, and rainfall, characterizes seasonal dengue virus transmission cycles and identified seasonal transmission cycle structural variations. Larger epidemics are affected by temperature and humidity. Dualistic transmission 80% of 1.2 million severe dengue cases occurred at 27–29°C and 75% humidity [6]. It is better to act early to reduce epidemic size. Examining the relationship between weather, vector dynamics, and viral transmission help enhance human-to-human virus transmission models, dynamic risk assessment, and early epidemic.

The temperature range in Palembang was between 33.6°C and 24.6°C. The temperature was the most significant contributor to the occurrence of DHF in Nepal, accounting for nearly 90% of the influence [34]. An average temperature of 25–30°C provides an ideal environment for mosquito vector breeding and development [35]. Temperature influences the virus's extrinsic incubation period and mosquitoes' biting activity [36]. In the mosquito body, the development of extrinsic incubation is <9 and 5 days, at temperatures of 28°C and 30°C, respectively. Female mosquitoes' reproductive cycle is affected by the temperature of their environment, with female mosquito fertilization being reduced at temperatures below 20°C [37]. Biting female mosquitoes is influenced by their environment's temperature, which will increase the spread of dengue




Figure 3: Scatter plots of the relationship between climatic factors and dengue hemorrhagic fever cases in Palembang using Spearman correlation

disease. There is a non-linear relationship between temperature rise and changes in the incidence of dengue cases [38], and temperature plays an essential role in influencing the population dynamics of *Aedes* mosquitoes [39]. Increase in DHF incidence ratio as the weekly average increase from 1°C [38]. A large number of cases of DHF can be attributed to temperature [40], [41], [42]. Climatic factors such as temperature, humidity, and rainfall [43], [44], [45] [46] can prolong the transmission period of DHF [47]. Temperatures of 24–39°C play a significant role in mosquitoes' development, survival, and feeding behavior to promote viral replication in vectors [48]; thus, DHF will increase by 35% per 1°C [49].

The increase in wind speed in Palembang has a weak and negative correlation strength and did not affect the incidence of DHF. Although wind speed reduces the flying ability of mosquitoes, the results are similar, where there is no decrease in dengue transmission [50]. Different results where wind speed decreases dengue transmission, obtained from correlation and regression analysis of the relationship in Malaysia [51]. Dengue transmission in Malaysia is reduced by high wind speeds. There was a significant link between DHF incidence and exposure to mosquito flying activities and human interaction in Malaysian urban areas between 2012 and 2016 [51]. Wind speed contributes to exposure due to mosquitoes flying in or out of the house [52]. Wind speed affects or inhibits mosquito flight distance, thereby determining human-mosquito contact [53].

In Palembang, the duration of sun exposure had no significant relationship with dengue cases. The movement of mosquitoes in search of food or a place to rest is greatly affected by sunlight. The female mosquito *Ae. aegypti* hunts for prey during the day when sunlight directly influences it. High levels of sunlight cause the air temperature to rise and the percentage of humidity to fall, interfering with mosquito survival. As a result, one of the factors that can influence mosquito productivity is sun exposure [54].

The DHF case in Palembang was caused by several determinants, besides the climate change factor. The public health issue such as locals' knowledge, attitude, and practice toward DHF control has impacted the disease control [19]. Fully enclosed mosquito breeding sites will increase awareness to create good environmental sanitation [55]. Another factor, such as community activity, has been implemented to prevent DHF incidence. Whereas ecological conditions and community behavior are factors, community behavior has a more significant influence on increasing the risk of DHF incidence [56].

This study has limitations because it uses secondary data. As a result, predicting dengue incidence increases when different weather and other factors are considered. Furthermore, it is essential to concentrate on vector population reduction and the limitation of human-vector contact when developing predictions. It is necessary to do that during climate change anomalies.

