

File Jtech

By Bhakti Suprpto

WORD COUNT

3238

TIME SUBMITTED

19-MAR-2022 09:51PM

PAPER ID

83837545



JOURNAL OF TELECOMMUNICATION ELECTRONIC AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING

- HOME
- ABOUT
- LOGIN
- REGISTER
- CATEGORIES
- SEARCH
- CURRENT
- ARCHIVES

Home > Vol 9, No 2-6

JOURNAL OF TELECOMMUNICATION, ELECTRONIC AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING (JTEC)

Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering (JTEC)

ISSN: 2180-1843

eISSN: 2289-8131

JTEC is a peer-reviewed journal by Faculty of Electronic and Computer Engineering (FKEKK), Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM). This journal is used for dissemination of original and profound research in all fields on Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering, by both local and international authors, aiming to present the latest development and achievements in scientific research.

Cost of publication

The manuscript selected to publish in normal issue of this journal is free of charges. For special issue publication, please contact the journal administrator at jtec@utem.edu.my.

Publication Issues

Starting from 2017, the normal issues publication of this journal is four times a year on January, April, July and October every year.

For authors that have submitted manuscripts to JTEC, reviewing, acknowledgement and publishing process may take up to 6 months.

JTEC is currently abstracted and indexed in SCOPUS (Q4), Google Scholar, Malaysian Journal Management System (MYJurnal) and Malaysian Citation Index (MYCite).

<http://journal.utem.edu.my/index.php/jtec>

<https://www.facebook.com/JTEC.UTeM/>



OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

Journal Help

USER

Username

Password

Remember me

NOTIFICATIONS

View

Subscribe

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

All

Browse

By Issue

By Author

By Title

Other Journals

Categories

FONT SIZE



Home > About the Journal > Editorial Board

EDITORIAL BOARD

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Professor Dato' Dr. Mohamad Kadim Sualdi, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malaysia

EDITORIAL ADVISORY PANEL

Professor John Senior, University of Hertfordshire, UK
Professor Garik Markarian, Lancaster University, UK
Professor Tapan K. Sarkar, Syracuse University, NY, USA
Professor Manos M. Tentzeris, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, USA
Professor Ing. Carl James Debono, University of Malta, Malta
Professor Valdemar C. Da Rocha Jr., Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil
Shahrin Sahib @ Sahibuddin, Vice Chancellor Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malaysia
Professor Datuk Dr. Khairuddin Ab Hamid, University Malaysia of Computer Science and Engineering (UNIMY), Malaysia
Professor Dr. Kaharudin Dimiyati, University of Malaya (UM), Malaysia
Associate Professor Dr. M. Hariharan, SRM University, India, India
Associate Professor Muhammad Syahrir Johal, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malaysia
Dr. Hazlan Abbas, favorit Sdn. Bhd., Malaysia

TECHNICAL EDITOR

Azmi Awang, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka
Mohd Shahril Izuan Mohd Zin, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malaysia
Siti Rosmaniza Ab Rashid, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malaysia
Sani Irwan Salim, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malaysia
Mohd Saari Mohamed Isa, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia, Melaka, Malaysia

ISSN: 2180-1843

eISSN: 2289-8131

OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

Journal Help

USER

Username

Password

Remember me

NOTIFICATIONS

View

Subscribe

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

All

Browse

By Issue

By Author

By Title

Other Journals

Categories

FONT SIZE

INFORMATION

For Readers

For Authors

For Librarians



Home > Archives > Vol 9, No 2-5

VOL 9, NO 2-5 CIRCUIT AND SYSTEM ADVANCEMENT IN MODERN WORLD IV

Chief Editor: Mohd Azlishah Othman
Editors: Hamzah Asyran Sulaiman, Yahya Abd Rahim, Mohd Fairuz Iskandar Othman, Ahmad Naim Che Pee

