SYNTACTICAL ERRORS OF RECOUNT TEXT WRITING OF THE NINTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMP NEGERI 5 HUTARAJA TINGGI

Thesis by :

Ani Mariamah

Student Number: 06011181823014

English Education Study Program

Department of Language and Art Education



FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

INDRALAYA

2021

Syntactical Errors of Recount Text Writing of The Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi

A thesis by

Ani Mariamah

Student Number: 06011181823014

English Education Study Program

Department of Language and Art Education

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSTY

2021

Approved by Advisor

Drs. Muslih Hambali, M.LIS NIP. 195708261984031001



Certified by Coordinator of English Education Study Program

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd.,M.Pd NIP. 197408022002121001

Syntactical Errors of Recount Text Writing of The Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi

Ani Mariamah

Student Number: 06011181823014

This thesis was defended by the writer in the final examination and was approved the examination committee on :

Day : Friday

Date : December, 31 2021

1. Chairperson : Drs. Muslih Hambali, MLIS

(- Aming)

2. Secretary : Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd (Arrefs^{*})

Indralaya, December 2021

Certified by

Coordinator of English Education Study Program,

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd NIP. 197408022002121001

DECLARATION

I, the undersigned,

Name	: Ani Mariamah
Place, date of birth	: Ujung Batu V, February 24, 2000
Student Number	: 06011181823014
Study Program	: English Education

Certify that thesis entitled "Syntactical Errors of Recount Text Writing of The Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi" is my own work and I did not do any plagiarism or inappropriate quotation against the ethic and rules commended by Ministry of Education of Republic Indonesia Number 17, 2010 regarding plagiarism in higher education. Therefore, I deserve to face the court if I am found to have plagiarized this work.

Indralaya,

2021

The Undersigned,



Ani Mariamah 06011181823014

THESIS DEDICATIONS

First of all, thanks to the Almighty God who always given health, blessing, and salvation for me, I dedicated this thesis to :

1. My beloved parents, Sutiman and Tukiyem who give me motivation, supported, and everything for my life.

2. My beloved brother, Alm. Suyanto and his wife, Binti Masrokhah.

3. My beloved brother, Satriya and his wife, Lisa Riyanti who gave me all their love and care.

4. My advisor (Drs Muslih Hambali, M.LIS), who always guides me to finish my study very well.

5. My best friend, Dia Puspita Sari, Farah Nadiah Utari, Meliza Dwi Syaputri, Selni Tanzila, Siti Oktarina Afisyah, Winnie Aulya.

6. My friends, Atika, Syawal, Sakinah, Fatimah, Robiah, Lia, Aida, Mia, Tiara, Bahar, Eka who helped and always supported me.

7. All of PBI VII Indralaya, who supported and encouraged me in the worst and the best of my journey.

MOTTOS

"And they planned and Allah also planned. And Allah is the best of planners" (Q.S. Ali Imran: 54)

"Indeed, Allah will not change the condition of people until they change what is in themselves" (Q.S. Ar-Ra'd : 11)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillahirobbil'alamin, in the name of Allah, all praises be to the almighty God who always given health, blessing, and salvation for me. Peace be upon Prophet Muhammad SAW, the good inspiration of world revolution. This thesis entitled "Syntactical Errors of Recount Text Writing of the Ninth Grade Students of Junior High School at SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi". This thesis was conducted to fulfil one of the requirements in accomplishing the undergraduate degree (S1) of English Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education at Sriwijaya University.

The writer would like to express her deepest thanks to all who had helped, supported, and suggested her during the process of writing this thesis. She wants to give thanks and highest appreciation to her advisor, Drs. Muslih Hambali, M.LIS as a thesis advisor who helped the writer to get results of better quality and always had time for giving advices to the writer's problem in writing. The writer would also like send her sincere gratitude to the Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Sriwijaya University (Dr. Hartono, M.A), Head of Language and Arts Education Department, Dr. Didi Suhendi, M.Hum., and Head of English Education Study Program, Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd. for their assistance in administrative matters. The writer is also very thankful to all lecturers of English Education Study Program at Sriwijaya University, and the administration staffs of English Education Study Program at Sriwijaya University.

Lastly, the writer hopes this thesis can be useful to all of the people who need it.

