

Teaching mathematics and science in English at a university in Indonesia: Lecturers' and students' attitudes to the initiative

By Soni Mirizon, Ben Wadham, David D. Curtis

HIGH 2 EDUCATION HORIZONS



HIGHER EDUCATION HORIZONS

Publishing Higher Degree Research Making the Transition from Student to Researcher

Janice Orrell

School of Education, Flinders University, Australia

and

David D. Curtis (Eds.)

School of Education, Flinders University, Australia

This book is proof of what is possible when higher degree candidates and their supervisors collaborate to ensure publication of higher degree research; one of the responsibilities that comes with higher degree candidature and supervision. It transcends the limitations inherent in traditional 'isolationist', 'master and apprentice' relationships to reveal the transformative value of building productive networks among academics and students.

Written for higher degree research policy makers, administrators, supervisors and candidates in the field of education, this book aims to provoke departmental mindfulness of the higher degree research journey and, in light of this, reconsideration of the nature of supervisory roles and practices. It explores key research on higher degree research candidature and supervisory practices; reveals the reflections of 14 higher degree candidates' experiences in terms of the impact and transformations that occur as a result of undertaking research, not least of which is writing for publication; showcases aspects of their research in their published chapters; and accords them first author status. Its five sections are:

- **Publishing Higher Degree Research:** key research on higher degree research candidature and supervisory practices, and the process of transforming students from candidates into published researchers.
- **Learning with Technology:** in Aboriginal education and in primary and early childhood education.
- **Professional Learning and Practice:** in the development of teacher research and inquiry, enhancing and assuring learning quality in Indonesia and Lesotho, and higher order thinking in teaching trade skills.
- **Student Learning:** in teaching English language in Indonesia, and the place of intimation in creativity and innovation in mathematics teaching.
- **Curriculum Change:** in teaching University mathematics in English in Indonesia, integrating graduate attributes in an Islamic University in Aceh, enabling innovation in Acehese schools and reforming assessment in Rwanda.

ISBN 978-94-6300-670-5



SensePublishers

HEHO 1

Publishing Higher Degree Research

Janice Orrell and David D. Curtis (Eds.)

Publishing Higher Degree Research

Making the Transition from Student to Researcher

Janice Orrell and David D. Curtis (Eds.)



SensePublishers

Spine
9.83 mm

This eBook was made available by Sense Publishers to the authors and editors of this book, the series editor and the members of the editorial board. Unauthorized distribution will be prosecuted.

Publishing Higher Degree Research

This eBook was made available by Sense Publishers to the authors and editors of this book, the series editor and the members of the editorial board. Unauthorized distribution will be prosecuted.

HIGHER EDUCATION HORIZONS

Volume 1

Series Editor

Joy Higgs
The Education For Practice Institute
Charles Sturt University, Australia

Advisory Editorial Board

Franziska Trede
The Education For Practice Institute
Charles Sturt University, Australia

Janice Orrell
Flinders University, Australia
Adjunct Professor, The Education For Practice Institute
Charles Sturt University, Australia

Narelle Patton
The Education For Practice Institute
Charles Sturt University, Australia

Publishing Higher Degree Research

Making the Transition from Student to Researcher

Edited by

Janice Orrell and David D. Curtis

School of Education, Flinders University, Australia



SENSE PUBLISHERS
ROTTERDAM/BOSTON/TAIPEI

1

This eBook was made available by Sense Publishers to the authors and editors of this book, the series editor and the members of the editorial board. Unauthorized distribution will be prosecuted.

A C.I.P. record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN: 978-94-6300-670-5 (paperback)
ISBN: 978-94-6300-671-2 (hardback)
ISBN: 978-94-6300-672-9 (e-book)

Published by: Sense Publishers,
P.O. Box 21858,
3001 AW Rotterdam,
The Netherlands
<https://www.sensepublishers.com/>

All chapters in this book have undergone peer review.