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLES

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Design and Analysis of SIW Bandstop Filters for Interference Suppression in X Band <i>Mohammad Hanif Hazlan, Badrul Hisham Ahmad, Mohd Nor Husain, Zahriadha Zakaria, Noor Azwan Shairi, Mohd Khairi Zahari, Normikman Hassan</i> | PDF 1-5 |
| Design and Analysis of Modified-Proportional Fair Scheduler for LTE Femtocell Networks <i>M. K. Ismail, A. A. M. Isa, M. S. Johal, M. R. Ahmad, M. S. I. M. Zin, M. S. M. Isa M. Isa, H. Normikman, M. F. M. Mahyuddin</i> | PDF 7-11 |
| Rectangular Microstrip Patch Antenna with Different Location of Hinkowski Island Split Ring Resonator (MI-SRR) Structure <i>Normikman Hassan, Muhammad Syafiq Noor Azizi, Badrul Hisham Ahmad, Mohamad Zainol Abidin Abd. Aziz, Hamizan Abu Bakar, Mohamad Hafize Ramli, Mohd Azlishah Othman, Hamzah Asyran Sulaiman</i> | PDF 13-16 |
| Compact Ultra-Wideband Antenna Using Coplanar Stripline (CPS)-Fed <i>Muhammad Syafiq Noor Azizi, Normikman Hasan, Azahari Salleh, Adib Othman, Nor Azlan Mohd Aris, Najmiah Radiah Mohamad, Mohamad Hafize Ramli</i> | PDF 17-20 |
| Wireless Electrical via Electromagnetic Induction <i>Muhammad Syafiq Noor Azizi, Najmiah Radiah Mohamad, Azahari Salleh, Normikman Hassan</i> | PDF 21-26 |
| Application of a Stable Latency Insertion Method for Simulations of Power Distribution Networks <i>Kin Hang Tan, Patrick Goh, Mohd Fadzi Ain</i> | PDF 27-31 |
| A Fault Detection Algorithm using Multiple Residual Generation Filters <i>Pyeong Soo Kim</i> | PDF 33-36 |
| Input-Support-Output Model Evaluation Using Clustering Analysis on Indonesia High School Dataset <i>Feri Wijayanto</i> | PDF 37-41 |
| A Survey: Framework to Develop Retrieval Algorithms of Indexing Techniques on Learning Material <i>Zamri Abu Bakar, Murizah Kassim, Mohamad Norzamani Sahroni, Nurhilyana Anuar</i> | PDF 43-46 |
| Optimization of Algorithms in Relation to Beacon <i>Jan Budina, Martin Zmitko, Ravel K/iz</i> | PDF 47-51 |
| Security Warning Life Cycle: Challenges and Panacea <i>Nur Farhana Samsudin, Zarul Fitri Zaaba</i> | PDF 53-57 |
| Impacts of SCIG and DFIG on Voltage Stability in Greater Banjul Area Utility, The Gambia <i>S. Sowe, S. Somkun, P. Pachananan, S. Wattana</i> | PDF 59-63 |
| Analysis of Threats and Attacks Impacts on Smart Grid Networks <i>J. Horalek, F. Holik</i> | PDF 65-69 |
| Brain Computer Interface Game Controlling Using Fast Fourier Transform and Learning Vector Quantization <i>Emeralda C. Djamel, Maulana Y. Abdullah, Faiza Renaldi</i> | PDF 71-74 |
| Four-Dimensional Homogeneous Systolic Pyramid Automata <i>Makoto Nagatomo, Makoto Sakamoto, Chongyang Sun, Shinnosuke Yano, Setsoshi Ikeda, Takao Ito, Tsutomu Ito, Yasuo Uchida, Tsunehiro Yoshinaga</i> | PDF 75-77 |
| Energy Meter for Charging Stand in Smart Buildings <i>Z. Slanina, T. Dočekal</i> | PDF 79-82 |
| Non-Overlapping Ratios as Fitness Function in Optimisation Spatial Layout Design <i>Fadratul Hafinaz Hassan, Hoo Wah Kit, Nik Mohamad Lokman Nik Rosni, Nur Dalila Aainaa Azhar</i> | PDF 83-86 |
| Low Cost Battery Management System <i>Z. Slanina, J. Dedek, M. Golembiovsky</i> | PDF 87-90 |
| Reducing False Detection during Inspection of HDD using Super Resolution Image Processing and Deep Learning <i>Jirarat Ieamsaard, Prode Eika Sandnes, Paisarn Muneesawang</i> | PDF 91-95 |
| Design and Development of Low Cost Coral Monitoring System for Shallow Water Based on Internet of Underwater Things <i>Abid Famasya Abdillah, Muhammad Hervindra Berlian, Yohanes Yohanie Fridein Panduman, Muhammad Aditya Wildan Akbar, Marlisa Anifatul Afifah, Anang Tjahjono, Sritrusta Sukaridhoto, Shiori Sasaki</i> | PDF 97-101 |
| Optimized Neural Network-Direct Inverse Control for Attitude Control of Heavy-Lift Hexacopter <i>Bhakti Yudho Suprpto, Benyamin Kusumoputro</i> | PDF 103-107 |
| Hybrid-PI Fuzzy Controller Based Static Var Compensator for Voltage Regulation under Uncertain Load Conditions <i>Itiyo Winarno, Heri Suryatmojo, Mochamad Ashari</i> | PDF 109-112 |
| Real Time Global Scheduling Analysis for Generalized DAG Task Upon Heterogeneous Machine <i>Adhe Widanajaya, Dadet Pramadihanto, Sritrusta Sukaridhoto</i> | PDF 113-117 |
| Named Data Object Organization in Distributed Name Resolution System for Information Centric Network Environment <i>Suhaidi Hassan, Walid Elbreiki, Shivalieela Arimatti, Adib Habbal</i> | PDF 119-122 |
| The Utilization of Automated Tools in the Automated Continuous Integration Practice Case Study: Medical Record Application <i>Ela Triano Samsosir, Hernawati Samsosir, Inggriani Liem, Yudistira Dwi</i> | PDF 123-127 |
| Transformed Stereo Vision and Structure Sensor for Development 3D Mapping on "FLoW" Humanoid Robot in Real Time <i>Ardiansyah Al Farouq, Raden Sanggar Dewanto, Dadet Pramadihanto</i> | PDF 129-133 |
| A Quarter Car ARX Model Identification Based on Real Car Test Data <i>Diman Hanafi, Mohammad Saiful Haq, Mohd Syafiq Sa'id, Mohd Fua'ad Rahmat</i> | PDF 135-138 |
| Fish Freshness Determination through Support Vector Machine <i>Lean Karlo S. Tolentino, John William F. Orillo, Paolo D. Aguacito, Ezel Jaya M. Colango, John Robert H. Mallit, John Timothy G. Marcelino, Angelique C. Nadora, Aldrin John D. Odeza</i> | PDF 139-143 |
| SoilNATe: Soil Macronutrients and pH Level Assessment for Rice Plant through Digital Image Processing Using Artificial Neural Network <i>Nilo M. Arago, John William F. Orillo, Jenskie Jerlin Haban, Jomer Juan, John Carlo Puno, Jay Fel Quijano, Gian Matthew Tuazon</i> | PDF 145-149 |
| Person Re-identification Using 3D Data Analysis Method and Kinect Sensor <i>Wirut Kwankhoom, Paisarn Muneesawang</i> | PDF 151-154 |

OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

Journal Help

USER

Username

Password

Remember me

Login

NOTIFICATIONS

View

Subscribe

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

1-5

7-11

PDF

13-16

PDF

17-20

PDF

21-26

PDF

27-31

PDF

33-36

PDF

37-41

PDF

43-46

PDF

47-51

PDF

53-57

PDF

59-63

PDF

65-69

PDF

71-74

PDF

75-77

PDF

79-82

PDF

83-86

PDF

87-90

PDF

91-95

PDF

97-101

PDF

103-107

PDF

109-112

PDF

113-117

PDF

119-122

PDF

123-127

PDF

129-133

PDF

135-138

PDF

139-143

PDF

145-149

PDF

151-154

Browse

By Issue

By Author

Other Journals

Categories

FONT SIZE

A A A

INFORMATION

For Readers

For Authors

For Librarians

Optimized Neural Network-Direct Inverse Control for Attitude Control of Heavy-Lift Hexacopter

Bhakti Yudho Suprpto^{1,2}, Benyamin Kusumoputro¹

¹Department of Electrical Engineering, Universitas Indonesia, Kampus Baru UI Depok, Indonesia.

²Department of Electrical Engineering, Universitas Sriwijaya, Inderalaya, Sumatera Selatan, Indonesia.
kusumo@ee.ui.ac.id

Abstract—This paper discusses a neural network based on direct inverse control (DIC) to control the roll, pitch, and yaw in maintaining the hovering condition of a heavy-lift hexacopter. To improve the control of the hexacopter, the authors propose a DIC-optimized method of retraining the inverse model using new data collected from optimal motions of the hexacopter generated by the desired input. The experiment showed that both the DIC model and the DIC-optimized model had good performances with small MSSE values; however, the latter was more effective than the former.

