



Date: 29th November 2019

Dear **S. Sumarni, W.D. Andika, F. Utami, M. Rantina, Y, Sazaki, R..T Astika, B. Rahardja, N. Kurnia, N. Priyanti, Rosita Wondal, Apri Wahyudi, Rofiqul Umam :**

REVIEW REPORT

**Title of
paper:**

**Development of Basic Concept of Textbooks for Early Childhood Based on ICT
in Improving Pedagogical Competence of PGPAUD Students**

For sections A & B, please tick a number from 0 to 5, where 0 = strongly disagree and 5 = strongly agree.

A. Technical aspects

- | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. The paper is within the scope of the Journal. | <input type="checkbox"/> 0 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| 2. The paper is original. | <input type="checkbox"/> 0 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| 3. The paper is free of technical errors. | <input type="checkbox"/> 0 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |

B. Communications aspects

- | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. The paper is clearly readable. | <input type="checkbox"/> 0 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| 2. The figures are clear & do clearly convey the intended message. | <input type="checkbox"/> 0 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| 3. The length of the paper is appropriate. | <input type="checkbox"/> 0 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |

C. Comments to the authors (You may use another sheet of paper.)

The three aspects are outlined here below:

First, the introduction includes some definitions and previous works.

I suggest introducing the motivation of the work and the framework in which it has been carried out (without going into excessive details) in this section, and include the objectives of the work clearly. I would create another section to focus on explaining other similar experiences and related studies that will allow the authors to contrast the results. Theoretical framework could be grouped in a section.

Secondly, a Methodology section should be included. Population under study, context, instruments and analysis strategy should be specified..

Finally, in "Conclusion" section, authors should mention the achievement or not of the objectives, link the findings and contrast with previous works as well as pose pros and cons of the methodology used. In addition, future lines of research could be included.

D. Recommendation (Tick one)

- 1. Accepted without modifications.
- 2. Accepted with minor corrections.
- 3. Accepted with major modification.
- 4. Rejected.

We look forward to receiving your revised paper.

Yours Sincerely,



DR. JOSÉ VICENTE VILLALOBOS ANTÚNEZ
Opcion Editor in Chief