1

Printed on acid-free paper

All Rights Reserved © 2016 Sense Publishers

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Series introduction: Higher Education Horizons..... vii
Joy Higgs

Preface..... ix
Janice Orrell and David D. Curtis

Acknowledgements..... xi
Janice Orrell and David D. Curtis

10

Section 1: Publishing Higher Degree Research

1. Research training and publishing: A partnership approach to liberating scholarship..... 3
Joy Higgs

2. Transforming identities: From students and reformers to researchers 13
Janice Orrell and David D. Curtis

Section 2: Learning with Technology

3. Mobile learning congruencies with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander cultural philosophies 25
Philip Townsend, R. John Halsey and John Guenther

4. Interactive whiteboard use and student engagement 33
Carol Le Lant and Michael J. Lawson

5. Learning with iPads in early childhood education 43
Emily Tam and Trudy-Ann Sweeney

Section 3: Professional Learning and Practice

6. Communicating real-life classroom innovations as research 55
Peter Nielsen and Bernard Mageean

7. Enhancing educational quality in an Indonesian Islamic university 65
Muhammad Nur Akbar Rasyid, Janice Orrell and Robert Conway

8. Beyond the trade qualification: Seeking higher-order cognitive skills 75
Anthony Arrowsmith, David D. Curtis and John Guenther

This eBook was made available by Sense Publishers to the authors and editors of this book, the series editor and the members of the editorial board. Unauthorized distribution will be prosecuted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

9. Preparing teachers for rural secondary schools in Lesotho 85
Marethabile Mapitso Ignatia Masakale, Michael Bell and R. John Halsey

Section 4: Student Learning

10. Language learning strategy use by prospective English language teachers in Indonesia 95
Alfian, Mirella Wyrta and Marietta Rossetto
11. Recognising intimation: An affective reality in the act of creation 105
David Jeffries and Carol R. Aldous
12. Promoting powerful positive affect 115
Shaileigh Page and Trudy-Ann Sweeney

Section 5: Curriculum Change

13. Teaching mathematics and science in English at a university in Indonesia: Lecturers' and students' attitudes to the initiative 127
Soni Mirizon, Ben Wadham and David D. Curtis
14. Enabling innovation in Acehese schools 137
Anwar Amin and Michael Bell
15. Integrating graduate attributes into Islamic higher education curricula in Aceh, Indonesia 145
Habiburrahim, Janice Orrell and Robert Conway
- 7 16. Rwanda's new competence-based school curriculum: New approaches to assessing student learning needed 155
Ernest Ngendahayo and Helen Askill-Williams

- Contributors..... 167

SONI MIRIZON, BEN WADHAM AND DAVID D. CURTIS

13. TEACHING MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE IN ENGLISH AT A UNIVERSITY IN INDONESIA

Lecturers' and Students' Attitudes to the Initiative

This chapter reflects a doctoral candidate's process of scientific thinking and acting, not merely a matter of completing the thesis, but as a pathway from scholarship and practice to researcher. (Mirizon)

Indonesia is a multicultural country consisting of approximately 300 ethnic groups and a multilingual society having as many as 700 local languages. However, this diverse country shares one national language, Bahasa Indonesia, which functions as the *lingua franca*. Accordingly, Bahasa Indonesia has been used as the language of instruction at all levels of education across the nation since its independence from the Dutch in 1945.

In 2007, through the establishment of international standard schools (Sekolah Berstandar Internasional, SBI) under Law No.20/2003, the Indonesian Government introduced a policy of using English as a language of instruction for Mathematics and Science. Other subjects are taught in Bahasa Indonesia.

Globalisation is the stated rationale for establishing SBI because it "is perceived as being synonymous with international competition; international competition in turn is assumed to involve the use of English; and using English appears to necessitate the learning of other subjects through English" (Coleman, 2009a, p. 5). Teaching Mathematics and Science in English is important for at least two reasons. First, Indonesia has to be able to develop strong human resources in a relatively short period of time. Second, students must be able to use English to communicate globally because English is an international language (Department of National Education, 2004).

In order to be fully operational, SBI urgently need Mathematics and Science teachers who are competent in their Content Knowledge and proficient in English. However, there is a nationwide shortage of available in-service teachers who meet these criteria (Coleman, 2009b). Accordingly, teacher education institutions began pre-service training in 2008 to address this need through the International Standard School Teacher Education (ISSTE) program, which was established in one of the teacher education institutions. The ISSTE offers four study programs – Mathematics Education, Chemistry Education, Biology Education and Physics Education – to prepare teachers to deliver integrated content-based instruction (CBI) in SBI. Implementing CBI requires teachers who are content area specialists,

6

J. Orrell and D. D. Curtis (Eds.), *Publishing Higher Degree Research: Making the Transition from Student to Researcher*, 127–136.
© 2016 Sense Publishers. All rights reserved.

