# 2019 Okky

*By* okky Safira

DOI https://doi.org/10.18551/econeurasia.2019-05

UDC 331

## DO FEMALE DIRECTORS MODERATE THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN FINANCIAL REPORTING AGGRESSIVENESS AND TAX AGGRESSIVENESS? A STUDY OF LISTED MINING COMPANIES IN INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE

#### Savira Okky\*, Fuadah Luk Luk, Azwardi

Faculty of Economics, University of Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia "E-mail: okkysavira4444@yahoc.co.id

#### ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to analyze the association between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness. The moderating variable of this research was gender diversification in board's structure. This was also addressing to demography of Indonesian Mining Companies that majoritically had more men in on board's structure. This research took the research object at mining firms that listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2012-2017 which have been selected by purposive 4 ampling and transformed into 154 research observations (firm , year). The collected data were analyzed using 4 Pearson correlation test and panel regression with fixed effect model. This research showed that there was a significant positive relation between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggresiveness. After adding gender diversivication in board's structure as moderating variable, the value of corellation and the value of coefficient of determination (R2) increased. It meant moderating variable strengthened the association between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggresiveness. It could be concluded that this study supported the agency theory and the gender scheme theory. The conflict of interest between stakeholders and companyand the lack of number of woman on board's structure will strengthen the association of these non ethical bussiness behaviors.

#### KEY WORDS

Financial reporting aggressiveness, tax aggressiveness, directors board gender diversification, mining companies.

One of the corporations goals is making profit as maximum as possible aimed to fulfill deed corporations that might make several decisions for making bussiness keep growing and developing. In order to generate optimum profit, corporations often commit an action which can reduce expenses, for example is avoiding tax expense. Tax avoidance actually is not prohibited and even still permitted as long as still following the rule established by tax constitution. But sometimes, tax avoidance committed aggressively or called tax aggressiveness (Frank, et.al 2009) could lead into tax evasion,

On the other hands, to restore the good reputation, like keeping the accountability for investor and debt covenant extension for creditors (Dyreng, 2009), an overstatement to makes financial performance seems accountable. To e make financial report seems better, earning management practice can be applied. An aggressive earning management practice could disclose financial reporting inapropiately and causes many disadvantage for stakeholders. This unethical bussiness behavior is called financial reporting aggressiveness (Frank, et.al 2009).

Based on the trade off theory (Brigham & Gapenski, 1999), financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness was impossible to apply simmultaneously because both of these behaviors are contradictory. Financial reporting aggressivenessemploys overstatement as the main principal or exaggerats profit disclosure while tax aggressivity does the opposite,.

Because company cannot execute financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness simultaneously, it is necessary to do a book tax trade off or exchange company's first decision carried out in certain period, whether the tax aggressiveness or the financial reporting aggressiveness is prioritized, depending on the interest to be achieved.

DOI https://doi.org/10.18551/econeurasia.2019-05

However, Frank et al. (2009) stated that companies should not do a book-tax trade off since companies are subjects which can distinguish goals from income calculations both earnings reporting decisions and tax payments. This was also supported by Hashim et.al, (2016) stating that there is a positive relation between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness. This meant, the absence of book-tax trade off was assumed to occur due to the increasing number of loop holes that companies can take advantage of the differences in accounting principles and tax regulations.

Referring to the Ministry of Finance, tax aggressiveness and financial reporting aggressiveness are found in the mining sector. In 2012, the targeted income tax revenue from mining sector was IDR 140.9 trillion but only realized IDR 43.8 trillion or only 30.8% of the revenue target and 70.2% of income tax while the income from the mining sector waslost. This was also supported by the effective tax rate of mining companies in Indonesia in 2012-2017 which was quite low, indicating the act of tax aggressiveness.

A number of cases of financial reporting aggressiveness were also encountered together with aggressive financial behavior, for example in 2012, PT Anchora Mining Service revealed its income of IDR 34.9 billion (overstatement) while there was no debt recognition, but there was evidence of interest of IDR 18 billion, and proof of transaction of IDR 5.3 billion but is unclear to what for. Then in the firs semester of 2015, PT Timah announced at the press conference that its financial performance was good, even though there were losses on operating revenues of IDR 59 billion. Still in the same year, PT Medco E &P Indonesia was also reportedly to manipulate financial reports in calculating cost recovery which ultimately reduced the amount of revenue-sharing funds received by the government.

