

**THE FOURTH SEMESTER STUDENTS' ENGAGEMENT IN  
ENGLISH ONLINE LEARNING AT ENGLISH EDUCATION  
STUDY PROGRAM FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING  
AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY**

**THESIS**

**By:**

**Aisah Khameswara Ismail Asdin**

**06011281823041**

**English Education Study Program**



**FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION**

**SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY**

**INDRALAYA**

**2022**

**The Fourth Semester Students' Engagement in English Online  
Learning at English Education Study Program Faculty of  
Teacher Training and Education Sriwijaya University**

A thesis by

**Aisah Khameswara Ismail Asdin**

**06011281823041**

**English Education Study Program**

**Department of Language and Art Education**

**FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION**

**SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY**

**INDRALAYA**

**2022**

**Approved by  
Advisor,**



**ERLINA, S.PD.,M.PD.,M.ED.  
NIP. 197409082000122001**

**Certified by  
Head of English Education Study Program,**



**HARISWAN PUTERA JAYA, S.PD.,M.PD.  
NIP. 197408022002121003**



**The Fourth Semester Students' Engagement in English Online  
Learning at English Education Study Program Faculty of Teacher  
Training and Education Sriwijaya University**

**Aisah Khameswara Ismail Asdin**

**Student Number: 06011281823041**

**This thesis was defended by the writer in the final program examination and  
was approved the examination committee on:**

**Day : Thursday**

**Date: March 24<sup>th</sup>, 2022**

**1. Chairperson : Erlina, S.Pd.,M.Pd.,M.ED.**

()

**2. Member : Dr. Rita Inderawati, M.Pd.**

()

**Palembang, 24<sup>th</sup> March 2022**

**Certified by,**

**Coordinator of English Education Study  
Program,**

()

**Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.**

**NIP. 197408022002121003**

## DECLARATION

I, the undersigned,

Name : Aisah Khameswara Ismail Asdin

Student's Number : 06011281823041

Study Program : English Education

Certify that the thesis entitled "*The Fourth Semester Students' Engagement in English Online Learning at English Education Study Program Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Sriwijaya University*" is my own work and I did not do any plagiarism or inappropriate quotation against the ethic and rules commended by Ministry of Education of Republic of Indonesia Number 17, 2010 regarding plagiarism in higher education. Therefore, I deserve to face court if I am found to have plagiarized this work.

Indralaya, March 15<sup>th</sup> 2022

The Undersigned,



Aisah Khameswara Ismail Asdin  
06011281823041

## DEDICATION

To

My beloved parents, Papa and Mama, who love and cherish me endlessly.

My grandparents, Atuk and Nenek, who always support and give me strength.

My dearest little sister, Rahma Amalia Insyra, who always by my side.

My precious little brothers, Musa Rahman Adeni Ismail Asdin and Perbawa Isa,  
who teach me about patience.

and

All of my family and friends.

## MOTTO

وَعَسَىٰ أَنْ تَكْرَهُوا شَيْئًا وَهُوَ خَيْرٌ لَّكُمْ وَعَسَىٰ أَنْ تُحِبُّوا شَيْئًا وَهُوَ شَرٌّ لَّكُمْ وَاللَّهُ يَعْلَمُ وَأَنْتُمْ  
لَا تَعْلَمُونَ

*waaaasa an takrahoo shayan wahuwa khayrun lakum waaaasa an  
tuhibboo shayan wahuwa sharrun lakum waallahu yaaalamu waantum la  
taaalamoon*

“...But perhaps you hate a thing and it is good for you; and perhaps you love a  
thing and it is bad for you. And Allah Knows, while you don’t know.” (Al-  
Baqarah: 216)

إذا احد يكرهني لسعادتي ادعى أن الله يرسل له سعادة لكي ينسى سعادتني

*'iidha ahid yakrahuni lisaeadati adaeaa 'ana allah yursil lah saeabatan  
likay yansaa saeadati*

“Ya Rabb, if someone hates me for my happiness, I ask you to send him  
happiness so that he forgets mine”

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

All praise be to Allah *Subhanahu wa ta'ala*, who has to give us all salvation and blessing in life. The Lord who created this world, The Perfection, and Giver of Peace. Also, may *sholawat* and *salam* be with our Prophet Muhammad (PBUH), who always spread goodness in the world.

By Allah's will, the thesis entitled "*The Fourth Semester Students' Engagement in English Online Learning at English Education Study Program Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Sriwijaya University*" could be finished to fulfill the requirement of acquiring the bachelor degree at English Education Study Program, Language and Arts Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University.