2

and proficient in English and the practice of CBI. These skills are developed through ISSTE programs like the one discussed in this chapter.

The study reported here examined lecturers' and students' attitudes towards implementation of a policy of integrating the teaching of Mathematics and Science with English in an ISSTE program in a university in Indonesia (Mirizon, 2014). It evaluates those attitudes and relates them to the lecturers' and students' English language proficiency.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Integrated content and language instruction, commonly known as content-based instruction (CBI), is the practice of the integrated teaching of academic subject matter and second language skills. This practice focuses not only on the instruction of language but also on integrating it with content, which usually comprises academic subject matter (Brinton et al., 2011). For students, the focus is on acquiring information (content) via the second language and on developing their academic language skills in the process.

The benefits of CBI have become apparent over recent years. This method of instruction has been acknowledged as fostering academic growth while developing language proficiency (Crandall, 1993; Short, 1997; Snow, 1998; Stoller, 2004). Indeed, it is beneficial because "classroom tasks provide a context for language learning, are more cognitively demanding, and reinforce the existing school curriculum" (Pessoa et al., 2007, p. 103).

Although CBI was initially introduced in an English as a second language (ESL) context, it has been implemented in English as a foreign language (EFL) contexts (Butler, 2005). A number of studies explore the implementation of CBI in various EFL contexts, such as Spain, USA, Taiwan, China, South Korea and Japan (Boswell, 2011; Butler, 2005; Cammarata, 2009; Liaw, 2007; Okazaki, 1997; Pessoa et al., 2007; Willis, 1998). These studies acknowledge the benefits of CBI for integrating content and language instruction. This study occurred in the Indonesian EFL context.

THE STUDY DESIGN

The study design reflected the research aim of answering the questions: (1) what are the lecturers' and students' attitudes towards the implementation of integrating the teaching of Mathematics and Science with English policy?; and (2) what are the underlying reasons for the attitudes the lecturers and students show? These are the questions addressed in this chapter.

The study employed a mixed methods approach combining quantitative and qualitative data collection and analysis (Teddlie & Tashakkori, 2009). A survey of lecturers and students in the ISSTE program produced the quantitative data, while interviews with the lecturers and focus group discussions with the students generated the qualitative data. Classroom observations of teaching and learning practices supplemented these data collection methods. Survey data was analysed

TEACHING MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE IN ENGLISH

using SPSS (2014), while the data obtained via interviews and focus group discussions was subject to thematic analysis (Babbie, 2010; Rivas, 2012; Silverman, 2011; Strauss & Corbin, 1998). The findings arising from both approaches were integrated and interpreted to draw conclusions.

Participants comprised 20 lecturers and 373 students for the survey, and 12 lecturers for the interviews and 20 students for focus group discussions who were selected purposively. All participated voluntarily. The lecturers represented the four study programs (Mathematics Education, Chemistry Education, Biology Education and Physics Education), and each had more than ten years' teaching experience. The students had studied in the ISSTE program for more than two semesters.

FINDINGS

Lecturers' Attitudes towards CBI

The findings revealed positive attitudes towards integrated Mathematics and Science teaching in English among lecturers who are proficient in English, while those with limited English proficiency had negative attitudes. Analysis of the survey and interview data revealed two key findings related to the lecturers' positive attitudes towards the integrated teaching of Mathematics and Science in English: (1) lecturers believed that teaching Mathematics and Science in English prepares students for the globalised job market; and (2) they expected favourable employment outcomes for graduates.

Most lecturers were aware of the importance of English for their students' futures. Thus, they had positive thoughts regarding the policy of teaching Mathematics and Science in English. They expected that, apart from Content Knowledge mastery, teaching Mathematics and Science in English would provide their students with an opportunity to master a foreign language, which would help prepare graduates for the globalised job market, as illustrated by Participant 8:

The teaching of Mathematics and Science in this ISSTE program, as far as I know, is to prepare graduates to have not only content competence but also foreign language competence, like English, that is needed in the globalised job market ...

