



Rossi Passarella <passarella.rossi@gmail.com>

[ICECOS 2018] Your paper #1570470552 ('Using Pressure Sensors Towards Pipeline Leakage Detection')

1 message

ICECOS 2018 <icecos2018-chairs@edas.info>

Mon, Aug 6, 2018 at 1:08 PM

To: Kemahyanto Exaudi <dediztech@gmail.com>, Rossi Passarella <passarella.rossi@gmail.com>, Rendyansyah Rendyansyah <rdyrsyh@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Kemahyanto Exaudi:

Congratulations - your paper #1570470552 ('Using Pressure Sensors Towards Pipeline Leakage Detection') for ICECOS 2018 has been accepted with minor revision.

Prepare and upload revision (final manuscript) before 6 August 2018 in PDF format. Your submission MUST adhere to the IEEE Xplore PDF specification for compatibility. IEEE PDF compliance must be checked through the IEEE PDF eXpress web site. For guidance visit the link

<http://icecos.unsri.ac.id/index.php/participation/ieee-pdf-express-guidelines/>

The reviews are below or can be found at <https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570470552>.

===== ICECOS Review 1 =====

> *** Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

1. The paper contains a lot of grammatical errors. The English should be greatly improved and checked. Avoid google translate!
2. The cited reference in the text does not match with the reference list.
3. The survey of previous methods is very limited. Novelty is not present.
4. Several figures contain non-English words.
5. The decrease of pressure does not necessarily due to leakage. Please refer to Bernoulli principle (and don't forget the R-number). The authors should give proof that all variables are constant.
6. "Dominant frequency ... is 4.71 Hz on a scale of 8" (p. 4). What is the implication of this finding? Is it true?

> *** Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

See comments above

> *** Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

> *** Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

> *** Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

It has been said many times before. (1)

> *** Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

===== ICECOS Review 2 =====

> *** Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

> *** Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

1. revise reference format. follow IEEE format.
2. update your reference with well-known journals.

> *** Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

> *** Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

> *** Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

> *** Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

In order to complete the registration, visit the link below.

<http://icecos.unsri.ac.id/index.php/participation/registration/>

Regards,
The conference chairs