Conclusions

Palembang has the highest incidence of DHF in 5 years in 2019. In terms of the early warning system, rainfall, the frequency of rainy episodes, and temperature were the three main climatic factors that influenced dengue incidence. Peridomestic spraying and temephos application were most effective beginning in October, 2 months before the incidence of DHF increased.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the Health Department of Palembang municipality; also, the Climatology Class I Palembang – Station, and UPT BMKG for cooperating in this study. The ¹⁸ was founded by Unggulan Profesi Research Grant ¹⁸ Universitas Sriwijaya (SP DIPA-023.17.2.677515/2021), with the Rector's Decree Number of 0014/UN9/SK.LP2M.PT/2021.

References

1. Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature*. 2013;496(7446):504-7. <http://doi.org/10.1038/nature12060>.the. [PMid:23563266](#)
2. Bowman LR, Runge-Ranzinger S, McCall PJ. Assessing the relationship between vector indices and dengue transmission: A systematic review of the evidence. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2014;8(5):e2848. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002848> [PMid:24810901](#)
3. Guy B, Lang J, Saville M, Jackson N. Vaccination against dengue: Challenges and current developments. *Ann Rev Med*. 2016;67:387-404. <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-med-091014-090848> [PMid:26515983](#)
4. Sridhar S, Luedtke A, Langevin E, Zhu M, Bonaparte M, Machabert T, et al. Effect of dengue serostatus on dengue vaccine safety and efficacy. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379:327-40. <http://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1800820> [PMid:29897841](#)
5. Bowman LR, Donegan S, McCall PJ. Is dengue vector control deficient in effectiveness or evidence ?: Systematic review ¹⁰ meta-analysis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016;10(3):1-24. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004551> [PMid:26986468](#)
6. Campbell KM, Lin CD, Iamsirithaworn S, Scott TW. The complex relationship between weather and dengue virus transmission in Thailand. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2013;89(6):1066-80. <http://doi.org/10.4299/ajtmh.13-0321> [PMid:23958906](#)
7. Johansson MA, Cummings DA, Glass GE. Multiyear climate variability and dengue El Niño Southern oscillation, weather, and dengue incidence in Puerto Rico, Mexico, and Thailand: ¹⁵ longitudinal data analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6(11):11-2. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000168> [PMid:19918363](#)
8. Stoddard ST, Wearing HJ, Reiner RC Jr., Morrison AC, Astete H, Vilcarromero S, et al. ⁹ Long-term and seasonal dynamics of dengue in Iquitos, Peru. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2014;8(7):e3003. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003003> [PMid:25033412](#)
9. Hamid PH, Prastowo J, Widayarsi A, Taubert A, Hermosilla C. Knockdown resistance (kdr) of the voltage-gated sodium channel gene of *Aedes aegypti* population in Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia. *Parasit Vectors*. 2017;10(1):1-9. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2215-4>
10. Erlanger T, Keiser J, Utzinger J. Effect of dengue vector control interventions on entomological parameters in developing countries: A systematic review and m ²² analysis. *Med Vet Entomol*. 2008;22(3):203-21. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.2008.00740.x> [PMid:18816269](#)
11. Perich MJ, Davila G, Turner A, Garcia A, Nelson M. Behavior of resting *Aedes aegypti* (Culicidae: Diptera) and its relation to ultra-low volume adulticide efficacy in Panama ⁶ ²² Panama. *J Med Entomol*. 2000;37(4):541-6. <http://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-37.4.541> [PMid:10916294](#)
12. Gurtler RE, Garelli FM, Coto HD. Effects of a five-year citywide intervention program to control *Aedes aegypti* and prevent Dengue outbreaks in Northern Argentina. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2009;3(4):e427. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000427>
13. Chadee DD. Impact of pre-seasonal focal treatment on population densities of the mosquito *Aedes aegypti* in Trinidad, West Indies: A preliminary study. *Acta Trop*. 2009;109:236-40. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2008.12.001> [PMid:19114025](#)
14. Esu E, Lenhart A, Smith L, Horstick O. Effectiveness of peridomestic space spraying with insecticide on dengue transmission: ²¹ systematic review. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2010;15(5):619-31. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2010.02489.x> [PMid:20214764](#)
15. George L, Lenhart A, Toledo J, Lazaro A, Han WW, Velayudhan R, et al. ³ Effectiveness of temephos for dengue vector control: A systematic literature review. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2015;9(9):e0004006. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004006> [PMid:26371470](#)
16. Oki M, Sunahara T, Hashizume M, Yamamoto T. Optimal timing of insecticide fogging to minimize dengue cases: Modeling dengue transmission among various seasonalities and transmission intensities. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2011;5(10):e1367. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001367> [PMid:22039560](#)
17. Corwin AL, Larasati RP, Bangs MJ, Wuryadi S, Arjoso S, Sukri N, et al. Epidemic dengue transmission in Southern Sumatra, Indonesia. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2001;95(3):257-65. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0035-9203\(01\)90229-9](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0035-9203(01)90229-9) [PMid:11490992](#)
18. Thai KT, Cazelles B, Van Nguyen N, Vo LT, Boni MF, Farrar J, et al. Dengue dynamics in binh thuan province, ⁸ Vietnam: Periodicity, synchronicity and climate variability. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2010;4(7):1-8. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000747> [PMid:20644621](#)
19. Priyadi P, Indriyati E, Damanik H. In the area of one Ulu publik

