ACM ISBN: 978-1-4503-4816-4

Proceedings of The 8th International Conference on Computer Modeling and Simulation

ACM ISBN: 978-1-4503-4816-4

PROCEEDINGS OF
The 8th International Conference on Computer Modeling and Simulation

ICCMS 2017

Canberra, Australia

January 20-23, 2017

ICPS
Published by ACM

The Association for Computing Machinery
2 Penn Plaza, Suite 701
New York New York 10121-0701

ACM COPYRIGHT NOTICE. Copyright © 2015 by the Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from Publications Dept., ACM, Inc., fax +1 (212) 869-0481, or permissions@acm.org.

For other copying of articles that carry a code at the bottom of the first or last page, copying is permitted provided that the per-copy fee indicated in the code is paid through the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, +1-978-750-8400, +1-978-750-4470 (fax).

ACM ISBN: 978-1-4503-4816-4

The 8th International Conference on Computer Modeling and Simulation

ICCMS 2017

Table of Contents

Preface……..……..……..

Model Design for Agent-based Simulation 42
H.P.M. Veeke, J.A. Ottjes and G. Lodewijks
A Genetic Programming Ensemble Method for Learning Dynamical System Models 47
Hassan Abdelbari and Kamran Shafi
Determining the UAV State Space Rotational Dynamics Model Using Algebraic Inversion Technique 52
Jemie Muliadi and Benyamin Kusumoputro
Dynamic Modelling and Simulation of Swash-Plate Engine Based on Kane Method 57
Yongqing Lian and Chunlai Li
Safety Design for Electric Vehicle Battery Mounting Methods by Using Computer Simulation 63
Kee Joo Kim and Si-Tae Won
NS-2 Simulation of VANET for Safety Applications: Issues and Solutions 67
Jingyu Li, Yunan Zhang, Jing Zhao, Yanbin Wang, Xiaomin Ma and Wei Wu
Numerical Simulation on flow fields for Coupling Gas and Coal Spontaneous Combustion in Gas Anomaly Area in coalmine 73
Wei-feng WANG, Jun DENG and Yuan-bin HOU
Maturity Model for Local E-Government: A Case Study 78
Sussy Bayona Oré and Vicente Morales Lozada

System Design and Evaluation

Performance Evaluation of a Remote Block Device with High-Speed Cluster Interconnects 84
Hyun-Hwa Choi, Kangho Kim, Dong-Jae Kang
A New Approach to Reliability Prediction in Component-based Systems 89
Tayebe Hayat and Habib Seifzadeh
IT Demand Management in Organizations: A Review 95
Luis Palacios Quichiz and Sussy Bayona Oré
Ontology-based Aspect Extraction for an Improved Sentiment Analysis in Summarization of Product Reviews 100
Ali Marstawi, Nurfadhlina Mohd Sharef, Teh Noranis Mohd Aris and Aida Mustapha
Polynomial-based Key Management Scheme for robotic system 105
Yunchuan Qin and Qi Xiao

Computer Science and Technology

The way of designing a simulation software in order to evaluate the economic performance in software development 109

David Kuhlen and Andreas Speck

Algorithm Improvement of Vocabulary Semantic Similarity with HowNet 114

Ying Qu, Tiezhu Yang and Min Wang

Adaptive Multiple Kernel Self-organizing Maps for Hyperspectral Image Classification 119

Noha S. Khattab, Shaheera Rashwan, Hala M.Ebeid, Howida A. Shedeed, Walaa M. Sheta and Mohamed F. Tolba

Punjabi Dialects Conversion System for Majhi, Malwai and Doabi Dialects 125

Anterpreet Kaur, Parminder Singh and Kamaldeep Kaur

Backward range simulation of Java bytecodes and reduction of its processing time 129

Yukio Hiranaka, Tetsuya Inafune, Shinichi Miura and Toshihiro Taketa

Altitude Control of Heavy-Lift Hexacopter using Direct Inverse Control Based on Elman Recurrent Neural Network 135

