

Student Analysis Thinking Ability Through Blended Learning With Video Tutorials

By Cecil Hiltrimartin

STUDENT ANALYSIS THINKING ABILITY THROUGH BLENDED LEARNING WITH VIDEO TUTORIALS

Annisa Oktavia Lestari¹, Cecil Hitrimartin², Jeri Araiku^{3*}

^{1,2,3*} Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia

*Corresponding author. Jalan Palembang-Prabumulih KM 32, 30662, Palembang, Indonesia.

E-mail: annisaaalestari99@gmail.com¹⁾

hiltrimartincecil1@gmail.com²⁾

jeriaraiiku@fkip.unsri.ac.id^{3*)}

Received 22 December 2022; Received in revised form 13 March 2022; Accepted 28 June 2022

Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui perbedaan kemampuan berpikir analisis siswa sebelum dan setelah mengikuti pembelajaran menggunakan *blended learning* berbantuan video tutorial dan responnya. Sampel pada penelitian ini adalah 26 siswa kelas XI IPA 5 SMA Negeri 1 Palembang. Penelitian ini merupakan penelitian kombinasi dengan *sequential explanatory design*. Teknik pengumpulan data pada penelitian ini adalah tes tertulis, survei dan wawancara. Instrumen yang digunakan antara lain lembar tes, angket respon dan pedoman wawancara. Teknik analisis data yang digunakan adalah uji Friedman karena data tidak memenuhi asumsi kenormalan. Hasil uji Friedman menunjukkan bahwa $sig = 0,00 < \alpha$ untuk $\alpha = 0,05$ sehingga H_0 ditolak yang berarti terdapat perbedaan yang signifikan dari kemampuan berpikir analisis siswa sebelum dan setelah mengikuti pembelajaran dengan *blended learning* berbantuan video tutorial. Dengan uji lanjutan Bonferroni, terlihat bahwa yang berbeda signifikan adalah hasil *pretest* dan *post test 1* serta *pretest* dan *post test 2*, sedangkan *post test 1* dan *post test 2* tidak berbeda secara signifikan. Selain itu, berdasarkan hasil angket, respon siswa setelah mengikuti pembelajaran dengan *blended learning* berbantuan video tutorial sudah cukup baik. Berdasarkan hasil yang diperoleh, *blended learning* berbantuan video tutorial dapat meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir analisis siswa sehingga penggunaannya sangat direkomendasikan. Dalam penggunaan *blended learning*, guru sebaiknya menyiapkan aktivitas pembelajaran asinkronous dan sinkronous dengan baik.

Kata kunci: Asinkronous; *blended learning*; kemampuan berpikir analisis; sinkronous

Abstract

This study aims to determine the differences in students' analytical thinking skills before and after participating in learning using *blended learning* assisted by video tutorials and their responses. The sample in this study were 26 students of class XI IPA 5 SMA Negeri 1 Palembang. This research is a combination research with *sequential explanatory design*. Data collection techniques in this study are written tests, surveys and interviews. The instruments used are test questions, questionnaire and interview guidelines. The data analysis technique used is the Friedman test because the data does not meet the normality assumption. Friedman test results show that $sig = 0.00 < for = 0.05$ so that H_0 is rejected, which means that there is a significant difference in students' analytical thinking skills before and after participating in learning with *blended learning* assisted by video tutorials. With Bonferroni's follow-up test, it can be seen that the results of *pretest* and *posttest 1* and *pretest* and *posttest 2* are significantly different, while *posttest 1* and *posttest 2* are not significantly different. In addition, based on the results of the questionnaire, the student's response after participating in learning with *blended learning* assisted by video tutorials was quite good. Based on the results obtained, *blended learning* assisted by video tutorials can improve students' analytical thinking skills so that its use is highly recommended. In using *blended learning*, teachers should prepare both asynchronous and synchronous learning activities.

