Image Enhancement Using the Image Sharpening, C…

Similarity Index

14%

Mode: Similarity Report ▼

---

paper text:

Image Enhancement Using the Image Sharpening, Contrast Enhancement, and Standard Median Filter (Noise Removal) with Pixel-Based and Human Visual System-Based Measurements Erwin

Department of Computer Engineering University of Sriwijaya Palembang, Indonesia | 16 |

e-mail: erwin@unsri.ac.id Adam Nevriyanto Department of Computer Engineering

University of Sriwijaya Palembang, Indonesia Diah Purnamasari Department of Computer Engineering University of Sriwijaya Palembang, Indonesia | 7 |

Abstract—In this paper, we explained the three methods of image enhancement: Image Sharpening by sharpening the edges, Contrast Enhancement using Standard Histogram Equalization and Standard Median Filtering where noise is filtered using these methods first and finally noise is eliminated. Then we put on the measurement parameters using a calculation based on the image quality of the pixel MSE and PSNR and calculations based on human vision system (HVS) that SSIM. The dataset we use is BSDS300 Berkeley and the environment is Matlab 2016a. We can state that the image quality measurement is good where the results are accurate so that we can determine the best methods too. We got SSIM value is close to 1 and the value obtained MSE and PSNR is minimum in Image Sharpening which is mean Image Sharpening is best of basic methods in Image Enhancement. Keywords—Contrast Enhancement; Image Sharpening; Noise Removal; MSE; PSNR; SSIM
I. INTRODUCTION Image Enhancement proposed or declared by problems often experienced by an image of the noise at the time of transmission or blurry, too dark, too bright when getting image(s) through the medium of the camera for example. Image enhancement should involve the manipulation of gray level and the brightness level of the image, noise reduction, sharpening, filtering, interpolation, pseudocolor, magnification, and so on. Image enhancement is useful in image analysis, visual information display and extraction[1]. Image segmentation with image enhancement can be used for further applications, for example for application object recognition in the image. To make the image brighter or darker so that we can do with changing in the brightness of the image. Brightness can be improved by adding (or subtracting) a constant to (or out of) each pixel in the image. Because of this operation, the image histogram was shifted. A low-contrast image can be improved with a contrast stretching operation. Through this operation, the values of the pixel gray ranging from 0 to 255 (in the 8-bit image), instead of all the values of gray pixels used evenly. Image enhancement operation aims to clarify the edges on objects in the image and that's why this operation is also often called as an edge sharpening. Noise removal operation in the spatial domain aims to eliminate noise by means of noise filtering using a median filter. By using the three methods of image quality measurement that proposed in this study, we will get more comprehensive results and therefore we can know which methods is better, based on the results of their respective calculations. From the previous research by [2],[3] and [4] says that the good image quality measurement must be accurate and consistent in predicting the quality. Most of them are related to

the difference between two images (the original and the distorted image). | 5 |

SSIM is more accurate than MSE and PSNR despite it has cost more and difficult algorithms. II. METHODS A. Histogram Equalization Intensity value adjustment process can be done automatically by using the histogram equalization. Histogram equalization involves changing the intensity values so that the

histogram of the output image approximately matches the | 19 |

histogram specified. This technique is often used for processing the histogram is the histogram equalization (HE) which to produce a histogram uniform or evenly so it is often also called flattening histogram[5], This technique can be done once for the entire image areas (global histogram equalization) or with several times repeated for each image blocks (sub-image). Equation 1 is used to perform a histogram equalization process. H ?bk ? ? ?i ssi k k ? ? pk ?bk ? , 0 ? bk ? 1 i k ? 0,1,..., L ? 1 bk ?