Bhakti Y. Suprapto, M Ary Heryanto, Herwin Suprijono and Benyamin Kusumoputro

Mesh Simplification Method Based on Reverse Interpolation Loop Subdivision 141

Zhuo Shi, Yalei An, Songhua Xu, Zhongshuai Wang, Ke Yu and Xiaonan Luo

A Framework of Real-time Wandering Management for Person with Dementia 146

Ashish Kumar, Maode Ma, Chiew Tong Lau and Syin Chan

Network Engineering and Technology

Social Network Analysis of Tweets on Typhoon during Haiyan and Hagupit 151

Ryan Rey Daga

Rewritable Stochastic Petri Nets 155

Lorenzo Capra

Research on Smart Distributed Self-healing Control Based on the Peer-to-peer Communication Net 160

ZhiYu Chen , Zheng Longquan, Lv Shaojuan and Han Weiwei

Mitigation of DOS Attacks on Video Traffic in Wireless Networks for better QoS 166

Ekbal M. Al-Hurani and Hussein R. Al-Zoubi

SEMRSA: Spectrum Efficient Modulation-aware Dynamic Routing & Spectrum Allocation in Elastic

Optical Network 170
Saptarashmi Bandyopadhyay, Vishal Dey, Monish Chatterjee and Uma Bhattacharya

Modern Electronic Technology and Application

Simulator for Simulating and Monitoring the Hypotensive Patients Blood Pressure Response of Phenylephrine Bolus Injection and Infusion with Open-loop and Closed loop Treatment 175
Guoyan Cao, Tomas Luspay, Behrouz Ebrahimi, Karolos Grigoriadis, Matthew Franchek, Nicole Marques, Jordan Wolf and George Kramer

A New Credibility Assessment Framework for Training Simulators 182
Shenglin Lin, Wei Li, Ping Ma and Ming Yang

A Novel Parallel Texture Feature Extraction Method Utilizing Log-Gabor Filter And Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) 187
Md. Aminur Rab Ratul, Sharif Ahmmad Raja and Jia Uddin

Estimation and Control of Sensorless Brushless DC Motor Drive using Ensemble Kalman Filter 192
Muhammad Rif'An, Feri Yusivar and Benyamin Kusumoputro

EMI-based Diagnosis to Grounding Grids by Combining Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition and ICA 196
Hengli Song, Qiang Wu and Haobin Dong

Preface

The 8th International Conference on Computer Modeling and Simulation (ICCMS 2017) was held in Canberra, Australia during January 20-23, 2017. The purpose of ICCMS is to bring together researchers and people from industry working in the fields of computer modeling and simulation. It seeks to offer a broad spectrum of current research in this area of theoretical and applied computer modeling and stimulation.

The volume consists of 38 selected papers (from originally more than 100 papers) which are presented orally at the conference or via poster session. The topics of papers include algorithm and calculation method, system model and simulation, system design and evaluation, computer science and technology, network engineering and technology, modern electronic technology and application.

The papers are accepted after being peer-reviewed by the conference Technical Program Committee and international reviewers based on the topic of each paper. All the authors of accepted papers have done excellent presentations on this conference via oral presentation or poster presentation. On the basis of the conference achievement, we collect and compile these research papers into the conference proceedings, in which the content represents the latest international academic progress of computer modeling and simulation. We hope that the presented papers will be interesting for readers and be a good base for inspiration for future developments.

The chairpersons, keynote speakers, plenary speaker played important role in conducting the proceedings of the session in a timely and efficient manner and on behalf of the conference committee, we express sincere appreciation for their involvement. The reviewers of the manuscripts, those by tradition would remain anonymous, have also been very helpful in efficiently reviewing the manuscripts, providing valuable comments well within the time allotted to them. We express our sincere and grateful thanks to all reviewers.

We truly believe the participants will find the discussion fruitful, and will enjoy the opportunity for setting up future collaborations. It is our sincere hope that ICCMS will one day become the leading conference in this specific academic area.

ICCMS 2017 organizing committees
March, 2nd, 2017.