Keywords: Asynchronous; *blended learning*, students' analytical thinking skills, synchronous



This is an open access article under the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>

INTRODUCTION

The ability to think analytically is the basis of higher-order abilities (Wulandari et al., 2018). The ability to think analytically is needed by an individual because this ability is the basis for the formation of new knowledge and skills (Rasheva et al., 2018). In addition, in solving a problem, an individual must develop their analytical thinking skills (Firdaus & Sinesis, 2017). Analytical skills are important for an individual to have in sorting, filtering and choosing which ideas will be forwarded when the individual has many ideas in his mind (Lane, 2020).

In the midst of the importance of analytical skills, the reality on the ground shows that children's analytical skills in Indonesia are still lacking (Irwanto et al., 2017). According to the 2018 Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) study, the percentage of students in Indonesia who are able to reach level 2 and above in mathematics is around 28% where the international average is 76%. As for those who reach level 5 and above, students in Indonesia are only around 1% with an international average of 11%. In addition, Indonesia's score in mathematics is 379, which is far below the international average score of 489. (OECD, 2019).

The low analytical ability can be caused by several factors, one of which is because students are not used to solving HOTS problems (Supriyadi et al., 2019). Characteristics of learning mathematics in schools are still accustomed to routine questions and LOTs (Rahayu et al., 2018). This is because the learning process only focuses on writing, memorizing, making formulas and working on procedural questions (Abdullah et al., 2017).

Therefore, learning is needed that facilitates students to think at a higher level where conceptual understanding should be given before learning.

One of the ways that teachers can do is implementing blended learning. Blended learning is face-to-face learning that is combined with online learning by using media and theory in the learning process (Wardani et al., 2018). Blended learning combines the best aspects of e-learning, face-to-face and practice (Kristanto et al., 2017). Blended learning can improve analytical skills which are included in higher order thinking skills because blended learning facilitates online access to information and discusses problems with the teacher during face-to-face activities (Ekawati & Kartika, 2019). In addition, blended learning provides access to online learning, delivery of theoretical and practical materials completely and systematically combines face-to-face and online learning that can train students' thinking skills (Fatwa & Djuniadi, 2016). However, the research was carried out before the COVID-19 pandemic so that synchronous learning was carried out face-to-face which required a meeting between teachers and students. In this study, virtual face-to-face learning will be used as an alternative because during the COVID-19 pandemic, as currently, face-to-face synchronous learning cannot be used.

In carrying out learning with blended learning, teachers can be assisted by the use of video tutorials. Video tutorial is a presentation of information both learning material and the process of operating a system that is packaged in the form of a video (Sumantri, 2019). The use of video tutorials in learning aims so that teachers do not have to explain teaching materials repeatedly, but simply re-

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>

present the videos that have been made so that the teacher's focus can be directed to the development and deepening of the material. (N. Ekawati et al., 2015). The use of video tutorials can assist student in understanding learning materials with higher order thinking skills including analytical thinking because the examples given are explained clearly. (Syaidah et al., 2018).

Based on the description above, the purpose of this study is to find out (1) the differences in students' analytical thinking skills before and after participating in learning with blended learning assisted by video tutorials (2) Student responses to learning with blended learning assisted by video tutorials.

METHOD

This research is a combination research with sequential explanatory design. The sample in this study was a class of students of XI IPA 5 SMA Negeri 1 Palembang which consisted of 26 people who were selected using simple random sampling technique. Data collection techniques in this study were written tests, surveys and interviews. The instruments used were test questions, questionnaire and interview guidelines. Written tests and interviews were used to determine students' analytical thinking skills, while questionnaires were used to determine student responses after learning with blended learning assisted by video tutorials. The procedure in this study can be seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Research procedure

To obtain data on students' analytical thinking skills, three tests were held, namely pre-test, post-test 1, and post-test 2. The test questions used were in the form of descriptions and

were made based on indicators of analytical ability. The indicators and descriptors of analytical thinking skills used in this study are described in Table 1.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>

