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#### Abstract

In this paper an initial-boundary value problem for a nonlinear string (or wave) equation with non-classical boundary conditions is considered. One end of the string is assumed to be fixed and the other end of the string is attached to a dashpot system, where the (positive) damping is generated. Existence, uniqueness, and regularity of solutions to this problem are investigated.
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## 1 Introduction.

It is the purpose of this paper to show the global existence and regularity of solutions to the initial-boundary value problem (P)

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t t}-u_{x x} & =c u_{t}-\sigma\left(u_{t}\right), \quad 0<x<\pi, \quad t>0  \tag{1}\\
u(0, t) & =0, \quad t \geq 0  \tag{2}\\
u_{x}(\pi, t) & =-\alpha u_{t}(\pi, t), \quad t \geq 0  \tag{3}\\
u(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \pi  \tag{4}\\
u_{t}(x, 0) & =u_{1}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \pi \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$, and $\alpha$ are positive constants, where $\sigma$ is a monotonic increasing and continuous function with $\sigma(0)=0$, and where $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ satisfy certain regularity conditions, which will be given later. In this initial-boundary value problem the function $u$ describes the vertical displacement of a nonlinear string which is fixed at $x=0$ and which is attached at $x=\pi$ to a dashpot system which generates positive damping. These problems can be applied to galloping oscillations of overhead transmission lines, see for instance [6]. For the case of Dirichlet boundary condition at both boundaries the well-posedness of the weak solution can be found in [3], even for higher dimensions. For the case $\sigma\left(u_{t}\right)=\epsilon u_{t}^{3}$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, the problem has been studied in [1] and [6]. In [7] the initial-boundary value problem $(\mathrm{P})(1)-(5)$ has also been studied numerically for $\sigma\left(u_{t}\right)=C u_{t}^{3}, C$ a constant.

[^0]Our goal is to establish the global existence of solutions to $(\mathrm{P})(1)-(5)$ which are $C^{2}([0, \pi] \times$ $[0, \infty))$. One verifies that the functions $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ also satisfy the following compatibility conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}(0)=0, \quad u_{0}^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad u_{1}(0)=0  \tag{6}\\
& u_{0}^{\prime}(\pi)+\alpha u_{1}(\pi)=0, \quad u_{1}^{\prime}(\pi)+\alpha\left(u_{0}^{\prime \prime}(\pi)+c u_{1}(\pi)-\sigma\left(u_{1}(\pi)\right)\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Before stating our main result we make some comments about uniqueness and "continuous dependence" upon the data. Suppose $u$ and $\tilde{u}$ are two solutions of the initial-boundary value problem $(\mathrm{P})(1)-(5)$. Let $w(x, t)=u(x, t)-\tilde{u}(x, t)$ for $(x, t) \in[0, \pi] \times[0, T]$. By subtracting the PDE's for $u$ and $\bar{u}$ from each other, then by multiplying with $w_{t}$, and then by integrating with respect to $t$ from 0 to $t$ and with respect to $x$ from 0 to $\pi$, and by making use of the fact that $\sigma$ is increasing, we obtain the following energy estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}(t)+E_{p}(t)+\alpha \int_{0}^{t} w_{t}^{2}(\pi, s) d s \leq E_{k}(0)+E_{p}(0)+2 c \int_{0}^{t} E_{k}(s) d s \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{k}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} w_{t}^{2}(x, t) d x$ and $E_{p}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} w_{x}^{2}(x, t) d x$. Using Gronwall's inequality it follows from (8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}(t)+E_{p}(t) \leq\left(E_{k}(0)+E_{p}(0)\right) e^{2 c t} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now given $u(x, 0)=\tilde{u}(x, 0)$ and $u_{t}(x, 0)=\tilde{u}_{t}(x, 0)$ for $0 \leq x \leq \pi$ it can readily be seen from (9) that if a solution exists then it is unique $\left(w(x, t)=0 \Longrightarrow u_{1}(x, t)=u_{2}(x, t)\right)$. It also follows from (9) that if the solution exists it "depends continuously" on the initial values. Now we are in the position to state our main result. It is formulated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $\sigma \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \sigma^{\prime} \geq 0$, and $\sigma$ satisfies $\sigma(0)=0$. Let $\alpha, c$ be positive constants. Suppose $u_{0} \in C^{3}[0, \pi]$ and $u_{1} \in C^{2}[0, \pi]$ satisfy the conditions (2)-(3), (6)-(7). Then problem $(P)(1)-(5)$ has a unique twice continuously differentiable solution for $(x, t) \in$ $[0, \pi] \times[0, T]$, where $T$ is an arbitrary positive constant.