The writer would like to express her gratitude to the people who helped, guided, and motivated her long journey to finish this inquiry. First, the writer would like to give her deepest and highest blessing to her family members. Her parents, Ismail Asdin and Widia Astuti, for their tears, prayers, and endless love to the writer; her grandparents, Taswin Sy. and Nusmidarwati, for the encouragement and meaningful words to cherish the writer; her little sister, Ama as the writer's *kembara-kembar nakal* teammate; her little brothers, Musa and Isa, who always adorably supported their *ayuk* to finish her study.

Then the writer would like to deliver her sincere thanks to her academic advisor, Erlina, S.Pd., M.Pd., M.Ed, for her advice, suggestions, kindness, and patience in guiding the writer to complete the study. The writer is also very grateful to the Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Sriwijaya University, Dr. Hartono, M.A., the Head of Language and Arts Education Department, Dr. Didi Suhendi, M.Hum., and Head of English Education Study Program, Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd., and all of the staff members. The tremendous appreciation also addresses all lecturers who kindly taught the writer during her study.

Unforgettably, special thanks to the writer's *senpai*, Aidil Mujahiddin Ismail, also the writer's senior, Siti Khairunnisa, for patiently leading the writer from the beginning of the sketch of this thesis until the end of the study.

Lastly, the writer hopes people will find this study helpful as a reference to enhance students' engagement.

Indralaya, 15<sup>th</sup> March 2022

The Writer,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Aisah'.

Aisah Khameswara Ismail Asdin

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>APPROVAL .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>COMMITTEE APPROVAL.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>DECLARATION.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>DEDICATION.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF DIAGRAMS.....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>CHAPTER I.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1. Background of the Study .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.2. The problem of the study.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1.3. The Objective of the Study .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1.4. Significance of the Study.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>CHAPTER II.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2.1. Online Learning.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2.1.1. Definition of Online Learning.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2.1.2. Synchronous.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>2.1.3. Asynchronous .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.1.4. Implications for Online Learning .....</b>	<b>13</b>



2.1.5. Advantages and Disadvantages of Online Learning .....	17
2.2. Student Engagement .....	21
2.2.1. Definition of Student Engagement.....	21
2.2.2. Aspects of Student Engagement.....	22
2.2.3. Factors Affecting Student Engagement.....	24
2.3. Engagement in Online Learning.....	25
2.4. Previous Related Study .....	28
<b>CHAPTER III .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>32</b>
3.1. Research Design.....	32
3.2. Data Collection .....	32
3.3. Validity and Reliability .....	33
3.4. Operational Definitions.....	35
3.5. Respondents of the Study .....	36
3.6. Data Analysis .....	37
<b>CHAPTER IV.....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>40</b>
4.1 Findings.....	40
4.1.1. Respondent Characteristics.....	40
4.1.2. Students' Engagement Level.....	42
4.1.3. Type of Engagement Aspects .....	44
4.1.4. The Respondent Characteristic Differences on Students' Engagement.....	51
4.2. Discussions .....	53
<b>CHAPTER V .....</b>	<b>58</b>

<b>CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS .....</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>5.1. Conclusion.....</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>5.2. Suggestions.....</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>66</b>

### **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>Table 1 Reliability of the Questionnaire .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Table 2 Respondent of the Study .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Table 3 Likert-scale Categorization Formula .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Table 4 Interval of Students' Engagement Category.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Table 5 Total of Responses .....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>Table 6 Gender Distribution .....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>Table 7 Descriptive Statistics of Students' Engagement Scale Responses .....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Table 8 The Cumulative Means of Engagement Scale Response .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Table 9 Descriptive Statistics of Learner Content Interaction .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Table 10 The Cumulative Means of Learner-content Interaction .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Table 11 Descriptive Statistics of Learner-instructor Interaction .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Table 12 The Cumulative Means of Learner-instructor Interaction.....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Table 13 Descriptive Statistics of Learner-learner Interaction.....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>Table 14 The Cumulative Means of Learner-learner Interaction.....</b>	<b>47</b>

<b>Table 15 Descriptive Statistics of Learner-Online Platform Interaction.....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>Table 16 The Cumulative Means of Learner-Online Platform Interaction ..</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>Table 17 The Highest Means for Each Aspect of Engagement.....</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Table 18 Tests of Normality .....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Table 19 Test of Homogeneity of Variances .....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Table 20 Independent Samples Test.....</b>	<b>52</b>