Table 1. Indicators and descriptors of analytical thinking skills

Indicators	Descriptors
Determine data that is relevant or not relevant to a concept	1) Determine what data is contained in the question 2) Interpret every data that has been obtained 3) Determining the problem 4) Determine relevant data to solve problems
Connecting one concept to another	5) Using the data that has been obtained to relate it to other concepts 6) Solve problems using other concepts that have been determined
Explain the cause-and-effect relationship	7) Using a data as the cause of a selected solution step 8) State the consequences of using the data

Analysis of written test data was carried out using the ANOVA test with 1 repeated measurement path (RM one way ANOVA) with a significant level of 5%. The hypothesis in this study is

H_0 : There is no significant difference in students' analytical thinking skills before and after participating in blended learning assisted by video tutorials.

H_a : There is a significant difference in students' analytical thinking skills before and after learning with blended learning assisted by video tutorials.

Before implementing the RM one way ANOVA, the assumptions that must first be tested are random sampling, independence of observation,

the data's standardized residuals are normally distributed, and data sphericity. If at least one of the aforementioned assumptions is not met, then the RM one way ANOVA cannot be applied and the Friedman test will be used. All statistical tests in this study were performed using SPSS. After statistical tests, descriptive analysis was then carried out based on the results of interviews and relevant research.

Student responses in this study were measured using a questionnaire in the form of statements based on three response dimensions, including cognitive, conative and affective. Response indicators and descriptors used in this study are described in Table 2.

Table 2. Student response indicators and descriptors

Dimensions	Indicators	Descriptors
Cognitive	1) Understand the material easily	Easily understand the material presented in the video
	2) Rate view	Rate video views
	3) Obtain study instructions and information clearly	Obtain study instructions and information contained in the video clearly
Affective	4) Get motivation to learn	Gaining motivation to learn through blended learning
	5) Increase curiosity	Increase curiosity in learning the material presented during blended learning
	6) Add interest	Increase interest in mathematics after learning with blended learning

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>

Dimensions	Indicators	Descriptors
Conative	7) Train yourself	Increase knowledge while learning with Blended Learning which is manifested by behavior

Questionnaire data were analyzed using a Likert scale and student responses were then grouped into several criteria which can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Student response criteria

Actual Score Percentage (<i>p</i>)	Criteria
$20,00\% \leq p < 36,00\%$	Very poor
$36,00\% \leq p < 52,00\%$	Poor
$52,00\% \leq p < 68,00\%$	Fair
$68,00\% \leq p < 84,00\%$	Good
$84,00\% \leq p < 100,00\%$	Very good

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study focuses on the differences in students' analytical thinking skills before and after participating in blended learning and their consistency. To find out the difference, a pre-test and 2 post-tests were conducted. First, blended learning was conducted in 2 meetings for each post-test. Based on the characteristics of blended learning, learning activities consist of asynchronous and synchronous (Figure 1). The data obtained were then analyzed using the RM one way ANOVA.

Assumption Test

Random sample and independency of observation

The sample selection technique used is simple random sampling so that the random sample assumption is met. Each research subject obtained a score on each test carried out starting from pre-test to post-test 2 so that the assumption of independence of observation was fulfilled.

Normality test

The normality test used is the Kolmogorov-Smirnov. The results of the normality test can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Normality test

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a		
	Statistic	df	Sig.
Pretes	,285	26	,000
Post test 1	,352	26	,000
Post test 2	,159	26	,088

In Table 4, it can be seen that the data from pre-test and post-test 1 are not normally distributed because the value of $sig < \alpha$. However, for post-test 2 data, it was obtained that $sig = 0.088 > \alpha$ which means that post-test 2 data was normally distributed. Based on this, the assumption of normality is not met.