Committees

Conference Chairs

Prof. Girija Chetty, University of Canberra, Australia
Prof. William Guo, Central Queensland University, Australia

Program Committee Chairs

Prof. Ghassan Beydoun, University of Technology Sydney, Australia
Prof. Jun Shen, University of Wollongong, Australia
Prof. Wernhuar Tarng, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan
Dr. Ritesh CHUGH, Central Queensland University, Australia

Technical Committee

Prof. Ekaterina Prasolova-Førland, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
Prof. Jane W.S. Liu, Institute of Information Science, Academia Sinica, Taiwan
Dr. Wu-Chen Su, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan
Assis. Prof. Iouliia Skliarova University of Aveiro, Portugal
Asst. Prof. Todsanai Chumwatana, Thailand Rangsit University, Thailand
Dr. Hsin Ke Lu, Chinese Culture University,Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Md. Mostofa Akbar, Dept of CSE, BUET, Bangladesh
Dr. Lian Liu, University of Kentucky, USA
Dr. Afizan Bin Azman, Multimedia University, Malaysia
Prof. Chih-Hung Wang, National Chiayi University, Taiwan
Prof. Khalid Bin Hasnan, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia
Prof. Sen Zhang, SUNY College at Oneonta, USA
Prof. Muhammad Sarfraz, Kuwait University, Kuwait
Prof. Prasad KDV Yarlagadda, Queensland university of technology, Australia
Dr. Rushan ZIATDINOV, Keimyung University, South Korea
Dr. Idawaty Ahmad, University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia
Prof.Qiao Shaojie, Chengdu University of Information Technology, China
Prof. Bai Xiao, Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, China
Prof. Xiong Li, Academy of Armored Force Engineering, China
Assoc Prof. Monish Chatterjee, Asansol Engineering College, India
Dr. Kamran Shafi, University of New South Wales at Canberra, Australia
Prof. Yukio Hiranaka, Yamagata University, Japan
Prof. Sheng-Hung Chang,Minghsin University of Science & Technology,Taiwan
Dr. Grienggrai Rajchakit, Maejo University, Thailand
Dr. Lorenzo Capra, Dipartimento di Informatica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy
Assoc. Prof. Shan Hongying, JiLin University, China
Prof. Shey-Huei Sheu,Department of Statistics and Informatics Science, Providence University ,Taiwan
Assoc. Prof. Wei Li, Harbin Institute of Technology, China

Altitude Control of Heavy-Lift Hexacopter using Direct Inverse Control Based on Elman Recurrent Neural Network

Bhakti Yudho Suprapto
Universitas Indonesia
Depok , Indonesia
On leave Universitas Sriwijaya
Inderalaya Indonesia
bhakti.yudho@ui.ac.id

M Ary Heryanto
Universitas Indonesia
Depok, Indonesia
On leave Universitas Dian Nuswantoro, Semarang, Indonesia
m.ary31@ui.ac.id

Herwin Suprijono
Universitas Indonesia
Depok, Indonesia
On leave Universitas Dian Nuswantoro, Semarang, Indonesia
herwin.suprijono@ui.ac.id

Benyamin Kusumoputro
Universitas Indonesia
Depok, Indonesia
kusumo@ee.ui.ac.id

ABSTRACT
This paper proposes the use of Direct Inverse Control (DIC) with Elman Recurrent Neural Network (ERNN) learning algorithm for the altitude control of a heavy-lift hexacopter. The study was conducted analytically using the real flight data obtained from real plant experiment. The results showed that the ERNN can successfully control the altitude of the heavy-lift hexacopter, where the response generated by the DIC system was in good agreement with the test data with low error. Furthermore, the proposed DIC system can also control the attitude, e.g. roll, pitch and yaw of the hexacopter which are also crucial for the hexacopter movement control.

CCS Concepts
• **Computing methodologies → Artificial intelligence → Control methods →. Computational control theory**

Keywords
Heavy-Lift Hexacopter; DIC; Neural Networks; Elman Recurrent Neural Networks; Altitude Control.