### **LIST OF DIAGRAMS**

<b>Diagram 1 Distribution of Students' Engagement Scale Responses Category .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Diagram 2 Distribution of Learner-Content Interaction Category .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Diagram 3 Distribution of Learner-instructor Interaction Category.....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>Diagram 4 Distribution of Learner-learner Interaction Category.....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Diagram 5 Distribution of Learner-Online Platform Interaction Category .</b>	<b>50</b>

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>Figure 1 Online learning and distance learning terms .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 2 Type of Engagement Interactions .....</b>	<b>16</b>

### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX A Questionnaire on Students' Engagement in English Online Learning.....</b>	<b>66</b>
---	-----------

<b>APPENDIX B Type of Interactions of Students' Engagement Aspects.....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>APPENDIX C Responses of Students' Engagement Questionnaire .....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>APPENDIX D Means of Each Item of Students' Engagement Responses ....</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>APPENDIX E Surat Usul Judul .....</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>APPENDIX F SK Pembimbing Skripsi .....</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>APPENDIX G SK Izin Penelitian .....</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>APPENDIX H Thesis Consultation Card .....</b>	<b>76</b>

**The Fourth Semester Students' Engagement in English Online  
Learning at English Education Study Program Faculty of Teacher  
Training and Education Sriwijaya University**

---

**ABSTRACT**

This study aimed to analyze students' engagement in English online learning and what factors had an important role in enhancing their participation in the Covid-19 Pandemic. This inquiry was a quantitative descriptive study with the participants of the fourth-semester students in the English Education Study Program Faculty of Teaching and Education at Sriwijaya University. Seventy-six participants filled out the questionnaire according to their experience in online classes. The data collection process used a Students' Engagement in Online Learning Environment questionnaire consisting of 13 items provided by Commissiong (2020). The total items were divided into four types of interaction and engagement factors: learner-content, learner-instructor, learner-learner, and learner-online platform. The method used in data collection was a five-point Likert scale: strongly agree (5), agree (4), agree or disagree (3), disagree (2), strongly disagree (1). Then collected data was analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2007 and SPSS 25 (Statistical Package for The Social Science). The results of this study indicated that fourth-student engagement in English online learning during the Covid-19 period was quite good, marked by student participation, which was mainly in the high category (53,95%). Additionally, the interaction and engagement factors showed that learner-learner interaction that represented by "Respect peers differences" statement had the highest effect (56,58%) on student participation in the English online learning; indicated that emotional engagement considered the most influenced engagement aspects. This study also found no significant difference in students' engagement between gender and campus differences among the fourth-semester students of the English education study program.

**Keywords:** *Students Engagement, Online Learning, Engagement in Online Learning.*

---

# **The Fourth Semester Students' Engagement in English Online Learning at English Education Study Program Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Sriwijaya University**

## **CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1. Background of the Study**

COVID-19 is an infectious disease caused by coronavirus first discovered in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 (Velavan & Meyer, 2020). This virus causes a pandemic in many countries and has become the central issue affecting all activities' works (Tang et al., 2021). Almost all aspects of life experience changes that are increasingly worrying, thrilling the entire world. Everyone has felt the invasion of the COVID-19 virus, including in education (Daniel, 2020).

To prevent the spread of COVID-19, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends stopping activities that can cause crowds (BBC, 2020). Therefore, the Indonesian government applied social distancing policies, where residents must carry out all activities at home (Dwiyanti et al., 2020). It was issued by the Ministry of Education and Culture Circular Letter, Directorate of Higher Education No.1 of 2020, regarding the prevention of the spread of COVID-19 in the world of education (Kemendikbud, 2020; Tang et al., 2021). In this policy, the Ministry of Education and Culture instructs to conduct distance learning and Study from Home (SFH). This policy came to be known as online learning.

Online learning is a learning system without face-to-face interaction between teachers and students, but learning is done through the internet network (Nambiar, 2020; Suri et al., 2021). The online learning term is more related to the ability of information communication technology to provide and

store instructions for teaching materials that can be accessed at any time in the 21<sup>st</sup> century era (Zahra, et al., 2021; Azizah, at al., 2021; Apriana, at al., 2021; Sofendi, et al., 2021; Inderawati, et al., 2019b; Zahra, et al., 2019; Inderawati, et al., 2018). This system has a characteristic that is independent of time and space. Independent of time means that learning activities can be done at any time. Independent of place is more related to e-learning facilities which do not require ample space and a particular area as conventional classrooms.

