Nabila

by Biosfer 12_2

Submission date: 17-Nov-2019 09:14PM (UTC+0700)
Submission ID: 1215389236
File name: Nabila_-_Rifan_1.docx (740.5K)
Word count: 3574
Character count: 18923

Biosfer: Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi, 12 (2), 1-12 (2019)

Biosfer: Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi

Journal homepage: http://journal.unj.ac.id/unj/index.php/biosfer

Motoric mechanism with problem based learning: impact on students' higher order thinking skill

Siti Nabilah, Yenny Anwar*, Riyanto

Biology Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: yenny_anwar@fkip.unsri.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Article history
Received: 16 April 2019
Revised: 20 June 2019
Accepted: 5 September 2019

Keywords:
Biology
HOTS
Motion System
PBL

ABSTRACT

This study aims to determine the effect of Problem Based Learning (PBL) model on higher-order thinking skills (HOTS) of Eleventh-grade students on motion system material in SMA Negeri 1 Indralaya Utara. The research method used was Quasi-Experimental with the research design of Non-equivalent Control Group Design. The sampling method used was Saturated Sampling Techniques. The instruments of data collection are HOTS test questions in the form of multiple choices with five answer choices in twenty-five questions. Observation instrument of learning implementation used Checklist with twelve observation items. The response instruments of students use questionnaires with a Likert scale. HOTS data were tested using the Mann-Whitney test, and then the learning implementation data and students' response data were analyzed descriptively. This data processing uses SPSS twenty-three. Based on the results of the hypothesis test, the sig value is 0.00 (sig <0.05), which shows an effect studied was significant. The implementation of learning has Good Category, while the response of students has very good and good categories of the two types of the response of students measured. Based on the hypothesis test results can be concluded that the PBL's model can significantly influence higher-order thinking skills of Eleventh-grade students on motion system material in SMA Negeri 1 Indralaya Utara.

INTRODUCTION

The learning process that adopted in Indonesia is 2013 curriculum. The 2013 curriculum has goals that are in line with the standards of the educational process that good learning from students is told to be learners to find out (Minister of Education and Culture, 2016). Based on the 14

principles that according to Law Number 22 that state the learning process covers planning, implementation and assessment. The learning process is successful if the learning objectives are achieved. Learning objectives refer to the bloom taxonomy and are related to higher order thinking skills. Higher order thinking skills start from C4-C6 (Anderson and Krathwol, 2001). Very good thinking skills are used to apply knowledge and develop students' skills in the context of their time so that they are used as evaluations in assessments (Nugroho, 2018).

The learning process in schools have not been maximized in improving higher order thinking skills, because students do not have the skills to process and apply the information learned yet (Purnamaningrum, 2012; Julistiawaty and Bertha, 2013). Though thinking can gain experience, involve mental, and develop cognitive processes in solving problems (Arends, 2008). This lack of thinking skills involves evaluations given by teachers in schools. Evaluation still refers to low-level thinking questions and questions taken from old documents (Anwar et al., 2017). In addition, this also relates to the method used by the teacher in the class, namely the lecture method. The lecture method is a factor that makes students thinking skills become low, so students only memorize and think concepts (Kawuwung, 2011). The learning process that only uses the lecture method causes the learning material received is not the result of the findings and thoughts of the students themselves that make higher order thinking skills become low (Lestari, 2018). This is because the learning is focused on the teacher, so students are less daring to express their opinions and ideas in learning science.

Science learning includes biology learning which has 3 domains, they are cognitive, psychomotor and affective that use concepts and abstract presentation (Rustaman et al., 2005; Cimer, 2012). Biology learning material is interconnected in understanding the concept (Santoso, 2014). One of the related materials is a motion system that has many concepts, is abstract and material interrelated with one another and has material that the phenomenon occurs in our body and is difficult if only using the lecture method (Butar-Butar et al. 2015; Nuriyanti, et al., 2013). In addition, this material is one of the material that has contextual problems that are given to students to solve problems in the community so students can improve their literacy skills. It is clarified, that the material of motion systems is one of the isososiosaintik material that makes students have high-level thinking skills in the process of completion (Suwono,et al.,2015). The motion system is the study of organs that perform functions in their movements and abnormalities (Irnaningtyas, 2014). In overcoming the above problems, it is necessary to apply the model offered by the 2013 curriculum which can improve higher order thinking skills, namely using the PBL model. PBL model can train students independently, train higher order thinking and improve student learning outcomes (Sani, 2014).