In Indonesia, the composition of the board of directors in mining companies are still dominated by men. Based on the empirical evidence (Francis et.al, 2014; Oyenike & Olayinka, 2016; Torgler & Valev, 2010; Zemzem & Ftouhi, 2013), it is revealed that proportional composition can be a factor to determine the level of unethical business behaviour such as financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness. Men are still considered as risktaker in decision-making process while women are more obedient in the regulations and engage in less fraud as well (Wahid, 2018). The results of the study showed that a large percentage of women on board of directors will reduce the act of financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness and vice versa.

From the explanations above, this study discussed the correlation between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness moderated by gender diversification in board's structure or in other words the percentage of woman directors in the board. The addition of gender diversification in boards' structure as moderating variable differs this study from previous ones. Therefore, this study is expected to inovating and adding insights more clearly about financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness behaviour. To avoid the dependent variable influenced by not determined factors, this study adds ROA and size as a control variables.

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

Agency theory (Jensen and Meckling, 1976) explained that there was a conflict of interest between principal (government, investors and creditors) and agency (company) due to different motive. The agency tried to do many profitable things even though this does not reflect the actual bussiness activity in the company. This contradics to principal purposewhich needs transparency and accountability.

In this case, the conflict of interest is realized when the agency has manipulated financial reporting aggressiveness to investor and creditor, following by tax aggressiveness that can burden the government. This supportedFrank et al., (2009)opinion that company was an object which could decide to execute bussiness even though involving unethical behaviours such as financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness without concerning trading off between them. In other words, there is a conflict of interest that the company will make any effort without regarding to the stakeholders' (principals) interests,

DOI https://doi.org/10.18551/econeurasia.2019-05

namely maximizing the company's profits assisted by financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness.

Gender scheme theory was officially introduced by Bem (1981)as a cognitive theory to explain how individuals were classified in certain genders in society, and how characteristics related to gender were fostered and passed on to other members of a culture. Most gender-related information was channeled by community through "schemes", or information networks that allow some information to be easier to understand. Gender schemes could be defined as perceptions and processes of learning on behavior and attributes that were in accordance with their sex or according to labels given by the community, culture, and environment around them(Bem, 1981). Consequently, it can be understood that gender is not always related to its role. It is the environment and culture that actually make gender an essential process of understanding (cognition) among various existing social classifications; ethnicity, religiosity, and race (Bem, 1981).

Based on the views above, it can be concluded that gender is a concept formed by social communities which generally classify feminine and mesculine characteristics that influence the formation and division of roles for men and women in society. However, the growth of civilization has modified the concept of formation and division of roles.

This assumes that according to the stigma in society still strongly sticked with patriarchal culture men are still considered as the dominant gender. They are traditionally still considered as masculine, risk taker, and more rational. For the association between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness, men are still considered capable to make the relation stronger while women will be more obedient, emotional, and risk adverse to the unethical behaviors. Therefore, based on this theory, gender diversification in board's structure iscapable to moderate the association between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness.

Hashim et al. (2016) examined whether there is an association between financial reporting aggressiveness proxied by accounting irregularities (accounting deviations) and tax aggressiveness. The results showed that accounting irregularities had a positive effect on tax aggressiveness, meaning that it will increase tax reporting aggressiveness. A positive relationship between tax aggressiveness and financial reporting aggressiveness confirmed its existence (Frank et al., 2009). It can be said that if the business entity conducts tax aggressiveness, the financial statements will also be manipulated.

Kamila and Martani (2014) agreed that the relationship between tax aggressiveness and financial reporting aggressiveness related to both directions. In other words, the financial aggressiveness can affect tax aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness can affect financial reporting aggressiveness. This was understood because financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness tend to be the samein whichone control in financial reporting aggressiveness carried out to minimize the burden was the taxation strategy.

Different from Hashim et.al, Frank et.al, and Kamila and Martani, Lennox et.al, (2013), and Erickson et al. (2004) found that company's tax aggressive tended to avoi financial reporting fraud in the United States, and Erickson et al. (2004) showed that company complied to pay taxes on fraudulent profits to avoide fraudulent identification on their financial reporting.

