THE USE OF SOCIOGRAM IN IMPROVING THE NARRATIVE WRITING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE TENTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMA N 1 TANJUNG RAJA

A Thesis by

Annisa Sawita Fitri

06011381320026

English Education Study Program

Language and Arts Education Department



FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY INDRALAYA

2018

The Use of Sociogram In Improving the Narrative Writing Achievement of the Tenth Grade Students of SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja

A Thesis by ANNISA SAWITA FITRI 06011381320026 Study Program of English Education Department of Language and Arts Education

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY INDRALAYA 2018

Approved by,

Advisor 1,

Advisor 2,

Dr. Rita Inderawati, M.Pd. NIP. 196704261991032002

Erlina, S.Pd., M.Pd., M.Ed. NIP. 197409092000122001

Certified by,

Head of Language and Arts Education Department,

Head of English Education Study Program,

Dr. Didi Suhendi, M.Hum. NIP. 196910221994031001 Hariswan Putral Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 197408022002121003

The Use of Sociogram In Improving the Narrative Writing Achievement of the Tenth Grade Students of SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja

ANNISA SAWITA FITRI 06011381320026

This thesis was defended by the writer in final program examination and was approved by the examination committee on:

Day : Friday

Date : October 05th, 2018

EXAMINATION COMMITTEE APPROVAL:

1. Chairperson: Dr. Rita Inderawati, M.Pd

2. Secretary : Erlina, S.Pd., M.Pd., M.Ed

3. Member : Dr. Margaretha Dinar Sitinjak, M.A

4. Member : Dra. Rita Hayati, M.A

Indralaya, October 05th, 2018

Certified by,

The Head of English Education Study

Mulput

Program

Hariswan Putra Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 197408022002121003

DECLARATION

I, the undersigned,

Name : Annisa Sawita Fitri

Students' Number : 06011381320026

Study Program : English Education

Certify that thesis entitled "The Use of Sociogram in Improving the Narrative Writing Achievement of the Tenth Grade Students of SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja" is my own work, and I did not do any plagiarism or inappropriate quotation against the ethic and rules commended by the Ministry of Education of Republic Indonesia Number 17, regarding plagiarism in higher education. Therefore, I deserve to face the court if I am found to have plagiarized this work.

Indralaya, 09 October 2018

The Undersigned,

Annisa Sawita Fitri

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to...

- Praise to Allah subhanahu wata'ala and prophet Muhammad shallallahu 'alayhi wasallam who has guided us to this bright era.
- My beloved parents, Ir. Daud Komar and Erniyati who set me on my path, thanks for your love, pray, help, time, great support, and encouragement.
- My beloved brother M.Icshandi, Akbar Ilham and Deni Apriza thanks for your help and time.
- My best advisors, Dr. Rita Inderawati, M.Pd. and Erlina, S.P.d., M.Pd., M.Ed thank you very much for your valuable knowledge and your professional guidance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, all praise is to Allah for His grace and mercy, finally the writer could finish this thesis. This thesis was written to fulfill one of the requirements for the undergraduate degree at the Teacher Training and Education Faculty, Sriwijaya University. This thesis was written on the basis of the study conducted at SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja.

The writer would like to express her gratitude to her two advisors, Dr. Rita Inderawati, M.Pd. and Erlina S.P.d., M.Pd., M.Ed who had generously shared their knowledge, valuable advice, suggestions, guidance and encouragement to the writer in writing thesis. The writer is also very grateful to the lecturers in English Education Study Program, Head of English Education Study Program, Head of Language and Art Education and Department, and The Dean of Teacher Training and Education Faculty for their assistance in administrative matters. In addition, the writer would like to thank to the members of examination committee for their correction and suggestion in revising this thesis.

Finally, the writer conveys her deepest gratitude to her parents, sisters, best friends, and classmates for their prayer and support.