Index Terms—DIC; Heavy-Lift Hexacopter; Neural Network; Optimized DIC.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hexacopter is classified as one of the unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) within the rotary-wing group classification. The rotary wing vehicles are the most favored types of UAV due to numerous reasons, such as, their ability to hover and perform vertical take-offs and landings (VTOLs) that do not require a long runway facilities and their ability to undertake exceptional maneuvers [1].

Hexacopters use six rotors with its propellers that are placed on either side of a rigid body frame. Therefore, they are able to lift heavier payloads, perform better maneuvers and have a better stability level compared with the quadcopter. However, the additional numbers of rotors cause additional complications to the hexacopter. As it is well known, the hexacopter has a characteristic of a nonlinear system, including the problem of a coupling and parametric uncertainty. As a consequence, the control state for a stable flight performance of the hexacopter is more challenging.

Numerous studies have been conducted to solve the hexacopter control issues, such as using a Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) [1, 2], an input-output feedback linearization [3], and a back-stepping control algorithm [4]. However, these control systems are not suitable for the nonlinear system of the hexacopter system, showing a lower performance in terms of robustness and less adaptive to the environment and parameter variations due to outside disturbance.

Another control method that might be used for hexacopter is the neural network (NN) [5, 6]; however, as far as we know, the development of the neural networks based control system for a hexacopter is still in the simulation stage. This paper discusses the design of a control system for a hexacopter movement, especially, the hovering ability. The proposed control system for the hexacopter is a neural network based on a direct inverse control (DIC) scheme because neural networks is proven to be able to model

any nonlinear systems using its learning mechanism, and it has the ability to adaptively follow to the changing environment of the utilized plants. The learning of the neural networks is accomplished by using the real flight-data taken from experiments that only focus on the hovering movement.

This paper consists of the following sections: Section II explains the dynamic model of the heavy-lift hexacopter, while Section III discusses the development of the neural networks based control system under DIC scheme method. The experimental results and its analysis of the proposed controller's output response are discussed in Section IV. The conclusion is given in Section V.

II. DYNAMIC MODELING OF THE HEAVY-LIFT HEXACOPTER

The heavy-lift hexacopter is moved, in simple terms, by six rotors placed at the end of the frame. The structure and frame of a hexacopter are shown in Figure 1 as follows:




Figure 1: Structure and frames of hexacopter

As can be seen from this figure, the description of the hexacopter's movement uses two references, namely the inertia of the earth frame (E-frame) and the body frame (B-frame). It can also be clearly seen that the three Euler angles, the yaw angle ψ , pitch angle θ , and roll angle ϕ , forming a vector $\eta = [\phi, \theta, \psi]^T$, which constitute the orientation of the hexacopter's movement. Vector $\xi = [x, y, z]^T$ is the frame inertia taken from the position of the hexacopter. As a consequence, the transformation between the angle velocity and the position of the body fixed into the inertia frame, can be calculated through a matrix R as follows:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \psi & \cos \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi - \cos \phi \sin \psi & \cos \phi \cos \psi \sin \theta + \sin \phi \sin \psi \\ \cos \theta \sin \psi & \cos \phi \cos \psi + \sin \theta \sin \phi \sin \psi & \cos \phi \sin \psi \sin \theta - \cos \psi \sin \phi \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \sin \phi & \cos \theta \cos \phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

The movement of the hexacopter, in essence, depends on the gravity and the thrust from the rotors, but the influence of the drag rotor and the air friction also play an important role,

which makes the mathematical model of the hexacopter more difficult. In addition, there are various parameters that influence the aerodynamic of the hexacopter, which should be considered, such as the propeller rotation, propeller velocity changes which resulting additional torque, and the gyroscope effect caused by direction changes from the hexacopter. Considering the hexacopter is assumed to have a rigid body and symmetrical structure and using the Newton-Euler formula, the dynamic model of the hexacopter can be derived as below:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{X} = -(\sin \psi \sin \phi + \cos \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi) \frac{U_1}{m} \\ \ddot{Y} = (-\cos \phi \sin \theta \sin \psi - \cos \psi \sin \phi) \frac{U_1}{m} \\ \ddot{Z} = -g + (\cos \theta \cos \phi) \frac{U_1}{m} \\ \ddot{\phi} = (\theta \psi (I_{yy} - I_{zz}) + \tau_x) / I_{xx} \\ \ddot{\theta} = (\phi \psi (I_{zz} - I_{xx}) + \tau_y) / I_{yy} \\ \ddot{\psi} = (\phi \theta (I_{xx} - I_{yy}) + \tau_z) / I_{zz} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