Hypothesis Testing: Friedman Test

Because the assumption of normality is not met, RM Anova cannot be used to test the hypothesis, so the Friedman test is used. The results of the Friedman test carried out with the help of SPSS 25 can be seen in table 5.

Table 5. Friedman test

Test Statistics ^a	
N	26
Chi-Square	33,937
df	2
Asymp. Sig.	,000

From Table 5, it can be seen that $sig = 0.00 < \alpha$ with $\alpha = 0.05$ so that H_0 is rejected. Therefore, there are significant differences in students' analytical thinking skills before and after participating in blended learning.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>

Furthermore, to find out which parts are significantly different, further tests were

carried out using the Bonfferoni test which can be seen in Table 6.

Table 6. Bonfferoni test

Pairwise Comparisons		Measure: analyticability				
(I)	(J)	Mean analyticanalytic Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig. ^b	95% Confidence Interval for Difference ^b	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1	2	-22.266*	3.080	.000	-30.169	-14.363
	3	-23.683*	2.680	.000	-30.558	-16.807
2	1	22.266*	3.080	.000	14.363	30.169
	3	-1.417	3.179	1.000	-9.573	6.739
3	1	23.683*	2.680	.000	16.807	30.558
	2	1.417	3.179	1.000	-6.739	9.573

Based on estimated marginal means


*. The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.

From table 6, it is obtained that the value of sig. from pretest-posttest 1 and pretest-posttest 2 significantly different which means that blended learning assisted by video tutorials significantly affects students' analytical thinking skills. While the value of sig. for post test 1-post test 2 did not differ significantly which implies the consistency of the treatment given. Based on this, it can be seen that learning with blended learning assisted by video tutorials can consistently improve students' analytical thinking skills. Learning with blended learning assisted by video tutorials and BUPENA can consistently improve students' problem solving abilities (Faradilla et al., 2021). Blended learning using video tutorial gives significant gain in thinking abilities, learning achievement and character such as critical thinking, curiosity,

hard work and so on (Sudiarta & Widana, 2019). The blended learning model is very suitable to be applied by integrating face-to-face learning and online-based learning which are consisted of video tutorials, discussion of materials, case examples and discussion forums (Karma et al., 2019).

Descriptive Analysis

The results of the written test data analysis showed that the students' analytical skills improved after participating in blended learning. During the pretest, most students did not write down the information obtained from the questions and immediately worked on the questions. However, during the post test, they were able to write down the information and interpret it. The following Figure 2, Figure 3 and Figure 4 are examples of answers from SA.



SA created an equation without writing down the data or information that

Figure 2. SA pretest answers

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x-1 & 5 \\ 6 & x-2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a_{11}b_{22} - a_{12}b_{21}$$

$$B = A^{-1}$$
 Entri dan Matriks B bil. positif
 Dit: $x = ?$

Jwb:

$$a_{11}b_{22} - a_{12}b_{21}$$

$$(x-1)(x-2) = 5 \cdot 6$$

$$x^2 - 2x - x + 2 = 30$$

$$x^2 - 3x - 28 = 0$$

$$(x-7)(x+4) = 0$$

$$x = 7 \quad \vee \quad x = -4$$

$$B = A^{-1}$$

$$B = \frac{1}{\begin{vmatrix} x-1 & 5 \\ 6 & x-2 \end{vmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \frac{1}{\begin{vmatrix} x-1-1 & 5-0 \\ 6-0 & x-2-1 \end{vmatrix}}$$

$$B = \frac{1}{\begin{vmatrix} x-2 & 5 \\ 6 & x-3 \end{vmatrix}}$$

Entri Matriks B bil positif

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 7-2 & 5 \\ 6 & 7-3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 5 \\ 6 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B^T = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Annotations:

- SA wrote the data or information that contained in the question
- SA obtained the value of x and marked out x = 7
- SA wrote that the entries of matrix B were positive numbers and used x = 7 as the value of x