Indralaya, October 2018

The Writer

Annisa Sawita Fitri

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	
APPROVAL	
CERTIFICATION PAGE	
DECLARATION	
DEDICATION	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	V
LIST OF APPENDICES	vii
ABSTRACT	ix
I. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problems of Study	5
1.3 Objectives of the Study	6
1.4 Significance of the Study	6
II. LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 The Description of Writing	7
2.2 Narrative Writing	8
2.2.1 Generic Structure of Narrative Text	9
2.3 Visual Symbol Response	11
2.4 Sociogram as One of Visual Symbol Response	12
2.4.1 Sociogram	12

2.5 The Concept of Character	13
2.6 The Use of Sociogram in Teaching Writing	14
2.7 The Previous Related Studies	15
2.8 Hyphotheses os Study	16
III. METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Method of the Study	17
3.2 Teaching Procedures	18
3.3 Operational Definition	21
3.4 Variable of the Study	22
3.5 Population and Sample	22
3.5.1 Population	22
3.5.2 Sample	23
3.6 Method for Collecting the Data	24
3.6.1 Test	24
3.6.2 Validity of the Test	24
3.6.3 Reliability of the Test	25
3.7 Method for Analyzing the Data	26
IV. FINDINGS AND INTERPRETATION	
4.1 Findings of the Study	28
4.1.1 Results of Pretest and Posttest of the Experimental Group	28
4.2 Statistical Analyses	29
4.2.1 Normality Test	29
4.2.2 Homogeneity Test	30

4.2.3 Paired Sample <i>t</i> -Test	30
4.2.4 Independent Sample t-Test	32
4.3 Interpretation of the Study	34
V. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	
5.1 Conclusions	37
5.2 Suggestions	37
REFERENCES	39
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Teaching Procedure	18
Table 2: Teaching Schedule and Learning Materials	19
Table 3: The Population of the Study	22
Table 4: The Sample of the Study	23
Table 5 : The Test Spesifications	25
Table 6: Writing Rubric	26
Table 7 : Classification of Student's Score	27
Table 8: The Score Disribution of Pretest and Posttest Results of the Experimental	and
Control Group	28
Table 9: The Results of Normality Test	29
Table 10: The Results of Homogeneity Test	30
Table 11: The Results of Paired Sample t-Test	31
Table 12: The Results of Aspects of Writing in the Experimental Group	31
Table 13: The Results of Aspects of Writing in the Control Group	32
Table 14: The Results of Independent Sample t-Test	33
Table 15: The Results of Aspects of Writing in the Experimental and Control Group	33

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A : The Result of Pretest and Posttest in Experimental Group (Rater 1 & Rater 2)

Appendix B : The Result of Pretest and Posttest in Control Group (Rater 1 & Rater 2)

Appendix C: The Result Detail of Pretest and Posttest of Experimental Group (Rater 1)

Appendix D: The Result Detail of Pretest and Posttest of Experimental Group (Rater 2)

Appendix E: The Result Detail of Pretest and Posttest of Control Group (Rater 1)

Appendix F: The Result Detail of Pretest and Posttest of Control Group (Rater 2)

Appendix G: The Letter Statement of Being Raters

Appendix H: The Writing Rubric of Narrative Text

Appendix I : Validation sheet

Appendix J : Students" Attendance List

Appendix K : Normality of the Test

Appendix L : Homogeneity of the Test

Appendix M: The Independent Sample T-test between the Posttest Scores of Experimental

and Control Group

Appendix N : The Independent Sample T-test between the Prettest Scores of Experimental

and Control Group

Appendix O: The Independent Sample T-test Aspects of Experimental and Control Group

Appendix P : The Paired Sample T-test between Pre and Post Test Score of Experimental

Group

Appendix Q: The Paired Sample T-test between Pre and Post Test Score of Control Group

Appendix R: The Paired Sample T-test between Pre and Post Test Score Aspects in the

Experimental Group

Appendix S: The Paired Sample T-test between Pre and Post Test Score Aspects in the

Control Group

Appendix T: Reliability of the Test

Appendix U : Interview Transcript

Appendix V : Surat Izin Penelitian dari Dekan FKIP

Appendix W: Surat Keterangan Telah Melakukan Penelitian dari Sekolah

Appendix X: Surat Izin Penelitian dari Pemerintah Provinsi Sumatra Selatan Dinas Pendidikan

Appendix Y: Lesson Plan

Appendix Z: Narrative Writing Materials

Appendix AA: Usul Judul Skripsi

Appendix AB: Thesis Consultation Cards

Appendix AC: Documentation

The Use of Sociogram In Improving the Narrative Writing Achievement of the Tenth Grade Students of SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja.