and the relationship between the base movement and the propeller velocity can be seen in the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} U_1 = b(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2 + \omega_5^2 + \omega_6^2) \\ U_2 = l b(-\omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2 + \omega_5^2 + \omega_6^2) \\ U_3 = l b(-\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 - \omega_6^2 + \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2 + \omega_5^2) \\ U_4 = d(-\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2 - \omega_5^2 + \omega_6^2) \\ \omega = -\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 + \omega_4 - \omega_5 + \omega_6 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

in which, $\ddot{X}, \ddot{Y}, \ddot{Z}$ are the hexacopter linear acceleration with respect to E, $\ddot{\phi}, \ddot{\theta}, \ddot{\psi}$ are the hexacopter angular acceleration with respect to B, m is the mass of the hexacopter, g is the acceleration due to gravity, I_{xx}, I_{yy}, I_{zz} are the body moment of inertia around the xyz -axis, U_1 is the vertical thrust, U_2 is the roll torque, U_3 is the pitch torque, U_4 is the yaw torque, ω_n is the propeller speed, b is the thrust factor, d is the drag factor, and l is the distance between the center of hexacopter and the center of the propeller.

Based on these equations, it can be seen that the input of the hexacopter model is the rotor rotation velocity, while the output is the attitude angle of the hexacopter, i.e., the roll, the pitch, and the yaw and the altitude. To demonstrate this in more detail, the hexacopter movement control system can be depicted in a block diagram as shown in Figure 2:




Figure 2: Block diagram of hexacopter control system

As can be seen in Figure 2, the altitude control system, which acts to control the roll (ϕ), the pitch (θ), and the yaw (ψ) of the hexacopter that has to be the same as the input reference, is known as the inner-control loop. Meanwhile, the position control, which is the movement control in the

vertical plane of the z-axis (altitude control) and in the horizontal plane in the xy-axis, is called the outer-control loop. In this paper, the main focus of the research is to control the hovering movement characteristics by maintaining the distance between the height of the hexacopter and the ground, hence this paper only considered with the inner-control loop only.

The hexacopter used in this research has a frame length of 2.4 m, with the total thrust in each motor is 12.34 kg. The main components of the hexacopter are six units of the Electronic Speed Controller T-Flame 80 A, six units of BLDC T-Motor U11 100 KV motors, six pieces of Propeller Carbon Fiber Xoar 28" x 8", one unit of microcontroller, Compass, and GPS sensors. This hexacopter is also equipped with an inertial measurement unit (IMU) that consists of a gyroscope, an accelerometer and a barometer, one unit of radio control, a voltage regulator and four Li-Po 12S 10,000 mAh batteries.

III. NEURAL NETWORKS BASED ON DIRECT INVERSE CONTROL (DIC)

A control system to be used for a nonlinear characteristic of any plant is very difficult to accomplish; hence, it requires extensive system knowledge and a rather complicated mathematical model. One of the most widely used methods to overcome this problem is a neural network based inverse control system scheme, in which the neural network has the ability to model any nonlinear system.

A neural network is one of the most accurate means of identifying a dynamic system that has nonlinear characteristics [7]. Direct inverse control (DIC) scheme is a simple system that includes neural networks as the core of the control system and another neural networks performing the system identification of the plant. DIC scheme, then, is a cascade system of the inverse model of the plant as the control system and system identification that mimick the plant behavior. The block diagram of the NN-DIC system is presented in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Block diagram of DIC

Both the neural networks based inverse controller and system identification are then trained by using the experimental data acquired from the heavy-lift hexacopter.

An identification model for a non-linear system with input x and output y can be described as:

$$y(k) = f(\varphi(k), \theta) \quad (4)$$

where $y(k)$ is the output model, $\varphi(k)$ is the regression vector, θ is the parameter vector, and f is the function, which represents the mapping function between $y(k)$, $\varphi(k)$ and θ .