This lecturer also believed that teaching content in English motivated students to improve their English skills because they expected these skills to lead to favourable employment. Motivation occurs when students feel challenged and realise the importance of English for their future employment:

Teaching Mathematics in English has a positive effect on students; students are motivated to equip themselves with English skills to get a favourable job and career relatively easily. Those with limited English were later motivated and those who already had English skills became more motivated. This policy gave students a chance to study and improve their English ... (Participant 8)

MIRIZON ET AL.

Conversely, data from the survey and interviews revealed two key findings related to the lecturers' negative attitudes towards implementation of the policy of integrated teaching of Mathematics and Science in English: (1) Limited English proficiency; and (2) low willingness to learn content in English. Some lecturers argued that the CBI policy had placed them in a difficult position due to their limited English proficiency. This, in turn, generated a negative influence on students who had limited facility in English. Having limited English proficiency made it difficult for lecturers to explain subject matter in English and to enable students to understand the content taught using English:

Making students understand teaching materials taught in English is difficult; even in Bahasa Indonesia it is not easy, more so in English ... sometimes they don't understand ... because my English is not that good, I prefer using Bahasa Indonesia more so that students can understand ... also to avoid misunderstanding ... (Participant 5)

Apart from their own limited English proficiency, lecturers found their students' limited English proficiency another common obstacle. Most students' proficiency in English was very limited. Based on the data obtained from the university's language institute, the students had an average paper-based TOEFL score of around 380–450.¹ Lecturers' concerns about students' limited English proficiency is reflected in the following comment:

... students' limited English competence is the most serious problem I face. If their English is good, it would be really helpful ... but you know, they are not ready to study Biology in English although they have good intellectual competence. I cannot take a risk using English all the time in teaching ... (Participant 3)

Although lecturers attempted to improve their own English proficiency, for instance by joining language classes provided at the university language institute or undertaking self-directed study, they admitted that it did not help much because they would need a long time to become proficient in English. Survey results indicate that most lecturers rated their English proficiency as "high intermediate", some thought their English was "intermediate" and only a few rated their English as "advanced". This range of English proficiency among lecturers was expected because Bahasa was the only language of instruction throughout their primary to undergraduate studies. Only those who had pursued a Master's or Doctoral degree overseas would have experienced English as the language of instruction. Thus, when some lecturers were asked to teach Mathematics and Science in English, they realised they were constrained by their limited English proficiency. One of the participants confessed:

The biggest problem for me is my own ability to teach Physics in English. My English competence is still limited, so I mostly use Bahasa Indonesia for explaining and communicating with students; only the teaching materials, such as handouts and PowerPoint, are in English ... (Participant 11)

TEACHING MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE IN ENGLISH

Seemingly, it was those lecturers with limited English proficiency who reported a low level of student readiness to learn content in English; this certainly relates to the students' limited English proficiency. On average, students' passive English mastery (such as for reading texts) was just sufficient, but the active level needed to communicate and carry out scientific class discussion was still problematic. This issue is one of the problems that lecturers encounter when teaching in English. The following excerpt from an interview with Participant 1 shows evidence of this phenomenon:

The biggest problem I face is the students' readiness to study in the ISSTE program ... because their English is not sufficient enough to support them in the program. But not for reading, it is ok. To use academic English actively, such as discussing a lesson in English in the class, is not working ... (Participant 1)

Students' Attitudes towards CBI

Analysis of the survey and focus group discussion data revealed two key findings related to students' attitudes towards the implementation of integrated teaching of Mathematics and Science in English: (1) students believed that learning Mathematics and Science in English prepared them for a globalised job market; and (2) it prepared them to pursue postgraduate study.

Students of Mathematics and Science showed a positive attitude towards the practice of learning content in English because it prepared them for a globalised job market. While they believed that the Indonesian language was better for studying Mathematics and Science, students recognised the importance of English in a globalised job market. This position is illustrated by a response from one focus group participant:

... learning Physics in English provides us with an opportunity to improve and be proficient in English. Having good mastery in English makes us able to communicate in international communication. This skill is required in taking part in the global market. Before we start working we would need to be skilful both in our Content Knowledge and English ... (Participant 4, Focus Group 3)

Furthermore, students believed teaching content in English equipped them with English skills for pursuing higher degrees, an ambition that many students shared. This viewpoint is revealed in the responses given by several students:

... English has become the demand to pursue higher education such as a master's degree. It's better if, from undergraduate degree, we are accustomed to using English though we believe it is not easy ... so, when we want to continue our studies, we are ready ... (Participant 2, Focus Group 2)

This comment reflects the view that mastery of English is required to pursue postgraduate study. Therefore, developing proficiency in English during undergraduate study should be encouraged.