Figure 3. Post test 1 answer of SA

Dik: $I: A = \begin{pmatrix} -\log_a b & \log_a b \\ \log_a c & -\log_a c \end{pmatrix} \quad N: b = 2c$
 $II: A \begin{pmatrix} \log_a a \\ \log_a a \end{pmatrix} = b$
 $III: \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Dit: $b = ?$
 $c = ?$

Jwb:

Daha ke II substitusikan data I dan III ke II

$$A \begin{pmatrix} \log_a a \\ \log_a a \end{pmatrix} = b$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\log b & \log b \\ \log c & -\log c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \log a \\ \log a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 \log b & \log b \\ \log c & -\frac{1}{2} \log c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \log a \\ \log a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 \log b & \log a + \log b \\ \log c & \log a + \frac{1}{2} \log a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 \log a + \log b \\ \log c + \frac{1}{2} \log a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{matrix} * -2 + \log b = 0 & * \log c + (-\frac{1}{2}) = 0 \\ \text{data ke IV } b = 2c & \log \sqrt{3} + (-\frac{1}{2}) = 0 \\ -2 + \log 2c = 0 & \log 2^{\frac{1}{2}} + (-\frac{1}{2}) = 0 \\ -2 + \log c + \log 2 = 0 & \frac{1}{2} \log 2 - \frac{1}{2} = 0 \\ \log 2 = 2 & \frac{1}{2} \log 2 = \frac{1}{2} \\ c^2 = 2 & \log 2 = 1 \\ c = \sqrt{2} & b^2 = 2 \\ & b = 2 \end{matrix}$$

$$b + c = 2 + \sqrt{2}$$

Annotations:

- SA wrote the ordo of matrix B was 2x1 with all elements were zero
- SA could determine the relevant data in solving problem
- SA incorrectly wrote the logarithm properties

Figure 4. Post test 2 answer of SA

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>

From the three figures, it can be seen that the comparison of SA students' answers during the pretest, post test 1 and post test 2. When working on the pretest questions, SA students immediately worked without first stating what information was contained in the questions and how they understood the information and problems. what is in the question. In addition, SA students also did not explain what data they used to solve the questions. Students more often solve problems in a direct way and are not accustomed to writing down what information is contained in questions such as what data is there, what data is not there and what is the condition of the data because they think it is unnecessary and just a waste of time, even though it can be one of the causes of errors in solving problems (Akbar et al., 2017). (Irwanto et al., 2017) confirms that the low score of students' analytical thinking are caused by the students' inability to describe and present data, formulate problem formulation and identify the variables of experiment. In contrast to the results of the SA pretest, some students were unable to relate the concept of a given plane figure to the concept of a system of linear equations. This is due to their basic thinking. The cause of student errors in connecting a data with other data or a concept with another concept is the student's humanistic thinking (Ardiyanti & Fariyah, 2019).

However, after participating in learning with blended learning assisted by video tutorials, the way SA students solve problems can be seen during post test 1. When doing post test 1, SA students begin to write down what information they get, formulate questions, and use the data obtained. exist to solve problems. For the

selection of the value $x = 7$ he wrote, the SA student explained that because from the data he obtained the desired matrix B was a matrix with all positive elements so he chose $x = 7$, this information was obtained during interviews. The following is an excerpt from interviews conducted by researchers with SA students.

Researcher : In the section you get two x's, so what is chosen by x equals how much?

SA : x is equal to 7

Researcher : why?

SA : because what matrix B is asking for is a positive integer, miss.

For post test 2, student A writes down the information in the questions and interprets it, it can be seen when he writes down $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ which means he understands the meaning of zero matrix B information. When interviewed, the reason for writing B is a zero matrix of order 2×1 because from the second data, matrix B is the product of matrix A of order 2×2 and a matrix of order 2×1 so that the product of the multiplication is matrix B must be of order 2×1 .

Researcher : So the order of matrix B is made 2 times 1, right?