Francis et al. (2014)investigated whether there was an influence of the CFO gender on corporate tax aggressiveness. By using the probability of tax sheltering, it could be concluded that the women CFO is less aggressive than the men CFO measured from the CFO turnover period (from the men CFO to the women CFO).

Oyenike and Olayinka (2016) examined the influence of woman leaders on tax aggressiveness. The results provedthat there was an influence of woman leaders on tax aggressiveness. Zemzem and Ftouhi (2013)showed that board size and percentage of temale leaders influenced tax aggressiveness. Boussaidi and Hamed (2015) showed that the mechanism of leadership i.e., gender and board size influenced the actions of tax aggressiveness.

Enote et al. (2017) also confirmed that there wass a negative relationship between gender diversification on the board of directors and the financial reporting aggressiveness.

DOI https://doi.org/10.18551/econeurasia.2019-05

The proxy applied was the percentage of women composition in board's structure. Enofe et al. (2017) also suggested that 1/3 of the total board members should be women so that the actions of financial reporting aggressiveness can be avoided.

This was also approved by Lakhal (2015) and (Wahid, 2018) that, at least, there should be three women in board's structure because women are considered as crucial corporate governance device and better watchdog (better monitoring party) for financial aggresiveness behaviour and less involved in earning manipulation as well. Arun et al (2015) conducted a similar study to differentiate high debt companies and low debt companies. As a result, woman directors had a positive effect on earnings management in low-debt companies. Also, the presence of woman in board of directors will decrease income.

#### HYPOTHESIS

From the theoretical foundation and previous studies, it can be hypothesized that there is a relationship between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness. This disputed the tradeoff concept which stated that a company had to choose one of these behaviour (Frank et al., 2009; Hashim et al., 2016; Kamila & Martani, 2014). Therefore, the hypotheses were as follows:

H1: Financial reporting aggressiveness had a positive correlation with tax aggressiveness.

It can be summarized that the presence of women on the boards of directorswill lower down tax aggressiveness and financial reporting aggressiveness while men will be more aggressive in tax aggressiveness and financial reporting aggressiveness because they tended to be brave in taking risks(Boussaidi & Hamed, 2015; Enofe, 2017; Francis et al., 2014; Lakhai, 2015; Oyenike & Olayinka, 2016). Hypothesis 2 stated that gender diversification in board's structure can strengthen or weaken the correlation between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness. The dependent variable was not influenced by not examined factors, this study added ROA and size as a control variables.

H<sub>2</sub>: Gender diversification in board's structure moderated the correlation between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness.

#### METHODS OF RESEARCH

This study was a quantitative research. Data were collected from annual financial statements from 2012 to 2017. This study used secondary data obtained from financial reports and company annual reports that used to be the object of research. The data is collected from the official website http://www.idx.co.id or the company's official website.

The population of this study were mining companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2012-2017 with a total of 247 research objects (firm x year). This study used purposive sampling method for selecting samples and applying the unbalanced data panel method with criteria:

- Mining sector companies that are listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for 2012 to 2017 and not delisted.
- Publishing both financial reports and annual reports in accordance with the research variables.
- Mining companies that made a profit during the research period aimed to see the practice of financial reporting aggressiveness.

From those criteria above, 154 research objects (firm x year) were chosen as research samples. Tax aggressiveness as the dependent variable was proxied by the Effective Tax Rate (ETR). ETR is the results of the calculation of tax expense divided by pre-tax income. While the independent variable was financial reporting aggressiveness proxied by irregularities index (AI) also known as M Score developed by (Beneish, 1999). This was different from previous studyfinancial reporting aggressiveness was of measured useing Modified Jones Model (Frank et al., 2009; Kamila & Martani, 2014) developed by Dechow

DOI https://doi.org/10.18551/econeurasia.2019-05

et.al(1995). Accounting irregularities index was a combination of financial ratios considered more accurate to detect financial reporting aggressiveness (Hashim et al., 2016).