1. INTRODUCTION
Hexacopter is one type of multirotor that is widely studied due to its maneuverability, flexibility and the ability to effectively lift heavy loads. It is a six rotors nonlinear system than can be operated like a helicopter, but it is more secure than a UAV fixed wing. Like a helicopter, it is capable to do vertical take-off and landing (VTOL) and can fly at low altitudes [1, 2]. The common application of hexacopter includes surveillance, military needs, monitoring purposes, search and rescue operations and mobile sensor networks [1]. In hexacopter, the acceleration during takeoff is not directly proportional to the rotational speed of the rotor. However, the rotor rotational speed produces direct proportional lift and torque which determines the hexacopter movements such as hovering, maneuvers and maintains altitude.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from Permissions@acm.org.
ICCMS '17, January 20 - 23, 2017, Canberra, Australia
Copyright is held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.
ACM 978-1-4503-4816-4/17/01…$15.00.
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3036331.3036354

A special kind of hexacopter is a heavy-lift hexacopter, which is designed specifically to be able to lift heavy loads. The control of this heavy-lift hexacopter remains a challenge due to the significant necessity to maintain stability and prevent the vehicle from any possible failures due to its weight. Altitude is one of the important factors in controlling a heavy-lift hexacopter. The control of altitude is required so that the hexacopter is able to move to a certain height and also able to maintain its stability at such height. This is done by increasing or decreasing the speed of the rotor and maintaining the coordination of the coupling rotor, which is difficult to accomplish since controlling altitude should also involve controlling its attitude, in which the coupling parameter and the characteristics of the nonlinear system play a very important role.

Several researchers have performed altitude control by using a Proportional Derivative (PD) cascade [2, 3], Sliding Mode Controller (SMC) [4], Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) [5], etc. All of the mentioned controllers rely on complex mathematical models, where the nonlinearity problem becomes the main disadvantage, so that these methods cannot work properly for a nonlinear, multivariable and highly coupled system.

Some Neural Network methods have been recently proposed to overcome this problem [6, 7]. The advantage of neural network control is that it is capable to work in a system that is dynamic, nonlinear and uncertain [6,7]. The neural network is a parallel processor that has the ability to memorize and stores knowledge, and then use that knowledge to improve the process of learning [8]. The learning process is basically a process of changing the connection weight between neurons in the network systematically to achieve the capability of mapping a set of the input pattern to a corresponding set of output pattern.

In our previous work [9], attitude control of heavy-lift hexacopter using Elman Recurrent Neural Network (ERNN) Direct Inverse Control (DIC) has been conducted. However, the data used for training and testing were taken from a test bed system where the altitude is fixed, so that the data are only valid at a fixed altitude. This paper analyzes the use of ERNN DIC to control the altitude of a heavy-lift hexacopter. The utilized data for both training and testing were the real flight data obtained from real heavy-lift hexacopter flight experiment.

This paper is presented in five sections. The next section explains about the dynamic model of the heavy-lift hexacopter. The experimental data acquisition is also presented in this section. Section III discusses the development of the neural networks based control system using DIC scheme method and Elman Recurrent

Neural Network learning algorithm. The experimental results and the analysis of the proposed controller's output response will be discussed in Section IV. The conclusion is given in Section V.

2. HEXACOPTER MODELLING

2.1 Dynamic model of Heavy-Lift Hexacopter

The dynamic model of a hexacopter can be derived from the layout and rotation of its six rotors as depicted in Figure 1. The six rotors are analyzed on two reference frames, i.e. the body-fixed frame (B) and the earth-fixed frame (E). The hexacopter is moved by these coupling rotors. In the figure, the hexacopter's center of mass is OB and the center of gravity in the earth-fixed frame is OE.



Figure 1. Orientation of Hexacopter

Figure 1 shows the direction of motor rotation for lift movement. In this movement, each adjacent motor have different directions of rotation so that they can simultaneously produce lift forces that are mutually reinforcing. Coupling motors (M1, M3 and M5, and M2, M4 and M6) have different rotation directions in order to provide balance for the hexacopter's movement [4]. Increasing or decreasing the rotation speed of one or more of the coupling motors will produce rotational and translational movement.