In addition, Piskurich (2004) states that two approaches are commonly used in online learning: synchronous and asynchronous. Chaeruman et al. (2018) divide the online learning settings into two categories: learning that occurs in the same place and time (live-synchronous learning) and learning that happens simultaneously but in different areas (virtual synchronous learning). Likewise, asynchronous learning is grouped into learning that occurs anytime and anywhere without other people (self-directed asynchronous learning) and learning that occurs anytime and anywhere with other people (collaborative asynchronous learning).

According to Obasa et al. (2013), synchronous communication is a learning communication carried out with a real-time communication system. So, lecturers can deliver material in class directly to their students. A study conducted by Asterhan and Schwarz (2010) create a discussion forum between two online synchronous groups and the effective control that relies on a particular instrument, which focuses on communicating through text and image content to students in the classroom. The conclusion obtained is that the type of discussion directed by the instructor to lead the course of the synchronous discussion affects the extent to which students are involved in learning.

Meanwhile, communication in asynchronous means the lecturer provides material that uses as a reference for students. Lecturers can prepare material in advance, and flexible learning interactions carried out in the

classroom. They do not have to be simultaneous, for example, using discussion forums or independent study/student assignments (Anderson, 2008). The material can be re-studied by students in PPT files, PDFs, or videos. Hrastinski (2008) explains in his study that asynchronous learning supports students to learn through e-learning and access documents or send messages to teachers or peers at any time. Clark et al. (2015) discuss a study related to the models of the two methods. It compares asynchronous and synchronous video and text-based discussions in online teacher education courses. The results indicate that participants felt greater teaching and social presence when discussions took place with synchronized video posting and video conferencing than text-based discussions.

In Indonesia, online learning broadly implemented since March 2020. As for students, they must independently follow the information updates regarding which platforms their courses will carry out in online learning, giving assignments/quizzes, and providing the material (Tang et al., 2021). Furthermore, Martins and Nunes (2016) state that the implementation of the online platform still uses materials and time management that follow the curriculum. As for the place, online learning has the flexibility of learning time and place. Students can study anywhere, anytime (Ifenthaler et al., 2020; Nugroho, 2012) and do not need to go to campus to attend the courses. Zhang et al. (2004) explains that the internet and multimedia technology can change the way knowledge is delivered and be an alternative to learning carried out in online classrooms that might influence student engagement in English learning.

In general definition, Student Engagement is a mentally, emotional, and physical involvement of respondents to respond to the activities carried out in the teaching and learning process, support goals, and take responsibility for students' participation (Christenson et al., 2012). Besides, the basic perception of engagement in offline and online classes is different in their implementation. In conventional classes, lecturers only need to speak directly



in face-to-face classes. At the same time, online learning requires communication tools in the form of smartphone, laptop, and so on, which will then be connected via software, online platforms, or applications such as WhatsApp, Zoom, Google Classroom, BBB, to connect each other. Engagement study or student learning involvement online has been conducted by many studies. However, the experts who conducted the research were difficult to determine what specific involvement in learning was only done online (Ifenthaler et al., 2020)—but certainly related to several perspectives to be a reference in it. Perspective concerns involved the online learning environment. One of the aspects of student involvement in online learning is the interaction of learning with educators or teachers, which is the interaction of students and educators in preparing materials or discussions.

In comparison, the interaction of students with other students is the interaction between students in making groups without an educator or teacher. Then the other trigger is the interaction of students or students with learning materials or discussion, which is the interaction of students with the material being taught in the form of texts, articles, and so on. It will trigger student delays in understanding each material presented, especially the content or media used, lack of explanations, and delivery methods that tend to be too monotonous so that students/students do not understand the material being taught. In short, student engagement in online classes is dominated by self-directed for each student who must manage the material, time, and understanding of the learning carried out (Dwiyanti et al., 2020).

Student Engagement usually can be observed in the class activities that ask students to actively interact and communicate with other students and lecturers. The concept of student engagement is essential in the learning process in higher education. Faculty generally considers high levels of student engagement a good indicator of academic success. Students who actively participate in learning activities are assumed to have better achievements than passive students (Sedova et al., 2019). On the other hand, one problem with

this classical conceptualization of student engagement is that engagement is defined primarily in terms of what teachers or lecturers want students to do to be good students in schools. Thus, placing the responsibility for high engagement on students rather than on the school system builds a widespread disengagement. Disengagement is easy to define regarding the various behaviors that students should engage in school, but they are not (Christenson et al., 2012; Shernoff, 2013). It includes failing to pay attention, completing homework assignments, and attending class regularly (Shernoff, 2013).