The eight financial ratios were: Gross Margin Index (GMI), Days Sales Receivable Index (DSRI), Asset Quality Index (AQI), Depreciation Index (DEPI), Selling General and Administrative Expense Index (SGAI), Sales Growth Index (SGI), Leverage Index (LVGI), and Total Accruals to Total Assets (TATA). Those ratios were calculated using the following formula which forms accounting irregularities index (M Score):

AI = -4,84 + 0.92 DSRI + 0,528 GMI + 0.404 ACI + 0.892 SGI + 0.115 DEPI - 0.172 SGAI + 4,679 TATA - 0,327 LVGI

Formula to measure those eight ratios are in Table 1:

Table 1 - Eight Ratios of Accounting Irregularities Index

DSRI.	Net Reicevable(t)/Sales(t)
	Net Reicevable $(t-1)/Sales(t-1)$
GMI	GrossIncome(t-1)/Sales(t-1)
	Grass Income (t)/Sales(t)
AQI	Current Amer (r)+Pixed Appet (t)
	Total Asist (2)
	CHITCHY Asset (t-1)+Fixed Asset (t-1)
	Total Atret (1-1)
DEPI-	Depreciation (i=1)
	Depreciation $(t-1)+Nixed$ Analt $(t-1)$
	Deprecial (in (2)
	Depreciation (t)+ Flund Asset (t)
SGAI	SGA/Sales (t)
	SGA/Sales(t-1)
SGI	Sales (t)
	Sales $(t-1)$
LVGI	[(Current Lightlities (t)+Futul Long Term Bebe (t)]
70.71X	Total Austra (c)
	[(Current Michillies (i-1)+Total Long Term Belie (i-1)]
	Total Anset (t-1)
TATA	Income from operating $(t)$ – Cash flows from operating $(t)$
	Total Asset (t)

Source: Bainesh, 1999.

Gender diversification in board's structure was measured by the presence of women within. The control variables of this study were company's size and profitability to reduce the impact of error factors from nor examined variables. Size was measured from the natural logarithm 4 total assets(Zimmerman, 1983). Profitability was proxied by return of assets (ROA) and measured by dividing net income with total assets (Chen et.al, 2010).

Correlation analysis and data panel regression were used as the analytical method. The models used in this research were:

$$ETR_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Al_{i,1} + + \alpha_2 SIZE_{i,1} + \alpha_3 ROA_{i,1} + \epsilon_{i,1}$$
 Model 1  
 $ETR_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Al_{i,1} + + \alpha_2 SIZE_{i,1} + \alpha_3 ROA_{i,t} + \alpha_4 Al.DivGender_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$  Model 2

Where: ETR = Effective Tax Rate; AI = Accounting Irregularities Index; DivGender = Gender Diversification in Board's Structure; Size = Company's Size; ROA = Return of Assets;  $\alpha_0$  = constant; i = company-I; t = company's year period;  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_4$  = coefficient;  $\epsilon$  = error factor.

#### RESULTS OF STUDY

Multicollinearitywas used to find out whether there was an association between independent variables and control variables(Sujarweni, 2016). If the correlation value in

DOI https://doi.org/10.18551/econeurasia.2019-05

independent variable and control variable <0.8, multicollinearity will not occur. The results of multicollinearity can be seen in table 2 below:

Table 2 - Multicollinearity Test Result

	Al	SIZE	ROA	AI*DIVGEN
Al	1.000000	-0.007806	0.024633	0.074862
SIZE	-0.007806	1.0000000	0.365509	-0.160664
FIOA	0.024633	0.365509	1.000000	0.051029
AI'DIVGEN	0.074862	-0.160664	0.051029	1.000000

Source: output E-views 10.0 (2019).

Table 2 shows that all independent variables and <23 trol variables have a value <0.8. It concludes that there are no multicollinearity problems between independent variables and control variables.

To determine the proximity degree of the relationship between independent variable (financial reporting aggressiveness) and dependent variable (tax aggressiveness), Pearson correlation analysis was used(Sujarweni, 2016). The results of the Pearson correlation test are as follows:

Table 3 – The Association BetweenFinancial Aggresiveness and Tax Aggresiveness

Correlation Probability	ETR	AI
ETR	1.000000	
	*****	
Al	0.699704	1.000000
5-752	0.0000	*****

Source: output E-views 10.0 (2019).

Table 4 below shows the results of Pearson correlation test after adding gender diversification in board's structureas moderating variable:

Table 4 – The Association Between Financial Aggresiveness and Tax Aggresiveness Moderated by Gender Diversification in Board's Structure

Correlation Probability	ETR	AIDIVGEN
ETR	1.000000	
Al*DIVGEN	0.757146	1.000000
	0.0000	*****

Source: autout E-views 10.0 (2019).