Based on the structure of the nonlinear autoregressive with exogenous (NARX) model, the regression vector can be determined by the number of prior input and output as follows:

$$\varphi(k) = (y(k-1), \dots, y(k-N_y), x(k-1), \dots, x(k-N_x)) \quad (5)$$

where N_y and N_x are the numbers of output and input memory which correspond to the delay of the output and input data in the model, respectively.

Having explained the identification model for a non-linear system, the inverse controller model is basically the reverse of the plant identification model, which can be described by the following equation:

$$\hat{x}(k) = f(x(k-1), \dots, x(k-N_x), y(k+1), \dots, y(k-N_y)) \quad (6)$$

In this experiment, the chosen number of delay for both the input and output data, N_x and N_y is 2. A block diagram of the identification system and inverse model can be seen in Figure 4, where the neural network configuration for the identification system has 26 input neurons, hidden layer with 35 neurons, output layer with 4 neurons and that for the inverse control system is 24 input neurons, 35 hidden neurons, 6 output neurons.




Figure 4: the block diagram of a) identification system, b) Inverse model

Based on the hexacopter model as described in Equation (2) and (3), the plant input $x(k)$ consists of the six motor speeds (PWM#1 to PWM#6), while the plant output $y(k)$ consists of the dynamics of the roll, pitch, yaw, and altitude movements.

The optimized DIC method was conducted to improve the performance of DIC in terms of the characteristics of the dynamical system, such as a low margin of error, fast settling time, and stable responses [8]. The first step was performed by assigning a set point value to the DIC model. This step produces new data of the dynamic system and motor speed. After that, the training to the inverse model was carried out again using the new data. The weights obtained from this training were then used for the optimized DIC system.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this research, data acquisition was conducted by moving heavy-lift hexacopter on a hovering state using a testbed. The data were acquired twice, one as the training data and the other as the testing data. Identification system and the

inverse model training were then performed using the back propagation learning algorithm.

The identification training converged after 74,925 epoch, resulting a training Mean Sum Square Error (MSSE) of 4.129×10^{-5} . The identification testing showed a MSSE value of 8.2618×10^{-4} . The outcome of the identification test showed good results in which the output response is able to follow the reference data. Meanwhile, the inverse model training converged after 37,741 epoch and the training MSSE value was 3.83×10^{-2} . The inverse model test produced a MSSE value of 6.68×10^{-2} . This low error value reflects that the inverse model training has succeeded in modeling the inverse of the heavy-lift hexacopter.

The weights obtained from the identification and inverse model training were then utilized for the NN-based DIC system. The testing results of the DIC are depicted in Figure 5.

From Figure 5, it can be seen that the responses of DIC can follow the given test data and produce a MSSE value of 0.0014, although there are 1.71° of discrepancy in the pitch data. Maximum error occurs due to the significant movement shift when the hexacopter is performing a dramatic inverse movement.

To comprehend the details of the errors, the response of each movement can be analyzed using the standard deviation which states the maximum error limit of the data. The produced errors are considered to be acceptable if their values are below the corresponding standard deviation. The standard deviation of error can be obtained using the following equation:

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (e_{err_i} - \bar{e}_{err})^2}{n-1}} \quad (7)$$

in which s is the standard deviation, \bar{e}_{err} is the mean error, e_{err} is the error, and n is the total data. Using Equation (7), the standard deviations of the error for roll, pitch, yaw and altitude movements are 4.004° , 6.228° , 2.076° and 0.186 centimeters, respectively.

The error values for roll, pitch, yaw and altitude movements can be seen in Figure 6, and 7 respectively. Based on the error values in Figure 6(a), the maximum error for roll movement is 3.373° . This value is still below the error standard deviation of 4.004° . Therefore, it remains within the acceptable limit. Regarding the pitch movement, as seen in Figure 6(b), the maximum error is 5.426° and the standard deviation is 6.228° . In terms of the yaw movement, as seen in Figure 7(a), the maximum error is 1.683° while the standard deviation is 2.076° . Thus, all the occurred errors for pitch and yaw movements are still within the acceptable limit.

The hovering movement is the movement of the hexacopter at a certain height in which the position is certain, or where it can be said that the hexacopter is in a fixed z-axis or altitude. In relation to this, the highest error of altitude in Figure 7(b) of 0.006567 centimeters can be considered as a very small error. In other words, the hexacopter has succeeded in maintaining its altitude. Furthermore, this maximum error value is far below its error standard deviation of 0.186 centimeters. Overall, the error values in every movement are still within the acceptable range.