$$L?1 k ,0 ? k ? L ?1 (1)$$ | 15 |

Steps in using the histogram equalization: 1. Using the Image Sharpening a. The

value of the gray level image and | 6 |

a constituent. b. Calculate

the maximum value of the gray level image | 6 |

results. Histogram will determining the histogram equalization. c. From the original image histogram, Save frequency degrees of gray in the array (vector). Set array (vector) whose size depends on the maximum degree value. Then, degrees of gray in the position vector compute frequency. d. Create the histogram equalization. Histogram equalization was obtained by calculating the degree of gray emergence presentation that is multiplied by the maximum

gray level of the original image. e. Find the value of gray level of the | 13 |

new image results by using histogram equalization. f. To map the image histogram equalization becomes a new one, empty matrix is equal to

the size of the original image. The value of | 14 |

a matrix based on the image. B. Image Sharpening Image sharpening techniques are designed to enhance the high-frequency aspects of the image. High-frequency aspects, such as edges around major features of the image, are particularly desirable to sharpen

to improve the visual appearance of the image. Fig. 1. a: The Results of | 8 |

Image Sharpening citra1

In principle, image sharpening consists of adding to the original image a signal that is proportional to a high-pass filtered version of the original image.   Value   **high-pass**

| 3 |

filtered

and added to the original image

| 20 |

data, which results in improved edge and noise amplification[6].

Image sharpening refers to any enhancement technique that highlights edges and fine details in an image. Image sharpening is widely used in printing and photographic industries for increasing the local contrast and sharpening the images.

| 1 |

III. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS For the three of image enhancement methods, they were performed in experiments using Berkeley BSDS300 dataset and Matlab 2016a. The following test results: Fig. 1.b: The Results of Image Sharpening citra2 C. Noise Removal Noise Removal is techniques used to eliminate distractions that exist in an image. In this experiment, the noise is removed in the form of Salt and Pepper (the type of noise that contains bright spots and dark in an image). One of the methods used in the noise removal process, Standard Median Filter (SMF). SMF is a method of filter is used to suppress impulse noise by blurring the fine line detail and then overwrite it with the median pixel next to it[7], [8]. 2. Using Contrast Enhancement with Histeq Fig. 1.c: The Results of Image Sharpening citra3 Fig. 1.d: The Results of Image Sharpening citra4 Fig. 1.e: The Results of Image Sharpening citra5

Fig. 2.   a: **The results of contrast**

| 2 |

enhancement citra1

Fig. 2.   b: **The results of contrast**

| 2 |

enhancement citra2 3. Using Noise Removal with Standard Median Filter

Fig. 2.   c: **The results of contrast**

| 2 |

enhancement citra3

Fig. 2.   d: **The results of contrast**

| 2 |

enhancement citra4

Fig. 2.   e: **The results of contrast**

| 2 |

enhancement citra5 Fig. 3.a: The Results of Noise Removal citra1 Fig. 3.b: The Results of Noise Removal citra2 IV. DISCUSSION There are many types of image quality measurements that can be implemented to get the quality of an image. Based on full reference, it can be divided into six class [3]: 1. Difference Pixel-Based: MSE, SNR, PSNR, which is quite easy measurements evaluated. 2. Correlation-Based: calculation of the difference between two digital images that will see the correlation of pixels as a measurement parameter. 3. Edge-Based: calculation based on the edge

of the original image and the   image   **of the**   entire   **image**

| 10 |

is distorted Fig. 3.c: The Results of Noise Removal citra3 then consistency is a measurement parameter. 4. Spectral Distance-Based: application of DFT on

the original   image   **and**   a   **distorted image**   then   **the difference**

| 18 |

magnitude of its Fourier or spectral phase is a measurement parameter. 5. Context-Based: the calculation of the neighboring

pixel in the original image   and   **a distorted image**

| 17 |

compared to its multidimensional context then the probability of a measurement parameter. 6. Human Visual System-Based (HVS): calculations performed by the human eye which typically uses the contrast, color and frequency. In this experiment, we use the parameter measurement based on pixel based MSE and PSNR and SSIM the Human Visual System. MSE values is obtained from the average square intensity of the original image

$f(x, y)$ and   **the**   resultant   **pixel of the**   image f   **$'(x, y)$**   can be written   **in**

| 9 |

Equation 2[2]: MSE ? 1 Fig. 3.d: The Results of Noise Removal citra4 XY ?Yy??10 ?xx??10

e?y, x?2 (2)   Where,   **e (x, y) 2**

| 12 |

is the error

difference between the original image and a distorted image. PSNR   values   **is**

| 4 |

obtained from the pixel

difference between the original image and a distorted image which **the** | 4 |

SNR of all its pixel value is the maximum value of the most appropriate, can be written in Equation 3 [2]: PSNR ? 10 log s 2 (3) MSE Where, s = 255 for 8-bit image SSIM value obtained by dividing