Based on the results of the Pearson correlation test, model 1 is 0.699704 and model 2 is 0.757146. The value of correlation between 0.600 and 0.799 is categorized as strong (Sujarweni, 2016). Therefore, it can be concluded that there is an association between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness which is positively significant and strengthened by the gender diversification in board's structure because the association level increases from 0.699704 to 0.757146.

The regression method employed was data panel regression. This was because it combined data between cross section and times series. Unlike normal regression, data panel regression had several steps to determine the best estimation model. To determine the best model between common effect models, fixed effects, and random effects models, there were several tests to do:

DOI https://doi.org/10.18551/econeurasia.2019-05

Table 5 - DeterminationTest Result

	Model 1 (p-value)	Model 2 (p-value)	
Chow Test Result	0.0016	0.0017	
Hausman Test Result	0.0000	0.0000	

Source: autout E-views 10.0 (2019).

Table 5 aboveshows that for model 1, p-value <0.05 or 0.0016 <0.05 and for model 2, p-value <0.05 or 0.0017 <0.05. So, the fixed effect model was better than the common effect model for both model 1 and model 2.

From the test results above, it could be seen that for model 1, p-value <0.05 or 0.0000 <0.05 and for model 2, p-value <0.05 or 0.0000 <0.05. Thus, the fixed effect model was better than random effect model for both model 1 and model 2. Based on the results of Chow test and Hausman test on model 1 and model 2, the best data panel regression model was fixed effect model, so it was no need to test Lagrange Multiplier anymore. Therefore, it can be concluded that the best model for this study wass the fixed effect model.

Table 6 - The Result of Panel Data Regression Analysis with Fixed Effect Model

Model 1	Model 2	
0.211179	0.212021	
(0.01919)	(0.0192)	
0.12486	0.12557	
(0.0101)	(0.0106)	
0.010250	-0.000969	
(0.5229)	(0.5418)	
0.251431	0.248423	
(0.B131)	(0.8159)	
NO 1/8	0.26896	
	(0.009920)	
0.267071	0.267111	
0.104198	0.099242	
1.639752	1.591190	
(D.015774)	(0.021027)	
	0.211178 (0.01919) 0.12486 (0.0101) -0.010250 (0.5229) 0.251431 (0.8131) 0.267071 0.104198 1.639752	

Source: output E-views 10.0 (2019).

Based on the results of panel data regression in table 6, the regression models in this study are:

Model 1 - ETR<sub>G</sub> = 0.211179 + 0.12486Al<sub>G</sub> -0.010250SIZE<sub>G</sub> + 0.251431ROA<sub>G</sub> +  $\epsilon$ ; Model 2:- ETR<sub>G</sub> = 0.212021 + 0.12457Al<sub>G</sub> -0.009969SIZE<sub>G</sub> + 0.248423ROA<sub>G</sub> + 0.26936Al\*DIVGEN +  $\epsilon$ .

Table 6 shows that probability F statistic's significances are 0.015774 for model 1 and 0.021027 for model 2lt means regression models can be used, so the models are able to test the significance of statistical test t or individual parameters.

Determination coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) of panel data regressions model 1 is 0.267071. It means 26.7071% of tax aggressiveness varians can be explained by independent and control variable. While the remainder of 73.2929% is explained by other variables that is not examined. Moderating variable added on model 2increases determination of coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) of 0.267111, meaning to explain dependent variable for 26.7111%. While the remainder of 73.3889% is explained by other variable that is not included as well.

The regression coefficient of financial reporting aggressiveness presents a positive direction of 0.12486 with a significance value of 0.01919 that lower than 0.05 (0.01919 <0.05) so that H<sub>1</sub> is accepted. It means, the higher the level of financial reporting aggressiveness, the higher the level of tax aggressiveness. This is also followed by Pearson correlation on the previous test with value of 0.699704. Different with Lennox et al. (2013) and Erickson et al. (2004), this result is consistent with the previous studies presenting that there

DOI https://doi.org/10.18551/econeurasia.2019-05

is an association between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness with positive direction (Frank et al., 2009; Hashim et al., 2016; Kamila & Martani, 2014).

This result also supports agency theory (Jensen & Meckling, 1976) stating the the conflict of interest will drive agency (company) to make profitable decisions even though it does not follow applicable regulation, namely tax regulation and accounting principal. Also, it does not comply book-tax tradeoff rules where the highlevel of financial reporting aggressiveness is simultaneously follows by the high level of tax aggressiveness too.