- health center, Seberang Ulu I district Palembang city in 2020. *J Dunia Kesmas.* 2020;9(4):449-56.
20. Sari DE. Knowledge, attitude and education with prevention of Dengue fever using the principle of draining, closing and reusing. *Citra Delima J Ilm STIKES Citra Delima Bangka Belitung.* 2020;3(2):163-70.
 21. Anwar C, Lavita RA, Handayani D. Identification and distribution of *Aedes* Sp. as a vector of dengue hemorrhagic fever in several districts in South Sumatra. *MKS.* 2014;46(2):111-7.
 22. Wurisastuti T, Sitorus H, Oktavia S. Relationship of community behavior with cases of dengue fever in the city of Palembang South Sumatera. *Spirakel.* 2017(9):34-40. <http://doi.org/10.22435/spirakel.v8i2.7383>
 23. Benendum CM, Seidahmed OM, Eltahir EA, Markuzon N. Statistical modeling of the effect of rainfall flushing on dengue transmission in Singapore. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2018;12(12):e0006935. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006935>
[20] d:30521523
 24. Nosrat C, Altamirano J, Anyamba A, Caldwell JM, Damoah R, Mutuku F, et al. Impact of recent climate extremes on mosquito-borne disease transmission in Kenya. 2021;15(3):e0009182. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009182>
PMid:33735293
 25. Nair DG, Aravind NP. Association between rainfall and the prevalence of clinical cases of dengue in Thiruvananthapuram district, India. *Int J Mosquito Res.* 2020;2020:488. <http://doi.org/10.22271/23487941.2020.v7.i6a.488>
 26. Zafra B. Predicting dengue in the Philippines using artificial neural network. *medRxiv.* 2020;2020:8-13. <http://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.08.20209718>
 27. Hooshyar M, Wagner CE, Baker RE, Yang W, Vecchi GA, Metcalf CJ, et al. Dengue seasonality and non-monotonic response to moisture: A model-data analysis of Sri Lanka incidence from 2011 to 2016. *arXiv.* 2020;2020:2847.
 28. Yuan HY, Liang J, Lin PS, Sucipto K, Tsegaye MM, Wen TH, et al. The effects of seasonal climate variability on dengue annual incidence in Hong Kong: A modelling study. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1):1-11. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-60309-7>
 29. Lai YH. The climatic factors affecting dengue fever outbreaks in Southern Taiwan: An application of symbolic data analysis. *Biomed Eng Online.* 2018;17(s2):148. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s12938-018-0575-4>
PMid:30396346
 30. Muurlink OT, Stephenson P, Islam MZ, Taylor-Robinson AW. Long-term predictors of dengue outbreaks in Bangladesh: A data mining approach. *Infect Dis Model.* 2018;3:322-30. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2018.11.004>
 31. Brady OJ, Johansson MA, Guerra CA, Bhatt S, Golding N, Pigott DM, et al. Modelling adult *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* survival at different temperatures in laboratory and field settings. *Parasit Vectors.* 2013;6:351.
PMid:24330720
 32. Karunaratne KA, Sriranganathan J. Impact of climatic factors on dengue incidences in eastern province, Sri Lanka. *Int J Trop Dis Health.* 2019;40(3):1-19. <http://doi.org/10.9734/IJTDH/2019/v40i330228>
 33. Shimmei K, Nakamura T, Ng CF, Hashizume M, Murakami Y, Maruyama A, et al. Association between seasonal influenza and absolute humidity: Time series analysis with daily surveillance data in Japan. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1):7764. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-63712-2>
PMid:3238512
 34. Acharya BK, Cao C, Xu M, Khanal L, Naem S, Pandit S. Present and future of dengue fever in Nepal: Mapping climatic suitability by ecological niche model. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2018;15(187):1-15. <http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15020187>