Besides the emotional factors, Clerkin and Macrae (2006) also argue that student engagement is also influenced by gender, which is assumed as behavioral aspect. They explain that differences in intelligence levels cause the difference in learning achievement of male and female students. Male students are more active than female. However, this activeness causes male to be more difficult to manage. As a result, they will also be easily distracted by other things especially in online classes, which causes them to be less involved in learning. While the cognitive domain is a factor that indicates the development of thinking or mental abilities through a gradual and sequential process in learning activities, learning outcomes in the cognitive field can be known by doing tests, assignments, and portfolios like the results on exams and the like (Shukor et al., 2014).

Based on the explanation above, even in the online classes, students engagement has been established as a critical component of the learning process (Commissiong, 2020). It can be seen that student participation in lectures is essential to create active, creative, and innovative lectures. Lectures can still run smoothly as long as there is cooperation and discipline from lecturers and students even in the form of online learning (Ivone et al., 2020). Thus, the planned learning objectives can be achieved.

Furthermore, online learning classes are also implemented in the English Education Study Program of the Faculty of Teacher Training and

Education at Sriwijaya University since March 2020. All of the lecturers and students carried out the teaching and learning activities through an online platform, online *learning UNSRI*. Several studies that previous researchers have conducted have also found difficulties encountered during the online lecture process. Studies conducted by Annur and Hermansyah (2020), Wijayanti (2012), and Darmawan (2019) have found several difficulties in online learning, which can be grouped into 3 (three) types; technical problems, adaptation difficulties, and teacher unpreparedness. Almost all courses experience the difficulties mentioned above, although with different issues, including lectures in the English education study program at Sriwijaya University.

Therefore, the writer will conduct research entitled "The Fourth Semester Students' Engagement in English Online Learning at English Education Study Program Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Sriwijaya University". In purpose to find out any information about student engagement in online teaching and learning activities, especially for the fourth-semester students of English education, both Indralaya and Palembang campus, during the pandemic of the Covid-19. By seeing the state of the participants that never went to the classroom directly to engage in teaching and learning activities, the writer wonders whether they engage or not in their online classes, what aspects influenced the engagement, and the significant difference between gender and campus to that affect their engagement. Moreover, this inquiry is also assumed to attract students to be more active by looking at the factors that might be affected students' engagement in online learning. So online learning can be implemented effectively and efficiently, which can encourage student involvement in the online learning process in the future, even after the pandemic is over.

## **1.2. The problem of the study**

Based on the background above, the researcher identified the problems as follows:

1. How is the students' engagement in English online learning?
2. In which aspects of engagement the students mostly engaged?
3. Is there any significant difference in students' engagement in online learning based on gender and campus?

## **1.3. The Objective of the Study**

Referring to the formulation of the problem above, the objectives of the research were as follows:

1. Describe the students' engagement in English online learning.
2. Explain the aspects of engagement the students mostly engaged.
3. Find out any significant difference in students' engagement in online learning based on gender and campus.

## **1.4. Significance of the Study**

The results of this study are assumed to come up with information about student engagement in online teaching and learning activities, especially during the pandemic of the Covid-19. Moreover, this inquiry is also assumed to attract students to be more engage in online teaching and learning activities.

## REFERENCES

- Aguilera-hermida, A. P. (2020). College students ' use and acceptance of emergency online learning due to COVID-19. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 1, 2666–3740. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2020.100011>
- Agung, A. S. N., Surtikanti, M. W., & Charito A. Quinones, O. (2020). Students' perception of online learning during COVID-19 pandemic: A case study on the English students of STKIP Pamane Talino. *SOSHUM: Jurnal Sosial Dan Humaniora*, 10(2), 225–235.
- Al-Amin, M., Zubayer, A. Al, Deb, B., & Hasan, M. (2021). Heliyon Status of tertiary level online class in Bangladesh : students ' response on preparedness , participation and classroom activities. *Heliyon*, 7(September 2020), 2405–8440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e05943>
- Anderson, T. (2008). *The theory and practice of online learning*. Athabasca University Press.
- Apriani, E., Syafriyadin, S., Inderawati, R., Arianti, A., Wati, S., Hakim, I. N., & Noermanzah, N. (2021). Implementing E-learning Training toward English Virtual Lecturers: The Process, Perspectives, Challenges and Solutions. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*, 16(04), pp. 240–255. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v16i04.14125>
- Asmara, C. G. (2021). *Kapan Pandemi Covid-19 Berakhir? Ini Jawaban Menkes*. CNBC Indonesia. <https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/news/20210726152256-4-263714/kapan-pandemi-covid-19-berakhir-ini-jawaban-menkes>
- Asterhan, C. S., & Schwarz, B. B. (2010). Online moderation of synchronous e-argumentation. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, 5(3), 259–282.
- Azizah, N., Inderawati, R. & Vianty, M. (2020). Bangka-culture-based descriptive reading materials for tour and travel in SMK: Students' needs analysis. *English Review: Journal of English Education*, 9(1), 21-34. <https://doi.org/10.25134/erjee.v9i1.3776>
- Azwar, S. (2012). *Penyusunan Skala Psikologi* (2nd ed.). Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- BBC. (2020). *Coronavirus: Window of Opportunity to Act, World Health Organization Says*. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-china-51368873>
- Chaeruman, U. A., Wibawa, B., & Zulfiati, S. (2018). Determining the Appropriate Blend of Blended Learning: A Formative Research in the Context of Spada-Indonesia. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 6(3), 188–195. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education6-3-5>
- Christenson, S. L., Reschly, A. L., & Wylie, C. (2012). *Handbook of Research on Student Engagement* (S. L. Christenson, C. Wylie, & A. L. Reschly (eds.)). Springer New York. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7>
- Clark, C., Strudler, N., & Grove, K. (2015). Comparing Asynchronous and Synchronous Video vs. Text Based Discussions in an Online Teacher Education Course. *Journal of Asynchronous*