ABSTRACT

The objectives of the study were to find out: (1) whether or not there was a significant difference in students' narrative writing achievement before and after they were taught by using sociogram, and (2) whether or not there was a significant difference in narrative writing achievement between the students who were taught by using sociogram and those who were not. This study was a quasiexperimental research method that applied pretest-posttest control group design. The population of this study was 248 tenth grade students of SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja, while the sample was 62 students divided into experimental and control groups, the purposive sampling to select two of the class as the sample. A writing test was employed to collect the data which were analyzed statistically by using paired sample and independent sample t-tests. The results of this study showed that (1) The standard deviations of the pretest and posttest were 8.220 and 7.280. Since the significant value (2tailed) was lower than 0.05, it could be stated that there was a significant difference in students' narrative writing achievement after they were taught by using Sociogram, and (2) The result of independent sample t-test showed that the mean score of the posttest in the experimental group was higher than the mean score of the posttest in the control group (77.53 \geq 61.66) and the ρ -value (sig. (2-tailed)) was lower than 0.05 (0.00 < 0.05). In conclusion, there was a significant difference in narrative writing achievement between the students who were taught by sociogram and that of those who were not. Therefore, It means that sociogram is effective in teaching writing. It was helpful to improve student's narrative writing achievement.

Keywords: Sociogram, Narrative Writing Achievement, Eleventh Graders.

A Thesis by an English Education Study Program Student, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University

Name

: Annisa Sawita Fitri

Students's Number

: 06011381320026

Advisor 1,

Advisor 2,

Dr. Rita Inderawati, M.Pd.

NIP. 196704261991032002

Erlina, S.Pd., M.Pd., M.Ed. NIP. 197409092000122001

Certified by,

Head of English Education Study Program

Hariswan Putra Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 197408022002121003

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents (1) background, (2) problem of study, (3) objective of study and (4) significance of the study.

1.1 Background

One of the skills which is important in learning English is writing as it is related to the ways to convey ideas, feelings, thoughts, and also opinions by using language. According to Block (1993) someone brings information and expresses their ideas and feelings to other people through writing. People have their own unique ways to write. Writing is one of the important ways in expressing thoughts, communicating ideas and views to others. Therefore, it is important for people to know how to write well in English. Based on standard competence that is stated in *Government Issue No. 22nd 2006*, the goal of English teaching and learning is to help students develop their discourse competence. Nowadays, writing is also the key to success in academic life. In the same way, Alexander (2008) also states that strong writing skills may enhance students' chances for success. This statement is also supported by Onchera (2013, p. 842) who says, "Ability to write well is essential and influences our chances of success, personal development and our relations with other people." it is necessary to master writing skill because it could help people to have a good preparation when finding a job in the future. With those benefits, writing is very important to every student.

Although writing is important, it is not easy to write well in English and it is considered as the most difficult skill among others because it needs more components. According to Oshima and Hogue (1978, p. 23) there are some components in writing, such as: structure, vocabulary, grammar, and conjunction word. In writing, the students have to write to deliver their imagination. Hogue (2003, p. 245) states, "Good writing in English is very different from good writing in other languages, such as Arabic or Japanese or Spanish.

Everyone expects differences in grammar, but there is another difference that is equally important, this difference is the way writers organize and express their ideas." Based on the statement above, writing is one kind of skills that have to be developed eventhough most of the students do not like writing. There are many reasons why this problem occurs. Some people think that there are too many things that should be considered while they are writing. The problem face by the students in writing were having diffculty in expressing their ideas and feel confused what they should write about.

There are some kinds of text which are studied in Senior High School level; such as recount, narrative, procedure, descriptive, news item, report, analytical exposition, hortatory exposition, spoof, explanation, discussion, and review (Permendiknas, 2006, No.23). This study will focus on narrative text, according to Hornby (1995) Narrative text is story or tale, orderly account of events composition that consists of like story telling: literature, stories and novels are able to describe events well. In writing a narrative text, students need to think for a moment, so that they can make a true or imaginary story. A narrative may be true or imaginary, but more often true story. In addition, narrative text is a kind of text which has a purpose to interest the readers to read. Because most of the texts which are tested are narrative. Dealing with this, Feez and Joyce (1998, p. 24) argue that narrative may also seek to teach or inform, to embody the writer's reflections on experience, to nourish and extend the reader's imagination. Imaginative narrative writing is the writer's imagination about something that the people want to deliver to the readers.