PMid:29360797
 35. Morgan J, Strode C, Salcedo-Sora JE. Climatic and socio-economic factors supporting the co-circulation of dengue, Zika and chikungunya in three different ecosystems in Colombia. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2021;15(3):1-29. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009259>
[13] d:33705409
 36. Kamiya T, Greischar MA, Wadhawan K, Gilbert B, Paaijmans K, Mideo N. Temperature-dependent variation in the extrinsic incubation period elevates the risk of vector-borne disease emergence. *Epidemics.* 2020;30:100382. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2019.100382>
PMid:32004794
 37. Drakou K, Nikolauou T, Vasquez M, Petric D, Michaelakis A, Kapranas A, et al. The effect of weather variables on mosquito activity: A snapshot of the main point of entry of Cyprus. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2020;17(1403):1-10. <http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17041403>
PMid:32098137
 38. Charette M, Berrang-Ford L, Coomes O, Llanos-Cuentas EA, Cárcamo C, Kulkarni M, et al. Dengue incidence and sociodemographic conditions in Pucallpa, Peruvian Amazon: What role for modification of the dengue-temperature relationship? *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2020;102(1):180-90. <http://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.19-0033>
[5] d:31701852
 39. Jia P, Liang L, Tan X, Chen J, Chen X. Potential effects of heat waves on the population dynamics of the dengue mosquito *Aedes albopictus*. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2019;13(7):e0007528. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007528>
[16] d:31276467
 40. Cheng J, Bambrick H, Yakob L, Devine G, Frentiu FD, Toan DT, et al. Heatwaves and dengue outbreaks in Hanoi, Vietnam: New evidence on early warning. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2020;14(1):1-15. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007997>
 41. Panggabean M, Sahaan L, Panggabean YC. Relationship of presence larvae aedes aegypti in the water containers with dengue hemorrhagic fever in the Sei Kera Hilir 1 village sub-district Medan Perjuangan Medan city. *J Phys Conf Ser.* 2019;1317(1):3-8. <http://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1317/1/012104>
 42. Kusnoputran H, Sintorini MM, Utomo SW, Aliyyah N, Sinaga ER, Pratiwi OA. Transmission of dengue hemorrhagic fever and climate variability in Jakarta. *IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci.* 2019;314:012071. <http://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/314/1/012071>
[19] d:31081162
 43. Husnina Z, Clements AC, Wangdi K. Forest cover and climate as potential drivers for dengue fever in Sumatra and Kalimantan 2006-2016: A spatiotemporal analysis. *Trop Med Int Health.* 2019;24(7):888-98. <http://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13248>
PMid:31081162
 44. Astuti EP, Dhewantara PW, Prasetyowati H, Ipa M, Herawati C, Hendrayana K. Paediatric dengue infection in Cirebon, Indonesia: A temporal and spatial analysis of notified dengue incidence to inform surveillance. *Parasit Vectors.* 2019;18:1-12. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3446-3>
 45. Seah A, Aik J, Ng LC, Tam CC. The effects of maximum ambient temperature and heatwaves on dengue infections in the tropical city-state of Singapore a time series analysis. *Sci Total Environ.* 2021;775:145117. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145117>
PMid:33618312
 46. Pol SS, Rajderkar SS, Dhabekar PD, Gokhe SS. Effect of climatic factors like rainfall, humidity and temperature on the