- Learning Network*, 19(3), 48–69. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v19i3.510>.
- Clerkin, B., & Macrae, F. (2006). *Men Are More Intelligent Than Women, Claims New Study*.
- Clouse, S. F., & Evans, G. E. (2003). Graduate business students' performance with synchronous and asynchronous interaction e-learning methods. *Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education*, 1(2), 181–202.
- Commissiong, M. A. (2020). *Walden University*.
- Cornell, J. & Wellborn, J. (1991). Competence, autonomy, and relatedness: A motivational analysis of self-system processes. In *Minnesota Symposium on Child Development*, Hillsdale, NJ.
- Daniel, S. J. (2020). Education and the COVID-19 pandemic. *PROSPECTS*, 49(1), 91–96. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-020-09464-3>
- Darmawan, D. (2019). Anak berkesulitan belajar. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 53(9), 1689–1699. [http://eprints.ums.ac.id/63834/4/BAB II..pdf](http://eprints.ums.ac.id/63834/4/BAB%20II.pdf)
- Dwiyanti, K. E., Pratama, I. P. Y., & Ines Marylena Candra Manik, N. P. (2020). Online Learning Readiness of Junior High School Students in Denpasar. *IJEE (Indonesian Journal of English Education)*, 7(2), 172–188. <https://doi.org/10.15408/ijee.v7i2.17773>
- Effendi, E., & Zhuang, H. (2005). *E-learning, konsep & aplikasi*.
- Fajri, H. M., Inderawati, R., & Mirizon, S. (2015). The implementation of peer editing technique to improve writing achievement. *The Journal of English Literacy Education: The Teaching and Learning of English as a Foreign Language*, 2(2), 48-57.
- Finn, J. D. (1993). *School Engagement & Students at Risk*.
- Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59–109.
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (1999). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 2(2–3), 87–105.
- Ghozali, I. (2009). *Ekonometrika: teori, konsep dan aplikasi dengan SPSS 17*.
- Gilbert, S. M., & Jones, M. G. (2001). E-Learning Is E-Normous Training over the internet has become the fastest-growing workplace performance improvement tool-and utilities are using it in several ways. *Electric Perspectives*, 67–81.
- Goleman, D. (1999). Future trends in emotional intelligence in the workplace. *Roundtable Discussion at the Conference for Emotional Intelligence, Chicago, IL*.
- Handelsman, M. M., Briggs, W. L., Sullivan, N., & Towler, A. (2005). A measure of college student course engagement. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 98(3), 184–192.
- Hirumi, A. (2002). A framework for analyzing, designing, and sequencing planned e-learning interactions. *The Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 3(2), 141–160.
- Hrastinski, S. (2008). Asynchronous & Synchronous E-Learning. *EDUCAUSE Quarterly*, 31(4),

51–55.