the original image and a **distorted image in the** | 5 |

8x8 block size and then converted into a vector. Then the calculation for the average two, two standard derivation, and the covariance can be written in equation 4, 5, and 6 [2]: Fig. 3.e: The Results of Noise Removal citra5 ?x ? T ?Ti?1;?y ? T ?Ti?1 1 1 y i (4) ? x2 ? T ?1 ?Ti?1 ?xi ? x ?2 ;? 2y ? ?Ti?1 ? yi ? y ?2? 2y i (5) ?x2y ? ?Ti?1?xi ? x??yi ? y? 1 (6) T ?1 Finally, it is calculated based on a comparison of illuminance, contrast and structure on its statistical value that can be written in Equation 7: SSIM ? ?? ? x22???x?2y y??cc11?????2x2??xy??y2 c?2 ?c2 ? (7) Here are the test results of five images of BSDS 300 Berkeley Dataset: Table 1. Comparison of MSE, PSNR and SSIM on Image Sharpening Image Parameters name(s) MSE PSNR SSIM citra1 97.73 28.23 0.95 citra2 253.89 24.08 0.96 citra3 2,906.7 13.5 0.56 citra4 2,344.25 14.3 0.8 citra5 11,203.08 7.64 0.37 Table 2. Comparison of MSE, PSNR and SSIM on Contrast Enhancement Image Parameters name(s) MSE PSNR SSIM citra1 665.09 19.9 0.88 citra2 253.89 24.08 0.96 citra3 2,906.7 13.5 0.56 citra4 2,344.25 14.3 0.8 citra5 11,203.08 7.64 0.37 Table 3. Comparison of MSE, PSNR and SSIM on Noise Removal Image Parameters name(s) MSE PSNR SSIM citra1 145.81 26.49 0.86 citra2 136.89 26.77 0.83 citra3 103.39 27.99 0.72 citra4 70.53 29.65 0.94 citra5 10.71 37.83 0.97 of the dataset BSDS300 Berkeley. We can see from the test results

in table 1, table 2, and table 3 that **the** | 11 |

calculation of the average SSIM, its result close to 1 where it has an accurate result than the MSE and PSNR. SSIM have a good calculation compared to the MSE and PSNR but SSIM is not easy to be evaluated as like as the MSE and PSNR. Image Sharpening has good results for this topic because it isn't change information or pixel, it's close to the original image information. REFERENCES Equ[a1]lizatBio.nHdaanrtoCnoon,tr"aAstnSatlriseatchTinegknuinktuAkdPaeprtbivaiekaHniKstuoaglriatams Citra," J. Teknol. Inf. Din., vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2014. [2] Z. Wang and A. C.Bovix, "A Universal Image Quality Index," IEE Signal Process. Lett., vol. 20, pp. 2–5, 2002. [3] K. Sayood, "Statistical evaluation of image quality measures," J. Electron. Imaging, vol. 11, no. April, pp. 206– 223, 2002. [4] Y. A. Y. Al-najjar and D. C. Soong, "Comparison of Image Quality Assessment :," Int. J. Sci. Eng. Res., vol. 3, no. 8, pp. 1–5, 2012. [5] R. C. Gonzalez and R. E. Woods, Digital Image Processing Second Edition, Second Edi. New Jersey: Prentice- Hall Inc, 2002. [6] F. Russo, "Piecewise linear model-based image enhancement," EURASIP J. Appl. Signal Processing, vol. 2004, no. 12, pp. 1861–1869, 2004. [7] T. Chao Lin and P. Ta Yu, "Salt-Pepper Impulse Noise Detection and Removal Using Multiple Thresholds for Image Restoration," J. Inf. Sci. Engineeering, vol. 198, pp. 189–198, 2006. [8] Z. M. Ramadan, "Salt-and-Pepper Noise Removal and Detail Preservation Using Convolution Kernels and Pixel Neighborhood," Am. J. Signal Process., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 16– 23, 2014. V. CONCLUSION In this paper, image quality improvements have been tested using a contrast enhancement, sharpening and noise reduction

sources:

| 1 | 35 words / 2% - Internet from 26-Nov-2015 12:00AM
links.uwaterloo.ca |

| 2 | 30 words / 2% - Crossref
Sheng-Chieh Lin. "A Novel Medical Image Quality Index", Journal of Digital Imaging, 11/20/2010 |