MIRIZON ET AL.

Participants in other student focus groups made similar comments, while many of the comments provided in the survey responses also indicate that students' reasons for choosing the ISSTE program included a desire to develop mastery in Content Knowledge and to be proficient in English. They believed that these dual competences were useful for their futures, including the opportunity to pursue postgraduate study. The following excerpts are examples taken from a teaching and learning activity in a Biology class the researcher observed. The lecturer applied a group discussion technique in teaching the Animal Structure subject, focusing on the topic "Terrestrial animal respiration". Each group was asked to discuss its assigned task and present it to the class. When all the groups presented their tasks explaining "Terrestrial animal respiration", most of the group spokespersons resorted to using Bahasa Indonesia, not English as was required:

... we are from group one ... er... er ... we want to explain about the respiratory of worm ..., but ... we are not going to use English ... er ... er ... but Bahasa ... it's not easy, you know ... er ... er ... to explain this in English. *Pernafasan cacing dilakukan dengan* (the respiratory system of worm) ... (Student presentation, Group 1)

The above quote is just one example of the student presentations. Only one of the six participating groups managed to present their information in English. During group discussions before their presentations, most students did not seem to have significant problems understanding the texts written in English. However, they experienced difficulty using English to communicate the content of the texts to their classmates and lecturers during class discussion.

The results indicate that most of the students opposed the policy of integrating the teaching of Mathematics and Science in English. They found it hard to understand content taught in English due to their limited English proficiency. Although some possessed good English mastery, they were very much a minority. This is consistent with data obtained from the university language institute, where most students were at the pre-intermediate to intermediate level of English proficiency. The demographic data obtained with the survey showed that most students rated their English proficiency as pre-intermediate, some rated it at elementary level, and a few saw themselves as having a postintermediate or advanced level of English:

In my case, the problem that I face is mastering Biology teaching materials in English. (Participant 4, Focus Group 3)

When students were asked further questions related to which area of English they found difficult, most had encountered difficulties related to discourse and sentence levels. At the discourse level, students were constrained in understanding and producing lengthy spoken or written information, while at the sentence level they were sometimes limited in understanding and using complicated grammatical structures in sentences.

Concord in Lecturer and Student Views

Despite some disagreement in attitudes towards the policy of integrated teaching of Mathematics and Science in English, lecturers and students shared the similar view that good English proficiency is required to learn Mathematics and Science content in English and to teach that content in English. Lecturers believed that good English competence was what students needed to learn content in English. Indeed, good English competence enables students to follow lessons and access content from many sources written in English, as illustrated in the following interview excerpt:

I definitely agree that good English competence is what students need if they want to learn Chemistry in English. Without having this ability, it will be difficult for them to understand the content taught ... (Participant 5)

Participants also shared the belief that limited English competence is a barrier to student learning, preventing them from making progress. Instead of feeling empowered and challenged by learning two subjects at the same time, students with limited English proficiency would see it as a burden. In this case, lecturers thought that teaching content in English inhibited student learning, as indicated by the following excerpt:

I believe that teaching Mathematics and Science in English is not easy for students who have limited English. They would find it hard to understand content taught in English ... (Participant 3)

The survey data demonstrated that students' linguistic competence in Bahasa Indonesia is significantly better than that of English. Hence, it is reasonable that students prefer learning content in Bahasa rather than in English, because good English proficiency is required in order to successfully learn content in English. Students also realised that integrated teaching of Mathematics and Science in English would open their mind about the importance of English for acquiring Content Knowledge. They were aware that good English proficiency was required to learn Mathematics and Science in English, as indicated in the following focus group discussion response:

... nowadays English is not something "special" anymore, but a need. Being proficient in English is necessary when we learn Mathematics and Science in English ... (Participant 2, Focus Group 3)

These excerpts illustrate an awareness that having sufficient English knowledge is required to understand and master Content Knowledge taught in English. The students realised that good mastery of English not only gives them the benefits of being able to comprehend course content, but it can also be used as a means of pursuing knowledge for their own benefit.

CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

The aim of this study was to examine lecturers' and students' attitudes towards the implementation of a policy of integrating the teaching Mathematics and Science with English in a university in Indonesia. It evaluated those attitudes and related them to the English language proficiency of the lecturers and students.