SA : yes, miss, adjusted to the data that was miss.

Researcher : which data?

SA : the data from A earlier, right because of that later, you will multiply the second data, then the result will be two times one.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>

In addition, SA wrote down what data they use to solve the problem. Student A can determine which data is relevant and can be used in solving problems.

Researcher: Yes, that's right. So we have to find the value of b and c first, right?

SA : *yes miss.*

Researcher: how much data did you use?

SA : *first we use the second data. Then we substitute the first and third data. After that, just substitute again with the fourth data.*

Researcher: So, what concept do you use for this third data?

SA : *the concept of logarithm miss.*

Unfortunately, in step 10 the SA student mistakenly used the logarithmic property where he wrote down the result of $\log_c 2c = \log_c 2 \cdot \log_c c$ which should be $\log_c 2 + \log_c c$ which causes there to be confusion in the end result.

Judging from the results of post-test 1 and post-test 2, the analytical ability of SA students is better than the results of the pre-test. This is because SA students have been trained to analyze problems and discuss during synchronous learning. Some active learning processes such as discussions between students and students and teachers as well as listening/paying attention to teacher explanations have a positive impact in improving student learning outcomes in this case analytical skills (Fauzi, 2019). This finding is in line with the research result from (Shindy & Kartika, 2019), that blended learning can improve students' higher order thinking skills because it facilitates online access to information

and discusses problems with the teacher. In addition, students' understanding of concepts and the fluency of mathematical procedures after participating in learning with blended learning will be better. The understanding of concepts and fluency of mathematical procedures of students who study with the blended learning model is better than the understanding of concepts and fluency of mathematical procedures of students who only study with conventional learning (Nugraha et al., 2019). Conceptual understanding of students who exposed to blended learning are better than who exposed to conventional teaching method (Setyaningrum, 2018).

At the time of post-test 2, SA students had errors in writing logarithmic properties. This is because SA students are less careful and forget the logarithmic properties. Students are not careful in solving problems of exponential and logarithmic forms so they are prone to make mistakes (Maulid, 2017). In addition, students' mistakes when working on logarithmic problems were caused because they forgot and did not understand the properties of logarithms (Ong & Ratu, 2019).

Student response

After participating in learning with blended learning assisted by video tutorials, students were given a response questionnaire containing ten statements. The data from the questionnaire were then analyzed using a Likert scale and the actual score percentage was calculated and determined into five criteria, including Very poor, poor, fair, Good and Very Good. Student responses to learning with blended learning assisted by video tutorials can be seen in Figure 5.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>




Figure 5. Students response diagram

From Figure 5, it can be seen that the response of 13 students to learning with blended learning assisted by video tutorials is Fairly Good, while those who respond Good are 8 people and 2 others respond Very Good. It can be seen that most of the students' responses are quite good. This is because students have been given a video before learning and they can access it anytime and anywhere according to their respective situations and conditions. In line with the research conducted (Budiyono, 2020) that learning with blended learning gets a positive response because learning materials can be accessed anytime and anywhere. After participating in blended learning, students are mostly give positif response because they can use technology that brings up their enthusiasm (Komala & Sarmini, 2020).

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

Based on the results obtained, it can be concluded that blended learning assisted by video tutorials can improve students' analytical thinking skills. It can also be seen that most of the students' responses to blended learning assisted by video tutorials are quite good. Therefore, the use of blended

learning assisted by video tutorials is highly recommended. In the use of blended learning, teachers should prepare asynchronous and synchronous learning activities properly so that unwanted things do not happen such as student errors in writing a mathematical principle in this case logarithms, lack of student participation, and student readiness in participating in synchronous learning.

The results of this study can be used as a reference for further studies such as development research of video tutorials which are used for asynchronous learning, learning design of blended learning, or implementation of blended learning to improve another thinking ability.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank all subjects who have agreed to participate in this research. We also would like to thank Weni Dwi Pratiwi, M.Sc. and Elika Kurniadi, M.Sc. for their contributions as validators of the research instruments.

