



#174 (1570531859): Comparative Study on Control Strategy of Asymmetric bridge for Switched Reluctance Motor

#174 (1570531859): Comparative Study on Control Strategy of Asymmetric bridge for Switched Reluctance Motor

[Hide details](#)

Share

Authors Agus Adhi Nugroho (Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA), Indonesia); Bhakti Yudho Suprpto (University of Sriwijaya, Indonesia); Muhamad Haddin (Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Indonesia); Zainuddin Nawawi (Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia)

Paper title Comparative Study on Control Strategy of Asymmetric bridge for Switched Reluctance Motor Only the chairs can edit

Conference and track International Conference on Electrical and Electronic Engineering 2019 - Electrical Power Engineering

Abstract Only the chairs can edit Control strategy to operate the Switched Reluctance Motor at least has 3 mode of operation, there...

Keywords no keywords Only the chairs can edit

Topics ELECTRICAL POWER ENGINEERING Only the chairs can edit

Personal notes

You are an author for this paper.

Tampilkan semua

Roles You are an author for this paper.
You have authored an accepted paper in this conference.

Status Rejected

Review

Actions	Originality	Technical Contribution	Significance of Topic	Presentation	Recommendation
Completed	Accept	Accept	Accept	Weak Accept	Weak Accept

Contributions
The paper is about comparative study in strategic controlling the Switched Reluctance Motor. However, the contribution in technical aspect is too small.

Detailed comments
1. Rewrite the equations by following the format
2. Reorganise Figure 8 and figure 10.
3. Rewrite the references.
4. It is better if author can show more simulation results.

Actions	Originality	Technical Contribution	Significance of Topic	Presentation	Recommendation
Completed	Weak Reject	Weak Reject	Weak Reject	Weak Reject	Weak Reject

Contributions
The title mention comparative, but there is no element of comparison.

Strengths & Weakness
1) Abstract: must shows quantitative findings
2) No result analysis and conclusion in the abstract.
3) Technical contents: The proposed control was not clearly defined and discussed. From Fig 1, it is not clear how the control strategy is proposed, seems the figure was not complete. The discussion is very weak. 4) Many errors in grammar
5) Font for equation is not follow the standard
6) Figure 7 and 9 not clear. Please label the significant value.
7) Some table does not have title. Just have the value without mention the title.
8) The authors should revise, 1) Technical content accuracy, 2) Technical content story, 3) Technical content discussion to show the contribution, 4) Many English language punctuation must be concerned and corrected
9) The title mention comparative, but there is no element of comparison.

Detailed comments
1) Abstract: must shows quantitative findings
2) No result analysis and conclusion in the abstract.
3) Technical contents: The proposed control was not clearly defined and discussed. From Fig 1, it is not clear how the control strategy is proposed, seems the figure was not complete. The discussion is very weak. 4) Many errors in grammar
5) Font for equation is not follow the standard
6) Figure 7 and 9 not clear. Please label the significant value.
7) Some table does not have title. Just have the value without mention the title.
8) The authors should revise, 1) Technical content accuracy, 2) Technical content story, 3) Technical content discussion to show the contribution, 4) Many English language punctuation must be concerned and corrected.
9) The title mention comparative, but there is no element of comparison.

Tampilkan semua