| 3 | 28 words / 1% - Internet from 06-May-2016 12:00AM
research.ijcaonline.org |

| 4 | 21 words / 1% - Crossref
Chang, C.-C., and W.-C. Wu. "Hiding secret data adaptively in vector quantisation index tables", IEE Proceedings - Vision Image and Signal Processing, 2006. |

| 5 | 17 words / 1% - Crossref
Kaixuan Lu, Wei Zhu. "Stereoscopic Image Quality Assessment Based on Cyclopean Image", 2016 IEEE 14th Intl Conf on Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing, 14th Intl Conf on Pervasive Intelligence and Computing, 2nd Intl Conf on Big Data Intelligence and Computing and Cyber Science and Technology Congress(DASC/PiCom/DataCom/CyberSciTech), 2016 |

| 6 | 15 words / 1% - Crossref
Yu-Lung Ho, Wei-Yang Lin, Chia-Ling Tsai, Cheng-Chia Lee, Chih-Yang Lin. "Automatic Brain Extraction for T1-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Images Using Region Growing", 2016 IEEE 16th International Conference on Bioinformatics and Bioengineering (BIBE), 2016 |

| 7 | 13 words / 1% - Crossref
Yudono, Bambang, M. Said, Pol Hakstege, and F.X. Suryadi. "Kinetics of Indigenous Isolated Bacteria Bacillus mycoides Used for Ex-Situ Bioremediation of Petroleum Contaminated Soil in PT Pertamina Sungai Lilin South Sumatera", Journal of Sustainable Development, 2009. |

| 8 | 10 words / 1% - Crossref
S. Mohamed, R. Jyothi Priya, S. Gift Rojan, S. Yaseer Arafath. "Particle swarm based unsharp masking", Proceedings of the Seventh Indian Conference on Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing - ICVGIP '10, 2010 |

| 9 | 10 words / 1% - Crossref
Yifeng Sun. "Secure Steganography in Compressed Video Bitstreams", 2008 Third International Conference on Availability Reliability and Security, 03/2008 |

| 10 | 9 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-May-2014 12:00AM
ijltet.org |

| 11 | 9 words / < 1% match - Internet from 08-Sep-2017 12:00AM
www.scientiasocialis.lt |

| 12 | 9 words / < 1% match - Internet from 10-Sep-2017 12:00AM
hal.upmc.fr |

| 13 | 9 words / < 1% match - Crossref
Mai, C. L. D. A., M. T. T. Nguyen, and N. M. Kwok. "A modified Unsharp Masking method using Particle Swarm Optimization", 2011 4th International Congress on Image and Signal Processing, 2011. |

| 14 | 8 words / < 1% match - Internet from 21-May-2014 12:00AM
www.coursehero.com |

| 15 | 8 words / < 1% match - Internet from 07-Feb-2017 12:00AM
www.impan.pl |

| 16 | 8 words / < 1% match - Crossref
A. MATARAM. "A REVIEW OF ASSEMBLED POLYACRYLONITRILE-BASED CARBON NANOFIBER PREPARED ELECTROSPINNING PROCESS", International Journal of Nanoscience, 2011 |

17	8 words / < 1% match - Publications

Li, Yujie Lu, Huimin Zhang, Lifeng Li, J. "Real-time visualization system for deep-sea surveying.(Research Article)(Report)", Mathematical Problems in Engineering, Annual 2014 Issue

18	7 words / < 1% match - Crossref

D. Kalenova, P. Toivanen, V. Botchko. "Spectral image distortion map", Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Pattern Recognition, 2004. ICPR 2004., 2004

19	7 words / < 1% match - Crossref

Vasile Buzuloiu. "Adaptive-neighborhood histogram equalization of color images", Journal of Electronic Imaging, 2001

20	6 words / < 1% match - ProQuest

Aysal, Tuncer Can. "Filtering and estimation theory: First-order, polynomial and decentralized signal processing", Proquest, 20111109

8 words / < 1% match - Publications
Li, Yujie Lu, Huimin Zhang, Lifeng Li, J. "Real-time visualization system for deep-sea surveying.(Research Article)(Report)", Mathematical Problems in Engineering, Annual 2014 Issue