

2_2090923__ISSEEP_2009_Jog ja_Novia_MF.pdf

by Muhammad Faizal 8

Submission date: 02-Aug-2018 09:42AM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 986932581

File name: 2_2090923__ISSEEP_2009_Jogja_Novia_MF.pdf (153.18K)

Word count: 2207

Character count: 11671

CFD SIMULATION OF BCL PROCESS: EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON THE YIELD DISTRIBUTION OF PRODUCT

Novia¹, Lia Cundari¹, SD Sumbogo Murti², Muhammad Faizal¹

RUSNAS PEBT TEAM

¹Dept of Chemical Engineering, Sriwijaya University, Palembang, Indonesia

²BPP Technology, Indonesia

Phone: +62 813 68632611, Fax: +62 711 580303

Email: noviasumardi@yahoo.com; noviasumardi@mail.pps.unsri.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Indonesia has 61.274 milliard tons of coal which 70% of this are brown coal (lignite). The huge number of coal can be used to cover oil consumptions that increase every year. One of the processes to utilize of these coals is The Brown Coal Liquefaction (BCL) Technology.

Brown Coal Liquefaction (BCL) Technology is the process to convert brown coal (lignite) with high-molecular-weight hydrocarbons to valuable liquid products such as light-oil, middle-oil, and heavy-oil. It is carried out experimentally in one liter stirrer autoclave under high operating conditions (Temperature: 430 – 450°C; Initial hydrogen pressure: 8 – 12 MPa).

Initially, coal slurry, solvent, limonite catalyst, and gas hydrogen were injected to the reactor. After appropriate operating conditions, the complex cracking and hydrogenation reactions were took place during 60 minutes of residence time.

For the description of multiphase and reaction occurring throughout the BCL process, a commercial computational fluid dynamics (CFD) code Fluent 6.3 modeling technique was used. The Eulerian-Eulerian modeling approach was applied to predict fluid-solid flow behavior. The results of this simulation showed a good prediction of the yield distillate profile under different temperature. Therefore, the optimized operating condition was obtained.

Keywords: BCL Technology, CFD, cracking, hydrogenation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Petroleum oil requirements have increased yearly for industries, transportations, and households. This caused the decreasing of crude oil reserves. The estimated life of 20 years of world oil reserves and the reality of increased oil consumptions have rendered coal liquefaction as an alternative process to substitute petroleum oil.

Coal is the most abundant fossil fuel known in the world, and also a vital global energy source. Indonesia has 61.274 milliard tons of coal which 70% of these are brown coal (lignite) that are not valuable. To increase the coal's value, a process that convert coal into liquid was obtained, called Brown Coal Liquefaction (BCL) Technology.

BCL is a catalytic cracking and hydrogenating processes for the conversion of coal with high molecular weight compounds into more valuable lower boiling liquid products, such as light oil, middle oil, and heavy oil, in hydrogen-rich atmosphere at elevated temperature and pressure. The cracking reactions are slightly endothermic while the hydrogenating reactions are highly exothermic, making the overall hydrocracking process highly exothermic in nature.

Even though considerable amount of research has been reported on BCL Technology along experimental batch reactor (Hartiniati, 2000; Komatsu, 2000; Narita et al., 2000; Okuyama et al., 2000; Silalahi, L.H., and Yustiniati, 2000), but very few computational fluid dynamics (CFD)

Models on the optimization of BCL process are found in open literature. Meanwhile, BCL involves a complex combination of physical and chemical process. Therefore, mathematical modelling to describe fluid-solid flow behaviour is needed to understand the process, especially for optimization and reactor design.

Weller et.al. (1950) were developed a coal conversion model through formation of asphaltene. Until now, this model can be accepted. The other researchers, Li et.al. (2008) were developed kinetic of coal liquefaction during heating-up and isothermal stages. From their description, rate of reaction preasphaltene-asphaltene into oil and gas is a controller in coal liquefaction process.

Detailed knowledge of hydrodynamics in multiphase BCL reactors is presently devoted to the application of computational fluid dynamics (CFD). CFD is a powerful modelling and simulation tools for analyzing fluid-solid flow behaviour and reaction engineering with complex geometries. Information about distribution concentration, velocity, pressure and temperature can be obtained by using CFD. Besides that, it also can be used to solve single-phase, multiphase and complex reaction problems.

In this work, we have used FLUENT 6.3 (CFD packages) to simulate multiphase fluid-solid flow behaviours in autoclave's BCL based on Eulerian-Eulerian approach. This simulation showed a good prediction of yield distillate profile under different temperature. Therefore, optimized operating conditions were obtained.

2. THEORY

Considering a two-dimensional axis-symmetric domain, set of model equations below was solved using commercial software FLUENT 6.3 along with meshing software GAMBIT 2.0. The time average continuity and momentum equations for each phase i (fluid, solid) are reported below:

Mass continuity phase i ($i = \text{fluid, solid}$):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_i \varepsilon_i) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \varepsilon_i \mathbf{U}_i) = r_i \quad (1)$$

where: $\varepsilon_f + \varepsilon_s = 1$

Energy continuity phase i ($i = \text{fluid, solid}$):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varepsilon_i \rho_i H_i) + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_i \rho_i \mathbf{U}_i H_i) = -\varepsilon_i \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_i : \nabla \mathbf{U}_i - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_i + S_i \quad (2)$$

$$\text{where: } H_i = \sum_i \varepsilon_i \int_{T_{ref}}^T C_{p_i} dT \quad (3)$$

Conservation of momentum phase i ($i = \text{fluid, } k = \text{solid, } k \neq i$):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_i \varepsilon_i \mathbf{U}_i) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \varepsilon_i \mathbf{U}_i \mathbf{U}_i) = -\varepsilon_i \nabla P + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_i + \rho_i \varepsilon_i \mathbf{g} - \beta(\mathbf{U}_i - \mathbf{U}_k) \quad (4)$$

Turbulence has been modelled by $k-\varepsilon$ Turbulence Models, which turbulence viscosity has defined by:

$$\mu_{t,i}^{(t)} = \rho_i \varepsilon_i C_\mu \frac{k_i^2}{\varepsilon_i} \quad (5)$$

Where k indicates turbulence kinetic energy and ε indicates rate of dissipation, these are calculated with equations below:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_i \varepsilon_i k_i) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \varepsilon_i k_i U_i) = \nabla \cdot \left(\varepsilon_i \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \nabla k_i \right) + (\varepsilon_i G_k - \varepsilon_i \rho_i \varepsilon_i) \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varepsilon_i \rho_i \varepsilon_i) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i U_i) = \nabla \cdot \left(\varepsilon_i \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \nabla \varepsilon_i \right) + \frac{\varepsilon_i}{k} (C_{1\varepsilon} \varepsilon_i G_k - C_{2\varepsilon} \varepsilon_i \rho_i \varepsilon_i) \quad (7)$$

In this work, kinetic model of coal liquefaction is obtained based on Li et.al. (2008) research, where rate of reaction is controlled by reaction preasphaltene-asphaltene (PAA) into oil and gas (O+G). The scheme is in the figure below:




Figure 1. Scheme of kinetic model

This kinetic reaction equation is entered to hydrodynamics model with component rate reaction is:

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = -K_1 A \phi \quad (8)$$


3. EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP AND PROCEDURE OF CFD

The eligibility of Musi Banyuasin coal as a feedstock for brown coal liquefaction process was conducted by using reactor Autoclave 1 litre at the center of research of Coal Liquefaction Laboratory, BPPT Serpong. This Laboratory own a set equipments to test the liquefaction with the autoclave reactors and also the examination equipments of coal liquefaction product such as gas product with the gas chromatography, product liquid by vacuum distilation, and the residue product by solvent extraction. This laboratory is also equipped with the equipments of catalyst crushing which can attenuate the catalyst size of below 1 micronmetre.

Initially, the coal entered into the reactor of one litre together with solvent and catalyst at amount which have been determined. Then the reactor closed and filled with the hydrogen gas and arrested during two hours to know the leakage (leak test). After the leak test, it has been heated until the appropriate operating conditions (Temperature = 430 – 450 °K, and initial hydrogen pressure = 8 – 12 MPa). The complex cracking and hydrogenating reactions were took place during 60 minutes of residence time. The volume fraction of coal in the slurry entered to the reactor of 30 %, with the average diameter of 75 μm .

Gas products were taken and analysed by GC-FID and GC TCD to know its composition. Meanwhile product which is in the form of slurry were collected and sent to distillation column to separate the light oil fraction (LO), middle oil (MO) and heavy oil (HO).

Figure 2 shows the reactor geometry by using GAMBIT 2.0 as pre-processor in FLUENT 6.3, where green zone indicates mix of coal slurry, limonite catalyst, and heavy oil solvent; gray zone indicates hydrogen gas; and blue zone indicates the stirrer. The numerical calculations were carried out on a two-dimension 10 mesh of 1545 cells. The reactor had a diameter of 0.076 metre and the height of 0.26 metre. In order to reduce the computational effort, and because the reactor is symmetric, the reactor was split to become a half part. The calculations were started using the initial assumptions similar to the specified volume fractions. The hydrogenising and cracking process was simulated over 60 minutes. A constant time step of 0.01 was used.



JUL 16, 2009
FLUENT 6.3 (2d, 3d, 4d, 5d, 6d, 7d, 8d, 9d, 10d)

Figure 2. Reactor geometry

4

In order to solve the governing equations, the assumptions used in this model are:

- Coal was entered to the reactor in the slurry form.
- First order reaction and irreversible.
- Calculation was started at isothermal operating conditions.

Comparison between simulation result and experimental can be used to validate the data, therefore we can get the optimized operating conditions. The experimental data are reported in the table 1.

Table 1. Experimental data

Component	Temperature		
	430°C	450°C	470°C
DISTILATE	22.08	40.53	40.23
H2O	22.54	13.42	12.36
CLB	51.86	38.57	37.8
CO+CO2	3.23	6.7	4.24
C1-C4	4.41	7.94	9.03
H2 cons.	-4.11	-7.16	-3.67

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Yield of Product of Distilat at Various Operating Temperature

The effect of various operating temperature on the yield of distilate product is presented in figure 3. The experimental data indicated that the amount of distilate increase as the operating temperature increase, the largest of increasing product at the temperature of 450°C. However the result of simulation did not show the significant increasing of distilate product for different operating temperature. Because the model did not consider the heating stage of BCL process. At the temperature of 450 and 470°C, the amount of distilate yield from experimental data is almost similar to the simulation results which is about of 37.2 % to 40.5 %. The optimum yield of distilate was obtained at the operating temperature of 450°C.





Figure 3. The Yield of Distilate Product at Various Operating Temperature


Contours of Coal Slurry at Various Operating Temperature

Figure 4 and 5 illustrated the Flow pattern of coal slurry temperatur at 450°C and 470°C. The red area represents the highest temperature of coal slurry and the blue area represents the lowest one. We can see from this figure that the flow pattern of temperature at the bottom region of the reactor was lower than the upper region . This is due to the effect of the geometry of impeller caused the temperature at the bottom part of reactor was not homogen.



Contours of Static Temperature (batubara) (k) (Time=3.6000e+03) Oct 31, 2009
 FLUENT 6.3 (axi, pbns, eulerian, spe, ske, unsteady)

Figure 4. Contours of coal slurry temperature at 450°C



Contours of Static Temperature (batubara) (k) (Time=3.6000e+03) Oct 31, 2009
 FLUENT 6.3 (axi, pbns, eulerian, spe, ske, unsteady)

Figure 5. Contours of coal slurry temperature at 470°C

The Profile of Radial Coal Slurry Temperature at Different Height of Reactor

Figure 6 describes the temperature of coal slurry at the radial position for various height of reactor. It can be seen that for the height of 0.02 metre to 0.06 metre the temperature of coal slurry at the reactor's wall is higher than the centre. Above 0.06 metre of reactor height, the temperature of coal slurry tends constant. The figure indicates the temperature of coal slurry increase from the centre of reactor to the wall.




Figure 6. The Radial Coal Slurry Temperature Profile at Different Height of Reactor

5. CONCLUSION

The commercial code of Fluent 6.3 can be used to simulate two-dimensional transient multiphase and kinetics model of BCL reactor. The result of CFD simulations shows reasonable agreement with experiment. The yield of distilate product from CFD simulation is almost similar to experimental data. Optimized operating temperature obtained at 450°C.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank for the financial support from Government of Province of South Sumatera and Ministry of Research and Technology of Republic of Indonesia in RUSNAS PEPT Project, the experimental data provided by BPPT.

REFERENCES

- [1] ANSYS, Fluent 6.3 Documentation (2008).
- [2] Benyahia, S., Arastoopour, H. & Knowlton, T. M., (2002) Two-dimensional transient numerical simulation of solids and gas flow in the riser section of a circulating fluidized bed. *Chem. Eng. Commun.*, 189, 510-527.
- [3] Gao, J., Xu, C., Lin, S., Yang, G. & Guo, Y., (1999) Advanced model for turbulent gas-solid flow and reaction in FCC riser reactors. *AIChE J.*, 45, 1095.
- [4] Li, X., Hu, H., Zhu, S., Hu, S., Wu, B., Meng, M., (2008) Kinetics of Coal Liquefaction during Heating-up and Isothermal Stages. *Fuel.*, 87, 508-513.
- [5] Hartiniati, (2000) Brown Coal Liquefaction to Produce Synthetic Oil Investment Opportunity in the Beginning of 21st Century, *Coal-Tech 2000*, Jakarta.
- [6] Komatsu N., (2000) Outline of Research Collaboration on Coal Liquefaction Technology between Indonesia and Japan, *Coal-Tech 2000*, Jakarta.
- [7] Narita H., Maekawa Y., (2000) Coal Liquefaction Properties of Low Rank Coal, *Coal-Tech 2000*, Jakarta.
- [8] Okuyama N., Yasumuro, M., Yanai, S., Tamura M., Shimasaki, K., Kaneko, T., Makino E., Silalahi, L., H., (2000) Investigation of Indonesian Limonite Ore for a Direct Coal Liquefaction Catalyst, *Coal-Tech 2000*, Jakarta.
- [9] Silalahi, L. H. and Yusnitati, (2000) Investigation of Limonite Catalyst for Coal Liquefaction of Bangko Coal, *Coal-Tech 2000*, Jakarta.
- [10] Simsek E. H., Karaduman, A., Olcay A., (2001) Investigation of Dissolution Mechanism of Six Turkish Coals in Tetralin with Microwave Energy. *Fuel*, 80, 2181.
- [11] Van Wachem, B. G. M., Schouten, J. C., Krishna, R. & Van den bleek, C. M. (1999) Validation of the Eulerian simulated dynamic behaviour of gas-solid fluidised beds. *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 54, 2141-2149.
- [12] Weller S., Clark E., L., Pelipetz, M., G., (1950) Mechanism of Coal Hydrogenation. *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 42, 334.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

13%

SIMILARITY INDEX

%

INTERNET SOURCES

13%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Naveen Bhutani, Ajay K. Ray, G. P. Rangaiah. "Modeling, Simulation, and Multi-objective Optimization of an Industrial Hydrocracking Unit", Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 2006 **3%**

Publication
- 2** Sofiane Benyahia, Agustin Gonzalez Ortiz, José Ignacio Paz Paredes. "Numerical Analysis of a Reacting Gas/Solid Flow in the Riser Section of an Industrial Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit", International Journal of Chemical Reactor Engineering, 2003 **2%**

Publication
- 3** Li, X.. "Kinetics of coal liquefaction during heating-up and isothermal stages", Fuel, 200804 **2%**

Publication
- 4** Atta, A.. "A two-phase Eulerian approach using relative permeability concept for modeling of hydrodynamics in trickle-bed reactors at elevated pressure", Chemical Engineering **2%**

Research and Design, 201003

Publication

5

Szafran, Roman G., and Andrzej Kmiec. "CFD Modeling of Heat and Mass Transfer in a Spouted Bed Dryer", Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 2004.

Publication

1%

6

Yoshisato, A., M. Morikawa, and H. Mouri. "Negative skewness of radial pairwise velocity in the quasi-non-linear regime: Zel'dovich approximation", Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2003.

Publication

1%

7

Esteban, M.. "Methodology to estimate the output of a dual solar-wind renewable energy system in Japan", Energy Policy, 201012

Publication

1%

8

B. T. Coyle. "Aggregation of Price Risk over Commodities: An Economic Index Number Approach", American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2007

Publication

<1%

9

Kaneko, Takao, Frank Derbyshire, Eiichiro Makino, David Gray, Masaaki Tamura, and Kejian Li. "Coal Liquefaction", Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, 2012.

Publication

<1%

10

Hulet, C.. "Effect of a shroud on entrainment into a submerged jet within a fluidized bed", Chemical Engineering & Processing: Process Intensification, 200809

Publication

<1%

11

Goldschmidt, M.J.V.. "Hydrodynamic modelling of dense gas-fluidised beds: comparison and validation of 3D discrete particle and continuum models", Powder Technology, 20040408

Publication

<1%

12

Shui, H.. "Kinetics of Shenhua coal liquefaction catalyzed by $\text{SO}_4^{2-}/\text{ZrO}_2$ solid acid", Fuel, 201001

Publication

<1%

13

Moradi, S., A. Yeganeh, and M. Salimi. "CFD-modeling of effects of draft tubes on operating condition in spouted beds", Applied Mathematical Modelling, 2013.

Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 1 words

Exclude bibliography On