Findings obtained from questionnaires and interviews reveal positive attitudes towards the integrated Mathematics and Science teaching in English among those lecturers who are proficient in English while negative attitudes are found among those with limited English proficiency. Findings obtained from questionnaire and focus group discussion with students show similar results. Students who have good English proficiency reveal positive attitudes towards the use of English in teaching Mathematics and Science, whereas those with limited English proficiency show opposing attitudes. However, both lecturers and students share a similar view that good English proficiency is required to learn Mathematics and Science content in English and to teach that content in English.

The findings of this study indicate that effective implementation of integrated content and language instruction in tertiary education requires comprehensive and careful planning and preparation that embraces proficiency in English by lecturers and students, and specific professional learning by lecturers about CBI methods. Encouraging positive attitudes towards integrated Mathematics and Science teaching in English among all lecturers and students rests with strategies to raise their English proficiency levels. The link between attitude towards integrated Mathematics and Science teaching in English and level of English proficiency provides the basis from which to start improving proficiency levels. The fact that both lecturers and students shared the view that good English proficiency is required to learn Mathematics and Science content in English, and to teach that content in English, means that the task of raising English proficiency should be attainable. However, students who struggled to learn Mathematics and Science in English expected lecturers to emphasise the content, and to give proportional attention to both conversational and academic English, with a greater focus on the latter. Proportional attention given to both content and language (English) is the core principle of integrated content and language instruction, where students learn the language used in the context of the content taught, not as a separate subject. Unfortunately, the study's findings indicate that such attention was rarely paid as a result of some lecturers' limited English proficiency. Conversely, even if the lecturers' English proficiency was very good, some students' proficiency was lacking. Therefore, both lecturers and students need a competent level of English proficiency for the integrated learning of Mathematics and Science in English to succeed. English competence alone, however, will not solve the issues of integration. Lecturers must be knowledgeable about integrated content and language instruction (CBI) in order to deliver the information in ways the students can understand. Indeed, this study suggests that lecturers should be well trained in the effective implementation of integrated content and language instruction in addition to being proficient in English.

TEACHING MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE IN ENGLISH

A Postscript to the Study

In 2013, and as the current study drew to a close, the Indonesian Central Government revoked the SBI policy after it had been in operation for six years. This decision, however, does not render the ISSTE program irrelevant. Many private primary and secondary schools across Indonesia continue to offer bilingual education that applies integrated content and language instruction. Moreover, this approach is gaining attention in many tertiary education programs in Indonesia. In short, content-specialist teachers who are also proficient in English are in demand to meet the needs of these CBI programs.

NOTE

- ⁱ The minimum accepted TOEFL score for admission to an Australian university is 550.

REFERENCES

- Babbie, E. R. (2010). *The practice of social research*. Belmont, CA: Cengage Learning.
- Boswell, P. D. (2011). The relevance of sheltered and immersion second language approaches to EFL in Japanese public schools. Retrieved from <http://mitizane.ll.chiba-u.jp>
- Brinton, D. M., Snow, M. A. & Weshe, M. (2011). *Content-based second language instruction*. Ann Arbor, Michigan: University of Michigan Press.
- Butler, Y. G. (2005). Content-based instruction in EFL contexts: Consideration for effective implementation. *JALT Journal*, 27(2), 227–242.
- Cammarata, L. (2009). Negotiating curricular transitions: Foreign language teachers' learning experience with content-based instruction. *The Canadian Modern language Review*, 65(4), 559–585.
- Coleman, H. (2009a). *Indonesia's 'International Standard Schools': What are they for?* Paper presented at the 8th Language and Development Conference, Dhaka, 23–25 June 2009.
- Coleman, H. (2009b). *Teaching other subjects through English in two Asian nations: A review*. Paper presented at the British Council Symposium on English Bilingual Education, Jakarta, Indonesia, 9–11 June 2009.
- Crandall, J. A. (1993). Content-centered learning in the United States. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 13, 110–126.
- Department of National Education. (2004). *Pedoman Pembelajaran Matematika dan Sains dalam Bahasa Inggris. [Guideline for Mathematics and Science Instruction in English]*. Jakarta: Direktorat Pendidikan Lanjutan Pertama.
- Liaw, M. L. (2007). Content-based reading and writing for critical thinking skills in an EFL context. *English Teaching and Learning*, 31(2), 45–87.
- Mirizon, S. (2014). *Integrated content and language instruction in a teacher education program in Indonesia* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Flinders University, Australia.
- Okazaki, M. (1997). *Theoretical basis of content-based instruction and its implication to EFL for Japanese universities*. (Departmental Bulletin Paper No. 14). Kanagawa: Kanagawa University Repository.
- Pessoa, S., Hendry, H., Donato, R., Tucker, G. R., & Lee, H. (2007). Content-based instruction in the foreign language classroom: A discourse perspective. *Foreign Language Annals*, 40(1), 102–121.
- Rivas, C. (2012). Coding and analysing qualitative data. In C. Seale (Ed.), *Researching society and culture* (3rd ed.). London: Sage Publications.