- dengue cases in the metropolitan city of Maharashtra. *Int J Community Med Public Health.* 2021;8(2):672. <http://doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20210220>
47. Zhang Y, Riera J, Ostrow K, Siddiqui S, de Silva H, Sarkar S, et al. Modeling the relative role of human mobility, land-use and climate factors on dengue outbreak emergence in Sri Lanka. *2020;20:649.*
48. Nguyen LT, Le HX, Nguyen DT, Ho HQ, Chuang TW. Impact of climate variability and abundance of mosquitoes on dengue transmission in central Vietnam. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2020;17(7):2453. <http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17072453> PMid:32260252
49. Tran BL, Tseng WC, Chen CC, Liao SY. Estimating the threshold effects of climate on dengue: A case study of Taiwan. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2020;17(4):1-17. <http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17041392> PMid:32098179
50. Salim MF, Syairaji M. Time-series Analysis of Climate Change Effect on Increasing of Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DHF) Case with Geographic Information System Approach in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Vol. 2. In: International Proceedings the 2nd International Scientific Meeting on Health Information Management 2020; 2020. p. 248-56.
51. Adnan RA, Ramli MF, Othman HF, Asha'ri ZH. Implication of climatic factors on dengue fever in urban area: Case study in 2012-2016. *EnvironmentAsia.* 2020;13(3):89-102. <http://doi.org/10.14456/ea.2020.45>
52. Haryanto B. Dengue hemorrhagic fever vulnerability to climate in Indonesia: Assessment, projection and mapping. In: International Conference on Innovative Trends in Multidisciplinary Academic Research (ITMAR); 2014. p. 20-1. <http://doi.org/10.1289/isee.2014.p2-342>
53. Kasman K, Ishak NI, Hastuti P, Suprihati E, Mallongi A. Identification of active compounds of ethanol extract of citrus amboyna leaves by analysis of thin-layer chromatography and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry as bioinsecticide candidates for mosquitoes. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci.* 2020;8(T2):1-6. <http://doi.org/10.3889/OAMJMS.2020.5207>
54. Fuadiyah EA, Widawati M. Climate factors are affecting dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) case in Cimahi of 2004-2013. *Spirakel.* 2018;10(2):86-96.
55. Selvarajoo S, Liew JW, Tan W, Lim XY, Refai WF, Zaki RA, Sethi N, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice on dengue prevention and dengue seroprevalence in a dengue hot ²⁴ h Malaysia: A cross-sectional study. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1):1-13. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66212-5>
56. Sari TW, Putri R. Mosquito breeding place eradication and dengue hemorrhagic fever event in Payung Sekaki health center Pekanbaru city, a case control study. *J Epidemiol Kesehat Indones.* 2020;3(2):55-60. <http://doi.org/10.7454/epidkes.v3i2.1781>