Indralaya, December 2021 The writer,

Ani Mariamah

Syntactical Errors of Recount Text Writing of the Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi

ABSTRACT

Errors in the rules of writing made by the students often occur due to a lack of knowledge of syntax. Syntactical errors occur because there are errors that affect every word i.e. phrases, clauses, and sentences. The aim of the research was to investigate the syntactical errors that occur in students' recount text writing, to describe the most and least of syntactical errors occurrence in students' recount text writing, and to figure out the students' perception of syntactic errors in their writing. The subject of this research was recount text writing made by Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi. The researcher used the qualitative method in conducting this study and applied analysis of syntactical in Writing Recount Text using Surface Structure Taxonomy and Politzer and Romirez's theory. The researcher found that there were three types of syntactical errors based on Politzer and Romirez's theory namely, noun phrase, verb construction, and word order. Each of them has the following percentage: noun phrase 9%, verb construction 49%, and word order 42%. While according to surface structure taxonomy, there were 4 types of syntactical errors found in the data, namely: Omission 9%, Addition 3%, Misformation 46%, and Misordering 42%. The level of understanding of ninth-grade students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi is still relatively low.

Keywords: Syntactical errors, Recount Text, Ninth-grade students, Surface Structure Taxonomy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER
APPROVAL i
COMMITTEE APPROVALii
DECLARATIONiii
THESIS DEDICATIONS iv
MOTTOS v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSvi
TABLE OF CONTENTS viii
CHAPTER I1
INTRODUCTION1
1.1 Background of the Research1
1.2 The Problems of the Research5
1.3 The Objectives of the Research5
1.4 Significance of the Research6
CHAPTER II
LITERATURE REVIEW7
2.1 Error in Language Learning7
2.2 Error and Mistake7
2.2.1 Error
2.2.2 Mistake9
2.2.3 Factor Causing Errors9
2.3 Error Analysis10
2.4 Types of Errors11
2.4.1 The Linguistic Category Taxonomy11
2.4.2 Surface Structure Taxonomy12
2.4.3 Comparative Taxonomy14
2.4.4 Communicative Effect Taxonomy15
2.5 Sources of Error16
2.6 Syntactical Error Parameter16
2.6.1 Types of Syntactical Errors16
2.7 The Definition of Recount Text18
2.7.1 The Structure of Recount Text19
2.7.2 Types of Recount Text19

2.7.3 The Language Features of Recount Text20	0
2.8 Previous Related Studies 21	l
2.9 Conceptual Framework2	3
СНАРТЕК Ш	5
METHODOLOGY2	5
3.1 Research Design25	5
3.2 Subject of the Research2	5
3.3 Method of collecting data25	5
3.4 Method of analyzing data27	7
3.5 Validity and Reliability 29)
CHAPTER IV	0
FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	0
4.1 Findings	0
4.1.1 Noun Phrase	3
4.1.2 Verb Construction34	4
4.1.3 Word Order	7
4.1.4 Omission4	1
4.1.5 Addition4	1
4.1.6 Misformation42	2
4.1.7 Misordering42	2
4.2 The Students' Perceptions of the Difficulties and Syntactic Errors in English	
Writing of the Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi4	3
4.3 Discussion4'	7
CHAPTER V	1
CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	1
5.1 Conclusion	1
5.2 Suggestions	2
REFERENCES	3
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Analysis Data based on Politzer and Romirez's Theory	30
Table 2 Analysis Data based on Surface Structure Taxonomy	32
Table 3 The Student's Perception of the Difficulties and Syntactic Errors in English	
Writing	44

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 The analytical construction of Syntactical Error in Recount	
Text	24
Figure 2 The percentage of Syntactical Error based on Politzer and Romirez's	
Theory	40
Figure 3 The percentage of Syntactical Error based on Surface Stucture	
Taxonomy	. 43
Figure 4 The percentage of Student's Perception of the Difficulties and Syntactic	
Errors in English Writing	46

LIST OF APPENDIX

Appendix A Students' Recount Text Writing

Appendix B Questionnaire Students' Perceptions of the Difficulties and Syntactic Errors in English Writing

Appendix C Usul Judul

Appendix D SK Pembimbing

Appendix E Permohonan Izin Penelitian kepada Dinas Pendidikan kabupaten Padang Lawas

Appendix F Surat Izin Penelitian dari Dinas Pendidikan kabupaten Padang Lawas

Appendix G Surat Keterangan Telah Melaksanakan Penelitian

Appendix H Thesis Consultation Card

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents: (1) Background of the Research, (2) The Problem of the Research, (3) The Objective of the Research, (4) Significance of the Research.