The result of an interview with the English teacher at SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja showed that writing is difficult for students because the students got stuck with the ideas, vocabulary and structure. Even though the topic has been given, they still do not know how to arrange the words. It makes them frustated because they do not know how to write it in the right way.

According to 2013 curriculum syllabus of the first year student of senior high Schools in SMAN 1 Tanjung Raja, the students had learnt about Narrative text. Based

on the interview between the teacher and the writer, the students in SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja have problem in some writing components. There are some components in writing, such as: structure, vocabulary, grammar, and conjunction word, the students often make many errors in the way of their writing. When the students start their writing, sometimes they find some difficulties in doing it. They want to write something, but in the middle of their writing, they find some problems and they get stuck for a while. So, when teacher asks them to write in English, they get confused because it is hard for them to create the theme and put down their ideas in a blank paper. Peha (2002, p. 5) states, "Imagine taking an entire piece and scrunching it down into a single sentence that still said more or less the same thing." Based on the statement above, the students can express and deliver what they have in their mind and this study try to attract the students' attention by making the students more creative in writing a narrative paragraph.

However, to meet the objectives of teaching writing that are required by the curriculum was not easy to achieve. The previous study done by Arsita (2015) in the eleventh grade students of SMA N 6 Prabumulih showed that the student's writing achievement was not very great. In her study, none of the students was in excellent category, one student (3.84%) who got scores between 71-85 were in "good" category, fifteen students (57.69%) who got scores between 56-70 were in "average" category, seven students (26.92%) who got scores 41-55 were in "low" category, three students (11.53%) who got scores 0-40 were in "poor" category. Another previous related study done by Aryani (2013) in the eleventh grade students of SMA N 10 Palembang, showed there was no one in both excellent and good category level, 12.5% were in average category level, 45% were in low category level, and 42.5% were in poor category level. Based on the result of the previous studies showed that students' writing achievement was still not in satisfaction level. Therefore, some efforts are needed to improve the students' writing achievement.

In teaching writing, sociogram can be used in guiding the students to rewrite the story they read in form of writing. Johnson and Louis (1987) state A literary sociogram is a graphic organizer that represents the relationship among characters in the text. It made easier for the students to rewrite the narrative text based on the story given and sociogram they made. Sociogram is one of visual symbols which is used to make students understand more about the story through the narrative text, create a sociogram related to the relation among the character of the narrative text. Johnson and Louis (1987) state A literary sociogram is a graphic organizer that represents the relationship among characters in the text. It helps the students think more deeply about the narrative text they read. According to Durland (2003) sociograms, or maps, are graphic pictures, or images, of a kind of relationship. Socioram are illustrations of a relationship at a point in time. He also strengthens his statement that sociogram are tools that can then be used along with other network measures, to understand and evaluate a relationship within the context of a particular situation, such as work teams, restructuring or company mergers. Huang, Hong, and Eades (2007, p. 2) state that "Sociogram serve as simple visual illustration in helping people to make sanse of the underlying network information." Through this strategy, the teacher with the class can construct a literary Sociogram, a graphic organizer that represents the relationships among characters in a narrative text. The central character is placed in the centre of the page and the other characters are placed around the student at distances appropriate with their relationship and students can imagine what is the story about. The purpose of a sociogram is to help students to think more deeply about the narrative texts they view. Johnson and Louis (1987) describe the construction of sociograms as a most valuable literature teaching technique because of its adaptability. In teaching narrative English writing, the students rewrite the narrative text based on the story given and sociogram they made. After the students read the story they can start writing, in this case they can build their idea and think more deeply.