- Hussein, E., Daoud, S., Alrabaiah, H., & Badawi, R. (2020). *Children and Youth Services Review Exploring undergraduate students' attitudes towards emergency online learning during COVID-19: A case from the UAE*. 119(November).
- Ifenthaler, D., Isaias, P., & Sampson, D. G. (2020). *Online Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-48190-2>
- Ifenthaler, D., Isaias, P., & Sampson, D. G. (2020). *Online Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*. Springer Nature Switzerland. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-48190-2>
- Inderawati, R. (2017). The dynamics of EFL teaching in Indonesia: Be innovative teachers through social media. *English Language Teaching and Research*, 1(1).
- Inderawati, R., Augusta, O. & Sitinjak, M. (2018). The potential effect of developed reader response strategy-based mobile reading for students' establishing character and comprehension achievement. *Indonesian Journal of Informatics Education*, 2(2), 117-126.
- Inderawati, R., Petrus, I., & Jaya, H. P. (2019a). Exploring and identifying technology-based dynamic learning through social media in academic writing. *English Community Journal*, 3(1), 317-324.
- Inderawati, R., Sofendi, Purnomo, M. E., Vianty, M., & Suhendi, D. (2019b). Students' engagement in utilizing technology for learning support. *ENGLISH FRANCA: Academic Journal of English Language and Education*, 3(2), 181-195.
- Ivone, F. M., Jacobs, G. M., & Renandya, W. A. (2020). *Far Apart, Yet Close Together: Cooperative Learning in Online Education*. 7(2), 271–289.
- Kemendikbud. (2020). *Mendikbud terbitkan SE tentang pelaksanaan pendidikan dalam masa darurat Covid-19*. Kementerian Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan, Republik Indonesia. <https://www.kemdikbud.go.id/main/blog/2020/03/mendikbud-terbitkan-se-tentang-pelaksanaan-pendidikan-dalam-masa-darurat-covid19>
- Martins, J. T., & Nunes, M. B. (2016). *Academics' e-learning adoption in higher education institutions: a matter of trust*. 23(5), 299–331. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-05-2015-0034>
- Michael, A. (2013). *Michael Allen's Guide to E-learning*. Canada: John Wiley & Sons.
- Molinda, M. (2005). *Instructional Technology and Media for Learning* New Jersey Colombus.
- Moore, M. G., & Kearsley, G. G. (1996). *Distance education: A system view*. Wadsworth.
- Muhammad Firman Annur, & Hermansyah. (2020). Analisis Kesulitan Mahasiswa Pendidikan Matematika Dalam Pembelajaran Daring Pada Masa Pandemi Covid-19. *Jurnal Pedagogia*, 11(2), 195–201. <https://journal.ummat.ac.id/index.php/pedagogia/issue/view/253>
- Nambiar, D. (2020). The Impact of online learning during COVID-19: students' and teachers' perspective. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 8(2), 783–793. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25215/0802.094>
- Nugroho, A. (2012). Pengembangan model Pembelajaran Jarak jauh Berbasis Web. *Jurnal*

*Transformatika*, 9(2).

Nursalam, N., & Efendi, F. (2008). *Pendidikan Dalam Keperawatan Education in Nursing*.

Obasa, A. I., Eludire, A. A., & Ajao, T. A. (2013). A comparative study of synchronous and asynchronous e-learning resources. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 2(11), 5938–5946.

Oncu, S., & Cakir, H. (2011). Research in online learning environments: Priorities and methodologies. *Computers & Education*, 57(1), 1098–1108.

Pasaribu, M. F., Inderawati, R., & Yunus, M. (2019, January). Using Tutorial Video On Youtube To Improve The Procedure Writing Achievement Of The Ninth Graders Of Smp Negeri 1 Indralaya Utara. In International Seminar and Annual Meeting BKS-PTN Wilayah Barat (Vol. 1, No. 1).

Perveen, A. (2016). Synchronous and asynchronous e-language learning: A case study of the virtual university of Pakistan. *Open Praxis*, 8(1), 21–39.

Picciano, A. G., & Seaman, J. (2009). K-12 Online Learning: A 2008 Follow-Up of the Survey of US School District Administrators. In *Sloan Consortium. PO Box 1238, Newburyport, MA 01950*.

Piskurich, G. M. (2004). *Preparing learners for e-learning*. John Wiley & Sons.

Purwanto, A. E. (2020). Tata Kelola Penanganan Covid 19 di Indonesia : Kajian Awal. In *Gadjah Mada University Press*.

Rahima, A., Inderawati, R. Eryansyah. (2021). Students' Needs Analysis for the Development of Electronic Descriptive Reading Materials for Hotel Accommodation Program in VHS. *Eralingua: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Asing dan Sastra*, 5 (2), 470-482

Roopa, S., & Rani, M. S. (2012). Questionnaire designing for a survey. *Journal of Indian Orthodontic Society*, 46(4), 273–277.

Rosenberg, M. J. (2001). *Strategies for delivering knowledge in the digital age*. Columbus, Ohio: McGraw Hill.