The translational and rotational position of hexacopter can be described by vector $\zeta = [x,y,z]^T$ and vector $\eta = [\phi, \theta, \psi]^T$, where ϕ is roll movement, θ is pitch movement and ψ is yaw movement. Given the linear and angular velocity vectors in the body fixed frame, $v^B = [u,v,w]^T$ and $w^B = [p,q,r]^T$, the relationship between (ζ, η) and (v^B, w^B) can be expressed as:

$$\zeta = R\, v^B \quad (1)$$

$$\eta = T\, w^B \quad (2)$$

where R is the rotation matrix and T is the transformation matrix of the body-fixed frame (B) to the earth-fixed frame (E) described as:

$$R = R(\psi, z)\, R(\theta, y)\, R(\phi, x) \quad (3)$$

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta\cos\psi & \cos\psi\sin\theta\sin\phi - \cos\phi\sin\psi & \cos\phi\cos\psi\sin\theta + \sin\phi\sin\psi \\ \cos\theta\sin\psi & \cos\phi\cos\psi + \sin\theta\sin\phi\sin\psi & \cos\phi\sin\psi\sin\theta - \cos\psi\sin\phi \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta\sin\phi & \cos\theta\cos\phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sin\phi\tan\theta & \cos\phi\tan\theta \\ 0 & \cos\phi & \sin\phi \\ 0 & \sin\phi\sec\theta & \sec\theta\cos\phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Therefore, the dynamic model of the hexacopter can be expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{X} = -(\sin\psi\sin\phi + \cos\psi\sin\theta\cos\phi)\frac{u_1}{m} \\ \ddot{Y} = (-\cos\phi\sin\theta\sin\psi - \cos\psi\sin\phi)\frac{u_1}{m} \\ \ddot{Z} = -g + (\cos\theta\cos\phi)\frac{u_1}{m} \\ \ddot{\phi} = (\dot{\theta}\dot{\psi}(I_{yy} - I_{zz}) + \tau_x)/I_{xx} \\ \ddot{\theta} = (\dot{\phi}\dot{\psi}(I_{zz} - I_{xx}) + \tau_{yy})/I_{yy} \\ \ddot{\psi} = (\dot{\phi}\dot{\theta}(I_{xx} - I_{yy}) + \tau_z)/I_{zz} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where $\ddot{X}, \ddot{Y}, \ddot{Z}$ are the hexacopter's linear accelerations in the E axis, while $\ddot{\phi}, \ddot{\theta}, \ddot{\psi}$ are the hexacopter's angular accelerations in the B axis, m is the mass of the hexacopter, g is the gravity speed, and I_{XX}, I_{YY}, I_{ZZ} are the moments of body inertia at xyz-axis.

Meanwhile, the equation to reflect the relationship between the basic movement of a hexacopter and its rotor rotational speed can be expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} U_1 = b(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2 + \omega_5^2 + \omega_6^2) \\ U_2 = l\, b(-\omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2 + \omega_5^2 + \omega_6^2) \\ U_3 = l\, b(-\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 - \omega_6^2 + \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2 + \omega_5^2) \\ U_4 = d(-\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2 - \omega_5^2 + \omega_6^2) \\ \omega = -\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 + \omega_4 - \omega_5 + \omega_6 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

In equation (7), U_1 is the vertical thrust, U_2 is the roll torque, U_3 is the pitch torque, U_4 is the yaw torque, ω_n is the propeller speed, b is the thrust factor, d is the drag factor, and l is the distance between the hexacopter's centre spot and the centre spot of its propeller.

The six degrees of freedom (DOF) of the hexacopter is reflected by the six translational and rotational positions $X, Y, Z, \phi, \theta, \psi$ as in equation (6). These positions are controlled by four inputs or control signals, *i.e.* U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, as in equation (7). Since the output signals outnumbered the input signals, this system can be categorized as an under-actuated system. Thus, the control system of the heavy-lift hexacopter is designed in to be divided into two parts, i.e. inner loop and outer loop, as can be seen in Figure 2. The inner loop control is used to control the hexacopter attitude and altitude, which consist of roll, pitch, yaw, and z movement. Meanwhile, the outer loop control is used to control the x and y movement which directly depicts the real position of the hexacopter.



