# PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' LITERACY VIEW: A SURVEY STUDY AT THREE ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAMS IN PALEMBANG

# A Thesis by

# FAIRUZ PUTRI KHALISA Student Number 06011381520033 English Education Study Program Language and Arts Education Department



# FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY PALEMBANG 2019

# PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' LITERACY VIEW: A SURVEY STUDY AT THREE ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAMS IN PALEMBANG

A Thesis by

Fairuz Putri Khalisa

06011381520033

**English Education Study Program** 

Language and Arts Education Department

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

2019

Approved by,

Advisor 1,

Advisor 2,

Machdalena Vianty, M. Ed., M. Pd., Ed. D.

NIP 197411242000122001

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S. Pd., M. Pd. NIP 197408022002121003

Certified by,

Head of Language and Arts

Education Department,

Coordinator of English Education Study Program,

Dr. Didi Suhendi, M. Hum.

NIP. 196910221994031001

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd NIP 197408022002121003

# PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' LITERACY VIEW: A SURVEY STUDY AT THREE ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAMS IN PALEMBANG

#### A Thesis

By

# Fairuz Putri Khalisa 06011381520033

This thesis was defended by the writer in final program examination and was approved by the examination committee on:

Day : Tuesday

Date : November 26th, 2019

## **EXAMINATION COMMITTEE APPROVAL:**

1. Chairperson : Machdalena Vianty, M. Ed., M. Pd., Ed. D. (

2. Secretary : Hariswan Putera Jaya, S. Pd., M. Pd.

3. Member : Bambang A. Loeneto, M.A., Ph.D.

4. Member : Erlina, S. Pd., M. Pd., M. Ed.

5. Member : Dedi Kurniawan, S. Pd., M. Pd.

Palembang, November 2019

Certified by,

Coordinator of English Education Study Program

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd.,M.Pd NIP 197408022002121003

#### DECLARATION

I, the undersigned,

Name : Fairuz Putri Khalisa

Student's Number : 06011381520033

Study Program : English Education

Certify that thesis entitled "Pre-service Teachers' Literacy View: A Survey Study at Three English Education Study Programs in Palembang" is my own work and I did not do any plagiarism or inappropriate quotation against the ethic and rules commended by the Ministry of Education of Republic Indonesia Number 17, 2010 regarding plagiarism in higher education. Therefore, I deserve to face the court if I am found to have plagiarized this work.

Palembang, November 2019

The undersigned,

Fairuz Putri Khalisa

NIM 06011381520033

# **DEDICATIONS AND MOTTOS**

### This thesis is dedicated to:

- Allah SWT, the Almighty God, the Merciful, and the owner of the universe
- My beloved parents (Ahmad Hartawan & Sri Gustiana)
- My brothers and sisters
- Those I love the most that I can't mention their names one by one

## **MOTTO**

"There is no need to rush, what is meant for you will arrive on time"

"Train your mind to see the good in everything. The happiness of your life depends on the quality of your thoughts"

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah SWT, the Almighty and the Merciful, who has blessed me with so many marvelous things in life that I've never expected before. His uncountable blessing has empowered me to compose this thesis which was written to fulfill one of the requirements to accomplish undergraduate degree at the English Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University. The invocation and peace as well go to Muhammad SAW, the Prophet, his families, and his disciplines. May Allah bless them and give them peace.

This thesis also would not be finished perfectly without the help and support from many people. Therefore, I would like to owe my enormous debt of gratitude to:

- 1. My big family for their endless love and support, especially to my mother and father (Sri Gustiana & Ahmad Hartawan). Thank you for every sacrifices that you have done to me. You both are my strength who have carried me this far. Words are not enough to express how blessed I am to have you both in my life. I love you both so much!
- 2. My amazing advisors, ibu Machdalena Vianty, M. Ed., M. Pd., Ed. D. and bapak Hariswan Putera Jaya, S. Pd., M. Pd who I adore so much. Thank you for your guidance, motivation, and never-ending support during the process of writing this thesis. To ibu Machdalena Vianty, M. Ed., M. Pd., Ed. D., who always had the answers to my academic concerns, thank you so much for all the helps that you have given to me during the hard times I faced in my thesis journey, my thesis has become crystal clear since the day you chose me to be a part of your big research. To bapak Hariswan Putra Jaya, S. Pd., M. Pd., who always gave me the strength to never give up in my thesis journey, thank you so much for your motivation and guidance that you have offered to me. Now, my thesis finally has reached its end. I am so grateful to have you both as my advisors.
- 3. My fluffy cousin, Regieta Syahrani, thank you for your supports, you truly are the best place to share everything with. My lovely baby sharks (Dini, Faisal, Dea, Aca, and Aliya), thank you for being nice and friendly to me, for asking me to play around with you, it really made my days.