The previous study conducted by Akmalia (2011) in the Eighth Grade Students of SMP N 1 Moga, Pemalang Regency, Central Java prove that Sociogram strategy

was effective to improve students' Ability in Reading Narrative Text. Based on the previous study counducted by Kurniati (2013) An Analysis of Sociogram of *Tolstoy's God Sees the Truth, But Waits*, created by the sixth semester students of english study program in Sriwijaya University. Both studies showed that sociogram can be used in teaching and learning.

The purpose of this research is to know the effectiveness of sociogram as a tool in understanding the story and try to attract the students' attention by making the students more creative in the narrative text by exploring the relationship among the characters, plot and how they related to each other. This study can also give reference to the teachers who teach narrative writing. The use of sociogram is expected to be able to help the students achievement as it can create the students' imagination and makes them more creative in developing their story and making the students more creative in the narrative text.

Based on the explanation above, the writer was interested in counducted a research about *The use of Sociogram in improving the narrative writing achievement of the Tenth Grade Students of SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja*.

1.2 The Problems of the Study

The problems of the study were formulated in the following questions:

- 1. Was there any significant difference in narrative writing achievement of the tenth grade students of SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja before and after they were taught by using Sociogram?
- 2. Was there any significant difference in narrative writing achievement of the tenth grade students of SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja between those who were taught by using Sociogram and those who were not?

1.3 The Objectives of the Study

The Objectives of the study were:

- 1. To find out whether or not there was a significant difference in narrative writing achievement of the tenth grade students of SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja before and after they were taught by using Sociogram.
- To find out whether or not there was a significant difference in narrative writing achievement of tenth grade students of SMA N 1 Tanjung Raja between those who were taught by using Sociogram and those who were not.

1.4 The Significance of the Study

The results of this study could be useful to give good effect in narrative writing achivement though Sociogram, the writer expected that this research would give a contribution to the improvement of student's narrative writing achievement. That could help the students improving themselves in learning writing. For the teachers, the writer expected this study could help them to use another methode in teaching writing to their class. At last, the writer expected that the result of this research could become reference for further related study.

References

- Akmalia, A. F. (2011). The Use of Sociogram to Improve Students' Ability in Reading Narrative Text for Junior High School Eight Grade Students of SMP Negri 1 Moga, Pemalang Academic Year (2011/2012). (undergraduate"s thesis). Semarang State University, Semarang, Indonesia
- Alexander, M. (2008). *Good writing leads to good testing*. Retrieved from http://www.stickyminds.com/sitewide.asp?ObjectId=3391&Function=edet ail&ObjectType=ART.
- Anderson, M., & Anderson, K.. (1997). *Text types in English*. South Yarra: McMillan.
- Anderson, M. (1998). Text type in English 3. Sydney, AUS: Mackmillan.
- Arsita, R. (2015). Improving narrative writing achievement of the eleventh grade students of SMA Negeri 6 Prabumulih trough reader's theatre strategy (Unpublished undergraduate"s thesis). Sriwijaya University, Palembang, Indonesia.
- Aryani, F. (2013). Using big book to improve narrative writing achievement of Eleventh grade students of SMA N 10 Palembang (Unpublished undergraduate"s thesis). Sriwijaya University, Palembang, Indonesia.
- Axelrod, Rise, B., & Charles, R. C. (2006). *The st. Martin's guide to writing* (9th ed). Boston, MA: St Martin's press, Inc.
- Brindley, S. (1993). *Teaching English*. London, UK: The Open University.
- Block, C. C. (1993). Teaching the language arts: Expanding thing though students centered instruction. Boston, Ma: Allyn and Bacon
- Campbell, D. T., & Staney, J. C. (196). *Handbook of Research on Teaching*. (7th ed). Chicago: Rand McNally and Company, Inc.
- Christensen, L. B. (1991). *Experimental Methodology*. Needham Heights, Ma: Allyn and Bacon, Inc.
- Collie, Joanne and S. Stater. (1987). *Literatur in the Language Classroom: A resource book of idea and activites*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Creswell, J. W. (2008). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and method