Figure 2. Block Diagram of Hexacopter Control System

2.2 Data Acquisition

This study utilizes the same heavy-lift hexacopter that was developed in the University of Indonesia as already described in [9]. The data utilized for both training and testing are the data obtained from real heavy-lift hexacopter flight experiment. To obtain the data, the hexacopter was controlled by a remote control to fly with helix trajectory to a certain height (26.04 meters) and then back down again, also with helix trajectory. This helix up-and-down motion was chosen by considering the numerous movements involved, so that the characteristics of the obtained data can be significantly enriched. The flight data were stored on the on-board memory on the flight controller and the data acquisitions were performed two times, one for the training data (Figure 3 and 4) and the other for the test data (Figure 5 and 6). Figure 3 and 5 shows that the roll and pitch data ranged from -15° to 15°, whereas the yaw data ranged between 258° to 302°. Meanwhile, Figure 4 and 6 shows the control inputs, e.g. the motor rotational speeds, which were represented by the motors Pulse Width Modulation (PWM).



Figure 3. Heavy-Lift Hexacopter Movement for Training



Figure 4. Motor Speed (PWM) of the Heavy-Lift Hexacopter for Training



Figure 5. Heavy-Lift Hexacopter Movement for Testing



Figure 6. Motor Speed (PWM) of the Heavy-Lift Hexacopter for Testing

3. DIRECT INVERSE CONTROL BASED ON ELMAN RECURRENT NEURAL NETWORK

Neural Network Direct Inverse Control (DIC) is one of the control methods that has the ability to control a nonlinear plant by conducting training on the prevailing inverse model as opposed to the plant to eliminate the dynamic properties [11-13]. It is desired that the output of the inverse model is the same as the required/desired plant input so that the plant can be correctly controlled and perform a similar response to the given reference signal. By directly cascading the inverse model (NN INV) and the plant model (NN ID) as in Figure 7, it is desired that the resulted plant output $y(k)$ is the same as the input of the DIC system or the reference signal $r(k)$. In other words, the DIC system transfer function is expected to be as near as possible to 1 [11].



Figure 7. Direct Inverse Control (DIC) scheme

3.1 IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

In this study, the identification system of a heavy-lift hexacopter is obtained by using neural networks with backpropagation learning algorithm. The neural network configuration for this identification system as the plant model consist of an input layer with 26 neurons, a hidden layer with 35 neurons and an output layer with 4 neurons. The training was conducted by using the training data set, following the training mechanism as depicted in Figure 8a. The result of this training mechanism is described in the next section.



Figure 8. Training configuration scheme a) system identification, b) Inverse model

3.2 INVERSE MODEL USING ELMAN RECURRENT NEURAL NETWORK

The structure of the inverse model training for the DIC system is depicted in Figure 8b. The neural network configuration for the inverse model consists of a single input layer, a single hidden layer, and a single output layer with 24, 35, and 6 neurons, respectively.

Elman Recurrent Neural Network (ERNN) learning algorithm was utilized to obtain the most optimal weight of neurons of the inverse model. Compared to the original backpropagation algorithm, ERNN algorithm has the advantage of being able to eliminate the local minimum and also capable of working at higher order dynamical system [10]. As shown in Figure 9, ERNN algorithm has one additional layer namely a context layer that acts as the memory in the inner state to map the dynamic attitude so that the system has the ability to adapt over time. The uniqueness of ERNN is the existence of feedback connection that carries interference information (noise) during the previous entries which will be accommodated for the next input. Due to the nature of this feedback, the unit can continue to recycle information through the network to the next steps and time, and thus an abstract representation of time is produced. ERNN has been widely researched for the purpose of identification, predicting, fault diagnosis and forecasting, identification of spectral signal of music [10-14]. ERNN requires longer training period and has low convergence speed so that the algorithm is less suited to an application that is critical [15].