1.1 Background of the Research

In today's era of globalization, language mastery, especially English, has become essential for almost all of the world's population. English has become an important part in many aspects such as political, social, cultural, and others. English is very important so English can be referred to as the window of the world. However, mastering a foreign language, especially English, is not easy as imagined but also not too difficult. In Indonesia, English has been taught from the basic level until up level. In addition, English has also become a compulsory lesson in junior high school and senior high school.

Currently, the authorities have declared a new curriculum for students, as we know it is the 2013 curriculum. The motive of the authorities to develop and enforce the 2013 curriculum is to be a correction to the previous curriculum and the desire to realize that this curriculum is extra appropriate for students. Based on the curriculum, getting to know English in junior high school makes this very important. There are four skills in learning English: listening, speaking reading, and writing. The four English competencies that must be seen and mastered by students, here the researcher focuses on writing. Writing is an activity of thinking about creative ideas in a word to be written and developed and done at the best time (Sutanto, 2007).

Writing is one of the skills in learning English. Writing is using the students active skills where the students thinks and will produce a written work. Writing skills are also useful to assist students in improving students' English skills. Harmer (2004) said that important things are different in teaching situations. In some studies, when

students write they also think of other skills such as grammar and English vocabulary. Thus, writing activities are carried out by students to develop their English.

Hutchinson (2005) defines writing as an expression of writing on paper and by thinking of ideas that will be the work of the student's writing. In addition, he also said that, as one of the four English skills that students must master, writing can be developed through some exercises that must be done regularly. Writing is different from other skills. Most students say writing is more difficult because they have to think about the topic and content that should be appropriate. Mistakes in writing have become a natural thing to be found in language learning. Writing skills are difficult to master because students must master aspects of language in English. However, in process of developing writing skills, students and teachers need to work together because students also need guidance in developing an idea into writing.

Some problems that occur in learning English, teaching writing in both formal and non-formal education focuses on its grammar structure. Teachers think that if students can master the use of grammar well, they can write well. Writing is not as easy as the theory said because when doing writing activities, students face many problems. Believing in this statement, Murcia (2001) mentions that writing is the hardest ability to learn because it calls for greater competence, including listening, speaking, and reading. In getting the idea of writing, students will surely listen and read a source and finally come up with an idea. It means that when students want to master writing skills, they need to understand aspects of writing and be able to apply them to text.

Learning writing skills, especially in English, should be considered from a young age, at least at the junior high school level. Because in higher education, such as high school or university, they are encouraged to write articles and essays in English. Before writing a good scientific article at a higher level, junior high school students should be able to write a variety of text genres, including to tell a story. In

the recount text, students must retell events or sequences of experiences that happened in the past that were memorable in their lives (Nia & Kurniawan, 2007).

Retelling the past intends to comfort or tell others about what happened to one's past and when it happened (Gerot & Wignell, 1994). This means that students should be able to write down their past experiences by learning to recall the events. So, it could be their diaries, letters, biographies, and speeches (Anderson & Anderson, 2003). Therefore, the researcher investigated the writing of the student. Students should pay attention to the Recount text's schematic structure, which includes orientation, events, and reorientation, when writing in recount text (Anderson & Anderson, 2003). Students must also incorporate the recalculation's linguistic qualities into their prose, such as specific participants, time and location, first-person, process, conjunctions, and the past (Nia & Kurniawan, 2007).

Making an error is something that most students can't avoid. In students' writing skills, there will always be mistakes that occur in writing made especially in sentence errors. The students form of error when creating a sentence should be reduced until the error in writing the text is reduced. Regardless of the number of students who make mistakes, teachers need to analyze and examine students' mistakes in writing. According to Corder stated by Ishida (1982), errors are a process of concrete evidence of the way students learn languages where there are obstacles from their habits.

Vahdatinejad stated by Darus & Subramaniam (2009) believed that errors in analysis can be used to determine how learners are capable of learning a foreign language. Then this will be a refinement for the teacher what to be taught back to the students. So that students can see how teachers teach about how to write. Crystal (1987) says that error analysis is to identify, examine, and describe mistakes made by a person in writing, which is useful to know about his difficulty in writing sentences or text in English. Abbott et al. (1981) believed that analyzing errors also include collecting errors, then studying them, grouping them in various ways and finding the cause of them. An error is sought or learned so that the strategy or way of learning from students can be known when learning other languages, especially English.

Three sections are very useful and often used to identify errors (Daulay et al., 1982). The three sections are: 1) Categories of linguists that group errors according to errors found when analyzed. 2) Taxonomy strategies that must conform to the structure of omission, addition, misformation, and misordering. 3) Comparative analysis based on comparison of second language error structure and other views affecting students who hear and read it. It can then be seen that focusing on the differences experienced in students.