Figure 5: DIC response test result




Figure 6: Error graphs test result at (a) Roll dan (b) Pitch movement




Figure 7: Error graphs test result at (a) Yaw movement and (b) Altitude

For the optimized DIC, the generated set point data were roll = 0° , pitch = 0° , yaw = 299° , and altitude = 1,035 m. This data were then utilized to retrain the inverse model of the hexacopter. Optimized DIC system was built using the obtained weight, and the comparison of the testing result for the optimized and unoptimized DIC is depicted in Figure 8.

Figure 8 shows that the responses of the optimized DIC were better than those of the unoptimized DIC. The MSSE value of the former was $8,6 \times 10^{-5}$, while that of the latter was $1,72 \times 10^{-3}$. A more comprehensive comparison of both methods is given in Table 1.

Table 1 shows that the errors in roll, pitch, and yaw movements in the optimized DIC were smaller than those in the unoptimized DIC. However, the settling time of the optimized DIC was slower than that of the unoptimized DIC due to the existence of small oscillations causing longer time to reach its stable state.




Figure 8: Comparison of the Optimized and the Unoptimized DIC Testing

Table 1
Comparison between Optimized DIC Model and Unoptimized DIC Model during hovering

| Model | MSSE | | | Settling time |
|-----------------|--------|--------|------|---------------|
| | roll | pitch | yaw | |
| Unoptimized DIC | 0.0418 | 0.0824 | 0.15 | 11 s |
| Optimized DIC | 0.0287 | 0.0467 | 0.06 | 13 s |

V. CONCLUSION

This paper showed that the heavy-lift hexacopter was able to maintain a hovering condition using NN-DIC. The error values in every movement, which consist of roll, pitch, yaw and altitude, are still within the acceptable range. The optimized DIC method showed better performance than the unoptimized DIC in terms of smaller errors; however, the settling time of optimized DIC was slower than that of the unoptimized DIC. The maneuver control of the heavy-lift hexacopter will be developed in the future.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alaimo, V. Artale, C. L. R. Milazzo, and A. Ricciardello, "PID controller applied to hexacopter flight," *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, vol. 73, pp. 261-270, 2014.
- [2] F. Šolc and R. Baránek, "Attitude control of multicopter," 2012, Západočeská univerzita v Plzni, Fakulta elektrotechnická, pp. 10-12, 2012.
- [3] R. Baranek and F. Šolc, "Modelling and control of a hexa-copter," in *Carpathian Control Conference (ICCC), 2012 13th International*, 2012, pp. 19-23.
- [4] A. Arellano-Muro, L. F. Luque-Vega, B. Castillo-Toledo, and A. G. Loukianov, "Backstepping control with sliding mode estimation for a hexacopter," in *Electrical Engineering, Computing Science and Automatic Control (CCE), 2013 10th International Conference on*, 2013, pp. 31-36.
- [5] V. Artale, M. Collotta, G. Pau, and A. Ricciardello, "Hexacopter trajectory control using a neural network," in *11TH International Conference Of Numerical Analysis And Applied Mathematics 2013 (ICNAAM 2013)*, Rhodes, Greece, 2013, pp. 1216-1219.
- [6] M. Collotta, G. Pau, and R. Caponetto, "A real-time system based on a neural network model to control hexacopter trajectories," in *Power Electronics, Electrical Drives, Automation and Motion (SPEEDAM), 2014 International Symposium on*, 2014, pp. 222-227.
- [7] Benyamin Kusumoputro, K. Priandana, W. Wahab, "System Identification And Control Of Pressure Process Rig® System Using Backpropagation Neural Networks," *ARNP*, vol. 10 pp. 7190-7195, September 2015 2015.
- [8] M. A. Heryanto ,W. Wahab, and B. Kusumoputro, "Optimization of a neural network based direct inverse control for controlling a quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicle," in *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 2015, p. 04003.