Figure 9. Elman Recurrent Neural Network Architecture

Figure 9 shows the basic architecture of ERNN algorithm that consists not only input layer, hidden layer and output layer but also an additional layer called a context layer ($C(k\text{-}1)$). Given that the input is $x(k)$, the output is $y_{ink}(k)$ and the total input to the hidden layer j is $z_{inj}(k)$, then the equations of the architecture are:

$$z_{inj}(k) = \sum u_{ij}(k-1)C_i(k) + v_{ij}(k-1)x(k) \quad (8)$$

$$z_j(k) = f(z_{inj}) \quad (9)$$

$$C_j(k) = z_i(k-1) \quad (10)$$

$$y_{ink}(k) = \sum w_{ij}(k-1)z_j(k) \quad (11)$$

where w_{ij} is the weights of the hidden layer to the output layer, u_{ij} is the weights of the context layer to the hidden layer, v_{ij} is the weights of the input layer to the hidden layer and f is the activation function of the hidden layer. In general, the ERNN training is similar to the well-known backpropagation training, but with adaptive learning rate. This mechanism can prevent the system from the local minimum trap. Like backpropagation learning algorithm, the training was done iteratively by minimizing the resulting error E_k or the difference between the actual output $y_d(k)$ and the output generated by the network $y_{ink}(k)$ expressed as:

$$E_k = \frac{1}{2}(y_d(k) - y_{ink}(k))^2 \quad (12)$$

Based on the error value in equation (12), the weights of each layer can be modified by the following equations:

$$\Delta w_{ij}(k) = \eta(y_d(k) - y_{ink}(k))z_j(k) \quad (13)$$

$$\Delta v_{ij}(k) = \eta\big(y_d(k) - y_{ink}(k)\big)w_i(k-1)f'z_{inj}x(k) \quad (14)$$

$$\Delta u_{i,j}(k) = \eta(y_d(k) - y_{ink}(k))w_i(k-1)fz_{inj}z_j(k-1) \quad (15)$$

where η is the learning rate value.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT

The test result of identification training is depicted in Figure 10. The training reached its convergence in *35,000* epoch and the obtained Mean Sum Square Error (MSSE) for this training was *6.4 x* 10^{-4}. On the testing stage, the obtained MSSE was *0.0122*. This low error value shows that the neural network identification system can represent the heavy-lift hexacopter plant.



Figure 10. System Identification Test Responses

The test result for the inverse model is shown in Figure 11. The training required *18,000* epoch to produce a training MSSE of 0.0112.



Figure 11. Inverse model Test Responses

After training and subsequent testing, the weights obtained for the NN ID and NN INV configurations were then utilized in the Neural Network DIC system. This DIC system was then tested using the test data as already shown in Figure 5 and 6.

The result of this test is depicted in Figure 12. The figure reflects that the outputs of the simulated Neural Network DIC shown in red curves are in good agreement with the real test data shown in blue curves. The corresponding MSSE which represents roll, pitch, yaw and altitude for this Neural Network DIC test result is *0.0256*.



Figure 12. Neural Network based DIC Test Responses

The main focus of the study is the altitude control, and its result can be seen on the most bottom graph in Figure 12. The graph revealed that the altitude of the simulated Neural Network DIC had been able to match the real altitude of the hexacopter, although small discrepancies are still observed at certain heights.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has shown that Elman Recurrent Neural Network can be utilized to control the altitude of a heavy-lift hexacopter with low error and good system response. Furthermore, the proposed Elman Recurrent Neural Network based Direct Inverse Control (ERNN-DIC) also revealed good performance in controlling the attitude of heavy-lift hexacopter, e.g. roll, pitch and yaw. In the future, the proposed ERNN-DIC can also be used to control the real position of the heavy-lift hexacopter. The required investigations for this purpose are still on-going.

6. REFERENCES

[1] G. M. Hoffmann, H. Huang, S. L. Waslander, and C. J. Tomlin, 2011,"Precision flight control for a multi-vehicle quadrotor helicopter testbed," Control engineering practice, vol. 19, pp. 1023-1036.