Remark 1.1. It will follow from our proof that the conditions on $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ can be weakened to $u_{0} \in H^{3}(0, \pi)$ and $u_{1} \in H^{2}(0, \pi)$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we show that problem (P) (1)-(5) can be rewritten as a differential equation in an appropriate Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z}{d t}(t)=A z(t)+B z(t), \quad z(0)=z_{0} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A$ is a nonlinear $m$-dissipative operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and $B$ is a linear bounded operator in $\mathcal{H}$. The existence of global solutions follows from a theorem of Kato [5]. This approach only requires $\sigma$ to be continuous and provides a unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in W^{2, \infty}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}(0, \pi)\right) \cap W^{1, \infty}\left((0, T) ; H^{1}(0, \pi)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; H^{2}(0, \pi)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $\sigma \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ we show by using a regularity result for linear equations in $\mathcal{H}$ that the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(0, \pi)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(0, \pi)\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ; H^{2}(0, \pi)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In section 3 we establish $C^{2}$ regularity by writing an integral equation for $u_{t}$. This allows us to establish the required regularity under the assumptions of theorem 1.1.
Notations: In this paper we will use the following notations. $L^{2}, H^{1}$, and $H^{2}$ stand for $L^{2}(0, \pi), H^{1}(0, \pi)$, and $H^{2}(0, \pi)$ respectively. Similarly, $C, C^{1}$, and $C^{2}$ stand for $C[0, \pi]$, $C^{1}[0, \pi]$, and $C^{2}[0, \pi]$ respectively. And also we will use the somewhat not common notation $H_{0}^{1}$ for $\left\{u \in H^{1} ; u(0)=0\right\}$.

## 2 Global strong solutions

It will be shown that the initial-boundary value problem $(\mathrm{P})(1)-(5)$ possesses a unique "strong" global solution. To show the well-posedness of the problem we rewrite problem $(\mathrm{P})(1)-(5)$ as a system in an appropriate Hilbert space. Setting $v(t)=u(., t), w(t)=u_{t}(., t)$, and $z(t):=(v(t), w(t))^{T}$. We obtain an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z}{d t}(t)=A z(t)+B z(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad z(0)=z_{0} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A z(t):=A\binom{v(t)}{w(t)}=\binom{w(t)}{v(t)_{x x}-\sigma(w(t))} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B z(t):=B\binom{v(t)}{w(t)}=\binom{0}{c w(t)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $z(0)=\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)^{T}$. This motivates the following definitions. Let $\mathcal{H}:=\{z=(v, w) \in$ $\left.H_{0}^{1} \times L^{2}\right\}$ be equipped with the inner product

$$
\begin{align*}
<z, \tilde{z}> & =\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(v_{x} \tilde{v}_{x}+w \tilde{w}\right) \mathrm{dx}  \tag{16}\\
& =<v, \tilde{v}>_{1}+<w, \tilde{w}>_{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(A):=\left\{z=(v, w) \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1} \times H_{0}^{1} ; v_{x}(\pi)+\alpha w(\pi)=0\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $A$ as in (14). It should be observed that the operator $B$ defined in (15) is linear and bounded in $\mathcal{H}$. To show the global solvability of (13) we apply the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A$ be a (single valued) $m$-dissipative operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let $B$ be a Lipschitz operator in $\mathcal{H}$. For every $z_{0} \in D(A)$ and every $T>0$ there is a unique absolutely continuous $z:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $z(0)=z_{0}$ and (13) holds at a.e $t>0$. Also $z$ is Lipschitz continuous and right-differentiable with $z(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t>0$ and $\frac{d^{+} z}{d t}=A z(t)+B z(t)$, for all $t \geq 0$.

This theorem is a slight modification of a theorem of Kato (see [5]). Let $\omega \geq 0$ be the Lipschitz constant of the operator $B$. It is easy to see that $B-\omega I$, where $I$ is an identity operator, is dissipative and Lipschitz continuous and therefore it is $m$-dissipative. From the $m$-dissipativity of $A$ and $B-\omega I$ it follows that $A+B-\omega I$ is $m$-dissipative. To complete the proof we now can apply the theorem of Kato which can be found for instance in [5, page 180]. Moreover, if $z:[0, T] \longrightarrow E$ is the solution of (13) then the solution $z$ is Lipschitz continuous and right-differentiable with $z \in D(A)$.

To show the solvability of the abstract Cauchy problem (13) according to theorem 2.1 we only need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let the function $\sigma$ be monotonically increasing and continuous with $\sigma(0)=0$, and let $\alpha>0$. Then the nonlinear operator $A$ defined in (14) is $m$-dissipative on $\mathcal{H}$, and $D(A)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. First we show that $D(A)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Let us introduce the subspaces $E:=\{v \in$ $\left.H^{2} ; v(0)=v_{x}(\pi)=0\right\}$ and $F:=\left\{w \in H^{1} ; w(0)=w(\pi)=0\right\}$. It is standard that $E$ is dense in $H_{0}^{1}$ and $F$ is dense in $L^{2}$. Moreover $E \times F \subset D(A)$. Hence $D(A)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

To complete the proof of this lemma we have to show that $A$ is dissipative, and that the range of $\lambda I-A$ is equal to $\mathcal{H}$ for a $\lambda>0$. Now we show that $A$ is a dissipative operator. Let
$z, \tilde{z} \in D(A)$. A straightforward computation shows that (using the fact that $\sigma$ is monotonic increasing)

$$
\begin{align*}
<A z-A \tilde{z}, z-\tilde{z}> & =\int_{0}^{\pi}\left[(w-\tilde{w})_{x}(v-\tilde{v})_{x}+\left((v-\tilde{v})_{x x}+\sigma(\tilde{w})-\sigma(w)\right)(w-\tilde{w})\right] d x \\
& =-\alpha(w(\pi)-\tilde{w}(\pi))^{2}-\int_{0}^{\pi}(\sigma(w)-\sigma(\tilde{w}))(w-\tilde{w}) d x \leq 0 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

So we have shown that the nonlinear operator $A$ is a dissipative operator. Secondly for any $z_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ with $z_{0}=(g, h)$ we will show that there exists a $z \in D(A)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-A) z=z_{0} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{align*}
v & =w+g  \tag{21}\\
w & =v_{x x}-\sigma(w)+h  \tag{22}\\
v(0) & =0, \quad v_{x}(\pi)+\alpha w(\pi)=0 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us assume that $g \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}$. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
y & =y_{x x}-\sigma(y)+f  \tag{24}\\
y(0) & =0, \quad y_{x}(\pi)+\alpha y(\pi)=-g_{x}(\pi) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f=h+g_{x x} \in L^{2}$ and where $y=v-g$. To show that the boundary-value problem (24) - (25) is solvable we will apply a variational method by introducing the functionals $<,>, \varphi$ and $J$ from $H_{0}^{1}$ into $R$ which are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
<y, y> & =\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+y^{2}\right) d x, \quad \varphi(y)=\int_{0}^{\pi} f y d x  \tag{26}\\
J(y) & =\int_{0}^{\pi} j(y) d x+\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(y(\pi)+\frac{1}{\alpha} g_{x}(\pi)\right)^{2} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $j(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \sigma(\xi) d \xi$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For $y \in H_{0}^{1}$ we define the functional $\mathrm{I}($.$) by$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(y)=\frac{1}{2}<y, y>-\varphi(y)+J(y) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the functional $I$ is continuous. From the monotonic increasingness of $\sigma$ it follows that the functional $I$ is coercive. Next to see that $I$ is convex it enough to show that the functional $j$ is convex. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \leq b$. For any $\lambda \in(0,1)$ it is easy to see that $a<(1-\lambda) a+\lambda b<b$. By using the mean value theorem and the fact that $\sigma$ is monotonic increasing it follows that $j((1-\lambda) a+\lambda b) \leq(1-\lambda) j(a)+\lambda j(b)$. From convexity, coercivity, and continuity of $I$ it follows that there exists a unique $\bar{y} \in H_{0}^{1}$ such that $I(\bar{y}) \leq I(y)$ for all $y \in H_{0}^{1}$. Now for arbitrary $y \in H_{0}^{1}$ we define $\phi: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t):=I(\bar{y}+t y) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi$ is continuously differentiable and $\phi(0)$ is minimal it follows that $\bar{y} \in H^{2}$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\bar{y}-\bar{y}^{\prime \prime}+\sigma(\bar{y})-f\right) y d x+\left(\bar{y}^{\prime}(\pi)+\alpha \bar{y}+g_{x}(\pi)\right) y(\pi)=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have to notice that the equation (30) holds for every $y \in H_{0}^{1}$. So $\bar{y}$ is the solution of the boundary value problem (24)-(25) in the sense of distributions.

Now let us assume that $g \in H_{0}^{1}$. Then there exists a sequence $g_{n}$ in $H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}$ such that $g_{n} \longrightarrow g$ in $H^{1}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$there is a unique $z_{n}=\left(v_{n}, w_{n}\right) \in D(A)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{n} & =w_{n}+g_{n}  \tag{31}\\
w_{n} & =v_{n_{x x}}-\sigma\left(w_{n}\right)+h,  \tag{32}\\
v_{n}(0) & =0, \quad v_{n_{x}}(\pi)+\alpha w_{n}(\pi)=0 . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A$ is a dissipative operator on $\mathcal{H}$ an a-priori estimate can be obtained, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}-z_{m}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq\left\|f_{n}-f_{m}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{n}=\left(g_{n}, h\right)^{T} \in \mathcal{H}$. From (34) it follows that $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $H^{1}$ and $L^{2}$ respectively. Moreover, $\left(H^{1},<,>_{1}\right)$ and $\left(L^{2},<,>_{2}\right)$ are complete implying that there are $\bar{v} \in H^{1}$ and $\bar{w} \in L^{2}$ such that $v_{n} \longrightarrow \bar{v}$ and $w_{n} \longrightarrow \bar{w}$. Furthermore, $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $C^{0}$ with maximum norm. Therefore we obtain $\bar{v} \in H_{0}^{1}$. From the continuity of $\sigma$ it follows from (31) and (32) for $n \longrightarrow \infty$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=v_{n}-g_{n} \longrightarrow \bar{v}-g=\bar{w} \in H_{0}^{1}, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n_{x x}}=w_{n}+\sigma\left(w_{n}\right)-h \longrightarrow \bar{w}+\sigma(\bar{w})-h \in L^{2} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we will show that $\bar{v} \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}$ and that $v_{n_{x x}}$ converges to $\bar{v}_{x x}$. Since $v_{n}$ is in $H^{2}$ it follows that there are positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(v_{n}-v_{m}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq c_{1}\left\|v_{n}-v_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}}+c_{2}\left\|\left(v_{n}-v_{m}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can readily be seen from (37) that $v_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \bar{v}^{\prime}$ in $L^{2}$. For $n \longrightarrow \infty$ it follows from $\int_{0}^{\pi} v_{n}^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime} d x=$ $-\int_{0}^{\pi} v_{n}^{\prime \prime} \varphi d x$ (for arbitrary $\varphi \in H^{2}$ where $\varphi$ vanishes for $x=0$ and $\pi$ ) that $\int_{0}^{\pi} \bar{v}^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime} d x=$ $-\int_{0}^{\pi}(\bar{w}+\sigma(\bar{w})-h) \varphi d x$. So, $\bar{v} \in H^{2}$, and $\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{w}+\sigma(\bar{w})-h$ in $L^{2}$ with $\bar{v}(0)=0$. It also follows from (36) that $v_{n_{x x}} \longrightarrow \bar{v}^{\prime \prime}$ in $L^{2}$. Finally we have to show that $\bar{v}, \bar{w}$ satisfy the boundary conditions (23). To show this we integrate (32) once, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n_{x}}(x)=-\int_{x}^{\pi}\left(w_{n}+\sigma\left(w_{n}\right)-h\right) d x-\alpha w_{n}(\pi) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can also be shown that $w_{n} \longrightarrow \bar{w}$ uniformly in $C$ with the maximum norm. Again by using the continuity of $\sigma$ and the Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem it follows from (38) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}_{x}(x)=-\int_{x}^{\pi}(\bar{w}+\sigma(\bar{w})-h) d x-\alpha \bar{w}(\pi) . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce from (35) and (39) that for any $z_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ there is $z \in D(A)$ such that the equations (21)-(23) hold. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Let $z_{0}=\binom{u_{0}}{u_{1}} \in D(A)$, and let $z:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the corresponding solution to problem (13) given by the Theorem 2.1. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=\binom{v(t)}{w(t)} \cdot t \geq 0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have for every $T>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& v \in W^{1, \infty}\left((0, T) ; H_{0}^{1}\right)  \tag{41}\\
& w \in W^{1, \infty}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}\right) \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& v(t) \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}, \quad \text { for every } \quad t \geq 0  \tag{43}\\
& w(t) \in H_{0}^{1}, \quad \text { for every } \quad t \geq 0  \tag{44}\\
& \frac{d^{+} v}{d t}(t)=w(t) \quad \text { in } \quad H^{1} \quad \text { for every } \quad t \in[0, T]  \tag{45}\\
& \frac{d^{+} w}{d t}(t)=(v(t))_{x x}+c w(t)-\sigma(w(t)) \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2} \quad \text { for every } \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that since $w(t) \in H_{0}^{1}(w(t) \in C)$ hence $\sigma(w(t))(x):=\sigma(w(x, t))$ is well-defined for $x \in[0, \pi]$, and $\sigma(w(t)) \in C$. Next we want to establish that the function $z$ satisfies the following integral equation in $\mathcal{H}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=z_{0}+A_{0} \int_{0}^{t} z(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $A_{0}$ is defined as the operator $A$ but with $\sigma(s)=c s, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t):=\binom{0}{c w(s)-\sigma w(s)}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the operator $A_{0}$ is linear m-dissipative and densely defined. If we can show that the function $f \in W^{1,1}((0, T) ; \mathcal{H})$ then it will follow from Theorem 8.1 in [2] that $z \in$ $C^{1}([0, T] ; \mathcal{H}) \cap C\left([0, T] ; D\left(A_{0}\right)\right)$ for every $T>0$. From this we shall obtain $v \in C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\right) \cap$ $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ; H^{2}\right)$. In order to prove (47) we use the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \in C([0, T] ; C) \quad \text { for every } \quad T>0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed it follows from (42) that $w \in W^{1, \infty}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}\right)$ and from (41) and (45) that $w \in$ $L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; H_{0}^{1}\right)$. Then (49) follows from lemma $A 1$ from the appendix. Since $v \in W^{1, \infty}\left((0, T) ; H_{0}^{1}\right)$, $w \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; H_{0}^{1}\right)$ and $\frac{d v}{d t}=w$ a.e in $H_{0}^{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t)=u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} w(s) d s, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $H_{0}^{1}$. From (49) we also have $t \rightarrow \sigma(w(t)) \in C([0, T] ; C)$, hence $c w-\sigma(w) \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\right)$, and the function $f$ defined in (48) belongs to $C([0, T] ; \mathcal{H})$. From (43) and (46) we deduce that $t \rightarrow(v(t))_{x x}$ belongs to $L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}\right)$, hence by integrating in $L^{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=u_{1}+\int_{0}^{t}(v(s))_{x x} d s+\int_{0}^{t}(c w(s)-\sigma(w(s))) d s, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (42) and (45) we have $z(t) \in D\left(A_{0}\right)=D(A)$ and $A_{0} z(t)=\binom{0}{w(t)-\left(v(t)_{x x}\right.}$ for every $t \geq 0$. Since $A_{0}$ is linear $m$ - dissipative, densely defined $f \in C([0, T] ; \mathcal{H})$, it follows from theorem 6.2 and 72 of [2] that there exists a function $\tilde{z} \in C([0, T] ; \mathcal{H})$ satisfying $\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{z}(s) d s \in$ $D\left(A_{0}\right)$ for every $t \in[0, T]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{z}(t)=z_{0}+A_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{z}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently the function $z-\tilde{z} \in C([0, T] ; \mathcal{H})$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
z(t)-\tilde{z}(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} A_{0} z(s) d s-A_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{z}(s) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}\left(A_{0}-I\right) z(s) d s-\left(A_{0}-I\right) \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{z}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t}(z(s)-\tilde{z}(s)) d s \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $0 \in \rho\left(A_{0}-I\right)$ in $\mathcal{H}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{0}-I\right)^{-1}(z(t)-\tilde{z}(t))=\int_{0}^{t} z(s) d s-\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{z}(s) d s+\left(A_{0}-I\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{t}(z(s)-\tilde{z}(s)) d s \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $\int_{0}^{t}(z(s)-\tilde{z}(s)) d s \in D\left(A_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z-\tilde{z})(t)=\left(A_{0}-I\right) \int_{0}^{t}(z(s)-\tilde{z}(s)) d s+\int_{0}^{t}(z(s)-\tilde{z}(s)) d s=A_{0} \int_{0}^{t}(z(s)-\tilde{z}(s)) d s \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z-\tilde{z} \in C([0, T] ; \mathcal{H})$ and $\int_{0}^{t}(z(s)-\tilde{z}(s)) d s \in D\left(A_{0}\right)$ it is an integral solution and by uniqueness (Theorem 6.1 of [2]), $z-\tilde{z}=0$, hence $z=\tilde{z}$, which establish (47). Next we apply theorem 8.1 of $[2]$ to show that $z \in C^{1}([0, T] ; \mathcal{H}) \cap C\left([0, T] ; D\left(A_{0}\right)\right)$. Since $z_{0} \in D\left(A_{0}\right)$, it suffices to establish $f \in W^{1,1}((0, T) ; \mathcal{H})$, i.e. $c w-\sigma(w) \in W^{1,1}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}\right)$. Note that $w \in W^{1, \infty}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}\right)$ by (42). Since $L^{2}$ is a Hilbert space it is sufficient to prove $\sigma(w) \in$ $\operatorname{Lip}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}\right)$. From (49) we can define $\tilde{w}(x, t)=w(t)(x),(x, t) \in Q_{T}:=[0, \pi] \times[0, T]$. Then $\tilde{w} \in C\left(Q_{T}\right)$. Set $C_{1}:=\max _{Q_{T}}|\tilde{w}|$ and $C_{2}:=\max _{|\xi| \leq C_{1}}\left|\sigma^{\prime}(\xi)\right|$, where we assume from now on that $\sigma \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then for $0 \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq T, x \in[0, \pi]$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sigma\left(w\left(t_{1}\right)\right)(x)-\sigma\left(w\left(t_{2}\right)\right)(x)\right|=\left|\sigma\left(\tilde{w}\left(x, t_{1}\right)\right)-\sigma\left(\tilde{w}\left(x, t_{2}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq C_{2}\left|\tilde{w}\left(x, t_{1}\right)-\tilde{w}\left(x, t_{2}\right)\right|=C_{2}\left|w\left(t_{1}\right)(x)-w\left(t_{2}\right)(x)\right| . \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\left\|\sigma\left(w\left(t_{1}\right)\right)-\sigma\left(w\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C_{2}^{2}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{2}$. It follows from (42) that there is $C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w\left(t_{1}\right)-w\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C_{3}^{2}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{2} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence $\sigma(w) \in \operatorname{Lip}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}\right)$ and we are done. Finally from $z \in C^{1}([0, T] ; \mathcal{H}) \cap$ $C\left([0, T] ; D\left(A_{0}\right)\right)$ and using (45), (46) it follows that $v \in C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{1}\right) \cap$ $C\left([0, T] ; H^{2}\right)$. Summarizing we obtain

Proposition 2.1. Under the assumptions of theorem 1.1 with $\sigma \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ (instead of $C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ ), the functions $(v, w)$ defined in (40) have the following regularity

$$
\begin{align*}
& v \in C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ; H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}\right),  \tag{58}\\
& w \in C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}\right) . \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 Classical solutions.

Let $T>0$ and let $z \in C^{1}([0, T] ; \mathcal{H}) \cap C\left([0, T] ; D\left(A_{0}\right)\right)$ be the solution of (47) obtained in Proposition 2.1. In this section we shall prove that under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. the following holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z} \in C^{1}([0, T] ; \mathcal{H}) \cap C\left([0, T] ; D\left(A_{0}\right)\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence $z \in C^{2}([0, T] ; \mathcal{H}) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; D\left(A_{0}\right)\right)$. Then $v \in C^{2}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{2} \cap\right.$ $\left.H_{0}^{1}\right)$ and $w \in C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}\right)$. Set $Q_{T}:=[0, \pi] \times[0, T]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t):=v(t)(x), \quad \text { for } \quad(x, t) \in Q_{T} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $v \in C^{2}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}\right)$ we have $v \in C^{2}([0, T] ; C)$ and $v(t)(0)=0, t \in[0, T]$. Moreover $\dot{v}, \ddot{v} \in C([0, T] ; C)$ hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u, u_{t}, u_{t t} \in C\left(Q_{T}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad u(0, t)=0, \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $v \in C^{1}\left([0, t] ; H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}\right)$ we obtain $\bar{v} \in C\left([0, T] ; C^{1}\right)$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x}, u_{x t}, u_{x x} \in C\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (62) and (63) that $u \in C^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $u_{x t}=u_{t x}$ on $Q_{T}$. Finally from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d t}(t)=(v(t))_{x x}+c w(t)-\sigma(w(t)), \quad t \in[0, T] \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\dot{w} \in C([0, T] ; C), w \in C([0, T] ; C), t \rightarrow \sigma(w(t)) \in C([0, T] ; C)$, we obtain $t \rightarrow(v(t))_{x x} \in$ $C([0, T] ; C)$, hence by integrating in $x$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \rightarrow v(t) \in C\left([0, T] ; C^{2}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x} \in C(Q(T)) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $u \in C^{2}(Q(T))$. Consequently since $w \in C([0, T] ; C)$ and $w(t)(x)=\dot{v}(t)(x)$, we have $w(t)(x)=u_{t}(x, t)$. And from (64) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t)+c u_{t}(x, t)-\sigma\left(u_{t}(x, t)\right), \quad \text { in } \quad Q_{T} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $z(0)=\binom{u_{0}}{u_{1}}$ and $\left.w(0)=\dot{( } v\right)(0)$, we have $u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)$ and $u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), x \in$ $[0, \pi]$. Finally from $z \in C\left([0, T] ; D\left(A_{0}\right)\right)$ we have $(v(t))_{x}(\pi)+\alpha w(t)(\pi)=0, t \in[0, T]$, and Theorem 1.1. is proved. It remains to establish (60). From (47) and Proposition 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{z}(t)=A_{0} z(t)+f(t), t \in[0, T] \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{H} \\
& z(0)=z_{0} \in D\left(A_{0}\right) \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $q=\dot{z}$ then $q \in C([0, T] ; \mathcal{H})$ and $\int_{0}^{t} q(s) d s=z(t)-z(0) \in D\left(A_{0}\right), t \in[0, T]$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(t)=A_{0} z_{0}+A_{0} \int_{0}^{t} q(s) d s+f(t), \quad t \in[0, T] \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{H} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(t)$ is given by (48). $f(t)-f(0)=\binom{0}{(c w(t)-\sigma(w(t)))-(c w(0)-\sigma(w(0)))}$. In view of lemmata $A 2$ and $A 4,(c w(t)-\sigma(w(t)))-(c w(0)-\sigma(w(0)))=\int_{0}^{t} R(s) \dot{w}(s) d s$ in $L^{2}$ where $R(s)$ is the multiplication operator in $L^{2}$ associated with $\rho(y)=c y-\sigma^{\prime}(y), \rho \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Setting $D(t)=\binom{\xi}{\eta}=\binom{0}{R(t) \eta}, t \in[0, T],\binom{\xi}{\eta} \in \mathcal{H}$, we have a consequence of the same lemmata that the family $\{D(t)\}_{t \in[0, T]}$ of bounded operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies all assumptions of Lemma A5. Moreover $q$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(t)=q_{0}+A_{0} \int_{0}^{t} q(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} D(s) q(s) d s,, \quad t \in[0, T] \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{H} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{0}=A_{0} z_{0}+f(0)$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}=A_{0}\binom{u_{0}}{u_{1}}+\binom{0}{c u_{1}-\sigma\left(u_{1}\right)}=\binom{u_{1}}{\left(u_{0}\right)_{x x}+c u_{1}-\sigma\left(u_{1}\right)} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that under the assumptions of Theorem $1.1 q_{0} \in D\left(A_{0}\right)$. Indeed $u_{1} \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}$ and $u_{1} \in C^{1}$. Then $c u_{1}-\sigma\left(u_{1}\right) \in C^{1}$ and $c u_{1}(0)-\sigma\left(u_{1}(0)\right)=0$ since $u_{1}(0)=0$ and $\sigma(0)=0$, so $c u_{1}-\sigma\left(u_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}$. Moreover $\left(u_{0}\right)_{x x} \in H^{1}$ since $u_{0} \in H^{3}$ and $\left(u_{0}\right)_{x x}(0)=0$ from (6). Then $\left(u_{0}\right)_{x x}+c u_{1}-\sigma\left(u_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}$. It remains to show that $\alpha\left(\left(u_{)}\right)_{x x}(\pi)+c u_{1}(\pi)-\sigma\left(u_{1}(\pi)\right)\right)+$ $\left(u_{1}\right)_{x}(\pi)=0$. But this is (7). Therefore $q_{0} \in D\left(A_{0}\right)$. From Lemma A5, it follows that $q$ is the only solution $r$ in $C([0, T] ; \mathcal{H})$ with $\int_{0}^{t} r(s) d s \in D\left(A_{0}\right), t \in[0, T]$. Moreover since $q_{0} \in D\left(A_{0}\right)$, $q \in C^{1}([0, T] ; \mathcal{H}), r \in C\left([0, T] ; D\left(A_{0}\right)\right)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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## Appendix.

Lemma A1. Let $T>0$, then $W^{1, \infty}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; H^{1}\right) \subset C([0, T] ; C)$.
Proof. First we observe that $W^{1, \infty}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; H^{1}\right) \subset B\left([0, T] ; H^{1}\right)$. Now let $u \in W^{1, \infty}\left((0, T) ; L^{2}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; H^{1}\right)$ then there exists $M>0$ and $N \subset[0, T]$ with measure zero such that for $t \in[0, T] \backslash N, u(t) \in H^{1}$, and $\|u\|_{H^{1}} \leq M$. Let $\bar{t} \in N$ since $[0, T] \backslash N$ is dense in $[0, T]$ hence there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in[0, T] / N$ such that $t_{n} \rightarrow \bar{t}$. Then $u\left(t_{n}\right) \rightarrow u(\bar{t})$ in $L^{2}$. Since $H^{1}$ is a Hilbert space there is a subsequence $\left\{t_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $z \in H^{1}$ such that $u\left(t_{n_{k}}\right) \rightharpoonup z$ in $H^{1}$. Since $H^{1}$ is continuously imbedded in $L^{2}$ then $u\left(t_{n_{k}}\right) \rightharpoonup z$ in $L^{2}$, hence $z=u(\bar{t}) \in H^{1}$. Moreover $\|u(\bar{t})\|_{H^{1}} \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u\left(t_{n_{k}}\right)\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq M$. Hence $u \in B\left([0, T] ; H^{1}\right)$. Finally we prove $u \in C([0, T] ; C)$. Let $\bar{t}, t_{n} \in[0, T], n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$be such that $t_{n} \rightarrow \bar{t}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $u\left(t_{n}\right) \rightarrow u(\bar{t})$ in $L^{2}$, and as before since $\left\|u\left(t_{n}\right)\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq M, n \geq 1$, for some $M \geq 0$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{u\left(t_{n_{k}}\right)\right\}$ such that $u\left(t_{n_{k}}\right) \rightharpoonup u(\bar{t})$ in $H^{1}$. Since $H^{1}$ is compactly imbedded in $L^{2}$ we have $u\left(t_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow u(\bar{t})$ in $C$. Moreover for any subsequence of $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ we can extract a subsequence $u\left(t_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow u(\bar{t})$ in $C$. So the whole sequence converges to $u(\bar{t})$ in $C$, and $u \in C([0, T] ; C)$.

Lemma A2. Let $0 \leq T_{1} \leq t_{1}<t_{2} \leq T_{1} \leq T, r \in C^{1}\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; L^{2}\right) \cap C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; H^{1}\right) \subseteq$ $C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; C\right)$. Let $\rho \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and let $M(t) \in \mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}\right), t \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$ be the multiplication operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M(t) \eta)(x)=\rho(r(t)(x)) \eta(x), \quad \text { for a.e } \quad x \in[0, \pi] \quad \text { and every } \quad t \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following holds;

1. $\|M(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}\right)}=\max _{x \in[0, \pi]}|\rho(r(t))(x)| \leq C_{1}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}:=\max _{t \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]} \max _{x \in[0, \pi]} \mid \rho(r(t)(x) \mid . \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. For every $\bar{r} \in C^{1}\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; L^{2}\right) \cap C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; H^{1}\right),\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] \ni t \rightarrow M(t) \bar{r}(t) \in C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; L^{2}\right)$ and $\|M(t) \bar{r}(t)\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{1} \max _{s \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]}\|\bar{r}(s)\|_{L^{2}}$. Moreover let $r_{n} \in C^{1}\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; L^{2}\right) \cap$ $C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; H^{1}\right), n=1,2, \cdots$ be such that $\lim \left\|r_{n}-r\right\|_{C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; C\right)}=0$. Let $\left\{M_{n}(t)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the corresponding multiplication operators, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{t \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]}\left\|M_{n}(t)-M(t)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}\right)}=0 \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma A3. Given $r \in C^{1}\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; L^{2}\right) \cap C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; H^{1}\right)$ there exists a sequence $r_{n} \in$ $C^{1}\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; C^{1}\right), n=1,2, \cdots$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{r_{n}}-\dot{r}\right\|_{C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; L^{2}\right)}+\left\|r_{n}-r\right\|_{C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; H^{1}\right)} \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma A4. Under the assumptions of Lemma A2, let $\rho, r, \bar{r}, M(t)$ be as in Lemma A2 with the additional assumption $\rho \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\{P(t)\}_{t \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]}$ be the corresponding multiplication operators in $L^{2}$ associated with $\rho^{\prime}$ (i.e. $P(t) \eta=\rho^{\prime}(r(t) \eta)$ ). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] \ni t \rightarrow \rho(r(t)) \in \operatorname{Lip}\left(\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right) ; L^{2}\right),}  \tag{76}\\
& \rho\left(r\left(t_{2}\right)\right)-\rho\left(r\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} P(s) \dot{r}(s) d s, \quad T_{1} \leq t_{1}<t_{2} \leq T_{2}, \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}, \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho\left(r\left(t_{2}\right)\right)-\rho\left(r\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left|t_{2}-t_{1}\right| \max _{s \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]}\|P(s)\|\|\dot{r}\|_{C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; L^{2}\right)} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] \ni t \rightarrow M(t) \bar{r}(t) \in \operatorname{Lip}\left(\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right) ; L^{2}\right)$ and there exists $C_{2}$ independent of $T_{1}, T_{2}, \bar{r}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M\left(t_{2}\right) \bar{r}\left(t_{2}\right)-M\left(t_{1}\right) \bar{r}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{2}\left(\|\dot{\bar{r}}\|_{C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; L^{2}\right)}+\|\bar{r}\|_{C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; H^{1}\right)} .\right. \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For the proof of Lemma A4, one approximates $r$ and $\bar{r}$ by sequence $r_{n}$ and $\bar{r}_{n}$ as in A3. The estimates and formulas can be easily established for $r_{n}$ and $\bar{r}_{n}$. The result follows by letting $n$ tend to $\infty$.
lemma A5. Let $(H,<,>,\|\|$.$) be a Hilbert space and let L: D(L) \subset H \rightarrow H$ be a linear $m$-dissipative operator in $H$. (Then $D(L)$ is automatically dense in $H$ ). Let $r_{0} \in H$ and let $T>0$. Suppose that $\{D(t)\}_{t \in[0, T]}$ is a family of bounded linear operators in $H$ such that for every $0 \leq T_{1}<T_{2} \leq T$ and every $r \in C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; H\right)$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] \ni t \rightarrow D(t) r(t) \in C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; H\right) \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\max _{t \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]}\|D(t) r(t)\| \leq M \max _{t \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]}\|r(t)\|$ for some $M$ independent of $T_{1}, T_{2} \in$ $[0, T]$. Then

1. there exists a unique $\bar{r} \in C([0, T] ; H)$ such that for every $t \geq 0, \int_{0}^{t} \bar{r}(s) d s \in D(L)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{r}(t)=r_{0}+L \int_{0}^{t} \bar{r}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} D(s) \bar{r}(s) d s \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Assume moreover $r_{0} \in D(L)$, and for every $0 \leq T_{1}<T_{2} \leq T$, and for every $r \in C^{1}\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; H\right) \cap C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; D(L)\right),\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] \ni t \rightarrow D(t) r(t) \in \operatorname{Lip}\left(\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right) ; H\right)$ and $\exists M^{\prime}>0$ independent of $T_{1}, T_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D\left(t_{1}\right) r\left(t_{1}\right)-D\left(t_{2}\right) r\left(t_{2}\right)\right\| \leq M^{\prime}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|\|r\|_{\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $0 \leq T_{1} \leq t_{1}<t_{2} \leq T_{2} \leq T$, where $\|r\|_{\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]}:=\max _{t \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]}\|\dot{r}(t)\|$ $+\max _{t \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]}\|(L-I) r(t)\|$. Then the function $\bar{r}$ defined in (81) satisfies $\bar{r} \in$ $C^{1}([0, T] ; H) \cap C([0, T] ; D(L))$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \bar{r}}{d t}(t)=L \bar{r}(t)+D(t) \bar{r}(t), \quad \text { for every } \quad t \in[0, T],  \tag{83}\\
& \bar{r}(0)=r_{0} \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Lemma A5 follows from a standard application of the contraction principle in the space $C([0, T] ; H)$ and $C^{1}\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; H\right) \cap C\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] ; D(L)\right)$ and from Theorems 6.1, 8.1, and Corollary 7.3 of [2].
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