REFERENCES

Abdullah, A. H., Mokhtar, M., Halim, N. D. A., Ali, D. F., Tahir, L. M., & Kohar, U. H. A. (2017).

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>

- Mathematics Teachers' Level of Knowledge and Practice on The Implementation of Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS). *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(1), 3–17.
<https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00601a>
- Akbar, P., Hamid, A., Bernard, M., & Sugandi, A. I. (2017). Analisis Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah dan Disposisi Matematik Siswa Kelas XI SMA Putra Juang dalam Materi Peluang. *Jurnal Cendekia : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 2(1), 144–153.
<https://doi.org/10.31004/cendekia.v2i1.62>
- Ardiyanti, S. A., & Fariyah, U. (2019). Analisis Kesulitan Siswa dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Cerita pada Materi Teorema Pythagoras Ditinjau dari Pemecahan Masalah Polya. In *Electronic Thesis and Dissertations Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta* (pp. 389–398).
- Budiyono, F. (2020). Implementasi Blended Learning di Masa Pandemi Covid 19. *Prosiding Diskusi Daring Tematik Nasional 2020*.
- Ekawati, N., Wahyuningsih, D., & Fisika, P. (2015). Pengembangan Video Tutorial sebagai Media dalam Belajar Mandiri Materi Bunyi Siswa Sekolah Menengah Pertama. *PROSIDING: Seminar Nasional Fisika Dan Pendidikan Fisika*, 3(2).
- Ekawati, S., & Kartika, dan D. M. R. (2019). Pembelajaran Blended Learning Berbantuan Software Maple terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Tingkat Tinggi Mahasiswa. *SIGMA : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 11(2), 88–96.
- Faradilla, N., Hapizah, & Araiku, J. (2021). Students' Problem-Solving Ability and Attitude Towards Blended Learning Using Assessment Book (BUPENA) and Tutorial Video. *4th Sriwijaya University Learning and Education International Conference (SULE-IC 2020)*, 695–701.
<https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.201230.183>
- Fatwa, A., & Djuniadi. (2016). Strategi Blended Learning untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Pokok Bahasan PERSamaan dan Fungsi Kuadrat Mata Pelajaran Matematika. *Jurnal Smart Comp*, 1(1).
- Fauzi, A. (2019). Penggunaan Blended Learning (Metode Group Investigasi dengan Media Online) untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Analisis Masalah Pelajaan PAI Siswa Kelas X SMAN 1 Sreseh Sampang Tahun 2018/2019. *Jurnal Subulana 2.2 (2019): 9-28.*, 2(2), 9–28.
- Firdaus, T., & Sinesis, A. R. (2017). Video Analisis untuk Kemampuan Menganalisis dan Memecahkan Masalah Materi Kinematika pada Calon Guru Fisika. *Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran Fisika*, 8(2).<https://doi.org/10.26877/jp2f.v8i2.1721>
- Irwanto, Rohaeti, E., Widjajanti, E., & Suyanta. (2017). Students' Science Process Skill and Analytical Thinking Ability in Chemistry Learning. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 030001.
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4995100>
- Karma, I. G. M., Darma, I. K., & Santiana, I. M. A. (2019).