- 4. My annoying yet lovable partner since 2012, Muhammad Iqbal Fauzi, who never gets tired of being with me with all my mood swing and often finds the same thing in common with me, thank you for giving the colors to my days, teaching me how to be calm and think positively, and being the one who I can talk to for hours every day and every night.
- 5. My forever eating buddy and SONE partner, Cindy Malinda, who is always be with me since the first day of our study in college, thank you for the joy you bring to my life. I will not forget our unforgettable moments we have made, especially those moments we have in Malaysia and Singapore. I'm happy to have you as my partner.
- 6. My fella Taurean and nocturnal girl, Nurvira Wardayani, who always talks the random things with me, thank you for cheering me up when I am down, asking me to play PUBG whenever I feel dizzy, and talking for hours from night till dawn. I am so lucky to meet the one like you who is crazy as me.
- 7. My one and only guy friend, Andika Putra Pratama, who always makes me laugh, thank you for all the entertaining words and actions you did, and also for your motivating words that I sometimes couldn't believe those words came out from your mouth.
- 8. My selfie partners, Sari Resimayani and Nini Cempaka, who are also my PUBG mates, thank you for the togetherness we made and your support during the process of writing this thesis. Also, Febby Muthia Andani, who was my partner in crime during the process of collecting the data in 3 universities, thank you for your help and supports.

Finally, I hope this thesis will be useful for the readers. However, I realize that this thesis is far from being perfect. Therefore, any criticisms, ideas, and suggestions for the improvement of this thesis are greatly appreciated.

Palembang, November 2019
The writer,

Fairuz Putri Khalisa NIM 06011381520033

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| APPROVAL   | ii      |
|--|---------|
| COMMITEE APPROVAL  | iii     |
| DECLARATION  | iv      |
| DEDICATIONS AND MOTTO  | v       |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS   | vi      |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS  | vii     |
| LIST OF TABLES   | X       |
| LIST OF APPENDICES   | xi      |
| LIST OF FIGURE   | xii     |
| ABSTRACT   | xii     |
|  |         |
| CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION  |         |
| 1.1 Background   | 1       |
| 1.2 Problems of the Study  | 3       |
| 1.3 Objectives of the Study                                      | 4       |
| 1.4 Significance of the Study                                    | 4       |
| CHAPTER II : LITERATURE REVIEW                                   |         |
| 2.1 Definition of Literacy                                       | 5       |
| 2.2 Literacy View  | 6       |
| 2.3 The Pre-service Teachers' Perception                         | 7       |
| 2.3.1 The Perception of their Ability in Teaching                | 8       |
| 2.3.2 The Perception of their English Language and Communication | n Skill |
|  | 9       |
| 2.4 The Pre-service Teachers' Belief about Teaching and Learning | 10      |
| 2.5 Previous Related Studies                                     | 11      |
| CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY                                |         |
| 3.1 Research Design  | 14      |
| 3.2 Operational Definitions                                      | 14      |