Sedova, K., Sedlacek, M., Svaricek, R., Majcik, M., Navratilova, J., Drexlerova, A., Kychler, J., & Salamounova, Z. (2019). Do those who talk more learn more ? The relationship between student classroom talk and student achievement. *Learning and Instruction*, 63(May), 101217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2019.101217>

Shernoff, D. J. (2013). *Optimal Learning Environments to Promote Student Engagement* (U. Roger J.R. Levesque (Indiana University, Bloomington, IN (ed.)). Springer New York. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-7089-2>

Shukor, N. A., Tasir, Z., Meijden, H. Van Der, & Harun, J. (2014). A Predictive Model to Evaluate Students' Cognitive Engagement in Online Learning. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116(2006), 4844–4853. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.1036>

Siahaan, S. (2002). E-learning (Pembelajaran elektronik) sebagai salah satu alternatif kegiatan



- pembelajaran. *Jurnal Pendidikan*, 42.
- Simamora, M. K., Inderawati, R., & Hayati, R. (2020). The Use of ICT in Relation to The Paragraph Writing Achievement Of English Education Study Program Students at Sriwijaya University. *English Community Journal*, 4(2), 98-108.
- Sofendi, Inderawati, R., & Vianty, M. (2021). Technology Use and Technology-Related Learning Experiences as Perceived by Indonesian Tertiary EFL Students. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 10(3), 33-40.
- Studer, S. (2004). Engaging Schools: Fostering High School Students. *Teachers College Record*, 106(12), 2318–2321.
- Sugiyono. (2013). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D* (VII). Alfabeta.
- Suri, M., Malikussaleh, U., Indonesia, U. U., Kesehatan, P., & Kesehatan, K. (2021). *University Students ' Perceptions through E-Learning Implementation during COVID-19 Pandemic : Positive or Negative Features Dominate ?* 8(1), 197–211.
- Surjono, H. D. (2006). *Development and evaluation of an adaptive hypermedia system based on multiple student characteristics*. Southern Cross University.
- Susilana, R., & Riyana, C. (2008). *Media pembelajaran: hakikat, pengembangan, pemanfaatan, dan penilaian*. CV. Wacana Prima.
- Tang, Y. M., Chen, P. C., Law, K. M. Y., Wu, C. H., Lau, Y., Guan, J., He, D., & Ho, G. T. S. (2021). Comparative analysis of Student's live online learning readiness during the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic in the higher education sector. *Computers & Education*, 168(March), 104211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104211>
- Tessamonica, T., Inderawati, R., & Erlina, E. (2019). The Use Of Video-Blogging To Improve Students'speaking Achievement Through Project-Based Learning Of Xi Graders At Sma Muhammadiyah 1 Palembang (Sriwijaya University).
- Trowler, V. (2010). Student engagement literature review. *The Higher Education Academy*, 11(1), 1–15.
- UMAM, K. (2013). Penerapan media digital dalam pembelajaran apresiasi batik kelas x SMA negeri 1 Blega. *Jurnal Seni Rupa*, 1(1).
- Urdan, T. a, & Weggen, C. C. (2000). Corporate E-Learning : Exploring a New Frontier. In *Analysis*. [http://wrhambrecht.com/research/coverage/elearning/ir/ir\\_explore.pdf](http://wrhambrecht.com/research/coverage/elearning/ir/ir_explore.pdf)
- Velavan, T. P., & Meyer, C. G. (2020). *The COVID-19 epidemic*. 25(3), 278–280. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13383>
- Wijayanti. (2012). EKSPLORASI KESULITAN BELAJAR SISWA PADA POKOK BAHASAN CAHAYA DAN UPAYA PENINGKATAN HASIL BELAJAR MELALUI PEMBELAJARAN INKUIRI TERBIMBING. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, 6(1), 1–1. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15294/jpfi.v6i1.1093>
- Willms, J. D., & Flanagan, P. (2007). Canadian Students" Tell Them from Me.". *Education*

*Canada*, 47(3), 46–50.

Yulianti, E., Sofendi, S., & Inderawati, R. (2020). Students' perceptions on Essay Writing Course Based on The Implementation of Twenty-First Century Learning (Sriwijaya University).

Zahra, W., Inderawati, R., & Petrus, I. (2019). The use of ICT in authentic assessment of the students' productive skills. *English Review: Journal of English Education*, 8(1), 81-90. doi: 10.25134/erjee.v8i1.2110.

Zhang, M., Zhang, C., Pai, V., Peterson, L., & Wang, R. (2004). Planetseer: Internet path failure monitoring and characterization in wide-area services. *In OSDI*, 4, 12–21.