- approaches (3rd ed.). Thousands Oaks, CA: SAGE Publication, Inc.
- Depdiknas. (2006). Government Issue 2006 results: The goal of English teaching and learning in pedoman kurikulum tingkat satuan pendidikan bahasa Inggris untuk tingkat SMA. Jakarta: Depdiknas RI.
- Durland, M. (2003). *Understanding sociogram: A guide to understanding network analysis mapping*. Elburn, Illinois: Durlan Consultig, Inc. Retrieved from http://www.durlanconsulting.com/image/pdfs/Understanding_map_11_03a .pdf
- Feez, S., & Joyce, H. S. (1998). Writing skills: Narrative and non-fiction text types. Poenix Education.
- Furtado, R. (2009). *The five elements of a story*. Retrieved from http://www.swfleducation.com
- Harmer, J. (2004). *How to teach writing*. Essex: Pearson Educational Limited.
- Hogue, A. (2003) *The essentials of English*, A writer's handbook. New York, NY:Logman
- Hornby, A. S. (1995). Oxford advance learner's dictionary of current English, (5th ed). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Huang, W., Hong., Eades, P. (2007). Effects of sociogram drawing conventions andedge crossings in social network visualitation. Journal of graph algorithms and applications, 11, 397-429. Retrieved from http://jgaa.info/accepted/2007/HuangHongEades2007.11.2.pdf
- Inderawati, R. (2012). A response to Tolstoy's god sees the truth but waits for character building by the students of English study program of Sriwijaya University.

Retrived from

http://eprints.unsri.ac.id/650/1/MAKALAH_RITA_INDERAWATI.pdf

- Johnson, Terry D. & Daphne R. Louis, (1987) *Literature through Literature*. Retrieved from http://wwwfp.education.tas.gov.au/english/litsoc.htm
- Joyce, H. & Feez, S. (1998). Writing skills: Narrative and non-fiction text types. Poenix Education.
- Kurniati, V. E. (2013). An Analysis of Sociogram of Tolstoy's God Sees the Truth

- but Waits Created by the Sixth Semester Students of English Study Program of Sriwijaya University. (Unpublished undergraduate"s thesis). Sriwijaya University, Palembang, Indonesia.
- Meyers, A. (2005). Gateways to academic writing: Effective sentences paragraph and Essay. New York, NY: Longman.
- Onchera, P. O. (2013). Functional writing skills for effective communication: The English language classroom in Kenya. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies (JETERAPS)*. 4(6), 842-847.
- Oshima, A. & Hogue, A. (1978). Writing academic English. New Jersey, NJ: Adison-Wesley Longman.
- Peha, S. (2002). *What is good writing*. Retrieved from http://www.ttms.org/PDFs/13WhatisGoodWritingv001(full).pdf.
- Permendiknas No. 23. (2006). *Standar kompetensi lulusan untuk satuan pendidikan dasar dan menengah*. Jakarta: Depdiknas. Retrivied from: http://www.depdiknas.go.id.
- Purves, A. C., Rogers, T., & Soter., A. (1990). How porcupines make love II: Teaching a responsecentered literature curriculum. New York: Logman Group, Ltd.
- Rabbani, S. (2008). *Teaching Writing To the Tenth Grade Students of SMA Negeri*1 Palembang by Usig Sociogram. (Unpublished undergraduate"s thesis).

 Sriwijaya University, Palembang, Indonesia.
- Richards, J. C. (1990). *The language teaching matrix*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Sylva. (2008). Teaching Writing to the Elevent Grade Students of SMA N 1 Palembang by Using Sociogram. (Unpublished undergraduate"s thesis). Sriwijaya University, Palembang, Indonesia.
- Teddlie, C. & Yu, F. (2007). *Mixed methods sampling: A typology with examples*. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, *1*(1), 77-100. Retrieved from http://sociologyofeurope.unifi.it/upload/sub/documenti/Teddlie%20%20M ixed%20Methods%20Sampling%20%20A%20Typology%20With%20Examples.pdf
- Troyka. & Lynn, Q. (1987). *Handbook for writers*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc.

- Universitas Sriwijaya. (2013). *Buku Pedoman Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Sriwijaya. 2013/2014*. Indralaya, Ogan Ilir: Sriwijaya University Press.
- Walch, J. W. (1990). Elements of the short story. Retrieved from http://www.hasd,org/faculty/Terry/Tackman/Short%20Story%20Elements. pdf
- Wallen, N. E., & Frankel, J. R. (1991). Education research: A guide to the process.

New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.