Syntax is the study of the way and process a sentence is formed in a particular language. Language syntax research aims to form a grammar that will be seen as a way to create analyzed language sentences. A syntax-related study is how a word is originally a combination of phrase and sentence in the language. A sentence has a structure because it merges from the phrase in certain ways. Syntax in a language is a collection of forms that determine the use of sentences in that language. A sentence will be well shaped or grammatical if it is formed with appropriate properties. If it is different or there are irregularities then it is not the structure of the language. Syntax is a linguistic part of a sentence. The importance of language knowledge will be able to form sentences in the mind into writing (Fromkin et al., 2003).

The error that occurs in syntactical error is a deviation from the part of speech. Part of speech are noun, pronoun, verb, adjective, adverb, preposition, conjunction, and interjection. The error occurred due to a lack of target language skills in syntax. Daulay et al., (1982) said that when students learn a language, they cannot avoid a mistake that occurs in their writing because it is a process of learning. Grammatical errors and mechanical errors often occur during writing lessons. Analyzing errors in the type of recount text is very necessary because it gives an advantage to students, in order to know where the writing errors are located. In addition, it also gives teachers the benefit of being able to find what mistakes are more clearly visible from a students writing. The researcher can help in providing information related to errors in recount text.

The researcher meets English Teacher at SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi. The teacher showed some of the students' writings. The teacher said that in the ninth grade there were some problems experienced by students for the recount text writing material. The teacher explained that these problems included students having writing errors in grammatical rules and still not understanding the use of verbs when writing, students also still not understanding the use of prepositions, pronouns, nouns and so on. Students still do not understand the rules of language, such as spelling, arrangement, systematics, and writing techniques. This means that there are still frequent syntactic errors in writing recount text in ninth grade students.

From the explanation above, it can be concluded that grammatical errors are commonly found when writing. Grammatical errors are related to syntactical errors and morphological errors. However, the research that will be done more observes syntactical errors because students write more on syntactical errors related to words formed into phrases, clauses, and sentences.

Based on the background of the research, the researcher focused on research entitled "Syntactical Errors of Recount Text Writing of the Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi".

1.2 The Problems of the Research

- What types of syntactical errors occur in students' recount text writing of the Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi?
- How do the syntactical errors occur in students' recount text writing of the Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi?

3. What do the students' perceptions of the difficulties and syntactic errors in English writing of the Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi?

1.3 The Objectives of the Research

- 1. To investigate the syntactical error that occurs in students' recount text writing of the Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi.
- To describe the most and least of syntactical errors occurrence in students' recount text writing of the Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi.
- 3. To figure out the students' perception of the difficulties and syntactic errors in English writing of the Ninth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 5 Hutaraja Tinggi?

1.4 Significance of the Research

Theoretically, the findings of this study can be used as a reference to further Syntactical research. In practice, the outcomes of this study were supposed to provide students and readers with some awareness about Syntactical Errors in Recount Text Writing. Most importantly, this study was supposed to aid readers and students in comprehending recount text writing. It can also drive English teachers, particularly those who teach writing, to pay more attention to their students' writing in terms of linguistic features.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, P. W. G., Abbott, G., McKeating, D., & Greenwood, J. (1981). The Teaching Of English As An International Language: A Practical Guide. Collins.
- Anderson, M., & Anderson, K. (1997). Text Types in English 1. Macmillan Education Australia.
- Anderson, M., & Anderson, K. (2003). Text types in English 3. Macmillan Education Australia.
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., & Razavieh, A. (2010). Introduction to Research in Education. Thomson Wadsworth.
- Bayinah, S. (2013). The Grammatical Error Analysis on Using the Simple Past Tense in Writing Recount Text (A Case Study at the First Grade on MA Al -Khairiyah) [UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta]. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/imre.12028/abstract
- Brown, H. D. (2000). Principles of Language Learning and Teaching (4th ed.). Pearson Longman.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). Research Methods in Education. Routledge. <u>https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203029053-23</u>
- Corder, S. P. (1973). Error Analysis, Interlanguage and Second Language Acquisition. CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS.
- Crystal, D. (1987). The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Language. CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS.
- Darus, S., & Subramaniam, K. (2009). Error Analysis of the Written English Essays of Secondary School Students in Malaysia: A Case Study. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(3), 483–495.
- Dulay, H., Burt, M., & Krashen, S. (1982). Language Two. Oxford University Press.
- Derewianka, B. (2003). Trends and issues in genre-based approaches. *RELC Journal*, 34(2), 133–154. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/003368820303400202</u>
- Dinamika, S. G., & Hanafiah, R. (2019). Syntactical Error Analysis on Report Text. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Literature*, 4(2), 120-129. <u>https://doi.org/10.33369/joall.v4i2.7681.</u>
- Ellis, R. (2002). Does Form-Focused Instruction Affect the Acquisition of Implicit