Impact of Climate Variability and Incidence on Dengue Hemorrhagic

ORIGINALITY REPORT



PRIMARY SOURCES

- | Rank | Source | Category | Percentage |
|------|--|-----------------|------------|
| 1 | Submitted to Universitas Negeri Semarang | Student Paper | 1 % |
| 2 | scholars.cityu.edu.hk | Internet Source | 1 % |
| 3 | oaji.net | Internet Source | 1 % |
| 4 | Chadee, D.D.. "Impact of pre-seasonal focal treatment on population densities of the mosquito Aedes aegypti in Trinidad, West Indies: A preliminary study", Acta Tropica, 200903 | Publication | 1 % |
| 5 | Jian Gao, Hengduan Zhang, Xiaoxia Guo, Dan Xing, YanDe Dong, Celie Lan, Ge Wang, ChaoJie Li, ChunXiao Li, TongYan Zhao. "Dispersal patterns and population structure of Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) in three different climatic regions of China", Research Square, 2020 | Publication | 1 % |

- 6 M K H Tong. "Tumoral calcinosis in end stage renal disease", Postgraduate Medical Journal, 2004 1 %
Publication
-
- 7 Padet Siriyasatien, Atchara Phumee, Phatsavee Ongruk, Katechan Jampachaisri, Kraisak Kesorn. "Analysis of significant factors for dengue fever incidence prediction", BMC Bioinformatics, 2016 1 %
Publication
-
- 8 Sotianingsih Haryanto, Rahma F. Hayati, Benediktus Yohan, Lanceria Sijabat et al. "The molecular and clinical features of dengue during outbreak in Jambi, Indonesia in 2015", Pathogens and Global Health, 2016 1 %
Publication
-
- 9 Raja Babu S. Kushwah, Taranjeet Kaur, Cherry L. Dykes, H. Ravi Kumar, Neera Kapoor, Om P. Singh. "A new knockdown resistance (kdr) mutation, F1534L, in the voltage-gated sodium channel of Aedes aegypti, co-occurring with F1534C, S989P and V1016G", Parasites & Vectors, 2020 1 %
Publication
-
- 10 T Lysaght, TL Lee, S Watson, Z Lederman, M Bailey, PA Tambyah. "Zika in Singapore: insights from One Health and social medicine", Singapore Medical Journal, 2016 1 %
Publication

- 11 insight.jci.org 1 %
Internet Source
-
- 12 repository.seku.ac.ke 1 %
Internet Source
-
- 13 Melissa A Iacovidou, Priscille Barreaux, 1 %
Matthew B Thomas, Erin E Gorsich, Kat S
Rock. "Omitting age-dependent mosquito
mortality in malaria models underestimates
the effectiveness of insecticide-treated nets",
Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 2021
Publication
-
- 14 N. A. Awang, M. H. Ahmad, Z. A. Malek, M. A. 1 %
B. Sidik, Z. Nawawi, M. I. Jambak, E. P. Waldi,
Aulia. "AC breakdown strength enhancement
of LDPE nanocomposites using atmospheric
pressure plasma", 2017 International
Conference on Electrical Engineering and
Computer Science (ICECOS), 2017
Publication
-
- 15 academicjournals.org 1 %
Internet Source
-
- 16 Jean Calleja Agius, Kathleen England, Neville 1 %
Calleja. "The effect of global warming on
mortality", Early Human Development, 2020
Publication
-

- 17 Somasundaram Sellamuthu, Vijayan Lalitha. "Plant diversity and phenological pattern in the montane wet temperate forests of the southern Western Ghats, India", *Forestry Studies in China*, 2010 1 %
Publication
-
- 18 Agustina Bidarti, Yulius, Erni Purbiyanti. "In vitro cultured and supply chain porang in South Sumatra", *E3S Web of Conferences*, 2021 1 %
Publication
-
- 19 Sophie A. Lee, Christopher I. Jarvis, W. John Edmunds, Theodoros Economou, Rachel Lowe. "Spatial connectivity in mosquito-borne disease models: a systematic review of methods and assumptions", *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 2021 1 %
Publication
-
- 20 Stella Gachoki, Thomas Groen, Anton Vrieling, Michael Okal, Andrew Skidmore, Daniel Masiga. "Satellite-based modelling of potential tsetse (*Glossina pallidipes*) breeding and foraging sites using teneral and non-teneral fly occurrence data", *Parasites & Vectors*, 2021 <1 %
Publication
-
- 21 www.mayorsfundforlondon.org.uk <1 %
Internet Source

22

ojvr.org
Internet Source

<1 %

23

Irfannuddin Irfannuddin, Milla Bustan, Ummi Maktum, Ratika Febriani, Yakub Rahadiyanto.
"The effect of high aluminium water consumption on parameters of neuron, blood and renal function", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019

<1 %

Publication

24

archimer.ifremer.fr

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes

Off

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography

Off