The potential of the PBL model in improving higher order thinking skills is expressed by several researchers who get good results if applied in learning because students can find and solve problems so it stimulates to analyze, evaluate and create (Abdurrozak, et al., 2016; Sucipto, 2017) . This is because the syntax of PBL is in line with the indicators of higher order thinking skills. PBL is one of the models in the scientific approach that has the highest significance than the other models and problem based (Haryati, et al., 2017; Wafroturrohmah and Suyatmini, 2013). Huang (2012) states that problem-based learning is a curriculum design that is identified by students not as passive recipients of knowledge but as problem solvers who can develop knowledge. Therefore, researchers overcome the above problems by conducting research with the title "Effect of Problem Based Learning Model (PBL) on Higher Order Thinking Skills of Students in the Motion System Material of Class XI SMA Negeri 1 Indralaya Utara". So that from the title of the research above, the problem can be formulated as follows "How is the Effect of PBL Model on HOTS on Motion System Material class XI SMA Negeri 1 Indralaya Utara?" Based on the problems that have been formulated, the purpose of this study is to obtain information on the Effect of Application of Learning Models Problem Based Learning (PBL) for Higher Order Thinking Skills of Students in the Material of Class XI Motion Systems of SMA Negeri 1 Indralaya Utara.

METHOD

Design of the Study This study was conducted using the Quasi Experimental Design method with the research design Non-equivalent Control Group Design with a quantitative approach to obtain an overview of the skills process of students. This research was conducted in SMA Negeri 1 Indralaya Utara. The time of the study was conducted on October 26, 2018 - November 26, 2018. For more details, see figure 1.



Figure 1. Nonequivalen Control Group Design (Sugiyono, 2016)

Participant

In this study, the population of two classes are from the XI IPA class of SMA Negeri 1 Indralaya Utara that used as a research sample, called as a saturated sampling technique. The number of student for each class is 28 and 29.

Instrument

The instruments of data collection are HOTS test questions in the form of multiple choices with five answer choices in 25 questions. The questions given in the form of discourse are contextual problems that can be solved by students after learning the motoric mechanism with the PBL model. Indicator distribution in the instrument can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1.
Problem example

Cognitive level	Question	Example
C1	1	 Look at the picture below! From the picture above the bone name pair with the number in the picture is ... A. Axial skull b. Axial shoulder bracelet c. Ribs - Appendicitis d. Lower-Appendicular limb e. Appendicular bracele
C2	3	In bone growth is often the presence of bone loss that occurs in the community, one of the causes is due to lack of food supplies that contain calcium, phosphate, protein, vit A, and Vit D. from illustrations above what factors need to be noticed by sufferers ... a. Heredity factor b. Health factor c. Endocrine factor d. Nervous system factor e. Nutrition factor
C3	5	A doctor takes medication by attaching braces as early as possible to a baby so that no serious deformities occur. The baby is suspected of having a disease a. Osteoarthritis b. Dislocation c. Congenitalis scoliosis d. Osteoporosis e. Hydrocephalus
C4	8	During sports lessons, fina feels pain in feet when seen there is freezing and

		bruising on the foot where pain is heard when the foot sounds. What do you think the disease is being suffered by fina? Explain why? a. Rickets. Because bone softening in children with vitamin D deficiency b. Rickets. Because unusual movements, forced or moved suddenly c. Tetanus. Because the muscles contract continuously until they cannot contract again d. Sprain. Because the muscles contract continuously until it cannot contract again e. Sprain. Because unusual movements, forced or moved suddenly
C5	6	Cramps are words that are familiar to you medical world. Every year there is news covered in relation to a bone disorder called cramping. Every year there are also many accidents that eliminate a person's soul due to this disorder. To overcome and reduce this occurrence every year, the wise actions that you will take are a. Leave it alone because it has nothing to do with us b. Indifferent to the situation and the news that has been aired every year c. Socialize the dangers of cramps and how to deal with cramps while swimming d. Make strict regulations in the pool swim e. Hire people to guard around the poo

Data Analysis

The data analysis technique used in this study is descriptive statistics using the SPSS 23. This analysis was conducted to describe higher order thinking skills after the application of the PBL model. The value of high-level thinking skills obtained to determine students 'learning outcomes is the summation of the students' scores (Sudiono, 2015; Arikunto, 2013). After this is done, we know the higher order thinking skills by looking at the difference in learning outcomes after the PBL model is applied. In addition, the analysis on questionnaires and implementation was seen based on the categories obtained. he gain value is the difference between the results of the final test scores and the initial tests obtained by each student regarding cognitive abilities. This value is used to find out the improvement in learning after learning with PBL models.

Research procedures

Research procedures are divided into 3 stages, namely the preparation, implementation, and completion stages. at the preparation stage the researcher identifies the problem being studied in the research. Next, compile learning tools that will be used in research such as syllabus, lesson plans, student worksheets, and questions. make observations to schools, choose samples and arrange research permits. The implementation stage is carried out in the classroom according to the learning design. The completion stage is done to analyze the data that has been obtained so that it can draw conclusions.



