IAES Section Journals   IAES Journals

Indonesian Journal of
Electrical Engineering and Informatics

UAD   UNDIP

HOME   ABOUT   USER HOME   SEARCH   CURRENT   ARCHIVES   ANNOUNCEMENTS

Home > User > Author > **Archive**

Archive

ACTIVE **ARCHIVE**

ID	MM-DD SUBMIT	SEC	AUTHORS	TITLE	STATUS
456	04-19	Informatics	K. Omer, E. Sheta, S. Adrees,...	DEEP NEURAL NETWORK FOR HEART DISEASE MEDICAL...	Vol 6, No 2: June 2018
773	10-19	Informatics	Kurniabudi, Purnama, Sharipuddin,...	NETWORK ANOMALY DETECTION RESEARCH: A SURVEY	Vol 7, No 1: March 2019

1 - 2 of 2 Items

Start a New Submission

CLICK HERE to go to step one of the five-step submission process.

Round 1

Review Version	773-1901-1-RV.PDF 2018-10-19
Initiated	2018-10-29
Last modified	2019-01-16
Uploaded file	None

Editor Decision

Decision	Accept Submission 2019-01-21
Notify Editor	✉ Editor/Author Email Record 💬 2019-01-21
Editor Version	None
Author Version	773-2366-1-ED.DOC 2019-01-19 DELETE
Upload Author Version	Choose File No file chosen Upload

Editor/Author Correspondence

Editor
2019-01-
09 11:17
PM

Subject: [IJEEI] Editor Decision on paper Network Anomaly Detection Research : A DELETE
Survey

Dear Dr. Deris Stiawan:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Indonesian Journal of Electrical
Engineering and Informatics (IJEEI), a Scopus-indexed journal.
Based on the comments from reviewers, your paper "Network Anomaly Detection
Research : A Survey" requires REVISION before publication.

The comments and feedbacks from reviewers are in the end of this email. Please make
the necessary changes based on reviewers' comments and suggestions. You have 2
weeks to improve the paper. After revision, please upload the revised version of your
paper to the submission system.

The Editor will check whether the submitted revision properly address the reviewers'
comments or not. A timely response will greatly help fasten the decision process and the
following steps of publication.

Thank you.

Best Regards,
Dr. Munawar A Riyadi
Editor
IJEEI.iaes@gmail.com
http://section.iaesonline.com/index.php/IJEEI

Reviewer E:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:
Yes

If No, please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words::

Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?:
Yes

Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?:
Yes

If No, please suggest how to organize the paper::

Rate of the contribution strength to the field is represented in this paper:
Good

Is the paper free from obvious errors, miscomceptions, or ambiguity? Is the paper written in correct English?:
No

If No, please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections::
Some grammatical errors (plural - singular, article 'a' - 'the', active - passive voices) should be corrected.

Please mark approite scale for the overall grade for this paper? (A score of 7 or above typically provides ground for IJEEI acceptance):
6

Reviewer's comments and suggestions how to improve the paper. (If it is not possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested. Please use word processig type format if possible, and then upload or submit via email to IJEEI.IAES@gmail.com)
:
This article covers broad area of anomaly detection.
Surprisingly. this article intentionally omits most cited articles in the area of network anomaly detection, e.g. :
Patcha et al (Comp. Network, 2007 -- cited >600 times!),
Garcia-Teodoro et al(Comp. Sec. 2009 -- cited >600 times!),
Lakhina et al (Comp. Comm. Rev, 2005 -- cited > 500 times!),
Sommer et al (Proc. IEEE Symp. Security & Privacy, 2010 - cited >400 times!)
and many more.

A systematic review should cover all important issues. This review needs to be revisited and enriched. Please check carefully. A thorough search in indexing services (e.g. Scopus) will be a great help.

Reviewer G:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:
Yes

If No, please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words::

Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?:
Yes

Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?:
No