Knowledge? Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 24(2), 223–236. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0272263102002073

- Fauziati, E. (2002). Teaching English as a foreign language (TEFL). Muhammadiyah University Press.
- Foster, J. (2005). Good reasons for noting bad grammar : empirical investigations into the parsing of ungrammatical written English. Trinity College.
- Fromkin, V., Rodman, R., & Hyams, N. (2003). An Introduction to Language (7th ed.). Thomson Wadsworth.
- Gedion, Tati, and Peter (2016). A syntactic errors analysis in the Malaysian ESL learners' written composition. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research*, 3 (6), 96-104.
- Gerot, L., & Wignell, P. (1994). Making Sense of Functional Grammar. Gerd Stabler.
- Harmer, J. (2004). How to Teach Writing. Pearson Longman.
- Hasyim, S. (2002). Error Analysis in the Teaching of English Sunardi. K@ta: A Biannual Publication on the Study of Language and Literature, 4(1), 42–50. https://doi.org/10.1075/btl.3.05alt.
- Hendri, Gayo and Pratomo Widodo (2018). An Analysis of Morphological and Syntactical Errors on the English Writing of Junior High School Indonesian Students.*International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research.* Vol. 17 No. 4, pp. 58-70.https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.17.4.4
- Hutchinson, E. (2005). Narrative Writing. Saddleback Educational Publishing.
- Hornby, A. S. (2010). Oxford Advanced Learner's English-Chinese Dictionary (8th Edition). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Ishida, M. (1982). Error Analysis and its Significance Teaching. The Society of English Studies Society Studies, 3.
- Jannah, Lailla Qomarul, et al (2017). The Syntactical Error Analysis of The Eighth Grade Students' Recount Text Writing at SMPN 1 Bangsal Mojokerto. Journal. FKIP, the University of Jember.
- Knapp, P., & Watkins, M. (2005). *Genre, Text, Grammar:* Technologies for Teaching and Assessing Writing. UNSW Press.
- Liu, M., & Xu, Y. (2013). An investigation of syntactic errors in Chinese undergraduate EFL Learners' compositions: A cohort study. *International*

Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature, 2(4), 182–191. https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijalel.v.2n.4p.182

- Mcleod, R., & Schell, J. G. P. (2008). Management Information System Tenth Edition (10th ed.). Pearson Education.
- McMillan, J. M., & Schumacher, S. (2010). Research In Education: Evidence-Based Inquiry (7th Edition) (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldana, J. (2014). Qualitative Data Analysis: A Method Source Book (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Moleong, L. J. (2014). Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif [Edisi Revisi] (32th ed.). Remaja Rosdakarya.
- MURCIA, M. C.-. (2001). Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language. Heinle & Heinle. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/III.5.113</u>
- Nia, N., & Kurniawan, E. (2007). Writing English for general communication. UPI PRESS.
- Oktisa (2019). The Analysis of Syntactical Errors Made By Students of TBI IAIN Bengkulu In Writing Thesis Abstract. Thesis. Bengkulu. IAIN Bengkulu.
- Pardiyono. (2007). Pasti bisa! teaching genre-based wrtiting: metode mengajar writing berbasis genre secara efektif (1st ed.). Andi Offset.
- Politzer, R. L; Ramirez, A. G. (1973). An Error Analysis of the Spoken English of Mexican-American Pupils in A Bilingual School and A Monolingual School. Research and Development Memorandum No. 103. California: Stanford Center for Research and Development in Teaching.
- Ramli, D. (2018). An Analysis on Students' Errors in Writing Sentence Patterns. 11(01). <u>https://doi.org/10.32678/loquen.v11i01.1036</u>
- Richards, D., & Smith, M. (2002). Governance and Public Policy in the UK Paperback. Oxford University Press.
- Saville-Troike, M. (2012). Introducing Second Language Acquisition (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Sutanto, L. (2007). English for Academic Purposes; Essay Writing. Yogyakarta: Andi Offset.