

**RUSSIAN JOURNAL  
OF AGRICULTURAL AND SOCIO-  
ECONOMIC SCIENCES**

ISSN 2226-1184



**#9(81)**

**September 2018**

"RJOAS is an interdisciplinary open access journal of agriculture and socio-economic studies. The journal aims at establishing a bridge between theory and practice in the fields of agriculture and socio-economic research..."

[www.rjoas.com](http://www.rjoas.com)

## Table of Content

**Suryana N.K., Pudjiwati E.H.**

- STRATEGY OF LOCAL RESOURCES-BASED SOCIAL EMPOWERMENT TO ACHIEVE FOOD SECURITY AT THE BORDERS OF INDONESIA-MALAYSIA; pp. 3-9
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.01](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.01)

**Nino H., Kanto S., Mu'adi S.**

- SOCIAL CONFLICT OF INDONESIAN BORDER COMMUNITIES AND THE DEMOCRATED REPUBLIC OF TIMOR LESTE: A CASE STUDY OF BOUNDARIES IN NORTH CENTRAL TIMOR AND OECUSSE DISTRICTS; pp. 10-15
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.02](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.02)

**Sodik J., Sarungu J.J., Soesilo A.M., Aisyah S.**

- SPATIAL DYNAMICS AND DETERMINANT OF FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT IN INDONESIA: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF PRE AND POST AUTONOMOUS AREA, 1990-2014; pp. 16-31
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.03](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.03)

**Shinta A., Fitra R., Pratiwi D., Haryati N.**

- ACCESSIBILITY, FINANCIAL RISK PERCEPTION AND FARMERS' SATISFACTION TO MICROFINANCE SERVICES QUALITY IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES; pp. 32-36
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.04](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.04)

**Sunarya A.**

- BUREAUCRACY AND DISRUPTION REFORM POLICY; pp. 37-51
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.05](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.05)

**Risamena V.J., Wiagustini L.P.**

- MUTUAL FUND PERFORMANCE IN INDONESIA; pp. 52-59
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.06](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.06)

**Dewi N.H.U., Ludigdo U., Hariadi B., Prihatiningtias Y.W.**

- IS ACCOUNTING FOR AGRICULTURAL ASSET APPLICABLE IN INDONESIA? pp. 60-69
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.07](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.07)

**Pamungkas A.D., Wardoyo**

- EFFECT OF NON-PERFORMING LOAN, BI RATE, CAPITAL ADEQUACY RATIO, OPERATING EXPENSES OPERATING INCOME AND RETURN ON ASSETS ON THE CREDIT AMOUNT OF MSME: A CASE STUDY OF SOE BANKING COMPANIES; pp. 70-80
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.08](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.08)

**Iskandar, Turendy D., Sabran**

- EFFECTS OF ORGANIZATIONAL COMMUNICATION AND WORKING GROUPS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EMPLOYEES AT THE REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCY OF KUTAI KARTANEGARA REGENCY; pp. 81-87
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.09](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.09)

**Riupassa E.**

- THE INFLUENCE OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE AND WORK MOTIVATION ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE OF INDUSTRIAL AND TRADE SERVICES IN AMBON CITY, INDONESIA; pp. 88-95
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.10](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.10)

**Irwansyah, Astuti E.S., Suhadak, Arifin Z.**

- EFFECTS OF TRANSACTIONAL LEADERSHIP ON JOB SATISFACTION, ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT AND INTENTION TO STAY: A STUDY ON EMPLOYEES OF OUTSOURCING COMPANIES IN BANJARMASIN CITY, INDONESIA; pp. 96-104
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.11](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.11)

**Utama A.M., Wiksuana I G.B.**

- THE EFFECT OF COMPANY FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE AND MACROECONOMIC VARIABLES ON STOCK RETURNS IN PROPERTY AND REAL ESTATE SECTORS IN INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE; pp. 105-110
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.12](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.12)

**Kriekhoff S.**

- THE INFLUENCE OF LEADERSHIP AND MOTIVATION OF EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IN UPTD BPKB OF MALUKU PROVINCE, INDONESIA; pp. 111-118
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.13](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.13)

**Sedyowidodo U., Fortunisa A., Djamaris A.R.A., Novianti M.D.**

- SEM ANALYSIS OF INNOVATIVE BEHAVIOR INFLUENCE TOWARDS HUMAN RESOURCE PERFORMANCE; pp. 119-131
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.14](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.14)

**Ulum M.B., Susetyo D., Yuliana S.**

- THE EFFECT OF INFLATION, RUPIAH EXCHANGE RATE, BANK INDONESIA SHARIA CERTIFICATE, WORLD OIL PRICES, AND WORLD GOLD PRICES AGAINST THE PRICES DEVELOPMENT OF SHARES IN JAKARTA ISLAMIC INDEX; pp. 132-137
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.15](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.15)

**Putri W.T.I., Suartana I W.**

- THE EFFECT OF BUDGETING PARTICIPATION ON MANAGERIAL PERFORMANCE THROUGH JOB SATISFACTION, JOB RELEVANT INFORMATION, AND BUDGET GOAL COMMITMENT AS MEDIATING VARIABLES; pp. 138-149
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.16](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.16)

**Sari R., Wahyudi T., Isnurhadi**

- THE INFLUENCE OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND AUDIT COMMITTEE ON COMPANY'S VALUE; pp. 150-155
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.17](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.17)

**Fortunisa A., Sedyowidodo U., Djamaris A.R.A., Novianti M.D.**

- INTERFERENCE OF ORGANIZATIONAL COMMUNICATION IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ENTREPRENEURIAL ORIENTATION AND CORPORATE PERFORMANCE; pp. 156-164
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.18](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.18)

**Ariwidanta K.T., Wiksuana I.G.B.**

- THE EFFECT OF CREDIT AND LIQUIDITY RISK ON BANK PROFITABILITY AND CAPITAL ADEQUACY RATIO AS MEDIATION VARIABLES IN INDONESIA; pp. 165-171
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.19](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.19)

**Langga A.S.W., Alhabsji T., Notosubroto S., Kusumawati A.**

- EFFECTS OF INTENSIVE DISTRIBUTION AND SALES PROMOTION ON CORPORATE IMAGE AND CONSUMER-BASED BRAND EQUITY: A STUDY ON SUZUKI CAR CONSUMERS OF PT. SURYA BATARA MAHKOTA, EAST NUSA TENGGARA, INDONESIA; pp. 172-184
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.20](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.20)

**Safitri D.A.**

- THE EFFECT OF SUSTAINABILITY REPORT DISCLOSURE ON FINANCIAL AND MARKET PERFORMANCE OF INDONESIAN COMPANIES; pp. 185-192
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.21](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.21)

**Musadieq M.A.**

- THE INFLUENCE OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EMPOWERMENT ON THE WORK EXHAUSTION AND EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE; pp. 193-206
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.22](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.22)

**Jaya H.K., Husnan L.H., Sulaimiah**

- THE EFFECT OF HUMAN RESOURCES ABILITY, LEADERSHIP AND WORK DISCIPLINE ON PERFORMANCE: A STUDY IN PRINGGASELA DISTRICT OF INDONESIA; pp. 207-214
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.23](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.23)

**Wardianto K.B., Alhabsji T., Rahayu S.M., Nuzula N.F.**

- THE EFFECTS OF BRAND EQUITY ON FIRM VALUE AND PROFITABILITY IN INDONESIA; pp. 215-221
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.24](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.24)

**Puruhita D.S.**

- EXPLORING FACTORS AFFECTING TRUST IN USE OF E-BANKING AMONG INDONESIAN GEN-Y; pp. 222-228
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.25](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.25)

**Guswandi**

- ROLE OF SOCIAL CAPITAL IN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT FOR INCREASING CIBULAO HAMLET COMMUNITY ECONOMY AT BOGOR, WEST JAVA PROVINCE OF INDONESIA; pp. 229-234
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.26](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.26)

**Anggada S.D.A.**

- RELIGIOSITY INFLUENCES TOWARDS TAX COMPLIANCES IN SIDOARJO; pp. 235-241
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.27](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.27)

**Setyobudi I., Gunawan B., Rajab B., Suwartapradja O.S.**

- REVITALIZATION AND RITUAL IN CIHIDEUNG (DISTRICT OF WEST BANDUNG, WEST JAVA, INDONESIA); pp. 242-247
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.28](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.28)

**Yustisia N.**

- COMPARATIVE EFFECTS OF MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS ON THE COMPANIES' FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE; pp. 248-258
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.29](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.29)

**Sudiani N.K.A., Wiksuana I G.B.**

- CAPITAL STRUCTURE, INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITY SET, DIVIDEND POLICY AND PROFITABILITY AS A FIRM VALUE DETERMINANTS; pp. 259-267
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.30](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.30)

**Dewi N.P.G.K., Wiagustini N.L.P.**

- COMPARATIVE STUDY OF WINNER AND LOSER STOCK PORTFOLIOS' PERFORMANCE IN THE MANUFACTURING SECTOR OF INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE; pp. 268-274
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.31](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.31)

**Hasan D.H.**

- ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CHANGES IN COMMUNITY AROUND NICKEL MINE: A CASE STUDY IN PT. BDM NICKEL MINE, FATUFIA, MOROWALI OF INDONESIA; pp. 275-285
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.32](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.32)

**Utama K.B.S., Purbawangsa I.B.A.**

- THE IMPACT REGULATION OF TRADE MINISTER OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA #82 OF 2017 AGAINST MARKET REACTIONS IN INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE; pp. 286-291
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.33](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.33)

**Wibowo H., Supardi S., Antriyandarti E.**

- IMPLEMENTATION OF ECONOMIC GROWTH AND DISPARITY OF INTER-DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT IN BANJARNEGARA; pp. 292-298
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.34](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.34)

**Jeremia T.D., Azis S.**

- ANALYSIS OF FACTORS INFLUENCING THE FAILURE OF HOT MIX ROAD TIMELINE ACHIEVEMENT IN TULUNGAGUNG DISTRICT; pp. 299-304
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.35](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.35)

**Wisnujati N.S., Koesriwulandari, Yudho D.P.**

- STUDY OF APPLICATION OF TRADING HOUSE AS A MARKETING INSTITUTION OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS IN EAST JAVA, INDONESIA; pp. 305-309
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.36](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.36)

**Lobo A.N., Nurwati N., Binahayati, Gunawan B.**

- SOCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OF LOCAL CULTURE-BASED HOPE FAMILY PROGRAM IN SOUTH JAYAPURA DISTRICT, INDONESIA; pp. 310-316
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.37](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.37)

**Indradiartha R.J.A., Rahayu E.S., Sutrisno J.**

- THE EFFECT OF CREDIT EXPERIENCE, NET INCOME, CREDIT TERM AND BUSINESS EXPERIENCE TOWARDS THE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE'S BUSINESS CREDIT FOR AGRIBUSINESS SECTOR: A CASE STUDY AT PT BANK RAKYAT INDONESIA PERSERO TBK OF BARON UNIT, SOLO BRANCH OFFICE, CENTRAL JAVA; pp. 317-329
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.38](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.38)

**Kharisma A.N.**

- INFLUENCE OF TAX SOCIALIZATION AND LEVEL OF TRUST ON TAXPAYER COMPLIANCE AS A MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM BUSINESS ACTOR; pp. 330-335
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.39](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.39)

**Purwanto A., Azis S.**

- ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING THE RISK OF FAILURE IN ACHIEVING THE PROJECT OBJECTIVES IN ACCURATE TIME AND QUALITY ON THE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT OF THE PAGERWOJO BRIDGE IN TULUNGAGUNG DISTRICT; pp. 336-342
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.40](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.40)

**Purwaningrum C., Hubeis M., Sukandar D.**

- DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY OF CIKRA 1 VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL IN EAST JAKARTA; pp. 343-354
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.41](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.41)

**Indriatmoko A.**

- COMPETENCY DEVELOPMENT MODEL FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LECTURER IN THE INDONESIAN NAVAL ACADEMY; pp. 355-360
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.42](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.42)

**Kamal L., Syah T.Y.R., Iswanto A.H., Indrawati R.**

- BUSINESS STRATEGY ANALYSIS OF ODIS HOME CARE IN THE FIELD OF HUMAN RESOURCES; pp. 361-371
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.43](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.43)

**Febrinanda D.**

- THE EFFECT OF SOFT AND HARD COMPETENCIES ON NURSE PERFORMANCE IN GENERAL REGIONAL HOSPITALS OF SIDOARJO; pp. 372-384
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.44](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.44)

**Novianto E., Azis S.**

- FACTORS AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF SUPERVISION CONSULTANT ON THE TIME AND QUALITY OF MARKET REVITALIZATION WORK IN TULUNGAGUNG DISTRICT; pp. 385-391
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.45](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.45)

**Alfisyahr R., Pamungkas H.**

- ANALYSIS OF POT MEETS POP'S MARKETING STRATEGY WITH PERCEPTUAL MAPPING APPROACH: A STUDY ON MEN'S JEANS CONSUMERS IN JAKARTA; pp. 392-400
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.46](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.46)

**Wirawan R.S.**

- THE EFFORTS BY STARBUCKS COFFEE GRAHA PENA TO IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE; pp. 401-407
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.47](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.47)

**Qalabi S.A., Syarief R., Hardjomidjojo H.**

- COMPETITIVE STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT OF AUDIA HOUSE OF BEAUTY; pp. 408-416
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.48](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.48)

**Ramdhani D., Firdauz F.S.**

- OPERATIONAL STRATEGY ANALYSIS USING LEAN SIX SIGMA AT PT. FOUR JAFFEE INDONESIA; pp. 417-423
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.49](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.49)

**Fitri C.I., Mustafa, Azhar**

- EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF PRODUCTION FACTORS OF WETLAND PADDY FARMING IN WEST ACEH REGENCY; pp. 424-428
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.50](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.50)

**Adeni S., Saleh A., Hubeis M., Satria A.**

- INFORMATION, COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY AND WOMEN'S PRODUCTIVITY AT COASTAL AREA; pp. 429-433
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.51](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.51)

**Saputri D.P.O.S., Artini L.G.S.**

- STUDY OF VARIABLES THAT INFLUENCE THE LOW AND HIGH CAPITAL STRUCTURE OF CONSUMER GOODS; pp. 434-439
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.52](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.52)

**Fariz, Winarsih T.**

- HUMAN RESOURCE SCORECARD: A MODEL OF HUMAN RESOURCE PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT; pp. 440-446
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.53](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.53)

**Azis S., Sulistiono A.**

- PRIORITY SCALE ANALYSIS TO DETERMINE PERIODIC MAINTENANCE OF ROADS USING ANALYTICAL HIERARCHY PROCESS IN TULUNGAGUNG DISTRICT; pp. 447-454
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.54](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.54)

**Amaludin D.**

- THE DEVELOPMENT OF FISHING COMMUNITIES IN CONDITIONS OF POST-IMPLEMENTATION OF MARITIME AND FISHERY MINISTER REGULATION NUMBER 02 YEAR 2015 IN TUBAN REGENCY; pp. 455-458
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.55](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.55)

**Suyuti R.M., Suprayitno E., Widodo M.S., Triastutik G.**

- FATTY ACID AND AMINO ACID PROFILE OF LOCAL SQUID FLOUR (LOLIGO SP.), SHELLFISH FLOUR (OSTREA SP.), SEA WORM FLOUR (NEREIS SP.) AS ARTIFICIAL FEED FOR DOMESTICATED VANAMEI BROODSTOCK; pp. 459-465
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.56](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.56)

**Lienardo H., Gumanti T.A.**

- ANALYSIS OF FEASIBILITY STUDY OF NLI PROJECT BASED ON THE FLUCTUATION IN THE REPTILE HOBBY INDUSTRY; pp. 466-475
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.57](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.57)

**Amrita, Marwanti S., Irianto H.**

- THE INFLUENCE OF INDIVIDUAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS ON ENTREPRENEURIAL BEHAVIOUR ORGANIC AND RICE FARMING PERFORMANCE AS MEDIATION VARIABLES; pp. 476-483
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.58](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.58)

**Heryadi D.Y., Rofatin B.**

- FACTORS INFLUENCING THE CONVERSION TO ORGANIC RICE FARMING; pp. 484-488
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.59](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.59)

**Mulyana E.**

- ANALYSIS OF THE EFFICIENCY OF THE USE OF PRODUCTION FACTORS OF CUCUMIS LATIVUS FARMING IN ARISAN GADING VILLAGE, SOUTH INDRALAYA SUBDISTRICT OF OGAN ILIR DISTRICT, INDONESIA; pp. 489-493
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.60](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.60)

**Nurelwati A., Sutrisno J., Fajarningsih R.U.**

- THE FACTORS INFLUENCING PADDY LAND CONVERSION ON THE NON-PADDY LAND OF KLATEN DISTRICT, INDONESIA; pp. 494-501
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.61](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.61)

**Susilawati, Ammar M., Kurnianingsih A., Irmawati, Adhistia Y., Yuniar F.**

- THE CORRELATION BETWEEN GROWTH CHARACTERS AND YIELD OF SHALLOT (ALLIUM ASCALONICUM L.) DUE TO THE APPLICATION OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHATE AND POTASSIUM FERTILIZERS; pp. 502-509
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.62](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.62)

**Rosade R.F., Daryanto A., Jahroh S.**

- STRATEGY TO IMPROVE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF LOCAL NON-CARCASS CATTLE: A CASE STUDY AT CV MULTI JAYA MANDIRI BOGOR; pp. 510-521
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.63](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.63)



**Rochmoeljati R., Utami I.**

- DETERMINING FACTOR AND LEVEL QUALITY OF SHRIMP FEED OPTIMALLY USING TAGUCHI METHOD: THE CASE OF PT KARKA NUTRI INDUSTRI SIDOARJO, INDONESIA; pp. 522-527
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.64](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.64)

**Irawan H., Rejeki S., Purnomo P.W.**

- SEAWEED ABILITY TOWARDS ORGANIC SUBSTANCE DECLINE IN TIGER SHRIMP (PANAUEUS MONODON) CULTIVATION; pp. 528-532
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.65](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.65)

**Saripuddin, Hutabarat J., Saputra S.W.**

- REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE BASED ON MORPHOMETRIC CRITERIA OF PISANG SHRIMP (PENAEUS SP.) AS ENDEMIC SPECIES IN WEST COASTAL ACEH; pp. 533-539
- Language of article: English
- Crossref DOI: [10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.66](https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.66)

DOI <https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2018-09.62>

**THE CORRELATION BETWEEN GROWTH CHARACTERS AND YIELD OF SHALLOT (*ALLIUM ASCALONICUM* L.) DUE TO THE APPLICATION OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHATE AND POTASSIUM FERTILIZERS**

**Susilawati\*, Ammar Muhammad, Kurnianingsih Astuti, Irmawati**  
Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya

**Adhistia Yoanna, Yuniar Fajri**  
Department of Agroecotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya

\*E-mail: [susilawati@fp.unsri.ac.id](mailto:susilawati@fp.unsri.ac.id)

**ABSTRACT**

The research was aimed to evaluate the correlation between growth characters and yield components of shallot (*Allium ascalonicum* L.) on several dosages of nitrogen, phosphate and potassium fertilizers. It was conducted on experimental farm and laboratory of Plant Physiology of Department of Agronomy Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya in 2018. The research was consisted of two experiments and each experiment used Block Randomized Design with 3 replicates. First experiment was the combination of nitrogen (N) and phosphate (P) application, while second experiment was the combination between N and potassium (K). Same dosages of N fertilizer were used for both experiments consisting of N<sub>1</sub> = 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; N<sub>2</sub> = 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; N<sub>3</sub> = 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; and N<sub>4</sub> = 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Four dosages of P fertilizer was applied in the first experiment consisting of P<sub>1</sub> = 160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; P<sub>2</sub> = 220 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; P<sub>3</sub> = 280 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; and P<sub>4</sub> = 330 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. While K fertilizer dosages in second experiment were K<sub>1</sub> = 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; K<sub>2</sub> = 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; K<sub>3</sub> = 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; and K<sub>4</sub> = 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Results showed that positive significant correlation was found between vegetative characters and generative characters in both experiments such as between plant height and shallot bulb number, and between total bulbs dry weight per clump and bulb dry weight. The correlation between tiller number per clump and bulb number per clump was only resulted from first experiment. The highest bulb dry weight per clump was resulted from the combination of 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 160 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and combination of 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 200 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**KEY WORDS**

Nitrogen fertilizer, phosphate fertilizer, potassium fertilizer, shallot.

Horticulture development in Indonesia has been prioritizing on two commodities, chilli pepper and shallot, since these two commodities not only become basic food ingredients but also have a significant role in affecting inflation in Indonesia. Shallot is one of the leading vegetable commodities that have been intensively cultivated by farmers. It is categorized as complementary spices utilized as food seasoning and traditional medicine. This commodity is also considered as a source of income and employment opportunities that contribute significantly to regional economic development. The prospect of Indonesian shallot development is quite promising since Indonesia is one of shallot exporters in the world. According to data from Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Indonesia was ranked in fourth position as shallot exporters after New Zealand, France and Holland, and ranked first among ASEAN countries in the period of 2010 to 2014 (Agricultural Research and Development Agency, 2005).

Based on the data from Center for Agricultural Data and Information (2016), there were 4 provinces of shallot production center in Indonesia considering the average yield from 2011 to 2015. They were Central Java, East Java, West Java and West Nusa Tenggara. These provinces contributed around 85.33% of shallot total average production in Indonesia. The largest was Central Java with 40.59% and average production of 432,813 tons. East Java

contributed 23.16% with 246,927 tons per year. West Java and West Nusa Tenggara contributed 11.10% and 10.48% respectively. The rest of 14.67% was from other provinces.

Central Statistics Agency of South Sumatra (2015) stated that total shallot production in South Sumatra was 150 tons in 2014, decreasing for about 67 tons (30.87%) compared to 2013. The decline in production was caused by a decrease in harvest area and decreased productivity. During 2014, shallot production area in South Sumatra was only in four regencies consisting of Ogan Komering Ulu (OKU), Muara Enim, Musi Rawas and South OKU with 65, 18, 65, and 2 tons of production respectively.

Agriculture intensification through either organic or inorganic fertilizing was among the efforts for increasing shallot production in South Sumatra. Shallot is a nutrient sensitive plant where the symptoms would immediately appear due to both deficiency and excess of nutrients. Many studies of fertilizer application on shallot plant has shown good results. A study by Deden (2014) reported that urea application with the dosage of 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> or equivalent to 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly affected the average plant height. Sumarni et al. (2012) added that the application of 120 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> would result the highest bulb fresh weight and bulb dry weight compared to other treatments with 35.02 and 25 g per plant respectively. Highest bulb dry weight was also resulted by the combination of 250 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 150 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> with 64.69 g per clump as reported by Napitupulu and Winarno (2010). Furthermore, the dosage of 100 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> would increase bulb diameter, fresh weight per bulb and dry weight per bulb (Uke et al., 2015).

Thus, this research was aimed to evaluate the application of several dosages of N, P, and K fertilizers on growth and yield of shallot (*Allium ascalonicum* L.).

## METHODS OF RESEARCH

The research was conducted in experimental farm and laboratory of plant physiology of Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya in 2018. The research was consisted of two sets of experiments and both used Block Randomized Design with 3 replicates. First experiment was the combination of N and P fertilizer, while the second was the combination of N and K fertilizer. Same dosages of N fertilizer were applied in both experiments consisting of N<sub>1</sub> = 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; N<sub>2</sub> = 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; N<sub>3</sub> = 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; and N<sub>4</sub> = 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Dosages of P fertilizer in first experiment consisted of 4 levels: P<sub>1</sub> = 160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; P<sub>2</sub> = 220 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; P<sub>3</sub> = 280 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; and P<sub>4</sub> = 330 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. While K fertilizer dosages in second experiment consisted of K<sub>1</sub> = 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; K<sub>2</sub> = 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; K<sub>3</sub> = 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; and K<sub>4</sub> = 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Shallot bulb of Bima variety from Central Java weighed around 4 to 6 grams was used as plant material. The bulb was first soaked in fungicide solution containing Azoxystrobin and Difenoconazole for about 15 minutes then was cut at the size of 1/3 of bulb length. The bulb then was planted about 3 cm of depth on the mix of alluvial soil and manure with the ratio of 2:1 (v:v) in a 20 cm x 40 cm of polybag. Each polybag contained about 10 kg of planting media with the height media of 23 cm. The fertilizers were applied based on the treatments and the application was carried out twice. Half dosage was first applied 10 days after planting, and another half dosage was given 30 days after planting.

The parameters observed consisted of plant height, leaf number per clump, tiller number per clump, bulb number per clump, fresh weight per bulb, total bulb fresh weight per clump, bulb dry weight, total bulb dry weight per clump, and leaf chlorophyll. Leaf chlorophyll was measured using a destructive method (Hall and Rao, 1987). It was carried out by extracting about 0.25 g of leaf powder with 80% acetone. The dissolved chlorophyll solution then was filtered using Whatman filter paper and was put on spectrophotometer with 645 and 663 nm wavelength to determine the absorbents. Total chlorophyll content was calculated by using the formula below:

$$\text{Total chlorophyll} = (17.3 \times A_{645}) + (7.18 \times A_{663}) \text{ mg/l}$$

The analysis of leaf chlorophyll was conducted in the laboratory of plant physiology in Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya.

Shallot bulbs were harvested at 60 days after planting. During harvest, fresh bulbs were first weighed and then were air-dried for 7 days which later were weighed to obtain the data of dry weight per bulb.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

*Growth characters.* The application of N and P fertilizer showed different response in the parameters of plant height, leaf number and tiller number. The highest result for plant height was obtained from N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub> treatment with 40.64 cm and the lowest was 32.10 cm in N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>. N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> treatment resulted the highest number of leaf with 32.56 of leaves, while the lowest was 22.46 in N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>. The highest tiller number was found in N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> with 6.74 tillers and the lowest was in N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>3</sub> with 4.35 tillers (Table 1).

Table 1 – The application of N and P fertilizer on growth characters of shallot

N treatments	P treatments			
	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>
	Plant height (cm)			
N <sub>1</sub>	40.32±0.47	36.07±4.49	31.31±9.45	33.07±4.98
N <sub>2</sub>	40.64±4.34	33.59±1.24	32.10±4.03	35.53±9.95
N <sub>3</sub>	36.00±4.16	32.90±7.47	35.50±3.33	36.54±3.29
N <sub>4</sub>	34.89±6.02	33.10±6.63	32.92±0.80	39.34±3.03
	Leaf number			
N <sub>1</sub>	32.56±2.74	25.54±3.79	21.59±4.18	26.69±3.73
N <sub>2</sub>	29.43±5.46	25.20±3.56	22.46±4.99	26.63±10.28
N <sub>3</sub>	26.19±3.37	25.98±8.79	25.89±2.80	25.74±2.80
N <sub>4</sub>	24.67±6.89	25.57±2.39	25.54±1.64	25.28±3.86
	Tiller number			
N <sub>1</sub>	6.74±1.13	5.76±1.12	4.35±0.28	5.76±0.33
N <sub>2</sub>	5.72±0.64	5.37±0.18	5.06±0.99	5.31±0.32
N <sub>3</sub>	5.70±0.46	6.65±0.22	5.44±1.25	5.98±1.12
N <sub>4</sub>	5.35±0.26	5.44±0.40	5.59±1.00	5.31±0.42

Plant responses to the application of N and K fertilizer were also different as seen in plant length, leaf number and tiller number (Table 2). The treatment with highest plant length was N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>4</sub> with 42.08 cm and the lowest was 32.34 cm in N<sub>4</sub>K<sub>3</sub>. Plant with most leaf number was found in N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>4</sub>K<sub>2</sub> with 34 leaves, while the least was in N<sub>4</sub>K<sub>1</sub> with 22 leaves. Highest tiller number was in N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>4</sub> with 6.74 tillers and the lowest was in N<sub>4</sub>K<sub>3</sub> with 4.19 tillers.

Tabel 2 – The application of N and K fertilizer on growth characters of shallot

N treatments	K treatments			
	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>3</sub>	K <sub>4</sub>
	Plant height (cm)			
N <sub>1</sub>	39.91±5.70	38.04±2.23	33.88± 2.47	34.76±1.87
N <sub>2</sub>	39.88±3.15	38.67±0.88	40.38± 1.67	42.08±2.46
N <sub>3</sub>	38.78±5.17	36.53±2.57	34.83± 5.84	38.57±2.73
N <sub>4</sub>	39.56±1.77	38.53±4.47	32.34±10.88	40.76±3.88
	Leaf number			
N <sub>1</sub>	34±12.08	30±9.22	25±8.46	23±4.55
N <sub>2</sub>	33±8.19	23±5.01	29±13.50	30±6.29
N <sub>3</sub>	25±7.84	23±6.17	29±13.12	31±8.28
N <sub>4</sub>	22±3.91	34±9.38	31±8.06	32±11.24
	Tiller number			
N <sub>1</sub>	5.59±1.07	5.54±0.42	5.54±0.92	4.94±0.15
N <sub>2</sub>	6.61±0.67	5.19±0.67	5.20±0.61	5.70±1.28
N <sub>3</sub>	5.39±0.73	4.52±0.50	4.89±1.27	5.39±0.72
N <sub>4</sub>	5.56±0.80	5.02±0.59	4.19±1.00	5.33±0.38

The combination of N with P and K with the highest plant height and the most leaf number was obtained in the same N dosages with 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. While for tiller number, best results were obtained in the combination of P with 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N dosage and in the combination of K with 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N dosage (Table 2). Pramitasari et al. (2016) reported that N supply would affect the growth, appearance, color, and yield of plants. The application of N would initiate the green color as it was the structural element of chlorophyll which later contributed in photosynthesis.

*Yield components.* Table 3 shows the effect of N and P application on yield components. It was resulted that bulb number per clump was around 5.78 – 8.42 bulbs. The highest number was obtained from N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> and the lowest was from N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>. Similar to this, Suwandi et al. (2015) reported that Katumi variety shallot could result 9 bulbs per clump, while Bima variety resulted 5 – 8 bulbs. So in this case, the number of both bulbs and tillers was likely influenced by the genetic factor. Basuki (2009) added that the number of either bulbs or tillers would be affected by the size of planting bulb. The bigger bulb planted, the smaller the tiller number.

The combination of 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N fertilizer and 160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P fertilizer (N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>) showed the highest results for total bulb fresh weight per clump and fresh weight per bulb with 9.81 g and 8.53 g, respectively. It was assumed that the mix of planting media between soil and manure had provided sufficient nutrients. Sumarni et al. (2012) reported that excess P application (more than 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) would decrease fresh weight per bulb due to excess P in soil abrutting soil nutrients balance. N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> treatment also resulted the highest total bulb dry weight per clump with 49.38 g. However, the highest dry weight per bulb was obtained from the combination of 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N fertilizer and 160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P fertilizer (N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>) with 6.43 g. The application of P with the dosage higher than 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> would not likely increase shallot yield since excess P in soil would surpress micro nutrients availability (Sumarni et al., 2012).

Table 3 – The application of N and P fertilizer on yield components of shallot

N treatments	P treatments			
	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>
	Bulb number per clump			
N <sub>1</sub>	8.42±1.52	6.88±0.76	5.89±0.70	7.22±1.38
N <sub>2</sub>	8.11±1.84	6.78±0.84	5.78±1.50	7.67±2.52
N <sub>3</sub>	6.89±2.34	7.67±1.53	6.89±2.01	7.33±2.33
N <sub>4</sub>	6.76±0.66	7.11±1.90	7.67±1.52	7.11±1.69
	Total bulb fresh weight per clump (g)			
N <sub>1</sub>	69.81±12.25	44.27±12.80	30.12±21.72	34.88±12.91
N <sub>2</sub>	63.07±4.83	36.92±13.40	39.86±16.60	53.67±31.91
N <sub>3</sub>	42.76±21.40	48.39±32.03	52.37±13.81	37.84±17.73
N <sub>4</sub>	48.45±25.49	47.00±12.24	42.95±14.31	51.21±22.98
	Fresh weight per bulb (g)			
N <sub>1</sub>	8.53±0.32	6.96±1.02	4.96±3.45	4.65±1.55
N <sub>2</sub>	8.02±1.69	5.66±2.21	6.95±1.68	6.44±2.93
N <sub>3</sub>	5.92±1.74	6.11±3.78	8.04±1.04	5.47±3.31
N <sub>4</sub>	7.04±3.55	7.38±2.11	6.62±0.38	7.12±2.04
	Total bulb dry weight per clump (g)			
N <sub>1</sub>	49.38±7.78	27.39±10.93	18.72±13.47	25.95±14.52
N <sub>2</sub>	49.26±0.96	20.65±11.42	24.19±13.62	36.32±26.35
N <sub>3</sub>	28.95±14.20	34.17±21.99	33.91±16.95	25.81±14.38
N <sub>4</sub>	29.81±17.80	29.82±11.90	23.83±7.69	34.79±13.24
	Dry weight per bulb (g)			
N <sub>1</sub>	6.08±0.46	3.90±1.46	3.02±2.30	3.02±1.16
N <sub>2</sub>	6.43±1.96	2.89±1.16	3.98±1.89	4.25±2.73
N <sub>3</sub>	3.99±1.41	4.21±2.35	4.49±1.10	3.67±2.59
N <sub>4</sub>	4.27±2.39	4.60±1.58	3.64±0.63	4.82±1.13

The effect of N and K application on yield components is given in Table 4. Based on the results, it was found that bulb number was related to tiller number. Similar to this, a study by Basuki (2009) resulted that bigger bulb would produce less tiller number. The highest total bulb fresh weight per clump and fresh weight per bulb was obtained from the combination of

250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N fertilizer and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> K fertilizer (N<sub>4</sub>K<sub>3</sub>) with 50.63 g and 9.14 g, respectively. According to Napitupulu and Winarno (2010), the application of high dosage N and K fertilizer would provide enough amount of nutrients to increase fresh weight per bulb. Lower fresh weight per bulb was probably caused by less N and K supply for bulb development. Fikri et al. (2015) stated that balance amount of potassium would positively affect assimilate translocation from leaves to the storing organs, including shallot bulbs.

Highest total bulb dry weight per clump was resulted from N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> treatment with 38.21 g. While for dry weight per bulb, the highest was obtained in N<sub>3</sub>K<sub>4</sub> with 6.84 g. It was assumed that the combination of N fertilizer with lower dosage of K fertilizer did not affect total dry weight per bulb since high N dosage would cause a more watery bulb. Napitupulu and Winarno (2015) had reported that the application of high N dosage fertilizer without K fertilizer caused the plant become succulent and did not increase plant dry weight.

Table 4 – The application of N and K fertilizer on yield components of shallot

N treatments	K treatments			
	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>3</sub>	K <sub>4</sub>
	Bulb number per clump			
N <sub>1</sub>	6.00±1.00	5.70±0.58	5.03±2.08	6.00±1.00
N <sub>2</sub>	6.00±1.73	5.30±1.53	6.00±1.00	6.30±1.53
N <sub>3</sub>	6.00±0.00	4.70±1.53	5.00±1.00	5.30±1.15
N <sub>4</sub>	5.70±1.53	6.00±0.00	5.00±1.00	6.00±1.00
	Total bulb fresh weight per clump (g)			
N <sub>1</sub>	43.41±18.64	48.22±24.15	32.76±10.48	30.03±4.19
N <sub>2</sub>	50.31±18.87	35.80±10.52	36.02±24.88	48.64±4.58
N <sub>3</sub>	47.90±17.97	31.63±18.34	36.28±16.98	44.42±8.65
N <sub>4</sub>	40.87±6.35	50.63±13.17	41.72±5.26	44.17±15.34
	Fresh weight per bulb (g)			
N <sub>1</sub>	7.66±2.47	8.56±3.84	6.18±1.24	5.32±0.64
N <sub>2</sub>	8.30±1.97	7.20±1.81	5.99±3.63	7.93±2.05
N <sub>3</sub>	8.15±3.44	6.61±2.43	6.38±1.77	8.91±1.98
N <sub>4</sub>	8.73±4.29	9.14±2.34	8.59±2.32	7.30±2.37
	Total dry weight per bulb (g)			
N <sub>1</sub>	37.50±13.38	35.70±14.16	21.76± 8.74	19.40±5.11
N <sub>2</sub>	38.21±15.36	25.67±12.40	28.38±14.57	33.42±0.56
N <sub>3</sub>	31.04±15.61	23.60± 8.82	30.26±16.84	35.30±7.23
N <sub>4</sub>	25.51± 0.83	33.83±10.32	31.99± 1.20	35.32±8.18
	Dry weight per bulb (g)			
N <sub>1</sub>	6.58±2.27	6.20±2.60	3.64±0.63	3.30±0.32
N <sub>2</sub>	5.98±2.07	5.04±2.84	4.76±2.43	5.46±1.59
N <sub>3</sub>	5.34±3.47	4.96±1.19	5.57±3.32	6.84±1.34
N <sub>4</sub>	5.14±2.05	6.02±2.52	6.56±1.21	5.94±1.99

*Correlation between vegetative characters and yield components.* Based on correlation analysis among parameters due to fertilizer combinations treatments (Table 5 and 6), it was resulted that plant height was significantly positive correlated with leaf number and bulb number per clump with  $r = 0.747$  and  $r = 0.710$  for N and P combination treatments, and  $r = 0.678$  and  $r = 0.684$  for N and K treatments. Deden (2014) reported that higher plant height and more number of leaves would increase shallot production as seen in bulb number per clump. Rahayu and Berlian (2004) added that well-grown shallot was characterized by having longer and more number of leaves so that it would produce bigger bulbs resulting in higher yield production. Higher plant was believed would produce more photosynthates which later be translocated to the storing organs and would initiate bigger bulb development (Arifin *et al.*, 2014). Leaf number was significantly positive correlated to tiller number, total bulb fresh weight per clump and total bulb dry weight per clump. The more number of shallot leaves, the more photosynthates produced and the more carbohydrates translocated to the storing organs or to other developing organs Khoiroh, 2014).

From N and P combination treatments, significant correlations were resulted from plant height to leaf number, bulb number, total bulb dry weight per clump and dry weight per bulb (Table 5). Limbongan and Monde (1999) reported that leaf number of a variety would related

to bulb number due to more photosynthates produced. More photosynthates would also lead to more tiller number. For leaf number parameter, it was significantly positive correlated to tiller number, bulb number, total bulb fresh weight per clump, total bulb dry weight per clump and dry weight per bulb. While tiller number correlated with bulb number. Shallot plant with more tiller number would also produce more number of bulbs. Similar to this result, Kusmana et al. (2009) reported that Tanduyung shallot variety with the most number of tillers had produced the most number of bulbs. Total bulb fresh weight per clump significantly correlated to total bulb dry weight per clump. Research finding by Mayun (2007) also showed similar result where fresh weight per bulb affected air-dried bulb weight.

Table 5 – Correlation among parameters due to the application of N and P fertilizer

No	Parameters	Plant height	Leaf number per clump	Tiller number per clump	Bulb number per clump	Total bulb fresh weight per clump	Fresh weight per bulb	Total bulb dry weight per clump	Dry weight per bulb
1	Plant height	1	0.747*	0.605 <sup>ns</sup>	0.710	0.778 <sup>ns</sup>	0.600 <sup>ns</sup>	0.816*	0.797*
2	Leaf number per clump		1	0.869**	0.916**	0.809**	0.521 <sup>ns</sup>	0.843**	0.716*
3	Tiller number per clump			1	0.769*	0.582 <sup>ns</sup>	0.380 <sup>ns</sup>	0.591 <sup>ns</sup>	0.488 <sup>ns</sup>
4	Bulb number per clump				1	0.764*	0.392 <sup>ns</sup>	0.839**	0.676*
5	Total bulb fresh weight per clump					1	0.855**	0.959**	0.936**
6	Fresh weight per bulb						1	0.730*	0.849**
7	Total bulb dry weight per clump							1	0.943**
8	Dry weight per bulb								1

r 5% = 0.666, r 1% = 0.798

Table 6 – Correlation among parameters due to the application of N and K fertilizer

No	Parameters	Plant height	Leaf number per clump	Tiller number per clump	Bulb number per clump	Total bulb fresh weight per clump	Fresh weight per bulb	Total bulb dry weight per clump	Dry weight per bulb
1	Plant height	1	0.678*	0.726	0.684	0.552 <sup>ns</sup>	0.331 <sup>ns</sup>	0.464 <sup>ns</sup>	0.262 <sup>ns</sup>
2	Leaf number per clump		1	0.716*	0.637 <sup>ns</sup>	0.728*	0.441 <sup>ns</sup>	0.779*	0.517 <sup>ns</sup>
3	Tiller number per clump			1	0.628 <sup>ns</sup>	0.433 <sup>ns</sup>	0.211 <sup>ns</sup>	0.322 <sup>ns</sup>	0.040 <sup>ns</sup>
4	Bulb number per clump				1	0.523 <sup>ns</sup>	0.132 <sup>ns</sup>	0.343 <sup>ns</sup>	0.013 <sup>ns</sup>
5	Total bulb fresh weight per clump					1	0.831**	0.855**	0.712*
6	Fresh weight per bulb						1	0.665 <sup>ns</sup>	0.772*
7	Total bulb dry weight per clump							1	0.887**
8	Dry weight per bulb								1

r 5% = 0.666, r 1% = 0.798

Table 6 shows significant positive correlation was found between growth parameters, such as plant height and leaf number, to shallot yield due to the application of N and K fertilizers. However no significant response was resulted from all parameters for both growth and yield characters based on the analysis of variance (data not shown). Positive correlation

was found between plant height and leaf number since shallot height was vertically measured the highest leaf so that it assumed that plant height would increase following the increase in leaf number. The increase in leaf number would benefit the plant regarding the enhanced sunlight interception and CO<sub>2</sub> fixation for photosynthesis (Ni'am and Bintari, 2017).

Leaf number also significantly positive correlated with tiller number, total bulb fresh weight per clump, and total bulb dry weight per clump. It was clearly understandable that plant with more tiller number would also have more leaf number leading to the improved in photosynthetic rate resulting in better accumulation of photosynthates. Similar to this, a study by Eki et al. (2016) resulted that plant fresh and dry weight was increased following the increase in leaf number and leaf area. Bulb dry weight would greatly depended on bulb fresh weight as reported by Yusniwati et al. (2014).

## CONCLUSION

Plant height was significantly positive correlated with bulb number, total bulb dry weight per clump and dry weight per bulb for either N and P combinations or N and K combinations. Tiller number was only significantly positive correlated with bulb number per clump on N and P combinations, and had no correlation for all yield paramaters on N and K combinations.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our gratitude to the Rector of Sriwijaya University for the research financial support thourgh the Chief of Sriwijaya University Research Center and Community Service of University of Sriwijaya Institution with Research Competitive Superior Scheme 2018.

## REFERENCES

1. Agricultural Data and Information Center. 2016. The outlook of shallot. Ministry of Agriculture.
2. Agricultural Research and Development Agency. 2005. Prospect and direction of agribusiness shallot development. Jakarta, Dept. of Agriculture.
3. Arifin, M.S., A. Nugroho, and A. Suryanto. 2014. The study of shoot bud length and planted bulb weight on yield of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Granola variety. *J. Prod. Tan.* 2(3): 221-229.
4. Basuki, R.S. 2009. Analysis of Brebes farmers' preference on yield characteristics and quality of local variety of upland and midland shallot. *J. Hort.* 19(4): 475-482.
5. Center for Agricultural Data and Information. 2015. Production of chilli, chilli pepper, and shallot in 2014. Palembang.
6. Deden. 2014. The effect of nitrogen fertilizer dosages on N absorbption, growth and yield of several varieties of shallot (*Allium ascalonicum* L.) *J. Agrijati.* Vol 27 (1): 40 – 54
7. Eki, H.P., W. Tatic, and N. Mochammad. 2016. The effect of Nitrogen fertilizer dosages and plant population on growth and yield of Brassica oleraceae L. *J. Prod. Tan.* 4(1): 49 – 56.
8. Fikri, D.A., Nelvia, and Y. Husna. 2015. The application of potassium fertilizer and mix of oil palm empty fruit bunch compost and boiler ash on growth and yield of shallot (*Allium ascalonicum* L.). *J. Agroteknologi* 5(2): 1 – 6.
9. Hall, D.O and K.K. Rao. 1987. Photosynthesis. 4th ed King's Collage, University of London. London
10. Khoiroh, Y, N. Harijati, and R. Mastuti. 2014. The growth and relation between stomata density and bulb weight on *Amorphophallus muelleri* Blume and *Amorphophallus variabilis* Blume. *J. Biotropika* 2(5): 249-253.
11. Kusmana, R.S. Basuki and H. Kurniawan. 2009. The adaptation analysis of five upland and midland shallot varieties on Brebes low land ecosystem. *J. Hort.* 19(3): 281 – 286.
12. Limbongan, J and A. Monde. 1999. The application of organic and inorganic fertilizer on growth and yield of shallot cv. Palu. *J. Hort.* 9(3): 212-219.



13. Mayun, I.A. 2007. The application of paddy straw mulch and cow manure on growth and yield of shallot in coastal area. *Agritrop* 26(1): 33-40.
14. Napitupulu, D. and L.Winarto. 2010. The application of N and K fertilizers on growth and yield of shallot. *J. Hort.* 20(1): 27-35.
15. Ni'am, A.M. and S.H Bintari. 2017. The application of legume inoculant and mulch on number of root nodule bacteria and growth of soybean var. Grobogan. *J. MIPA* 40(2): 80-86.
16. Pramitasari, H.E., T. Wardiyati and M. Nawawi. 2016. The effect of N fertilizer dosages and plant population on growth and yield of Brassica oleraceae l.. *J. Prod. Tan.* (1): 49-56.
17. Rahayu, E. and V.A. N. Berlian. 2004. Shallot. Bogor, Penebar Swadaya.
18. Sumarni, N., R. Rosliani, R.S. Basuki, and Y. Hilman. 2012. The response of shallot to P fertilizer application on several land fertility level (soil P status). *J. Hort.* 22(2): 130-138.
19. Suwandi, G.A. Sopha, and M.P. Yufdy. 2015. The effectivity of organic and NPK fertilizer application management on growth and yield of shallot. *J. Hort.* 25: 208-221.
20. Uke, H.Y. Kalwiyah, B. Henry, and S.M. Ichwan. 2015. The effect of bulb size and K dosage on growth and yield of shallot (*Allium ascalonicum* L.) var. Lembah Palu. *Jurnal Agrotekbis* 3(6): 655-661.
21. Yusniwati, Y.K., A. Aswaldi, and K. Aulyani. 2014. The effect of shallot seedling age in nursery before transplanting on growth and yield in climate change prone area. *Proceedings of National Seminar PERHORTI, Malang 5-7 November 2017.*