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>

- Teaching Strategies and Technology Integration in Developing Blended Learning of Applied Mathematics Subject. *International Research Journal of Engineering, IT & Scientific Research*, 5(5), 16–25. <https://doi.org/10.21744/irjeis.v5n5.726>
- Komala, E., & Sarmini, S. (2020). Kemampuan Representasi Simbolik Matematik Siswa SMP Menggunakan Blended Learning. *Prisma*, 9(2), 204. <https://doi.org/10.35194/jp.v9i2.1078>
- Kristanto, A., Mustaji, M., & Mariono, A. (2017). The Development of Instructional Materials E-Learning Based On Blended Learning. *International Education Studies*, 10(7), 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v10n7p10>
- Lane, R. (2020). *Analytical thinking*.
- Maulid, S. (2017). Analisis Kesalahan Siswa Kelas X SMA Negeri 1 Raha dalam Menyelesaikan Soal-Soal Eksponen dan Logaritma. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 155–168.
- Nugraha, D. G. A. P., Astawa, I. W. P., & Ardana, I. M. (2019). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Blended Learning terhadap Pemahaman Konsep dan Kelancaran Prosedur Matematis. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 6(1), 75–86. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jrpm.v6i1.20074>
- OECD. (2019). Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) Results from PISA 2018. *OECD*, 1–10. [https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/sites/bd69f805-en/index.html?itemId=/content/component/bd69f805-en#fig86](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/education/pisa-2018-results-volume-iii_bd69f805-en%0Ahttps://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/sites/bd69f805-en/index.html?itemId=/content/component/bd69f805-en#fig86)
- Ong, F. I. H., & Ratu, N. (2019). Analisis Kesalahan Siswa dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Logaritma. *JPMI (Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Indonesia)*, 4(1), 29–35. <https://doi.org/10.26737/jpmi.v4i1.900>
- Rahayu, L. P., Ahmad Shakroni Nugroho, A., Santoso, M., & Widodo, S. (2018). Pengembangan Soal Matematika Hots (Higher Order Thinking Skills) Kelas X Berdasarkan Triple Theory. *Efektor*, 117–125.
- Rasheva-Yordanova, K., Iliev, E., & Nikolova, B. (2018). Analytical Thinking as a Key Competence for Overcoming The Data Science Divide. *EDULEARN18 Proceedings*, 2–4. <https://doi.org/10.21125/edulearn.2018.1833>
- Setyaningrum, W. (2018). Blended Learning: Does It Help Students in Understanding Mathematical Concepts? *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(2), 244–253. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jrpm.v5i2.21428>
- Sudiarta, I. G. P., & Widana, I. W. (2019). Increasing Mathematical Proficiency and Students Character: Lesson from The Implementation of Blended Learning in Junior High School in Bali. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1317(1), 012118. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1317/1/012118>
- Sumantri, U. (2019). Penggunaan Video

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v11i2.4616>

Tutorial dalam Upaya Meningkatkan Prestasi Belajar TIK pada Siswa Kelas XII.IPS-1. *Jurnal Metaedukasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan*, 1(2).

Supriyadi, S., Rusilowati, A., Isnaeni, W., & ... (2019). Peningkatan Kemampuan Guru SD di Kecamatan Gajah Mungkur dalam Menyusun Soal HOTS Setelah Mengikuti Pelatihan. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pascasarjana (PROSNAMPAS)*, 2(1), 844–848.

Syaidah, N., Suana, W., Sesunan, F., & Newton, H. (2018). Development of Tutorial Video For Higher Order Thinking Practice on the Topic of Newton ' s Law. *Kasuari: Physics Education Journal*, 1(2), 91–102.

Wardani, D. N., Toenlioe, A. J. E., & Wedi, A. (2018). Daya Tarik Pembelajaran di Era 21 dengan Blended Learning. *Jurnal Kajian Teknologi Pendidikan (JKTP)*, 1(1), 13–18.

Wulandari, I. G. ., Sa'dijah, C., As'ari, A. R., & Rahardjo, S. (2018). Modified Guided Discovery Model : A conceptual Framework for Designing Learning Model Using Guided Discovery to Promote Student's Analytical Thinking Skills. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1028(1), 012153. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1028/1/012153>

Student Analysis Thinking Ability Thriugh Blended Learning With Video Tutorials

ORIGINALITY REPORT

7%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1 ojs.fkip.ummetro.ac.id
Internet

303 words — 7%

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF