

Dokumen Bukti Korespondensi untuk karya penelitian dengan judul artikel : **Effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* Supplementation in Swamp Roughage Haylage-Based Rations on in vitro Rumen Fermentation Characteristics and Methane Gas Emission**

Penulis : ***Riswandi\****), Asep Indra M Ali, Afnur Imsya, Sofia Sandi, Basuni Hamzah, Agus Supriadi, Nama Jurnal : Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences, Penerbit : Nexus academic, Volume Jurnal : 9, Nomor Jurnal : 8, Tahun Terbit Jurnal : 2021, Halaman : 1143-1149, Print-ISSN : 2309-3331, Online-ISSN : 2307-8316, Yang terdiri dari :

- 1) Surat Permohonan Publikasi ke JAAVS (4 Januari 2021)
- 2) Surat JAAVS Reviewer 1 (29 Maret 2021)
- 3) Surat JAAVS Reviewer 2 (31 Maret 2021)
- 4) Surat Perbaikan Manuscript (7 April 2021)
- 5) Surat Accepted JAAVS (29 April 2021)
- 6) Surat Payment JAAVS (23 Mei 2021)
- 7) Surat Bukti Bayar ke JAAVS (24 Mei 2021)
- 8) Surat Bukti Bayar dari JAAVS (26 Mei 2021)
- 9) Surat Galley Proof dari JAAVS (16 Juni 2021)
- 10) Surat Galley Proof dari JAAVS (18 Juni 2021)
- 11) Surat Fully Publisher JAAVS (12 Jul 2021)

# 1. Surat Permohonan Publikasi ke JAAVS (4 Januari 2021)

Asking for Publication in JAAVS Journal



Riswandi Wandi <riswandi\_dya@yahoo.com>

To: Manuscript Handler [info@manuscripthandler.com](mailto:info@manuscripthandler.com)

Mon, 4 Jan 2021

Dear Irfan Rasool

Managing Editor,  
Nexus Academic Publishers (NAP)

We wish to submit an original research article entitled "The Effect of Supplementation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* on Haylage Roughage Swamp-Based Feeds on Rumen Fermentation Characteristics and Methane Emissions" for consideration by Journal of Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences.

We confirm that this manuscript has not been published elsewhere nor is it currently under consideration for publication elsewhere. We believe that these findings will be of interest to the readers of your journal.

We look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

best regards

Riswandi

## 2. Surat JAAVS Reviewer 1 (29 Maret 2021)



Manuscript Handler [info@manuscripthandler.com](mailto:info@manuscripthandler.com)  
To: Riswandi Wandi <riswandi\_dya@yahoo.com>

Mon, 29 Mar 2021

Dear Mr. Riswandi Riswandi,

We have received the reports from our reviewers on your manuscript, "Effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* Supplementation in Swamp Roughage Haylage-Based Rations on In Vitro Rumen Fermentation Characteristics and Methane Gas Emission", which you submitted to *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences* with MH20210105070108.

Based on the received comments, your manuscript could be reconsidered for publication, should you be prepared to incorporate Minor Revisions.

The comments and requests of the Editor and the Peer Reviewers are included below. Please share this information with all coauthors of the manuscript.

Editor's Comments:

- Review the peer review comments and requests carefully, and edit the manuscript accordingly.
- Include a separate point-by-point response file addressing the reviewers comments along with an explanation of any request of the editor or the reviewers that you do not address in your revised manuscript. Your list of responses should be uploaded as a Cover Letter in addition to your revised manuscript.
- Please color (e.g. red in contrast to black text) all changes in the revised manuscript, without such color red changes the manuscript may be returned or rejected.
- Verify the placement and accuracy of each reference in your manuscript as well as the accuracy of all of the values in your tables and figures.
- Please ensure that all authors names and their affiliations are placed correctly.
- Make every effort to address the remaining concerns and to resubmit your manuscript. If you anticipate an additional delay, or if you do not wish to resubmit your manuscript, then please notify us as soon as possible.
- Please keep your coauthors apprised of the status of the article throughout the revision process.

Please feel free to contact the Manuscript Handler coordinators if you have any

questions regarding the submission process: [info@manuscripthandler.com](mailto:info@manuscripthandler.com) or +441252516907 (UK)

You can login to your Authors Panel within 15 days to revise the manuscript.

<http://manuscripthandler.com/nexus/Advances-in-Animal-and-Veterinary-Sciences/login>

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.  
The first reviewer

Reviewer(s) Comments to Author:

Comments to the Author

Correct

- Aspergilus with Aspergillus
- Cereviceae with cerevisiae

Suggestion :

- Change some passive sentences to active voice to make it clear
- Rephrase the sentences
- There are 3 some similar sentences (meaning) in same row, make it simpler

Sincerely,  
Editorial Office  
Nexus Academic Publishers (NAP)

Lahore, Pakistan

Phone: 0092 300 7786573

email: [info@nexusacademicpublishers.com](mailto:info@nexusacademicpublishers.com)  
Email: [info@nexusacademicpublishers.com](mailto:info@nexusacademicpublishers.com)  
Web: <http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/>

### 3. Surat JAAVS Reviewer 2 (31 Maret 2021)



Manuscript Handler [info@manuscripthandler.com](mailto:info@manuscripthandler.com)  
To: [Riswandi Wandi <riswandi\\_dya@yahoo.com>](mailto:Riswandi Wandi <riswandi_dya@yahoo.com>)

Wed, 31 Mar 2021

Dear Mr. Riswandi Riswandi,

We have received the reports from our reviewers on your manuscript, "Effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* Supplementation in Swamp Roughage Haylage-Based Rations on In Vitro Rumen Fermentation Characteristics and Methane Gas Emission", which you submitted to *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences* with MH20210105070108.

Based on the received comments, your manuscript could be reconsidered for publication, should you be prepared to incorporate Minor Revisions.

The comments and requests of the Peer Reviewers are included below. Please share this information with all coauthors of the manuscript.

The second reviewer

Reviewer(s) Comments to Author:

1. I would rather recommend to combine both results and discussion together to avoid redundancy.
2. Please add the authors contribution section.
3. There are still grammar error within the manuscript, please revise carefully.

Please feel free to contact the Manuscript Handler coordinators if you have any questions regarding the submission process: [info@manuscripthandler.com](mailto:info@manuscripthandler.com) or +441252516907 (UK)

Your can login to your Authors Panel within 15 days to revise the manuscript.

<http://manuscripthandler.com/nexus/Advances-in-Animal-and-Veterinary-Sciences/login>

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Sincerely,  
Editorial Office  
Nexus Academic Publishers (NAP)

Lahore, Pakistan

Phone: 0092 300 7786573


email: [info@nexusacademicpublishers.com](mailto:info@nexusacademicpublishers.com)

Email: [info@nexusacademicpublishers.com](mailto:info@nexusacademicpublishers.com)

Web: <http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/>

## 4. Surat Perbaikan Manuscript (7 Maret 2021)

Our Revised Manuscript based on 2 JAAVS Reviewers

 Riswandi Wandu <riswandi\_dya@yahoo.com>  
To: Manuscript Handler [info@manuscripthandler.com](mailto:info@manuscripthandler.com)

Wed, 7 Apr 2021

Dear Irfan Rasool

Managing Editor,  
Nexus Academic Publishers (NAP)

Following we send you our revised manuscript entitled "The Effect of Supplementation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* on Haylage Roughage Swamp-Based Feeds on Rumen Fermentation Characteristics and Methane Emissions" to be published in Journal of Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences. The revision was done based on recommendation of the two reviewers.

best regards

Riswandi

# Revised Manuscript based on Two Reviewers

Riswandi Wandi <riswandi\_dya@yahoo.com>

To: Manuscript Handler [info@manuscripthandler.com](mailto:info@manuscripthandler.com)

## Research Article

### Effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* Supplementation in Swamp Roughage Haylage-Based Rations on in Vitro Rumen Fermentation Characteristics and Methane Gas Emission

Riswandi<sup>\*1</sup>, Asep Indra M Ali<sup>1</sup>, Afnur Imsya<sup>1</sup>, Sofia Sandi<sup>1</sup>, Basuni Hamzah<sup>2</sup> Agus  
Supriadi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science, <sup>2</sup> Department of Agricultural Product Technology,

<sup>3</sup>Department of Fishery Product Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya.

Jl. Raya Palembang-Prabumulih KM. 32, Indralaya, South Sumatra, Indonesia, 30662

\*Corresponding author: riswandi\_dya@yahoo.com



**Abstract.** Swamp roughage haylage is produced by anaerobic fermentation of swamp roughage to provide feed for future use. Feeding haylage as a single feed source in ruminant animals cannot meet the requirements for rumen microbes and host animals to develop optimally. Supplementation of probiotic is then needed. This study aimed to assess the effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* supplementation in swamp roughage haylage-based rations on rumen fermentation characteristics and methane gas emission. Measurements were taken on dry matter digestibility (DMD), organic matter digestibility (OMD), rumen pH, N ammonia (N-NH<sub>3</sub>) content, total volatile fatty acid (TVFA) concentration, partial VFA concentration, acetate-propionate (C2/C3) ratio, methane gas concentration, and total bacterial count. A completely randomized design with 4 treatments and 4 replicates was used. Treatments consisted of rations containing 70% haylage + 30% concentrate + 0 g probiotic (**control**), **control** + 0.05 % *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (SC), **control** + 0.05% *Aspergillus oryzae* (AO), and **control** + 0.025 % *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (SC) + 0.025 % *Aspergillus oryzae* (AO). Data were subjected to an analysis of variance and a Duncan multiple range test. Results showed that **the** probiotics supplementation significantly (P<0.05) increased DMD, OMD, rumen pH, TVFA concentration, partial VFA concentration, and total bacterial count but reduced N-NH<sub>3</sub> content, C2/C3 ratio, and methane gas production. It was concluded that combination 0.025 % *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and 0.025 % *Aspergillus oryzae* in swamp roughage haylage-based rations gave the best increase in the ration digestibility, total rumen bacterial count, rumen fermentation characteristics, and reduced methane production.

**Key words:** in vitro, methane gas, probiotic, rumen fermentation characteristics, swamp roughage haylage

## INTRODUCTION

The productivity of farm ruminant animals in tropical regions is mainly influenced by the feed quality and quantity that seasonally fluctuate. Feeding of the animals for optimal production should contain balanced nutrients to meet the requirements of rumen microbes and host animals (McDonald et al., 2010; Lazzarini et al., 2016).

One of the strategies in the ruminant management in lowland areas of South Sumatra is the feeding of potential aquatic forage of swamp areas. Swamp rice grass or *Bento Rayap* grass (*Leersia hexandra*) and water mimosa or *kemon air* (*Neptunia oleracea* Lour) are two examples of grass and legume growing in swamp areas. The seasonal roughage availability in the region results in an oversupply in rainy season and an undersupply in dry season. The feeding of low quality forage (Ali et al., 2013) could reduce nutrients digestibility and increase methane production since the high fiber fractions content (Philippe and Nicks, 2015). Preserving swamp roughages by haylage in the rainy season is one of promising solution to overcome the scarcity of feed supply in the dry season. The anaerobic process in a mixture of grass and legume haylage could preserve nutrients of the roughage. (McDonald et al., 2010; Schroeder, 2013).

Utilization local probiotics serve an alternative way to fulfill the nutrient requirements of ruminant animals particularly in a feed shortage period. However, studies on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (SC) and *Aspergillus oryzae* (AO) supplementation in swamp roughage haylage-based rations is limited. The SC and AO supplementation in swamp roughage haylage-based rations can improve nutrients availability for rumen microbes and host animals. The main reason of probiotic supplementation in ruminant animals is the capability of probiotics to modify rumen ecosystem, microbe population, feed digestion, and rumen fermentation processes and so reduce methane gas production (Seo et al., 2010; Khan et al., 2016). Probiotic supplementation of SC has been shown to increase digestibility rate of

fiber fractions, crude protein degradation, rumen fermentability, microbe efficiency, and ruminant animal performance (Ali *et al.*, 2015; Riswandi *et al.*, 2020). This study was aimed at assessing the effects of *SC* and *AO* supplementation in swamp roughage haylage-based rations on rumen fermentation characteristics and methane production.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted at Animal Nutrition and Feed Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya.

### **Haylage Production**

Prior to haylage production, swamp roughages consisting of *bento* grass and *kemon air* legume were chopped into 3 cm cuts and wilted for 24 hours to reduce their water content up to 40% (Jaelani *et al.*, 2014). These wilted roughages were mixed with the ratio of 70% *bento* grass and 30% *kemon air* legume (as fed). Molasses was then added into the mixture by 5% as suggested by Riswandi (2014). The mixed roughages were moved to plastic bags silo and then compacted to ensure that anaerobic condition in the plastic bags was achieved. The bags were placed in a room at 26-28°C and incubated for 21 days.

### **Sample Preparation and Experimental Design**

In the end of the incubation period, the haylage was taken out from the bags and dried in an oven at 60°C for 24 hours and then finely ground (1 mm). In vitro substrates consisted of the haylage (70%) and a concentrate mixture (30%) (Table 1). Nutrient contents of sample feed was determined by using an AOAC method (2005) in a proximate analysis. The amount of *SC* and *AO* probiotic supplementation was in accordance to each treatment. Nutrient composition of feeds and the ration are shown in Tables 2 and Table 3, respectively.

The design used was a completely randomized design with 4 treatments and 4 replications. Treatments consisted of rations containing 70% haylage + 30% concentrate + 0 g probiotic (**control**), **control** + 0.05 % *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (SC), **control** + 0.05% *Aspergillus oryzae* (AO), and **control** + 0.025 % *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (SC) + 0.025 % *Aspergillus oryzae* (AO).

### **In Vitro Fermentation and Chemical Analyzes**

The *in vitro* study was conducted based on the method of Theodorou dan Brooks (1990). Substrates (1 g) were put into each incubation bottle which already contained incubation medium of 90 ml buffer (pH 7.9) and 10 ml buffalo rumen fluid. Rumen fluid was taken from a 2.5-year old male swamp buffalo prior to feeding time in the morning. The buffalo was fed swamp grass (*bento* grass) and concentrate (70:30). The rumen fluid was taken with a stomach tube and a vacuum pump. The collected rumen fluid was taken to the laboratory where it was filtered by using a 100- $\mu$ m nylon sieve and added to a solution consisting of 100 ml rumen fluid and 900 ml buffer solution.

These anaerobic media were then put into incubation bottles (100 ml medium per bottle). The CO<sub>2</sub> gas was added for an optimal aerobic condition. The filled bottles were incubated in water bath incubators (39 °C) for 48 hours. In the end of the incubation time, 2 drops of HgCl<sub>2</sub> was added into each bottle. Then, samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes until the supernatant was separated from the residue. The supernatant was kept and analyzed for N-NH<sub>3</sub>, and volatile fatty acids (VFA) concentrations, methane production, and total bacterial count. N-NH<sub>3</sub> concentration was determined by using modified Conway procedures (General Laboratory Procedures, 1966). VFA content was determined in a gas liquid chromatography (GLC Bruker Scion 436-GC, Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany) by using a BR-Wax fame column (mmID 0.32, 0.25 lm df) and FID detector.

Supernatant preparation obtained from in vitro incubation was added with 3 mg sulfosalicylic acid dihydrate and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm 7 ° C for 10 minutes before it was injected into GLC column. Quantification of individual VFA was conducted by comparing it with an external standard and expressed in  $\mu\text{mol/ml}$  or mM. Total VFA content was measured as the sum of all individual VFA contents (AOAC, 2005). Samples of 3 mL gas resulted from fermentation were taken by using a syringe glass, stored in venoject tubes. Methane gas concentration was measured after 48-hour incubation by using a gas chromatography device (Hitachi Model 26-50). The device was equipped with an FID detector and an active carbon column of 1 m length and 3 mm diameter. The temperatures in injector, detector, and column was 190, 190, and 170°C, respectively. Gas flow rates were set at 50 ml/minute for N<sub>2</sub> gas, 1 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> for H<sub>2</sub>, and 1 kg/cm for air (Menke and Steingass procedures, 1988). Total bacterial count was determined by a roll tube method (Ogimoto and Imai procedures, 1988). Analysis of nutrients content was conducted on the residue of incubation which were added with 50 mL pepsin-HCl 0.20% and incubated for 48 hours. The solution was filtered by using Whatman 41 filter paper and the residue was then dried at 60°C for 48 hours.

Dry matter and organic matter digestibility was measured after 48-hour incubation. Sinterglasses were oven-dried at 105°C for 24 hours before they were weighed. Dry matter digestibility was determined by drying the incubation residue in an oven at 105°C for 24 hours. Organic matter digestibility was determined by ashing the incubation residue in a furnace at 550°C for 6 hours.

### **Data Analysis**

Data of dry matter digestibility (DDM), organic matter digestibility (OMD), rumen pH, N ammonia (N-NH<sub>3</sub>) concentration, total volatile fatty acid (TVFA) concentration,

partial VFA concentration, methane gas concentration, and total bacterial count were subjected to an analysis of variance and a Duncan multiple range test (SPSS 13.0 program).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Dry Matter and Organic Matter Digestibility

Results showed that **the** probiotics supplementation in the rations improved ( $P < 0.05$ ) DMD and OMD. DMD and OMD were found to be the highest in **SC+AO** and those in **SC**, **AO**, and **control** were also different (Table 4).

**The SC+AO** supplementation in ration basal gave the highest DMD and OMD values. This might result from the synergy between the two kinds of probiotics used. *S. Cerevisiae* was able to produce **amylase** enzyme to digest starch and AO produced **cellulose** and **hemicellulose** enzymes digesting fibers (cellulose and hemicellulose) (Alshaikh et al., 2002). In addition, SC is a growth factor for cellulolytic bacteria as it provides nutrients including vitamins, minerals, and amino acids for rumen bacterial growth (Khan et al., 2016). Increased population of cellulolytic bacteria improves cellulolytic activity resulting in improved fiber digestion. This later leads to increased DM and OM digestibility. Compared to combined probiotic supplementation, individual probiotic supplementation resulted in lower DMD and OMD. The values of DMD and OMD in SC were higher than that in AO. This might be attributed to the role of yeast (SC) in providing substrates in the form of organic acids and vitamins which promotes the growth of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) (Khan et al., 2016). By stabilizing rumen pH, increased LAB population improves rumen metabolism and cellulolytic bacteria population, reduces lactic acid accumulation in digestive tract, reduces oxygen concentration through glycolysis process, improves ration digestibility and

rumen fermentability, and affects animal performance (Kumar et al., 2013; Pinloche et al., 2013).

### **Characteristics of rumen fermentation**

Compared to that in control group, rumen pH in the probiotic supplementation groups was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher. Yet, no different rumen pH was found in treatment groups (Table 5). All treatments contributed to increases in rumen TVFA ( $P < 0.05$ ) with the highest found in **SC + AO** and the lowest in **control** (Table 5). Compared to that in control group, rumen N-NH<sub>3</sub> **contents** in the probiotic supplementation groups **were** lowered. The lowest rumen N-NH<sub>3</sub> content was found in **SC + AO**. However, no different rumen N-NH<sub>3</sub> content was found in SC, **AO**, and SC + AO (Table 5).

### **Rumen pH**

**Rumen pH was elevated** as the effect of the probiotics **supplementation**. This was indicated by rumen pH of 6.61 to 6.75 which was still in the normal range (6.2 to 7.00) (Barber et al., 2010) so that no disturbance in rumen microbial growth was found. Furthermore, in addition to providing nutrients and important cofactors stimulating rumen microbial activity, SC probiotic also plays a role in controlling rumen environment to be more anaerobic. This condition stimulates rumen microbial growth followed by improved ammonia and lactic acid utilization to stabilize rumen pH. The inclusion of SC in rations with high energy content was found to stabilize rumen pH and avoid the occurrence of acidosis (Pantaya et al., 2010). It was also reported that balanced fermentation products (VFA and N-NH<sub>3</sub>) could **maintain** rumen pH (Mc Donald et al., 2010).

### **TVFA**

The content of TVFA in this study was still within normal range for the optimal activity and growth of rumen microbes. The optimal VFA content required for rumen microbial growth was 80–160 mM (Mc Donald et al., 2010). The highest VFA production which might be caused by high ration digestibility was found in SC+AO supplementation. The increased DMD was found to improve ration fermentation to produce volatile fatty acids (VFA) (Mc Donald et al., 2010). The effects of individual probiotic supplementation on TVFA content were in line with those on ration digestibility. The composition of VFA produced in rumen was affected by a fermented substrate, microbe population, and rumen ecology (Bannink et al., 2008).

### **N-NH<sub>3</sub>**

It was reported that probiotic supplementation lowered rumen N-NH<sub>3</sub> content (Mohammed et al., 2018) that was in line with the result of the present study. The concentration of N-NH<sub>3</sub> resulted from protein degradation by rumen microbes are used as a nitrogen source for microbial protein synthesis (McDonald et al., 2010). N-NH<sub>3</sub> concentration was found to be the highest in control indicating less N-NH<sub>3</sub> utilization for rumen microbial growth. The N-NH<sub>3</sub> concentration in SC and AO was not different but there were higher than that in SC+AO. This indicated that, compared to combined probiotic (SC+AO) supplementation, individual probiotic supplementation (SC and AO) resulted in lower N-NH<sub>3</sub> utilization by rumen microbes for microbial protein synthesis. Higher N-NH<sub>3</sub> utilization for rumen microbial protein synthesis by rumen microbes in SC+AO was reflected in higher total rumen bacterial count (Table 4). Rumen ammonia concentration found in this study was higher than the optimal N-NH<sub>3</sub> requirement for microbial protein synthesis. Rumen microbial growth required an optimal ammonia concentration of about 4 to 21 mM (Yuan, 2010).



## **Partial VFA and C2/C3 Ratio**

With regard to the effects of treatments on partial VFA concentration, it was found that C2 proportion was 67.99, 58.85, 58.79, and 58.67% and C3 proportion was 20.05, 25.16, 26.24, and 26.98% in **control, SC, AO, SC+AO**, respectively (Table 6). **The means of rumen C2/C3 ratio were** reduced with the probiotic supplementations with the lowest in **SC+AO** and the highest in **control** (Table 4).

Partial VFA concentration is the result of structural and non-structural carbohydrate fermentation by rumen microorganisms. Partial VFA is also produced from microbial and feed protein fermentation (McDonald et al., 2010). In this study, probiotic supplementation resulted in C2 and C3 in a proportion closed to that **presented** by Arora (1995), namely about 50 - 65% C2 and 18 - 24% C3.

**The** C2/C3 ratios in SC+AO and AO were different, while in AO and SC were not different. **The** low C2/C3 ratio in SC+AO was caused by the notion that probiotic supplementation stimulated starch-degrading bacteria to produce propionic acid in higher concentration than acetic acid. **The** SC supplementation was found to decrease C2 and increase C3 (Inal et al., 2010). Meanwhile, AO supplementation was found to reduce C2 and C4 production and improve C3 production, DMD, and VFA concentration (Sosa et al., 2011). The C2/C3 ratio is an important parameter in ruminology as low C2/C3 ratio stimulates fattening and body fat formation. Propionic acid is **glycogenic** and the main precursor of blood glucose formation (Vlaeminck et al., 2006). High C2/C3 ratio found in control indicated that microbial fermentation pattern was directed to acetic acid formation.

## **Methane gas concentration**

Methane gas concentration was reduced with probiotic supplementation ( $P < 0.05$ ) as depicted in Figure 1. Methane gas concentration in control was significantly higher than those in the SC, AO, and SC+AO groups. However, methane gas concentration among the probiotics groups were not different. The SC, AO, and SC+AO supplementation were found to increase total bacterial counts as depicted in Figure 2.

The formation of methane gas produced by enteric fermentation of ruminants is determined by the type of VFA produced, the formation of propionate requires  $H_2$ , while the formation of acetate and butyrate produces  $H_2$ . This indicates that acetate and butyrate formation triggered the formation of  $H_2$  so that it will be used by methanogenic bacteria to be converted into  $CH_4$ , while high propionate production requires  $H_2$  so that the formation of  $CH_4$  will decrease (Martin et al., 2009). The C2/C3 ratio has a closed relation with methane gas emission. Low C2/C3 ratio means low methane gas production (Mitsumori and Sun, 2008; Martin et al., 2009). It was shown in this study that C2/C3 ratio was lower in supplementation groups than in control group. Improved rumen fermentability and lower C2/C3 ratio were shown with supplementation of SC and rumen microbe isolates (Riyanti et al., 2016). Increased hydrogen supply for methanogenesis was indicated as the cause of increased C2/C3 ratio and methane gas production (Martin et al., 2009; Wallace et al., 2017). Yeast supplementation was able to stimulate acetogens to compete with methanogen in utilizing hydrogen which made methane gas emission reduced (Mwenya et al., 2004). Lowered methane gas production in rumen improved feed energy availability, which subsequently gave positive effects on animal productivity

### **Total Bacterial Population**

The SC, AO, and SC+AO supplementation were found to increase total bacterial counts as depicted in Figure 2. Probiotic supplementation in rations increased the total rumen

bacterial population as indicated by high DMD and NH<sub>3</sub> and TVFA concentrations within the optimal limit. This condition was an advantage for the increment of microbial protein synthesis. Improved digestibility was found to be in line with enhanced microbial protein synthesis (Nurhaita et al., 2010). This occurred because of a higher supply of carbon frames from TVFA and nitrogen from N-NH<sub>3</sub> for substrates to be used by rumen microbes for their growth (McDonald et al., 2010). Zain et al. (2011) showed that the inclusion of probiotic in ruminant rations consisting of roughage and concentrate improved bacterial population growth. This contributed to the availability of microbial protein sources supplying about 70-90% amino acid requirement of host animal (Russell et al., 2009).

## CONCLUSIONS

It was concluded that combination 0.025 % *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and 0.025 % *Aspergillus oryzae* in the swamp roughage haylage-based rations gave the best increase in the ration digestibility, total rumen bacterial count, rumen fermentation characteristics, and reduced methane emissions. This present study recommend that the probiotic combination should be tested in an *in vivo* study to determine the effect on the performance of ruminants.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We declare that in this research there is no conflict of interests.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Research fund assistance from University of Sriwijaya through a featured competitive research scheme Number 0015/UN9/SK.LP2M.PT/2019 was acknowledged.

## AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

Riswandi: original concept, study design and conducted the experiment. Asep Indra M. Ali: involvement in conduct of the experiment. Basuni Hamzah and Agus Supriadi: responsible for statistical analysis. Sofia Sandi and Afnur Imsya: significant contribution to discussion and writing, critical revisions of the article. All authors have read and agree with the final version of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Alshaikh, MA, AY Alsiadi, SM Zahran, HH Mugawer and TA Aalshowime (2002). Effect of feeding yeast culture from different sources on the performance of lactating holstein cows in Saudi Arabia. *Asian-Australia. J. Animal. Sci.* 15(3): 352-355. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2002.352>
- Ali AIM, Sandi S, Muhakka, Riswandi, Budianta D (2013). The Grazing of Pampangan Buffaloes at Non Tidal Swamp in South Sumatra of Indonesia. APCBEE Procedia ICAAA 2013: July 27-28, Moscow, Russia. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280>.
- Ali AIM, Sandi S, Riswandi, Imsya A, Prabowo A, Rofiq N (2015). Evaluation of yeast supplementation with urea-molasses in rice straw-based diets on in vitro ruminant fermentation. *Pakistan Journal Of Nutrition*, 14(2):988-993. <https://scialert.net/abstract/?doi=pjn.2015.988.993>
- AOAC (2005). *Official Methods of Analysis*. 18<sup>th</sup> ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, USA.
- Arora, SP (1995). *Pencernaan Mikroba pada Ruminansia*. Edisi Indonesia. Penerbit Gajah Mada University Press. Yogyakarta
- Bannink A, France J, López S, Gerrits WJJ, Kebreab E, Tamminga S and Dijkstra J (2008). Modelling the implications of feeding strategy on rumen fermentation and functioning of the rumen wall. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 143:3–26.

- Barber D, Anstis A, Posada V (2010). Managing for Healthy Rumen Function. Departement of Employment, Economic, and Innovation. Queensland Government.
- General Laboratory Procedures (1966). *Department of Dairy Science*. University of Wisconsin, Madison
- Inal F, E Gurbuz, B Coskun, MS Alatas, OB Citil, ES Polat, E Seker dan C Ozcan (2010). The effect of live yeast culture (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on rumen fermentation and nutrient degradability in Yearling Lambs. *Kakfas Univ. Vet. Fak. Derg.* 16(5): 799-804. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281535435>
- Jaelani A, Rostini T, Zakir MI dan Jonathan (2014). Pengaruh penggunaan hijauan rawa fermentasi terhadap penampilan kambing kacang (*Capra hircus*). *J. Sains Peternakan.* 12(2): 76-85. <https://doi.org/10.20961/sainspet.v12i2.4770>
- Khan RU, Shabana N, Kuldeep D, Karthik K, Ruchi T, Mutassim MA (2016). Direct-fed microbial: beneficial applications, modes of action and prospects as a safe tool for enhancing ruminant production and safeguarding health. *Int. J. Pharm.* 12:220-31. <http://scialert.net/fulltext/?doi=ijp>.
- Kumar DS, Srinivasa Prasad Ch and Prasad RMV (2013). Effect of yeast culture (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on ruminal microbial population in buffalo bulls. *Buffalo Bulletin*, 32, 116-119. DOI: [10.14456/ku-bufbu.2013.18](https://doi.org/10.14456/ku-bufbu.2013.18)
- Lazzarini I, Detmann E, Sebastião de Campos VF, Paulino MF, Erick DB, Luana M de Almeida R, William LS dos R and Marcia de OF (2016). Nutritional performance of cattle grazing during rainy season with nitrogen and starch supplementation. *Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 29 (8): 1120-1128. DOI: [10.5713/ajas.15.0514](https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.15.0514)
- Menke KH dan H Steingass (1988). Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and *in vitro* gas production using rumen fluid. *Anim. Res. Develop.* 28:7-55.

- Martin C, Morgavi DP, Doreau M (2009). Methane mitigation in ruminants: from microbe to the farm scale. *Animal*. 4:351–65. doi:10.1017/S1751731109990620.
- McDonald P, Edwards RA, Greenhalgh JFD, Morgan CA, Sinclair LA, Wilkinson RG (2010). *Animal Nutrition*. Seventh Ed. New York. C A Morgan, JFD Greenhalgh, LA Sinclair and RG Wilkinson, Inc.
- Mitsumori, M and W Sun (2008). Control of rumen microbial fermentation for mitigating methane emissions from the rumen. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 21:144-154. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2008.r01>
- Muhammed A, Arowolo and Jianhua He (2018). Use of probiotics and botanical extracts to improve ruminant production in the tropics: A review. *Animal Nutrition*. 4 (2018): 241-249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2018.04.010>.
- Mwenya, B, B Santoso, C Sar, Y Gamo, T Kobayashi, I Arai and J Takahashi (2004). Effects of including 1,4-galacto- oligosaccharides, lactic acid bacteria rr yeast culture on methanogenesis as well as energy and nitrogen metabolism in sheep. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 115: 313-326. DOI:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2004.03.007
- Nurhaita, N Jamarun, L Warly and Mardiaty Z (2010). Sintesis protein mikroba pada domba yang mendapat ransum daun sawit amoniasi yang disuplementasi mineral S, P dan daun ubi kayu. *Jurnal Penelitian Universitas Jambi Seri Sains*. 12: 107-114.
- Ogimoto K dan Imai S (1988). *Atlas of Ruminant Microbiology*. Tokyo: Japan Sci. Press. Japan.
- Pantaya D, Wiryawan KM, Amirroenas DE.dan Suryahadi (2016). Detoksifikasi mikotoksin melalui optimalisasi fungsi rumen dengan pemberian ragi. *Jurnal Veteriner*. 17(1): 143-154. <https://ojs.unud.ac.id/index.php/jvet/article/view/19178>>

- Philippe FX dan B Nicks (2015). Review on greenhouse gas emissions from pig houses: Production of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide by animals and manure. *Agri. Eco and Env.* 199 10-25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.08.015>
- Pinloche E, McEwan N, Marden J-P, Bayourthe C, Auclair E and Newbold C-J (2013). The effects of a probiotic yeast on the bacterial diversity and population structure in the rumen of cattle. *PLoS*, 8(7): e67824. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067824>
- Riswandi (2014). Evaluasi Kecernaan Silase Rumput Kumpai (*Hymenachne acutigluma*) dengan Penambahan Legum Turi Mini (*Sesbania rostrata*). *Jurnal Peternakan Sriwijaya*. 3(2):43-52. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33230/JPS.3.2.2014.1761>
- Riswandi, Hamzah B, Wijaya A and Abrar A (2020). Bali heifers performance on cassava leaves, palm oil sludge and yeast supplementation in a ration based on Kumpai grass (*Hymenachne amplexicaulis* (Rudge) Nees). *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences*. 8(8): 813-818. DOI: [10.17582/journal.aavs/2020/8.8.813.818](https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2020/8.8.813.818)
- Riyanti L, Suryahadi and Evvyernie D (2016). In vitro fermentation characteristics and rumen microbial population of diet supplemented with *saccharomyces cerevisiae* and rumen microbe probiotics. *J. Media Peternakan*. 39(1):40-45. <https://doi.org/10.5398/medpet.2016.39.1.40>
- Russell JB, Muck RE and Weimer PJ (2009). Quantitative analysis of cellulose degradation and growth of cellulolytic bacteria in the rumen. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 67:183-197. DOI: [10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00633.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00633.x)
- Schroeder JW (2013). *Silage Fermentation and Preservation*. Extension Dairy Speciallist. AS-1254. <http://www.ext.nodak.edu/>.
- Seo JK, SW Kim, MH Kim, SD Upadhaya, DK Kam and JK Ha (2010). Direct-fed microbials for ruminant animals. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 23:1657-1667. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2010.r.08>

- Sosa A, J Galindo, ML Tejido, A Diaz, ME Martinez, C Saro, MD Carro and MJ Ranilla (2011). Effects of *Aspergillus oryzae* on in vitro ruminal fermentation. *Options Méditerranéennes: Série A. Séminaires Méditerranéens*; n. 99. <http://om.ciheam.org/article.php?IDPDF=801553>
- Theodorou MK and Brooks AE (1990). Evaluation of A New Laboratory Procedure for Estimating the Fermentation Kinetics of Tropical Feeds. Annual Report. Meidenhead (GB): AFRC Inst.
- Vlaeminck B, V Fievez, S Tamminga, RJ Dewhurst, A Van Vuuren, D De rabander, D Demeyer (2006). Milk odd-and branched-chain fatty acids in relation to the rumen fermentation pattern. *J. Dairy Sci.* 89(10):3954–3964. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(06\)72437-7](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72437-7)
- Wallace RJ, SnellingTJ, McCartney CA, Tapio I and Strozzi F (2017). Application of metaomics techniques to understand greenhouse gas emissions originating from ruminal metabolism. *Genetics Selection Evolution* 49, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-017-0285-6>
- Yuan ZQ (2010). Effects of dietary supplementation with alkyl polyglycoside, a non ionic surfactant, on nutrient digestion and ruminal fermentation in goats. *J. Anim. Sci.* 88:3984-3991. DOI: [10.2527/jas.2009-2397](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2009-2397)
- Zain M, Arnim RWS, Ningrat and Herawati (2011). Effect of yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on fermentability, microbial population and digestibility of low quality roughage (in vitro). *Arch zootechnica.* 14:4-11. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224860252>



Table 1. Concentrate composition used in the rations

Feedstuff	Amount (kg) As Fed based
Rice bran	80
Ground corn	8
Tofu by-product	10
Ultra-mineral	0,5
Salt	0,75
Urea	0,75
Total	100

Table 2. Nutrient composition of haylage and concentrate (% dry matter)

Nutrient	Haylage	Concentrate
Dry matter	32.05	76.26
Organic matter	93.14	89.79
Crude protein	15.48	17.82
Crude fiber	22.37	17.78
Ether extract	3.82	7.88
Nitrogen Free Extract	56.13	55.28
TDN	66.41	73.54
Neutral detergent fiber	45.91	31.62
Acid detergent fiber	32.45	20.59
Hemicellulose	13.43	11.03
Cellulose	24,71	15.58
Lignin	5,79	3.26

---

Table 3. Ingredients and nutrients composition of the experimental ration.

---

Ingredients (as fed)	Ration
Haylage (%)	70
Concentrate (%)	30
TOTAL	100
Nutrient composition (% dry matter)	
Dry matter	45.32
Organic matter	91.44
Crude protein	16.18
Crude fiber	20.99
Ether extract	5.034
Free Nitrogen Extract	55.87
TDN	68.55
Neutral detergent fiber	41.63
Acid detergent fiber	28.90
Hemicellulose	12.71
Cellulose	20.61
Lignin	5.03

Source: Nutrition and Animal Feed Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya, 2019.

Table 4. Effect of *Saccharomyces cereviceae* (SC) and *Aspergillus oryzae* (AO) supplementations of mixed haylage (70%) and concentrate (30%) ration on Digestibility of Dry Matter (DM) and Organic Matter (OM)

Treatment	Digestibility (%)	
	DM	OM
Control	51.28±1.46 <sup>a</sup>	60.83±1.46 <sup>a</sup>
SC	57.56±0.54 <sup>c</sup>	67.77±1.76 <sup>c</sup>
AO	55.97±0.47 <sup>b</sup>	64.64±0.94 <sup>b</sup>
SC+AO	59.89±0.45 <sup>d</sup>	69.86±0.71 <sup>d</sup>

Note: Different superscripts in the same column indicate significant differences (P<0.05)

Table 5. Effect of *Saccharomyces cereviceae* (SC) and *Aspergillus oryzae* (AO) supplementations of mixed haylage (70%) and concentrate (30%) ration on Fermentation Characteristics (pH, N-NH<sub>3</sub>, and Total VFA Concentration)

Treatment	pH	N-NH <sub>3</sub> (mM)	Total VFA (mM)
Control	6.59±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	11.95±1.57 <sup>c</sup>	67.72±3.28 <sup>a</sup>
SC	6.70±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	7.61±1.01 <sup>ab</sup>	104.61±5.92 <sup>c</sup>
AO	6.69±0.06 <sup>b</sup>	8.18±1.01 <sup>b</sup>	85.63±2.97 <sup>b</sup>
SC+AO	6.72±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	7.18±0.38 <sup>a</sup>	122.98±3.42 <sup>d</sup>

Note: Different superscripts in the same column indicate significant differences (P<0.05).

Table 6. Effect of *Saccharomyces cereviceae* (SC) and *Aspergillus oryzae* (AO) supplementations of mixed haylage (70%) and concentrate (30%) ration on partial VFA concentration and ratio of C2/C3 production in rumen

Treatment	C2 (mM)	C3 (mM)	C4 (mM)	Ratio C2/C3
Control	46.04±2.54 <sup>a</sup>	13.58±0.99 <sup>a</sup>	8.10±0.47 <sup>a</sup>	3.40±0.18 <sup>c</sup>
SC	61.50±4.72 <sup>c</sup>	27.45±1.41 <sup>c</sup>	15.66±1.47 <sup>c</sup>	2.24±0.13 <sup>ab</sup>
AO	50.40±1.72 <sup>b</sup>	21.55±0.93 <sup>b</sup>	13.69±0.88 <sup>b</sup>	2.34±0.11 <sup>b</sup>
SC+AO	72.15±5.28 <sup>d</sup>	33.18±1.01 <sup>d</sup>	17.65±1.21 <sup>d</sup>	2.18±0.22 <sup>a</sup>

Note: Different superscripts in the same column indicate significant differences (P<0.05).

C2: Acetate , C3: Propionate, C4; Butyrate




Figure 1. Effects of *Saccharomyces cereviceae* (SC) and *Aspergillus oryzae* (AO) supplementations of mixed haylage (70%) and concentrate (30%) ration on methane gas concentration




Figure 2. Effects of *Saccharomyces cereviceae* (SC) and *Aspergillus oryzae* (AO) supplementations of mixed haylage (70%) and concentrate (30%) ration on total bacterial count

## 5. Surat Accept JAAVS (29 April 2021)

 **Manuscript  
Handler** <info@manuscripthandler.com>  
To: riswandi\_dya@yahoo.com  
Cc: nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com

Thu, 29 April 2021

Dear Mr. Riswandi Riswandi,

It is a pleasure to accept your manuscript entitled "Effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* Supplementation in Swamp Roughage Haylage-Based Rations on In Vitro Rumen Fermentation Characteristics and Methane Gas Emission" in its current form for publication in the *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences*.

Your article is now being processed for formatting, copy editing and final publication. You will be informed for each step and we will contact you when we need any further information or material.

Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of the *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

Sincerely,  
Editorial Office  
Nexus Academic Publishers (NAP)

Lahore, Pakistan

Phone: 0092 300 7786573

email: info@nexusacademicpublishers.com  
Email: info@nexusacademicpublishers.com  
Web: <http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/>

## 6. Surat Payment JAAVS (23 Mei 2021)



**Nexus  
Academics** <nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com>  
Cc:riswandi\_dya@yahoo.com

Sun, 23 May 2021

Dear Author,

Thank you very much for your contribution in AAVS.

You are requested to pay **180 USD** (170 USD publishing charges+ 10 USD transaction charges) using one of the options mentioned below and send the proof at [nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com](mailto:nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com) and write manuscript ID in the subject line of the email. We'll send you galley proof once the charges are received.

### **1. Credit card transfer via PayPal (most preferred)**

Pay using PayPal at the email address mentioned below and write manuscript ID in the description.

[apcfornexus@gmail.com](mailto:apcfornexus@gmail.com)

### **2. Online Payment**

You can pay online using a credit card in our secure online portal. Please write manuscript ID in the form:

<http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/payments>

### **3. Money Gram/Western Union**

Name: Irfan Rasool

Identify Card Number: 38401-5302657-5

Beneficiary's Country: Pakistan

In case any of the above mentioned option is not feasible, please let us know.

Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of the AAVS, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

**Your earliest possible response will highly be appreciated.**

Regards,

Irfan Rasool

Managing Editor,

Nexus Academic Publishers (NAP)

**Website:** <http://nexusacademicpublishers.com>

**Email:** [info@nexusacademicpublishers.com](mailto:info@nexusacademicpublishers.com);

[nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com](mailto:nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com)

## 7. Surat Bukti Bayar ke JAAVS (24 Mei 2021)



riswandi\_dya@yahoo.com

To: [nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com](mailto:nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com)

Mon, 24 May 2021

Dear Mr. Irfan Rasool

Managing Editor,  
Nexus Academic Publishers (NAP)

with this we submit proof of payment for our article (ID MH20201130041101),  
hopefully you can further process our article, thank you.

regards

Riswandi



WESTERN UNION SENDING RECEIPT 292962189

MTON : 254-629-1268

Counter : Kantor Pos PALEMBANG 30000

Date : 24-05-2021 . Time : 14:56:39

Trx ID : 300000-12/21/000434

Sender ID : 300002100002136

Customer No : 1671043110690005

Sender Name : Mr. RISMANDI S PT

Phone : 081367670650

JL PUTRI KEMBARA TAWAR NO 56 RT 051 RW 0

BUKIT LAMPILILIR HARAT I

Receiv ID : 300002100002136

Customer No :

Receiv Name : IRFAN PASOOL

Phone :

PAKISTAN

PAKISTAN / PAKISTAN

Source Fund : MUDAH

Question :

Purpose Fund : MUDAH

Answer :

Principal : Rp. 2,777,800.00

Employee ID

Exchange Rate : 0.0101638

Expected Payout : F/R 29,273.00

Total Charge : Rp. 255,000.00

Discount : Rp. 0.00

Total Collect Amount : Rp. 3,032,800.00

JULI RAHAYU

KANTOR POS

Hub : 30000434



POS INDONESIA

## 8. Surat Bukti Bayar dari JAAVS (26 Mei 2021)



**Nexus  
Academics** <nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com>  
**Kepada:**riswandi\_dya@yahoo.com

Wed, 26 May 2021

Dear Author,

Thank you for paying the publication charges. We are now composing your article and will send you galley proof soon.

Regards,

Irfan Rasool  
Managing Editor,  
Nexus Academic Publishers (NAP)  
**Website:** <http://nexusacademicpublishers.com>  
**Email:** [info@nexusacademicpublishers.com](mailto:info@nexusacademicpublishers.com);  
[nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com](mailto:nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com)

## 9. Surat Galley Proof dari JAAVS (16 Juni 2021)

Galley Proof of your Article (AAVS\_MH20201130041101-R3\_Riswandi et al) in  
Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences



**Nexus  
Publishers** [publishersnap@gmail.com](mailto:publishersnap@gmail.com)  
Kepada: [riswandi\\_dya@yahoo.com](mailto:riswandi_dya@yahoo.com)

Wed, 16 Jun 2021

Dear Author,

Thank you very much for your contribution in AAVS.

We have now formatted your article according to the journal's format. Please take a good look before its final publication in full. There is a query form attached for the queries arose during type setting. You can send changes in reply to this email. Once you have approved your page proof and the final version is published on the journal website, no additional changes will be allowed.

Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of the AAVS, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

Regards,  
Irfan Rasool  
Managing Editor,  
Nexus Academic Publishers (NAP)  
**Website:** <http://nexusacademicpublishers.com>  
**Email:** [info@nexusacademicpublishers.com](mailto:info@nexusacademicpublishers.com);  
[nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com](mailto:nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com)  
0092 300 7786573

## 10. Surat Galley Proof ke JAAVS (18 Juni 2021)



riswandi\_dya@yahoo.com

Kepada: **Nexus**

**Publishers** [publishersnap@gmail.com](mailto:publishersnap@gmail.com)

Fri, 18 Jun 2021

Dear Irfan Rasool

Managing Editor,  
Nexus Academic Publishers (NAP)


We hereby present the results of the revised manuscript entitled "The Effect of Supplementation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* on Haylage Roughage Swamp-Based Feeds on Rumen Fermentation Characteristics and Methane Emissions". (MH20201130041101-rev3).

First of all, we really appreciate your helpful comments. Our reply to the editor's question and the revised point of the galley proof is in the author's response column of the word file attachment. Please confirm the relevant sections highlighted in red in the revised manuscript.

All authors have read and agree with the final version of the manuscript. That's our revision, please for further processing, thank you.

best regards

Riswandi



## AUTHOR QUERY FORM

QUERY REF	PAGE No	DETAILS REQUIRED	AUTHOR'S RESPONSE
AQ1	All Pages	Please check for the biological / scientific names and confirm that they all are <b>given in correct order and italic</b> font style.	Our response is as follows: 1. on page 2 of the Haylage Production section, it says <i>bento</i> grass has been revised to <i>bento rayap grass</i> 2. on page 2 of the <i>In Vitro</i> Fermentation and Chemical Analyzes section, it says <i>bento</i> grass has been revised to <i>bento rayap grass</i> . 3. on page 2 in the sample preparation and experimental design section, it says in vitro was revised to italic <i>in vitro</i>

All authors have read and agree with the final version of the manuscript. That's our revision, please for further processing, thank you.

## 11. Surat Fully Publisher JAAVS (12 Juli 2021)

Your article is now fully published in Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences



**Nexus  
Publishers** [publishersnap@gmail.com](mailto:publishersnap@gmail.com)  
Kepada: [riswandi\\_dya@yahoo.com](mailto:riswandi_dya@yahoo.com)

Mon, 12 Jul 2021

Dear Author,

It is our pleasure to inform you that your article is now fully published in Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences:

[http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/table\\_of\\_contents\\_articles/4/SXNzdWUgOA==/2021](http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/table_of_contents_articles/4/SXNzdWUgOA==/2021)

It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to update all co-authors. We take this opportunity to exploit our social media tools for dissemination of your work and increasing the impact of your research. You can go to the html version of the article and share your article by clicking on either of the social media icons including Twitter, Facebook, LinkedIn etc. Additionally, we request all authors to cite this article where it is appropriate and valuable.

Thank you very much for your contribution in Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences and we look forward to receiving your future contributions soon.

Submit next article at: <http://manuscripthandler.com/nexus/Advances-in-Animal-and-Veterinary-Sciences>

Regards,  
Irfan Rasool  
Managing Editor,  
Nexus Academic Publishers (NAP)  
Website: <http://nexusacademicpublishers.com>  
Email: [info@nexusacademicpublishers.com](mailto:info@nexusacademicpublishers.com);  
[nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com](mailto:nexusacademicsonline@gmail.com)  
0092 300 7786573