| 3.3 Population and Sample of the Study                                 | 15   |
|--|------|
| 3.3.1Population  | 15   |
| 3.3.2 Sample   | 15   |
| 3.4 Techniques of Collecting the Data                                  | 17   |
| 3.4.1 Literacy View Survey Questionnaire                               | 17   |
| 3.5 Validity and Reliability of the Instruments                        | 18   |
| 3.6 Technique of Analyzing the Data                                    | 18   |
| 3.6.1 Questionnaire  | 18   |
| CHAPTER IV : FINDINGS AND INTERPRETATION                               |      |
| 4.1 Findings of the Study  | 20   |
| 4.1.1 The Result of Literacy View Survey Questionnaire                 | 20   |
| 4.1.2 The Result of the Pre-service Teachers Responses for Each Domain | ı 21 |
| 4.1.2.1 Domain I   | 22   |
| 4.1.2.2 Domain II  | 24   |
| 4.1.2.3 Domain III   | 26   |
| 4.1.2.4 Domain IV  | 28   |
| 4.1.2.5 Domain V   | 29   |
| 4.1.3 The Result of Normality and Homogeneity                          | 30   |
| 4.1.4 The Result of Mann-Whitney U Test Analysis                       | 31   |
| 4.2 Interpretation   | 32   |
| CHAPTER V : CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS                                |      |
| 5.1 Conclusions  | 35   |
| 5.2 Suggestions  | 35   |
| REFERENCES   | 36   |
| APPENDICES   | 11   |

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1  |    |
|----------|----|
| Table 2  | 16 |
| Table 3  | 17 |
| Table 4  | 17 |
| Table 5  | 20 |
| Table 6  | 21 |
| Table 7  | 22 |
| Table 8  | 24 |
| Table 9  | 27 |
| Table 10 | 28 |
| Table 11 | 29 |
| Table 12 | 31 |
| Table 13 | 31 |
| Table 14 | 31 |

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A : Literacy View Survey Questionnaire

Appendix B : Normality of Literacy View Survey Questionnaire

Appendix C : Homogeneity of Literacy View Survey Questionnaire

Appendix D : Results of Literacy View Survey Questionnaire

Appendix E : Result of Mann-Whitney U Test Analysis

Appendix F : Surat Usul Judul

Appendix G: Surat Keputusan Pembimbing Skripsi

Appendix H : Surat Izin Penelitian

Appendix I : Surat Keterangan telah melaksanakan penelitian

Appendix J : Research Design Seminar Approval (Pre)

Appendix K : Research Design Seminar Approval (Post)

Appendix L : Research Design Seminar Suggestion List

Appendix M : Preliminary Research Report Seminar Approval (Pre)

Appendix N : Preliminary Research Report Seminar Approval (Post)

Appendix O: Preliminary Research Report Seminar Suggestion List

Appendix P : Thesis Final Examination Approval (Pre)

Appendix Q: Thesis Final Examination Approval (Post)

Appendix R : Thesis Final Examination Suggestion List

# LIST OF FIGURE

FIGURE 1 Likert Scale of Literacy View Survey

# PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' LITERACY VIEW: A SURVEY STUDY AT THREE ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAMS IN PALEMBANG

#### ABSTRACT

Literacy view in this present study refers to the pre-service teachers' view towards their literacy teaching skill which comprises of their level of confidence to teach literacy, belief about teaching and learning, perceptions of their English and communication skills, perceptions of their English and communication skills for workplace participation, and perception of their tertiary program. Investigating the pre-service teachers' literacy view is worth doing because each domain of the literacy view plays an important role to the participants' future career as a teacher of English. There were 339 pre-service teachers from English Education Study Programs of three universities in Palembang who participated in this study. The data were collected by using the Literacy View Survey questionnaire and statistically analyzed to map the pre-service teachers' responses. The findings showed that the pre-service teachers responded positively to all of the domains: they felt confidence about their ability to teach literacy, they held beliefs about what teaching and learning are prescribed, they perceived positively their communication skills and English language use in teaching, and they valued what their tertiary program has provided for their study. The findings also revealed that there was a significant difference between the literacy view of the pre-service teachers who had experience in teaching and those who did not. Lastly, the findings of this study imply that it is important to encourage the pre-service teachers of English Education Study Programs to have a good view towards their literacy teaching skill.

Keywords: Pre-service Teachers, Literacy View, English Language Teaching, Teaching Experience, Tertiary Program

A Thesis by an English Education Study Program Student, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University

Name NIM : Fairuz Putri Khalisa : 06011381520033

Approved by,

Advisor 1,

Advisor 2.