Figure 2. research procedure

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data analyzed from this study is the score of students 'higher order thinking skills, studentsmcln responses and learning implementation observation data.

Higher order thinking skills of students

The percentage between the initial test and the final test had a good increasing after the learning process using PBL was done (Figure 3). This is in line with the research conducted by Haryati, et al. (2017) that there was an increase in results in students after the application of PBL was carried out and the results of tests obtained were higher than the test results using other learning models such as INSTAD and discovery learning.



Figure 3.
Percentage of Thinking Skills Categories of Students in the Experiment class



Figure 4. Percentage of Categories of Thinking Skills for Students in the Control class

Learning in the control class used conventional varied methods including lectures, question and answer, and discussion. It means the main method used by the teacher is the lecture method which is varied by the question and answer method and discussion. Variations are made to complete the shortcomings of each of these methods. It is expected that with the combination of these methods learning can be better. This is in accordance with Wahab (2009) who stated that varied lectures were a learning process that prioritized the lecture method to convey material but enriched with other teaching methods/ techniques. The percentage between the initial test and the final test has a sufficient increase after the conventional learning process is carried out (Figure 4). This happens because students are only given material without finding out the problems faced, besides that students only accept all learning from the teacher (teacher centered) without being able to give opinions about learning the motion system that is related to daily life. This is in line to the statement expressed by Sullivan and Mclntosh (2001) that conventional methods are learning methods that take place from teacher to student. In the learning process, it is dominated by teachers in transferring knowledge, so the students become more passive in the learning process.

Table 2.
Average Learning Outcomes of Students with the Application of the PBL Model of Experimental Classes and Control Classes.

Class Average	Score				Category
	Pretest	Posttest	Gain	n-gain	
Experiment	63,31	79,58	16,27	0,36	**Moderate**
Control	55,00	67,00	12,00	0,19	**Low**

The increase in HOTS test results using the PBL model in the experimental class has a moderate category on the difference (Table 1). This is due to several factors, that in the learning process using PBL students faced on a problem in a discourse prepared by the teacher. In solving these problems, students need higher order thinking skills because students must analyze various informations obtained to find the right solution. This is reinforced by riyanto (2010), states that higher order thinking skills are categorized in 3 parts; as a form of learning outcomes transfer, as a form of critical thinking, and as a process of problem solving. PBL learning uses contextual problems that provide challenges for students to be able to give the best solutions to the problems that being faced in learning. Students' curiosity is higher because the problems-faced and the material studied is related to daily life. The high level of students' curiosity encourages students to use all their thinking skills in order to get appropriate problem solving from what students read and

analyze. Through PBL, students become more accustomed to think systematically so that on questions working, the students will get easier and get better results. This opinion is also supported by Riyanto (2010) problem-based learning is a model designed and developed to develop students' problm solving skill. In addition, Trianto (2011) states that PBL gives encouragement to students to not only think concretely, but rather to think of abstract and complex ideas.

In the control class, the difference in the average score of HOTS is lower than the experimental class (Table 1). This is because in the control class students are not trained to formulate problem solving. Students only accept learning material delivered by the teacher. Usually the teacher is only focused on learning related to the development of the C1 - C3 bloom because students were not asked to analyze the material being studied. During learning process, students are more emphasized to listen to the explanation from the educator, so that the understanding of the material is less. This is in line with what was disclosed according to Brooks (1993), the characteristics of conventional learning include students are being more passive for learning individually, theoretical learning, teachers are determinants of the learning and interaction between students is lack. This resulted in students having difficulty when working on the question types C4, C5 and C6.

The increase in HOTS in the suitability of the C4-C6 cognitive domain separately has a little difference between the control class and the experiment (Figure 5). This is because based on the results of the developmental analysis think students need high conceptual mastery so they can think complex in solving problems. From Figure 5 can be seen the difference in C4 cognitive domains is smaller than the cognitive domains C5 and C6.



Figure 5. Comparison of average Higher-Order Thinking Skills score Classroom Control and Experiment in Cognitive Domains C4-C6

The picture above can occur because the control class uses the discovery learning model that is often carried out in schools but in the context of the lecture method varies so that in the cognitive domain only has a small difference different from the cognitive domains C5 and C6. In the experimental class, Learners do learning tailored to the PBL syntax which is given several discourse problems that want to be overcome through several practicums. With this practicum, students improve the cognitive domains of C5 and C6 so that they have a slight difference. This is in line with the statement of Haryati, et al (2017) that there is an increase in learning outcomes precisely in higher order thinking skills using PBL models compared to other models, one of which is discovery learning models.