[2] N. D. Salim, D. Derawi, H. Zamzuri, M. A. A. Rahman, and K. Nonami, 2015,"Robust position hold control of hexarotor UAVs," in *2015 IEEE International Symposium on Robotics and Intelligent Sensors (IRIS)*, pp. 21-26.

[3] O. Ahmed, M. Latief, M. Ali, and R. Akmeliawati, 2015,"Stabilization and control of autonomous hexacopter via visual-servoing and cascaded-proportional and derivative (PD) controllers," in *Automation, Robotics and Applications (ICARA), 2015 6th International Conference on*, pp. 542-549.

[4] S. Busarakum and V. Srichatrapimuk, 2014,"The design of sliding mode control of a hexarotor," in *Systems, Process and Control (ICSPC), 2014 IEEE Conference on*, pp. 47-52.

[5] T. M Hassan, S. Faiz, D. Hazry, J. M Kamran, and A. W. Faizan, 2013, "Disturbance and noise rejection controller design for smooth takeoff/landing and altitude stabilization of quad-rotor,".

[6] V. Artale, M. Collotta, G. Pau, and A. Ricciardello, 2013,"Hexacopter trajectory control using a neural network," in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, pp. 1216-1219.

[7] V. Artale, M. Collotta, C. Milazzo, G. Pau, and A. Ricciardello, 2016, "Real-Time System based on a Neural Network and PID Flight Control," *Appl. Math*, vol. 10, pp. 395-402.

[8] B. Kusumoputro, K. Priandana, and W. Wahab, 2015,"System Identification and Control of Pressure Process RIG® System using Backpropagation Neural Networks," *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, vol. VOL. 10, pp. 7190-7195, September 2015.

[9] B. Kusumoputro, H. Suprijono, M. A. Heryanto, and B. Y. Suprapto, 2016,"Development of an attitude control system of a heavy-lift hexacopter using Elman recurrent neural networks," in *Automation and Computing (ICAC), 2016 22nd IEEE International Conference on*, pp. 27-31.

[10] S. Ding, Y. Zhang, J. Chen, and W. Jia, 2013, "Research on using genetic algorithms to optimize Elman neural networks," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 23, pp. 293-297.

[11] D. Xianglei, Z. Shuguang, H. Lvchang, and H. Rong, 2011,"The Neural Network Direct Inverse Control of Four-wheel Steering System," in *2011 Third International Conference on Measuring Technology and Mechatronics Automation*, pp. 865-869.

[12] P. Wang, B. Cheng, W. Xing, and H. Ding, 2010,"The Direct Inverse-Model Control Based on Neural Networks for Inverts," in *2010 International Conference on Measuring Technology and Mechatronics Automation*, pp. 855-858.

[13] M. T. Frye and R. S. Provence, 2014, "Direct Inverse Control using an Artificial Neural Network for the Autonomous Hover of a Helicopter," in *2014 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC)*, pp. 4121-4122.

[14] L. Ren, Y. Liu, Z. Rui, H. Li, and R. Feng, 2009,"Application of Elman Neural Network and MATLAB to Load Forecasting," in *Information Technology and Computer Science, ITCS 2009. International Conference on*, pp. 55-59.

[15] W. Chen, Q. Gong, C. Yin, T. Wang, and J. Yao, 2010,"An Elman neural network application on dynamic equivalents of power system," in *Electrical and Control Engineering (ICECE), 2010 International Conference on*, pp. 376-3

Certificate

International Association of Computer Science and Information Technology

IACSIT
WWW.IACSIT.ORG

Certificate for Oral Presentation

This Certificate is Awarded to *Bhakti Y. Suprapto (CM048)*

Paper Title:

Altitude Control of Heavy-Lift Hexacopter using Direct Inverse Control Based on Elman Recurrent Neural Network

For her/his attendance and delivery of an oral presentation in 2017 the 8th International Conference on Computer Modeling and Simulation (ICCMS 2017) held in Canberra, Australia, January 20-23, 2017.

ICPS
Published by ACM

UNIVERSITY OF CANBERRA

Conference Committee
ICCMS 2017