Machdalena Vianty, M. Ed., M. Pd., Ed. D.

NIP 197411242000122001

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd NIP 197408022002121003

Certified by,

Coordinator of English Education Study Program,

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd NIP 197408022002121003

# CHAPTER I

#### **INTRODUCTION**

This chapter presents the background, problems, objectives, and significance of the study.

### 1.1 Background

Literacy in traditional meaning means the ability to read and write. Someone is called a literate person if he/she is able to communicate effectively with others and to understand written information (Suwatno, 2017). As Wallace (1992) describes, nowadays literacy is used in every aspect of life. It means that literacy is indeed a crucial skill to have in order to survive in this era.

Because of the increasing advancement of technology in today's world, the definition of literacy has been developed into a broader meaning. In this 21<sup>st</sup> century, literacy refers to the ability to use technology for gathering and communicating information (Pilgrim & Martinez, 2013). As stated by the International Reading Association (2009), to become fully literate in today's world, students must become proficient in the literacies of the 21<sup>st</sup> century technologies. In addition to technology literacy, there are also reading literacy, science literacy, and numerical literacy (OECD, 2000).

In this present study, the concern was to investigate the literacy view of the pre-service teachers within the three universities in Palembang and find the difference between the literacy view of the pre-service teachers' who had experience in teaching and those who did not. The literacy view refers to what O'Neill and Geoghegan (2011) described in their study. It comprises of the pre-service teachers' level of the confidence to teach, their beliefs about teaching and learning, perceptions of their English and communication skills, perceptions of their English and communication skills for workplace participation, and perceptions of their tertiary program.

First, finding out the pre service teachers' level of the confidence to teach is important because as the future teachers of English, they have to be confident in teaching. This is also because having the confidence on their own ability relates to the personal competence which is one of the competences needed of being a good teacher. According to The Indonesian Act of Teachers and Lecturers Number 14 Year 2005, there are 4 competences that teachers must have: Pedagogical competence, Personal competence, Social competence, and Professional competence. Personal competence as stated on The Indonesian National Education Standards, Article 28 paragraph (3) point B refers to having a strong, stable, mature, wise and prestigious personality, being a good example for the students, and having a good character. Being confident means that the pre-service teachers are ready to be the future teachers of English. Having the confidence on their capability to teach is also in line with the definition of self-efficacy. Bandura (1994) defines self-efficacy as people's beliefs about their capabilities to produce designated levels of performance that exercise influence over events that affect their lives. Having self-efficacy can influence teachers' teaching performance. The study of the relationship between teachers' self efficacy and their job performance by Khurshid, Naz Qasmi, and Ashraf (2012) in Islamabad, Pakistan involving 75 teachers from public sectors schools of Islamabad and 225 students revealed that there was a positive relationship between teachers' self efficacy and their job performance.

Second, investigating the pre service teachers' beliefs about teaching and learning is also important because what they believe in can influence their actualization as teacher. Pajares (1992) states that teachers' beliefs have a greater influence than the teachers' knowledge on the way they plan their lessons, on the kinds of the decision they make, and on their general classroom practice. Xu (2012) adds that a teacher's beliefs are more influential than a teacher's knowledge on determining his or her teaching activities. Teacher's beliefs strongly influence teaching behavior, interactions with students, and in turn create an objective reality in the classroom (Heather, 2009, as cited in Xu, 2012)

Next, investigating the pre service teachers' perceptions of their communication skill and English Language skill is important because this also relates to the social competence which is also one of the competences needed of being a good teacher. According to The Indonesian Act of Teachers and Lecturers number 14 year 2005 paragraph 8, social competence is the ability of a teacher to communicate and interact effectively with the surroundings. As future teachers of English, they indeed need the content knowledge of the English Language which can support them later when they teach, but they also need the communication skill in order to communicate effectively with the students and others.

Finally, exploring the pre service teachers' perceptions about their tertiary program can provide the information for the institution about what the pre-service teachers think concerning how much their tertiary program contributes to prepare them to be ready as the future teacher of English.