Based on the Mann-Whitney test results obtained a value of 0,000 that when the probability is below 0.05 then H0 is rejected. This shows that the application of the PBL model has a significant effect on Class XI Higher Order Thinking Skills in the Motion System Material in SMA Negeri 1 Indralaya Utara. By looking at the score of the pre-test and post-test, it was explained that after learning with the application of the PBL model it turned out that HOTS students had increased (Table 1). In line with the research conducted by Mayasari, et al. (2015) which states that learning using the PBL model has positive influence on students' higher order thinking skills. Besides,

Syarifah, et al. (2014) also said that Higher Order Thinking Skills of students taught with the Problem Based Learning model were significantly higher than conventional learning.

Implementation of PBL model and response of students

HOTS improvement of students is also related to learning planning and student responses to learning both in the category of the process and application of the PBL model. Based on the results of the analysis of the learning implementation observation sheet, it can be seen that the implementation of the PBL model in the experimental class is well implemented in the total. However, if it is categorized according to activities, the preliminary activities have a very good category, content activities have good categories and closing activities have good categories as well (Table 2). If learning planning runs well then it affects the learning outcomes of students. This statement is in line with Martono's (2014) research that learning planning has a significant influence on the teaching quality of educators and student learning outcomes.

Table 2.
Percentage of Learning Implementation in the Experiment class

Aspect	Meeting					Average (%)	Category
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preliminary	100,00	75,00	100,00	75,00	100,00	90,00	Very good
Content	66,70	83,30	75,00	83,30	83,30	78,32	Good
Closing	50,00	75,00	75,00	75,00	75,00	70,00	Good
		Average				79,44	Good

Learning by applying the PBL model has been conducted and received responses from students through questionnaires. The results of questionnaire data analysis (Table 3) show that 96.5% of the responses of students have very good and good criteria in the category of applying the learning model, while the learning process has a very good percentage of criteria of 38% and good at 62%. Based on Table 3, the application of the PBL model can make students actively involved in conducting learning activities and to build their own knowledge so that they can improve students' high-level thinking skills. If the students are active in the learning process, it means that students show a good response in learning and influence the results of higher order thinking skills of students. This statement is in line with Muchtadi's research, et al., (2017) stating that the HOTS results of students are influenced by students' responses during the learning process.

Table 3.
Percentage of Answers to Students' Response to the PBL Model per Questionnaire Sheet

Questionnaire Sheet Category	Response Valuation Criteria (%)			
	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad
Model PBL Application	31,00	65,50	3,50	0,00
Learning Process	38,00	62,00	0,00	0,00
Average	34,5	63,75	0	0

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the research that has been done, it can be concluded that the application of the PBL model has a significant effect on Higher order Thinking Skills in Class XI Students of SMA Negeri 1 Indralaya Utara in the Motion System Material. The response of students to the application of the PBL model shows that the application of the PBL model can increase curiosity, pleasure in answering problems, and can improve students' communication skills to be very good. While the response of the learning process shows students' enthusiasm for learning because of the

teacher. The teaching skills possessed by the teacher are good in response so that it supports the learning process.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research is supported by Sriwijaya University's Teacher Training and Education Biology Education Program (FKIP) which has facilitated the various facilities needed during the research. So we send our deepest gratitude to the coordinator of the study program. We also thank all participants of SMA Negeri 1 Indralaya Utara, so that we can do this research well.

REFERENCES

Nabila

ORIGINALITY REPORT

9%	6%	3%	5%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Submitted to Universitas Negeri Jakarta Student Paper	2%
2	eprints.umm.ac.id Internet Source	2%
3	digilib.uin-suka.ac.id Internet Source	1%
4	F Riandari, R Susanti, Suratmi. "The influence of discovery learning model application to the higher order thinking skills student of Srijaya Negara Senior High School Palembang on the animal kingdom subject matter", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018 Publication	1%
5	eprints.ners.unair.ac.id Internet Source	1%
6	journal.unj.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
7	civilejournal.org Internet Source	<1%

8	garuda.ristekdikti.go.id Internet Source	<1%
9	repository.upi.edu Internet Source	<1%
10	beyotime.com Internet Source	<1%
11	doaj.org Internet Source	<1%
12	Elita Zusti Jamaan, Diana Nomida, Zulfiarti Syahrial. "The impact of problem-based learning model and visual-spatial intelligence to geometry achievement of junior-high-school students", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019 Publication	<1%
13	Submitted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Student Paper	<1%
14	Zvia Kaberman, Yehudit Judy Dori. "Question Posing, Inquiry, and Modeling Skills of Chemistry Students in the Case-Based Computerized Laboratory Environment", International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 2008 Publication	<1%
15	www.sciencevictoria.com.au Internet Source	<1%

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	Off		