28%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Bhakti Yudho Suprpto, M. Ary Heryanto, Herwin Suprijono, Benyamin Kusumoputro. "Altitude Control of Heavy-Lift Hexacopter using Direct Inverse Control Based on Elman Recurrent Neural Network", Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Computer Modeling and Simulation - ICCMS '17, 2017
Crossref 161 words — 5%
- 2** eprints.unsri.ac.id
Internet 141 words — 5%
- 3** edas.info
Internet 139 words — 5%
- 4** eprints.utm.edu.my
Internet 52 words — 2%
- 5** Bhakti Yudho Suprpto, Benyamin Kusumoputro. "A Comparison of Back Propagation Neural Network and Elman Recurrent Neural Network Algorithms on Altitude Control of Heavy-lift Hexacopter Based on Direct Inverse Control", 2018 International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (ICECOS), 2018
Crossref 40 words — 1%
- 6** Benyamin Kusumoputro, Herwin Suprijono, Muhammad Ary Heryanto, Bhakti Yudho Suprpto. "Development of an attitude control system of a heavy-lift 36 words — 1%

hexacopter using Elman recurrent neural networks", 2016 22nd International Conference on Automation and Computing (ICAC), 2016

Crossref

7 www.8-legs.org 29 words — 1%

Internet

8 Karlisa Priandana, Wahidin Wahab, Benyamin Kusumoputro. "Comparison of Neural Networks

Based Direct Inverse Control Systems for a Double Propeller Boat Model", Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Network, Communication and Computing - ICNCC '16, 2016

Crossref

9 M. Hassan Tanveer, D. Hazry, S. Faiz Ahmed, M. Kamran Joyo et al. "NMPC-PID based control

structure design for avoiding uncertainties in attitude and altitude tracking control of quad-rotor (UAV)", 2014 IEEE 10th International Colloquium on Signal Processing and its Applications, 2014

Crossref

10 www.ijais.org 26 words — 1%

Internet

11 ebin.pub 24 words — 1%

Internet

12 journal.utm.edu.my 24 words — 1%

Internet

13 Bharindra Kamanditya, Benyamin Kusumoputro. "Elman Recurrent Neural Networks Based Direct

Inverse Control for Quadrotor Attitude and Altitude Control", 2020 International Conference on Intelligent Engineering and Management (ICIEM), 2020

14 R. Schacht-Rodriguez, G. Ortiz-Torres, C.D. Garcia-Beltra, C.M. Astorga-Zaragoza, J.C. Ponsart, D. Theilliol. "SoC estimation using an Extended Kalman filter for UAV applications", 2017 International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS), 2017 13 words — < 1%

Crossref

15 Bhakti Yudho Suprpto, Amsa Mustaqim, Wahidin Wahab, Benyamin Kusumoputro. "Modified elman recurrent neural network for attitude and altitude control of heavy-lift hexacopter", 2017 15th International Conference on Quality in Research (QiR) : International Symposium on Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2017 12 words — < 1%

Crossref

16 I. N. Ibrahim, M. A. A. Akkad, I. V. Abramov. "UAV efficient PID and LQR controllers design based on its stochastic state space dynamics model including disturbances", 2018 Moscow Workshop on Electronic and Networking Technologies (MWENT), 2018 12 words — < 1%

Crossref

17 ijiset.com 12 words — < 1%

Internet

18 mts.intechopen.com 12 words — < 1%

Internet

19 abdnoor80.weebly.com 9 words — < 1%

Internet

20 Freddi, Alessandro, Sauro Longhi, Andrea Monteriu, and Mario Prist. "Actuator fault detection and isolation system for an hexacopter", 2014 8 words — < 1%

21 Holger Voos. "Nonlinear state-dependent Riccati equation control of a quadrotor UAV", 2006 IEEE Conference on Computer Aided Control System Design 2006 IEEE International Conference on Control Applications 2006 IEEE International Symposium on Intelligent Control, 10/2006 8 words — < 1%
Crossref

22 Siddique, . "Neural Systems and Applications", Computational Intelligence Synergies of Fuzzy Logic Neural Networks and Evolutionary Computing, 2013. 8 words — < 1%
Crossref

23 orbilu.uni.lu 8 words — < 1%
Internet

24 pt.scribd.com 8 words — < 1%
Internet

25 Afif Widaryanto, Benyamin Kusumopotro. "Modeling and Designing Direct Inverse Control Using Back-propagation Neural Network for Skid Steering Boat Model", 2019 IEEE International Conference on Innovative Research and Development (ICIRD), 2019 6 words — < 1%
Crossref