This present study was similar to what O'Neill and Geoghegan (2011) did. In their study, they reported that the majority of the participants had sufficient knowledge of the English language to teach literacy, rated themselves as less confident to teach literacy, and held less positive perceptions of their communication skills for teaching. This study investigated whether or not it gave similar result to what they have found.

#### 1.2 The Problems of the Study

Based on the background described above, the problems of the study were formulated in the following research questions:

- 1. What is the Literacy View of the pre-service teachers of the three English Education Study Programs in Palembang?
- 2. Is there any significant difference between the literacy view of the preservice teachers who had experience in teaching and those who did not?

# 1.3 The Objectives of the Study

In relation to the problems above, the objectives of the study were formulated in order to find out:

- The Literacy view of the pre-service teachers of the three English Education Study Programs in Palembang
- 2. The significant difference between the literacy view of the pre-service teachers who had experience in teaching and those who did not

### 1.4 The Significance of the Study

As previously described in the background, the pre-service teachers' literacy view in terms of the level of the confidence to teach, their beliefs about teaching and learning, perception of their communication skills and English language use and perceptions of their tertiary program are important. However, the search through the google search engine on the online previous studies focusing on the pre-service teachers' literacy view in Indonesia led the writer to no study. Therefore, considering the importance of knowing the pre-service teachers' views on literacy, the writer has decided to conduct this present study. The writer hopes that this study would give information to the field of literacy, especially to the preservice teachers of English Education Study Program regarding the importance of literacy view and to the lecturers regarding the information about the pre-service teacher's literacy view.

#### REFERENCES

- Bandura, A. (1994). *Self efficacy: Encyclopedia of human behaviour*. Retrieved from www.des.emory.edu/mfp/BanEncy.html
- International Reading Association. (2009). New literacies and 21st-century technologies: A position statement of the international reading association.

  Retrieved from <a href="https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=cIx4CAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=International+reading+association&ots=KqrDkWzPqm&sig=fKt0aWP5Sr3NM3YNvDFUkM-vsL0&redir\_esc=y#v=onepage&q=International%20reading%20association&f=false</a>
- Khurshid, F., Qasmi, FN., & Ashraf, N. (2012). The relationship between teacher's self efficacy and their perceived job performance. *Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*. 3(10), 1-20.
- OECD. (2000). Literacy in the information age: Final report of the international adult literacy survey. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.oecd.org/edu/skills-beyond-school/41529765.pdf">https://www.oecd.org/edu/skills-beyond-school/41529765.pdf</a>
- O'Neill, S., Geoghegan, D. (2011). First year pre-service teachers' views about literacy: exploring the breadth and depth of their pedagogical needs. *International Journal of Pedagogies and Learning*, 6(3), 187-205. https://doi.org/10.5172/ijpl.2011.6.3.187
- Pajares, M. (1992). Teachers' beliefs and educational research: cleaning up a messy construct. *Review of Educational Research*, 62(3), 307-332. https://doi.org/10.3102%2F00346543062003307
- Pilgrim, J., & Martinez, E. (2013). Defining literacy in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: a guide to terminology skills. *Texas Journal of Literacy Education*. *1*(1), 1-10.

- Suwatno. (n.d.). *Pembelajaran literasi dalam konteks kurikulum 2013 edisi revisi 2017*. Retrieved from <a href="http://suwatno.staf.upi.edu/2017/10/pembelajaran-literasi-dalam-konteks-kurikulum-2013-edisi-revisi-2017.html">http://suwatno.staf.upi.edu/2017/10/pembelajaran-literasi-dalam-konteks-kurikulum-2013-edisi-revisi-2017.html</a>
- The Indonesian Act of Teachers and Lectures number 14 year 2005 of Republic of Indonesia. Retrieved from <a href="http://luk.staff.ugm.ac.id">http://luk.staff.ugm.ac.id</a>
- The Indonesian National Education Standards. The explanation of article 28 paragraph (3) point b. Retrieved from <a href="http://jejakpendidikan.com">http://jejakpendidikan.com</a>
- Wallace, C. (1992). Reading Oxford. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Xu, L. (2012). The role of teachers' beliefs in the language teaching-learning process. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 2(7), 1397-1402. http://doi:10.4304/tpls.2.7.1397-1402