This eBook was made available by Sense Publishers to the authors and editors of this book, the series editor and the members of the editorial board. Unauthorized distribution will be prosecuted.

MIRIZON ET AL.

- Short, D. (1997). Reading and writing and social studies: Research on integrated language and content in second language classrooms. In M. A. Snow & D. M. Brinton (Eds.), *The content-based classroom: Perspectives on integrating language and content* (pp. 213–232). New York: Addison-Wesley Longman.
- Silverman, D. (2011). *Interpreting qualitative data*. London: Sage Publications, Ltd.
- Snow, M. A. (1998). Trends and issues in content-based instruction. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 18, 243–267.
- SPSS Inc. (2014). *SPSS for Windows* (Version 21.0) [Statistical analysis program]. Chicago: SPSS Inc.
- Stoller, F. (2002). Promoting the acquisition of knowledge in a content-based course. In J. Crandall & D. Kaufman (Eds.), *Content-based instruction in higher education settings* (pp. 109–123). Alexandria, VA: TESOL.
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). *Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory-procedures and techniques*. Newbury Park: Sage Publication, Ltd.
- Teddlie, C., & Tashakkori, A. (2009). *Foundations of mixed methods research: Integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches in the social and behavioral sciences*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Willis, A. S. (1998). Feasibility of content-based instruction in Japanese foreign language courses: Some questions to ask. *Studies in International Relations*, 19(1), 39–60.

Soni Mirizon EdD
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
Sriwijaya University, Indonesia

Ben Wadham PhD, GradCert Public Policy, BA (Hons)
School of Education
Flinders University, Australia

David D. Curtis PhD
School of Education
Flinders University, Australia

Teaching mathematics and science in English at a university in Indonesia: Lecturers' and students' attitudes to the initiative

ORIGINALITY REPORT

7%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	elisilk.net Internet	125 words — 3%
2	www.loot.co.za Internet	43 words — 1%
3	journal.uniku.ac.id Internet	24 words — 1%
4	Dimova, Svetos. "Foreign Language for Content: Aiming to Develop Lifelong Learning Dispositions", Proquest, 2014. ProQuest	20 words — < 1%
5	www.jalt-publications.org Internet	17 words — < 1%
6	coe.georgiasouthern.edu Internet	16 words — < 1%
7	Khethwa, Nomsa Reginah. "Education Transformation and Curriculum Change: Case Studies of South African History Curriculum Fet Phase in Four Gauteng Schools", University of Johannesburg (South Africa), 2022 ProQuest	14 words — < 1%
8	Irfaner, Semih. "Implementation of the Components of Critical Thinking in an English 101 Course in the First Year English Program at Bilkent University", Bilkent Universitesi (Turkey) ProQuest	10 words — < 1%
9	McCallops, Kathleen. "Exploring the Intergenerational Schooling Experiences and Attitudes toward Schooling among Street-Identified Black American Girls and Women through a Critical Race Feminist	9 words — < 1%

Lens: An Explanatory Sequential Mixed Methods Study",
University of Delaware, 2022

ProQuest

10	hrcak.srce.hr Internet	9 words — < 1%
11	onlinelibrary.wiley.com Internet	9 words — < 1%
12	nrl.northumbria.ac.uk Internet	8 words — < 1%
13	Martin, Nelly. "Bahasa Gado-Gado in Indonesian Popular Texts: Expanding Indonesian Identities through Code-Switching with English.", The University of Wisconsin - Madison, 2017 ProQuest	7 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF