## Application of RSM and ANN in Predicting Surface Roughness for Side Milling Process under Environmentally Friendly Cutting Fluid

by Amir Arifin

Submission date: 27-May-2019 03:59PM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 1136461422 File name: Yanis\_2019\_J.\_Phys.\_\_Conf.\_Ser.\_1198\_042016.pdf (798.84K) Word count: 4001 Character count: 19686

### Application of RSM and ANN in Predicting Surface Roughness for Side Milling Process under Environmentally Friendly Cutting Fluid

### M Yanis<sup>1\*</sup>, A S Mohruni<sup>1</sup>, S Sharif<sup>2</sup>, I Yani<sup>1</sup>, A Arifin<sup>1</sup>, B Khona'ah<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Sriwijaya University, 60662 Indralaya, South Succeptera, Indonesia.

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81319 Johor Bahru, Malaysia.

\*Corresponding author: yanis@unsri.ac.id

Abstract. The paper presents a potential study on predict 21 of surface roughness in side milling by optimization techniques approaches. Two methods, response surface met 65 ology (RSM) and artificial neural networks (ANN) were used for optimized predicton. The model of surface roughness was expressed as the main parameter in side milling term of cutting speed, feed rate 9 d axial depth of cut. Rotatable central composite design (RCCD) is employeen hereing second-order response surface mathematical model. The ANN model using a multi-layer feed forward, back propagation and training function Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) algorithm with a single hidden layer. Vegetable oils have often been recommended as sustainable alternative cutting fluid since the ecological and health impacts in the use of mineral oil have been questioned and also the rising cost of mineral oil. The advantages of oxidative stability of coconut oil as vegetable oil were utilized in this study to investigate surface roughness of low carbon steel. The machining of ferrous alloy like steel is sometimes a difficult task. This study used uncoated tool because it is suitable when turning and milling alloy. Flood condition was selected because it has been prov 59 effective at low cutting speed. The analysis predicted by RSM and ANN models res<mark>27.d a good agreement between the experimental and predicted</mark> values. The results indicated that the ANN model predict with more accurate compared with the RSM model.

Keywords: RSM, ANN, Roughness, Side milling, Cutting fluid

#### 1. Introduction

In the past few years, many sci26 tific studies have predicted surface roughness in end milling by mathematical model approaches. One of the most common metal removal operations used in the industry is the end milling process which can easily machine complicated workpiece [1]. Metal removal is important in the manufacturing industries provident he required surface finish [2]. Surface roughness always used as a quality indicator of product [3]. One machining method of the end milling operation widely used for mould, die, monolithic parts and 10 tchine components, is side milling [1][4]. Studies about side milling have been done such as analyst surface roughness in side milling of AISI D2 steel [5] and surface roughness were also analyzed in side milling of 10 5C steel. The important machining parameter 3 side milling affecting surface roughness includes cutting speed, feed rate and axial depth of cut [1]. Ben small changes in any of these parameters may have a significant effect on the surface roughness. It is expected that the predictive modelling and optimization will provide a cheaper and time efficient experimental research [6].



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

SENTEN 2018 - Symposium of Emerging Nuclear Technology and Engineering NoveltyIOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series1198 (2019) 042016doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1198/4/042016

RSM is a statistica 23 occdure and mathematical modelling was used for developing, improving and optimizing between independent variables and dependent variables. The relationship between independent variables and dependent variables are expressed by a first order or second order polynomial [7]. An ANN is a model for predicting response parameters (dependent variable) using the same principles 16 iological neural systems. It's one of the most proper analysis in artificial intelligence (AI). The ANN can be effectively used to determine the input-output relationship of a complex process and is considered as a tool in nonlinear statistical data modelling. RSM and ANN applications are used to predict and optimize arithmetic mean deviation surface roughness (Ra). Both of the methods are the most commonly to optimize by many researchers [8] [9] [10] [11].

Scientific studies have been done in optimizing predicted cutting parameter through RSM and ANN approach. The surface roughness studies using ANN such as in turning of AISI H13 steel [12], milling of ductile iron grade 80-55-06 [13], turning of Ti6Al4V [14] and in milling AISI 1060 steel [9]. While studies that compared both method RSM and ANN in optimizing surface roughness such as in milling of ductile iron grade 80-55-06 [13], turning of AISI 1040 steel [15], turning of aluminum alloy 6061 [16] and in milling of Ti6242S [10].

Mineral oil as petroleum cutting fluid are limited resources. Availability and numerous benefits of vegetable oil have made them economical to use. Vegetable oils renewability and less costly than synthetic base stock. Petroleum-based cutting fluid also have a negative effect on the environment such as groundwater and surface water contamination, air pollution, soil contamination, agricultural production and food contamination because of its poor biodegradability. Mineral oil used to be containing some additives which harmful and toxic [17] [18]. Mineral-based cutting fluid hat redous on storage and disposal which two-thirds of consuming cutting fluid needs to be disposed. Due to the widespread use of mineral-based cutting fluid, they cause significant environmental pollution throughout the life cycle while vegetable oil remains biodegradable with low toxicity at all stages of its life. The carbon cycle of a mineral oil-based product is open, this leads carbon dioxide increase in 7e atmosphere and contribute to global warming. Vegetable oil reduced the ecological problems. Vegetable oils have a higher flash point, hence do not tend to generate mist and fire hazard. Comparing to the rate 0f 2 % for the overall lubricant market, environmentally favorable lubricants are expecting an annual growth rate of 7–10 % [3] [17] [18] [19] [20].

Vegetable oils have oftentimes been recommended as sustainable alternative cutting fluid include in terms of surface roughness for softer metals and alloys. Analysis of surface roughness with the use of vegetable oil such as using of castor oil, sunflower oil and soyb<sup>29</sup>-base fluid, palm oil [2] [20] [21] [22]. Other research has done and recorded about vegetable oil as cutting fluid in machining such as the use of rapeseed oil, commercial vegetable oil (Coolube 2210)) and canola oil, refined canola, palm oil, olive oil, j<sup>68</sup> pha oil, linseed oil and castor oil. The research has been reported that lower viscosity of vegetable oil possesses better fluidity and faster cooling capacity, therefore vegetable oil with lower viscosity recommended during machining carbon steel [2][17][18][20][22][23][24].

Coconut oil has the viscosity relatively lower than other vegetable oil, hence coconut oil was selected to milling low carbon steel in this study. Other advantages of coconut oil since it has saturat 25 atty acid more than 85% with the result that oxidative stability better than others vegetable oil [24]. The oxygen bond in vegetable oil can lead to metal oxidation and weaken the metal [19]. This 7 uld explain that coconut oil could decreased cutting tool temperature by 7% compa 20 to sesame oil in machining AISI 1040 steel, coconut oil gave the sm7 thest surface compared with palm oil, olive oil and sesame oil in drilling AISI 316 stainless steel and coconut oil produced lesser surface roughness in turning AISI 52100 steel [20]. Paper review [18] informed coconut oil, groundnut oil and palm kernel oil were used during turning mild steel, copper and aluminum to analysis cutting force. While [3] also informed in their review that coconut oil improved surface finish AISI 304 better than soluble oil and coconut oil also performed better in surface roughness than SAE-40 based lubricant during turning AISI 1040. Because of the advantage of coconut oil therefore this paper use coconut oil to investigate surface roughness.

This paper reported the machining of low coopon steel in flood coconut oil. The machining of ferrous alloy like steel is sometimes a difficult task because of high strength, low thermal conductivity, high ductility and high work hardening tendency Flood condition was selected because it is proven that flood cooling very effective at lower cutting speed [22].

SENTEN 2018 - Symposium of Emerging Nuclear Technology and Engineering NoveltyIOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1198 (2019) 042016doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1198/4/042016

Uncoated tool was used in this study. Most studies have concluded that uncoated tool remains the best tool when turning and milling alloy [25]. A series of surface roughness experiments used uncoated carbide in milling Ti6Al4V have informed 64 the review [22]. Uncoated carbide tool was used during turning Ti6Al4V 44 g rapeseed oil [21]. Uncoated carbide tool was also used in the he analysis of surface roughness on turning AISI 9310 mild steel by vegetable oil-based cutting fluid [2].

#### 2. Methodology

The workpiece material in this study was Carbon Steel SS400. This material is widely used as general construction and machine components. Chemical properties of SS400 are given in table 1. The set up employed a conventional vertical milling machine using uncoated carbide end mill EMC54100-4 flute with 10 mm diameter. The side n<sup>37</sup> ng experiments used coconut oil as the cutting fluids, and mineral oil was also used as comparison. Cutting speed ( $V_c$ ), feed per tooth ( $f_z$ ) and axial depth of cut ( $a_x$ ) were machining parameters as inf 57 variables. The output variable was surface roughness, and it was analyzed using RSM and ANN. The surface roughness value was measured using a roughness tester Accretech Handysurf E- 35A/E with evaluation length 12.5 mm, cut off 2.5 mm and speed 0.6 mm/s.

Table I	Table I. Chemical composition of workpiece material (average 76)								
С	Si	Mn	Cr	Мо	Ni	Fe			
0.217	0.561	0.318	0.113	0.242	0.0386	98.5			

The relationship between machining parameters and surface roughness are conducted based on the Rotatable Central Composite Design (RCCD). Cutting speed, feed per tooth **a13** axial depth of cut were machining parameters. The RCCD contains embedded 2k factorial points (±1), where k is the number of input parameters, center points (0) and axial points (±2). The distance between the center and axial point,  $\alpha = 1.682$  [7]. The level and coding of the machining parameters used in this study are shown in table 2. Machining parately ter values adjusted to the capability of milling machine used. For all experiments the value of radial depth of cut ( $a_r$ ) was 0.5 mm.

Landa	6 Level (Coded)						
Levels	Lowest	Low	Centre	High	Highest		
Coding	-2	-1	0	1	2		
50 tting speed (Vc), m/min	2.67	8.33	22.72	31.11	40.86		
Feed rate, $(fz)$ , mm/tooth	0.033	0.05	0.075	0.10	0.117		
Ax. depth of cut, $(a_{ax})$ , mm	3.63	5.0	7.0	9.0	10.36		

Table 2. Machining parameters and the value of each level

#### 3. Result and discussions

The variations of machining parameters (in actual coded) and experimental results are given in table 3. To estimate the surface roughness value based on RSM using Design Expert 10.0 software, and Matlab R14a software was used for ANN prediction. From figure 1, it could be seen that subjace roughness resulted by machining using vegetable oil is on average 16.71% better than mineral oil. Long polar fatty acid chains of triglyceride structure in vegetable oil provide high strength 14 bricant film that interact strongly with a metallic surface, reducing wear and friction [18]. The wear scars produced by vegetable oil are slightly lower than those produced by mineral oil [19].

Table 3. Machining parameters and experimental results

Exp.	$V_c$	$f_z$	$a_x$	Surface Roughness - µm		
No.	m/min	mm/tooth	mm	<b>Mineral Oil</b>	<b>Coconut Oil</b>	
1	8.33	0.05	5.0	3.281	2.531	
2	31.11	0.05	5.0	2.973	2.363	
3	8.33	0.10	5.0	3.654	2.794	
4	31.11	0.10	5.0	3.361	2.661	

Exp.	$V_c$	$f_z$	$a_x$	Surface Rou	ghness - μm
No.	m/min	mm/tooth	mm	Mineral Oil	Coconut Oil
5	8.33	0.05	9.0	2.52	1.770
6	31.11	0.05	9.0	3.037	2.287
7	8.33	0.10	9.0	5.07	4.520
8	31.11	0.10	9.0	3.562	3.112
9	2.67	0.075	7.0	3.325	2.625
10	40.86	0.075	7.0	3.192	2.592
11	22.47	0.033	7.0	2.325	2.225
12	22.47	0.117	7.0	4.94	4.690
13	22.47	0.075	3.63	2.433	2.063
14	22.47	0.075	10.36	3.072	2.642
15	22.47	0.075	7.0	3.135	2.635
16	22.47	0.075	7.0	3.29	2.99
17	22.47	0.075	7.0	2.971	2.571
18	22.47	0.075	7.0	3.315	2.715
5.5 5.0 4.5					
4.0 11 3.5	. •				
3.0 ghues		· · ·			

SENTEN 2018 - Symposium of Emerging Nuclear Technology a	nd Engineering Novelty IOP Publishing
OP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series <b>1198</b> (2019) 04	42016 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1198/4/042016







In this study the second order model was used in developmentally and 19 ediction non-linear curve. The second order polynomial equation to predict the surface roughness as shown in equation (1) [7].

$$y = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j X_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_{jj} X_j^2 + \sum_i^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_{ij} X_i X_j + e$$

27

(1)

where, y is variable of response;  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , ...  $\beta_k$ , are unknown regress **5** parameters;  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are independent variables; e is the error term. Analysis of Varian (ANOVA) is used to analysis the effect of each parameter of Surface roughness. Analysis was set a significance level as 5% and confidence level as 95%. The adequacy and fitness of the model for second order are shown in table 4.

SENTEN 2018 - Symposium of Emerging Nuclear Technology and Engineering NoveltyIOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1198 (2019) 042016doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1198/4/042016

ce	Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value	
	8.38	9	0.9313	8.03	0.0037	significant
	0.0875	1	0.0875	0.7542	0.4104	
	5.29	1	5.29	45.58	0.0001	
	0.4174	1	0.4174	3.60	0.0944	
	0.3598	1	0.3598	3.10	0.1162	
	0.0421	1	0.0421	0.3626	0.5637	
	1.14	1	1.14	9.79	0.0140	
	0.0270	1	0.0270	0.2328	0.6424	
	0.7560	1	0.7560	6.52	0.0340	
	0.2690	1	0.2690	2.32	0.1662	
al	0.9278	8	0.1160			
of Fit	0.8257	5	0.1651	4.85	0.1119	not signifi
rror	0.1021	3	0.0340			
tal	9.31	17				
	Error tal	Error 0.1021 tal 9.31	Error 0.1021 3 tal 9.31 17	Error 0.1021 3 0.0340 tal 9.31 17	Tror 0.1021 3 0.0340 tal 9.31 17	Error 0.1021 3 0.0340 tal 9.31 17

Table 4. ANOVA for surface roughness (a) mineral oil and (b) coconut oil

From table 4, analysis of variance the mod 5 F-values are 5.53 and 8.03 implied that the model was significant. There were only 0.37% and 1.2% chance that the model's value this large could occur 20 te to noise. The LoF are 8.92 and 4.85 implied that it was not significant, and there were 5.07% and 11.19% chance that model f values could due to noise. The equation in term of co 13 factors as is shown equation (2) (mineral oil) and equation (3) (coconut oil), where  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are cutting speed, feed rate and axial depth of cut, respectively. Figure 2 shows the perturbation plot for three machining parameters.

$$R_a = 3.188 - 0.154x_1 + 0.625x_2 + 0.150x_3 - 0.216x_1x_2 - 0.040x_1x_3 + 0.290x_2x_3 + 0.078x_1^2 + 0.204x_2^2 - 0.108x_3^2$$
(2)

(3)

$$R_a = 2.737 - 0.080x_1 + 0.678x_2 + 0.176x_3 - 0.210x_1x_2 - 0.072x_1x_3 + 0.377x_2x_3 - 0.046x_1^2 + 0.245x_2^2 - 0.146x_3^2$$



Figure 2. Plot of perturbation for surface roughness, (a) mineral oil and (b) coconut oil

The second order model and perturbation plot sowed that with the feed rate and axial depth of cut increases, surface roughness increased. However, 54ed rate has the most significant effect than axial depth of cut. The opposite trend for cut(10, speed, the increase of cutting speed resulted in the decrease of surface roughness. Hence, a variety of high cutting speed with low feed rate and axial depth of cut are required to produce a smooth surface finish in machining.

 SENTEN 2018 - Symposium of Emerging Nuclear Technology and Engineering Novelty
 IOP Publishing

 IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1198 (2019) 042016
 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1198/4/042016

The aim of optimization, was to find the best parameters that minimize the response. Table 5, present a possible solution for optimum machining parameter 61 side milling SS400 using uncoated carbide. The results showed that the cutting speed is 31.11 m/min, the feed rate is 0.05 mm/tooth and axial depth of cut 5.0 mm with surface roughness value of 2.98 µm for mineral oil and 2.565 µm for coconut oil.

Number	Cutting Speed	Feed Rate	Axial Depth of Cut	Surface Roughness	Desirability		Note
1	31.110	0.050	5.000	2.980	0.934	Selected	Minoral ail
2	31.110	0.050	5.000	2.978	0.933		Milleral off
1	31.110	0.050	5.000	2.565	1.000	Selected	Course to it
2	31.110	0.050	5.000	2.565	1.000		Coconut oil

Table 5. Optimum machining parameters

#### 3.2. Prediction Model by ANN

The ANN structure is built with several neuror 35 n input layer, hidden layer and output layer. The hidden layers process the data received from the input layer. Similarly, the next hidden layer computes the output and the last layer processes this output to provide the final result. The final result is computed by hidden and output layer using transfer functions. The first step in the ANN is training. An input is fed to the ANN along with the target output and the weights are set randomly, initially. The training of the network is stopped when the desired level of performance is achieved. The weights computed during this stage are use 3 to make decisions in the evaluation of output [9] [10] [11] [15].

In this study, the neural network code in Matlab 4 as used for ANN training and testing. The ANN analysis using Feedforward Back Propagation (BP48)he ANN model optimization is based on the type of training algorithm Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) and to number of neurons in the hidden layer [13] [26]. The input layer has three neurons rorresented the cutting speed feed rate and axial depth of cut, and the 23 put is surface roughness. The activation function used in the hidden layer and output layer during training and testing is tansig. The ANN predicted values was determined from 18 data experimental results. Before training and testing networks, the normalization of input and target data is in the range of -1 and +1, with equation (4).

$$x_i = \frac{2}{(d_{max} - d_{min})} (d_i - d_{min}) - 1$$
(4)

where,  $d_{max}$  and  $d_{min}$  are the maximum and minimum values of the row data,  $d_i$  is the inp<sup>39</sup> and output data set. To evaluate statistical network performance commonly are used functionally Mean Square Error (MSE) and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE). The error functions which are defined by equations (5) and equation (6).

$$MSE = \left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \sum_{N=1}^{N} |t_i - o_i|^2$$
(5)

$$MAPE = \left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \sum_{N=1}^{N} \left| \frac{t_i - o_i}{o_i} \right| \tag{6}$$

where, *t* is the target value, *o* 15 he output value, and *N* is the number of experiments. Train each network, the performance goal value (MSE) was set to 0.00001 and the maximum number of epoch train is 5,000. The minimum performance gradient is 1e-7, initial  $\mu$  is 0.001,  $\mu$  decrease and increase factor are 0.1 and 10. There is no standard rule about the number of hidden layers, it depends on the specifications and complexity of the experimenta 47 ta. Many researchers only use one hidden layer to obtain optimal conditions [26] [27] [28]. The number of neurons in the hidden layer was determined from figure3. Network of structure 3-12-1 for mineral oil and 3-16-1 for coconut oil were best for representing training performance.





Figure 3. MSE and MAPE variation in prediction with number of neurons

Plot experimental surface roughness values and predict n from RSM and ANN is given in figure 4. It has been found that the predicted surface roughness of the ANN model gave better accuracy than the RSM model. These results were also reported by the [27] [28].



Figure 4. Surface roughness of experimental and prediction results based on RSM and ANN

#### 4. Conclusion

In this study, it presents RSM and ANN applications to predict and optimize machining parameters to improve surface finish. Both of RSM and ANN produce a predicted value very close to the experimental values. Machining using coconut oil as a cutting fluid is better than mineral oil (16.71%). ANN structed 3-12-1 for mineral oil and 3-16-1 for the prediction of the effect of machining parameters on surface roughness that with increasing fee that and axial depth of cut. The optimum machining part 2 eters for the lowest surface roughness for cutting speed, feed rate, and axial cutting depth are 31.11 m/min, 0.05 mm/tooth and 5.0 mm with surface roughness values 2.98  $\mu$ m (mineral oil) and 2.565  $\mu$ m (coconut oil).

#### 5. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to appreciate for the financial support from *Research Institutes and Community Service*-Sriwijaya University for research funding.

#### 6. References

- [42] C K Chang and H S Lu 2006 Int. J. Adv. Manuf. [52] h 29 867-878
- [41] S A Lawal, I A Choudhury and Y Nukman 2012 Int. J. Mach. Tool. Manufact. 52 1-12
- [3] S Debnath, M M Reddy and Q S Yi 2014 J. Clean. Prod. 1-36

 SENTEN 2018 - Symposium of Emerging Nuclear Technology and Engineering Novelty
 IOP Publishing

 IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1198 (2019) 042016
 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1198/4/042016

- [4] M Abrha, W Choon and A Azhari 2017 Int. Conf. Mat Proc. Charact 4 5215-21
- [5] 17 qbal, N He, L Li and Y Xia 2006 Mater. Sci. Forum 532-533 45-48
- [6] 8 Sangwan, S Saxena and G Kant 2015 Procedia CIRP 29 305-310
- [7] R H Myers, D C Montgomery and C M A Cook 2009 Response Surface Methodology Process and Product Optimization using Designed Experiments third edition (New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc)
- [8] 46K Sehgal and Meenu 2013 Int. J. Compu. Eng. Manag. 16 3 1-14
- [9] G Kant and K S Sangwan 2015 Procedia CIRP 31 453-458
- [10] 33 Kilickap, A Yardimeden and Y H Celik 2017 Appl. Sci. 7 1064-1-12
- [11] 32 Hanief, M F Wani and M S Charoo 2017 Eng. Sci. Tech. Int. J. 20 1220-26
- [12] B A Beatrice, E. Kirubakaran, P R J Thangaiah and K L D Wins 2018 Procedia Eng. 97 205-211
- [13] 17K Sehgal and Meenu 2014 Int. J. Eng. Tech. Res. 72-76
- [14] 45 Sangwan, S Saxena and G Kant 2015 Procedia CIRP 29 305-310
- [15] A K Sahoo, A K Rout and D K Das 2015 Int. J. Eng. Compu. 6 229-240
- [16] K A Patel and P K Brahmbhatt 2016 Procedia Tech. 23 391-397
- [17] SK Kolawole and JKodusote 2013 Chem. Mat. Res. 9 35-45
- [18] S A Lawal 2013 Indian J. Sci. Tech. 6 113-118
- [19] 7 Syahrullail, S Kamitani and A Sh7tirin 2013 Procedia Eng. 68 172-177
- [20] R R Srikant and P. N Rao 2017 Sustainable Machining, Materials Forming, Machining and Tribology third edition ed J P Davim (Switzerland: Springer International Publishing) Chapter 2 pp 31-46
- [21] S W Raza, S Pervaiz and I Deiab 2014 Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf. 15 1979-85
- [22] 518 Sharma, G Sing and K Sorby 2015 Mat. Manuc. Process 30 935-953
- [23] M H S Elmunafi, M Y Noordin and D Kurniawan 2015 Procedia Manuc. 2 563-567
- [24] Y M Shashidhara and S R Jayaram 2010 Tribology Int. 43 1073-81
- [25] S Sharif at a A Rahim 2007 J. Mat Process Techno. 185 72-76
- [26] [akhli, R Velasco, M Habak, K Haddouche and P Vantomme 2013 Sci. Tech. 3(1) 24-32
- [27] A S Mohruni, M Yanis, S Sharif, I Yani, E Yuliwati, A F Ismail and S Zamree 2017 AIP. Conf. Proc. vol 1885 (New York: American Institute of Physics) pp 020161-1-9

8

[28] MRS Yazdi and A Khorram 2010 Int. J. Eng. Tech. 2(5) 474-480

## Application of RSM and ANN in Predicting Surface Roughness for Side Milling Process under Environmentally Friendly Cutting Fluid

ORIGIN	ALITY REPORT				
2 SIMILA	% RITY INDEX	% INTERNET SOURCES	21% PUBLICATIONS	20% STUDENT PAI	PERS
PRIMAF	RY SOURCES				
1	Sujan De Yi. "Envir cooling te Journal o Publication	bnath, Moola Moonmental friend echniques in ma of Cleaner Produ	ohan Reddy, C ly cutting fluid chining: a revie iction, 2014	Qua Sok s and ew",	1%
2	Submitte Malaysia Student Paper	d to Internationa	al Islamic Unive	ersity	1%
3	Kuldip Si Kant. "Op Minimize ANN-GA Publication	ngh Sangwan, S otimization of Ma Surface Rough Approach", Proc	achin Saxena, achining Paran ness using Inte cedia CIRP, 20	Girish neters to egrated 15	1%
4	Submitte College of Student Paper	d to Modern Edu of Engineering, F	ucation Society Pune	<b>/</b> 'S	1%
5	Submitte Student Paper	d to Federal Uni	iversity of Tecl	hnology	1%

Patwari, Mohammed Anayet Ullah, and A.K.M. Nurul Amin. "Artificial Intelligence Model of Surface Roughness for End Milling Operation of Steel and its Verification by Genetic Algorithm", Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2011. Publication

%

"Sustainable Machining", Springer Nature, 2017 1% 7 Publication Submitted to Brunel University 1% 8 Student Paper Submitted to Nelson Mandela Metropolitan 1% 9 University Student Paper Xiaobin Cui, Jun Zhao, Chao Jia, Yonghui 1% 10 Zhou. "Surface roughness and chip formation in high-speed face milling AISI H13 steel", The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 2011 Publication Ching-Kao Chang, H.S. Lu. "Study on the 1% 11 prediction model of surface roughness for side milling operations", The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 2006 Publication

12

	Malaysia Student Paper	1%
13	Submitted to University of Strathclyde Student Paper	1%
14	S. Syahrullail, S. Kamitani, A. Shakirin. "Performance of Vegetable Oil as Lubricant in Extreme Pressure Condition", Procedia Engineering, 2013 Publication	1%
15	Submitted to National Institute of Technology Karnataka Surathkal Student Paper	1%
16	Chandrasekaran, M., and D. Devarasiddappa. "Artificial neural network modeling for surface roughness prediction in cylindrical grinding of Al-SiCp metal matrix composites and ANOVA analysis", Advances in Production Engineering & Management, 2014. Publication	1%
17	Monika Kulisz, Ireneusz Zagórski. "Application of neural network in determination of parameters for milling AZ91HP magnesium alloy with surface roughness constraint", MATEC Web of Conferences, 2019 Publication	1%

18

MATADOR Conference", Springer Nature, 1993

Publication

19	Submitted to Universiti Teknologi Petronas Student Paper	<1%
20	Submitted to Universiti Malaysia Perlis Student Paper	<1%
21	Chen, Yanni, Ronglei Sun, Yuan Gao, and Jürgen Leopold. "A nested-ANN prediction model for surface roughness considering the effects of cutting forces and tool vibrations", Measurement, 2017. Publication	<1%
22	Submitted to University of Mustansiriyah Student Paper	<1%
23	Submitted to Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka Student Paper	<1%
24	Mohammad Ishtiyaq Hossain, A.K.M. Nurul Amin, Anayet U. Patwari. "Development of an artificial neural network algorithm for predicting the surface roughness in end milling of Inconel 718 alloy", 2008 International Conference on Computer and Communication Engineering, 2008	<1%

Publication

25

Technology
Student Paper

26	S. Ema, R. Davies. "Cutting performance of end mills with different helix angles", International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, 1989 Publication	< <b>1</b> %
27	Submitted to Universiti Malaysia Pahang Student Paper	<1%
28	Wang, X "Optimization of methane fermentation from effluent of bio-hydrogen fermentation process using response surface methodology", Bioresource Technology, 200807 Publication	<1%
29	Nor Nurulhuda Binti Md. Ibrahim. "Investigation on the effect of crude palm oil (CPO) on the cutting forces, surface roughness and tool wear in turning SS304", 2011 National Postgraduate Conference, 09/2011 Publication	<1%
30	Submitted to University of Pretoria	<1%
31	Muhammad Abu Bakar Sidik, Zolkafle Buntat, Zainuddin Nawawi, Muhammad Irfan Jambak, Hafezaidi Mat Saman, Fatin Nabilah Musa.	< <b>1</b> %

"Non-thermal Plasma for Removal of NOx from Diesel Engine Vehicle: A Simulation Study", 2018 International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (ICECOS), 2018 Publication

32	N.E. Karkalos, A.P. Markopoulos. "Modeling of hard machining", Elsevier BV, 2017 Publication	<1%
33	K. Klauer, M. Eifler, J. Seewig, B. Kirsch, J.C. Aurich. "Application of function-oriented roughness parameters using confocal microscopy", Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal, 2018 Publication	<1%
34	N. Suresh Kumar Reddy, P. Venkateswara Rao. "Selection of optimum tool geometry and cutting conditions using a surface roughness prediction model for end milling", The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 2005 Publication	<1%
35	Submitted to University of Sunderland Student Paper	<1%
36	Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan Student Paper	<b>&lt;1</b> %

37	Submitted to Universiti Putra Malaysia Student Paper	<1%
38	Submitted to Universiti Teknologi MARA Student Paper	<1%
<mark>39</mark>	Submitted to Universiti Tenaga Nasional Student Paper	<1%
40	Gao, Shi Long, Li Bao An, Xiao Chong Wang, and Song Gao. "Progress on Research of Machining of Difficult-to-Machine Materials Using CBN Cutting Tools", Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2015. Publication	<1%
41	Submitted to National Institute of Technology Delhi Student Paper	< <b>1</b> %
42	I. Shyha, S. Gariani, M. Bhatti. "Investigation of Cutting Tools and Working Conditions Effects when Cutting Ti-6al-4V using Vegetable Oil- Based Cutting Fluids", Procedia Engineering, 2015 Publication	<1%
43	Mohruni, Amrifan Saladin, M. Yanis, E. Sundari, A.Y. Budiman, and E.S. Martomi. "Mathematical Modelling of Surface Roughness on Tropical Wood Machining Using Response Surface Methodology", Applied Mechanics and	< <b>1</b> %

Materials, 2015.

Publication

44	S.A. Lawal, I.A. Choudhury, Y. Nukman. "Application of vegetable oil-based metalworking fluids in machining ferrous metals—A review", International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, 2012 Publication	<1%
45	Ramanuj Kumar, Ashok Kumar Sahoo, Purna Chandra Mishra, Rabin Kumar Das, Soumikh Roy. "ANN Modeling of Cutting Performances in Spray Cooling Assisted Hard Turning", Materials Today: Proceedings, 2018 Publication	<1%
46	Submitted to National Institute Of Technology, Tiruchirappalli Student Paper	<1%
47	Prasad K. D. V. Yarlagadda. "Prediction of die casting process parameters by using an artificial neural network model for zinc alloys", International Journal of Production Research, 1/10/2000 Publication	<1%
48	Submitted to Cranfield University Student Paper	<1%
49	Submitted to University of Malaya Student Paper	<1%

50	Bellosi, A "Factors influencing the milling performances of Ti(C,N)-based tools against carbon steel", International Journal of Refractory Metals and Hard Materials, 200105 Publication	<1%
51	Pay Jun Liew, Ainusyafiqah Shaaroni, Nor Azwadi Che Sidik, Jiwang Yan. "An overview of current status of cutting fluids and cooling techniques of turning hard steel", International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 2017 Publication	<1%
52	S. A. Lawal, I. A. Choudhury, Y. Nukman. "Developments in the formulation and application of vegetable oil-based metalworking fluids in turning process", The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 2012 Publication	<1%
53	Submitted to College of Engineering, Pune Student Paper	<1%
54	Multidiscipline Modeling in Materials and Structures, Volume 8, Issue 4 (2012-11-17) Publication	< <b>1</b> %
55	İsmail Hakkı Boyacı, Deniz Baş, Fahriye Ceyda Dudak, Ali Topçu et al. "Statistical Modeling of β-galactosidase Inhibition During Lactose Hydrolysis", Food Biotechnology, 2006	<1%

Rajesh Kumar Bhushan. "Effect of machining parameters on surface roughness and tool wear for 7075 AI alloy SiC composite", International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 02/01/2010 Publication

57 Mozammel Mia, M.S. Morshed, Md Kharshiduzzaman, Mashrat H. Razi et al. "Prediction and optimization of surface roughness in minimum quantity coolant lubrication applied turning of high hardness steel", Measurement, 2018 Publication

Wang, Yaogang, Changhe Li, Yanbin Zhang, Min Yang, Benkai Li, Dongzhou Jia, Yali Hou, and Cong Mao. "Experimental evaluation of the lubrication properties of the wheel/workpiece interface in minimum quantity lubrication (MQL) grinding using different types of vegetable oils", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2016. Publication

<1%

<1%

<1%

59

Karabulut, Şener, Henifi Çinici, and Halil Karakoç. "Experimental Investigation and Optimization of Cutting Force and Tool Wear in Milling Al7075 and Open-Cell SiC Foam

# Composite", Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 2015.

Publication

60	Submitted to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Student Paper	<1%
61	Submitted to Institute of Research & Postgraduate Studies, Universiti Kuala Lumpur Student Paper	<1%
62	Submitted to University of Birmingham Student Paper	<1%
63	Sangwan, Kuldip Singh, Sachin Saxena, and Girish Kant. "Optimization of Machining Parameters to Minimize Surface Roughness using Integrated ANN-GA Approach", Procedia CIRP, 2015. Publication	<1%
64	Submitted to University of Johannsburg Student Paper	<1%
65	M. Alauddin, M.A. El Baradie, M.S.J. Hashmi. "Computer-aided analysis of a surface- roughness model for end milling", Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 1995 Publication	< <b>1</b> %

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	Off		