The result in model 2 shows that there are positive direction in regression coefficients where Al\*DIVGEN had a value of 0.26896 with a significance value of 0.009920 (0.009920 < 0.05). Gender Divestification in board's structure as a moderating variable (Al\*DIVGEN) had a positive effect on the association between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness, so that the second hypothesis (H<sub>b</sub>) is accepted.

It can be interpreted that the smaller the number of women in board's structure, the higher the level of correlation between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness. In other words, the correlation of these variables is strenghtened. This result supported previous studies that the presence of women in governance and itheir rivolvement in board's structure will increase non ethical bussiness behaviour and vice versa (Francis et al., 2014; Lakhal, 2015; Lestari & Wardhani, 2015; Ovenike & Olavinka, n.d.; Wahid, 2018).

This result was also in accordance with gender scheme theory (Bem, 1981) which stated that gender categorization is determined by the surrounding environment. This related to indonesian culture, demographic factors, and patriarchy's point of view that still assume men are the dominant risktaker and brave, while women are still considered as more comply for following the rules.

For control variables, it can be intrepreted from size and ROA value. It can be seen that regression coefficients of size are -0.010250 for model 1 and -0.009969 for model 2 with negative direction. It can be inteprated that the larger the company the lower the tax aggresiveness level. It means the larger the mining company ,the more it complies for tax regulation to not implement tax aggressiveness Zimmerman (1983) and Kamila & Martani (2014).

The regression coefficients of ROA is 0.251431 for model 1 and 0.248423 for model 2. It means, the bigger the profitable mining company, the more the tax aggresiveness behaviour occured (Chen et al., 2010). However, p-value for regression coefficients of size and ROA are higher than 0.05 which means the control variables do not explain independent variable significantly.

#### CONCLUSSION

Based on the results of the association between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness moderated by gender diversification in board's structure, it can be concluded that there is a correlation between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness with positive direction in mining companies during 2012 to 2017 with control variable size and profitability. This study supports Hashim et al., (2016) that there is no trade-off between financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness. Gender diversification in board's structure can moderate the correlation between the financial reporting aggressiveness and tax aggressiveness. The lower the percentage of women in the board of directors in mining companies, the stronger the relationship between these two unethical business behaviours.

There are several limitations in this study. It is expected that the next future researchs will expand the scope of research in other sectors such as plantation, property, and chemicals production. Also, they can add the dependent variables or other control variables so that the value of R square and Pearson correlation can increase.

Further researchers are also encouraged to redevelop research by combining variables relating to other unethical business behaviours, or moderating variables that can strengthen the relationship between dependent variable and independent variable such as audit tenure, ethnicity, religion or age.

DOI https://doi.org/10.18551/econeurasia.2019-05

#### REFERENCES

- Arun, T. G., Ebrahem, Y., & Ali-aribi, Z. (2015). Article Female Directors and Earnings Management: Evidence from UK companies. International Review of Financial Analysis, 11 137–146. Retrieved from http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/11691/
- Bern, S. L. (1981). Gender schema theory: A cognitive account of sex typing. Psychological Review, 88(4), 354–364. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.88.4.354
- Beneish, M. D. (1999). The Detection of Earnings Manipulation. Financial Analysts Journal -, 55(5), 24–36. https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v55.n5.2296
- Boussaidi, A., & Harned, M. S. (2015). the Impact of Governance Mechanisms on Tax Aggressiveness: Empirical Evidence From Tunisian Context. Issn. 5(1), 2309–8295.
   19ps://doi.org/10.18488/journal.1006/2015.5.1/1006.1.1.12
- Brigham, E. F., & Gapenski, L. C. (1999). Financial Management: Theory And Practice. San Diego: Harcourt Publisher.
- Chen, S., Chen, X., Cheng, Q., & Shevlin, T. (2010). Are Family Firms more Tax 27 gressive than Non-family Firms? Journal of Financial Economics, 95(1), 41–61.
   13 bs://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2009.02.003
- Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G., & P., S. A. (1995). Detecting Earnings Management. The Accounting Ref 16 v. 70(2), 193–225.
- Dyreng, S. D. (2009). The Cost of Private Debt Covenant Violation. Working paper, Duke University. Durham, North Carolina. Retrieved from https://ssrn.com/abstract=1478970
- Enofe, A. O. (2017). Board Ethnicity, Gender Diversity and Earning Management: Evidence from Quoted Firms in Nigeria. International Journal of Economics, Commerce 24th Management, V(6), 78–90.
- Erickson, M., Hanlon, M., Maydew, E. L., Erickson, M., Hanlon, M., & Maydew, E. L. (2004). How Much Will Firms Pay for Earnings That Do Not Exist? Evidence of Taxes and on Allegedly Fraudulent Earnings. The Accounting Review, 79(2), 387–408.
- Francis, B. B., Hasan, I., Wu, Q., & Yan, M. 2114). Are Female CFOs Less Tax Aggressive? Evidence from Tax Aggressiveness. The Journal of the American Taxation Ossociation, 36(2), 171–202. https://doi.org/10.2308/atax-50819
- Frank, M. M., Lynch, L. J., & Rego, S. O. (2009). Tax reporting aggressiveness and its relation to aggressive financial reporting. Accounting Review, 84(2), 467–496.
   Isbs://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2009.84.2.467
- 13. I5 shim, H. A., Ariff, A. M., & Amrah, M. R. (2016). Accounting Irregularities and Tax.
   2 ternational Journal of Economics, Management and Accounting, 1(1), 1–14.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of The Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3, 305–360.
- Kamila, P. A., & Martani, D. (2014). Analisis hubungan agresivitas pelaporan keuangan dan agresivitas pajak. Simposium Nasional Akuntansi (SNA) XVII "Peranan Akuntan Dalam Mewujudkan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan Melalui Pelaporan Terintegrasi", Nusa Tenggara B at, 24 – 27 September 2014.
- Lakhal, F. (2015). Do Women On Boards And In Top Management Reduce Earnings Management? Evidence In France. The Journal of Applied Business Research, 31(3), 1107–1118.
- Lennox, C., Lisowsky, P., & Pittman, J. (2013). Tax Aggressiveness and Accounting Fraud. Journal of Accounting Research, 51(4), 739–778. https://doi.org/10.1111/joar.12002
- Lestari, N., & Wardhani, R. 23 115). The effect of the tax planning to firm value with moderating board diversity. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 5(1), 315–323. https://doi.org/10.1506/car.26.1.1
- Oyenike, 17 & Olayinka, E. (2016). Female Directors and Tax Aggressiveness of Listed Banks in Nigeria. In The 3rd International Conference on African Development Issues 30U-ICADI 2016), 3, (pp. 293–299). Ota, Nigeria: Covenant University. Retrieved from http://eprints.covenantuniversity.edu.ng/6673/1/icadi16pp293-299.pdf

DOI https://doi.org/10.18551/econeurasia.2019-05

18

- Sujarweni, V. W. (2016). Penelitian Akuntansi dengan SPSS. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Zustaka Baru Press.
- Torgler, B., & Valev, N. T. (2010). Gender and public attitudes toward corruption and tax evasion. Contemporary Economic Policy, 28(4), 554–568. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1465-7287.2009.00188.x
- Wahid, A. S. (2018). The Effects and the Mechanisms of Board Gender Diversity: Evidence from Financial Manipulation. Journal of Business Ethics, Forthcoming Rotman School of Management Working Paper No. 2930132, 7(28), 1–21.
- Zemzern, A., & Ftouhi, K. (2013). The Effects of Board of Directors' Characteristics on 3x Aggressiveness. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 4(4), 2222–2847.
- Zimmerman, J. L. (1983). Taxes and Firm Size. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 5, 119-149. Doi:10.1016/0165-4101(83)90008-3, 5(2), 119-149.

## 2019 Okky

**ORIGINALITY REPORT** 

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

#### **PRIMARY SOURCES**

- 0-papers-ssrn-com.libweb.hofstra.edu 26 words < 1%
- Lars Engwall, Matthias Kipping. "MANAGEMENT  $_{25\,words} < 1\%$  EDUCATION, MEDIA AND CONSULTING AND THE CREATION OF EUROPEAN MANAGEMENT PRACTICE", Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research, 2006  $_{Crossref}$
- Epameinondas Katsikas, Dimitrios Koufopoulos, Jacob Lewis. "The Relationship Between Effective Tax Rate and Firm Size", International Journal of Corporate Finance and Accounting, 2020  $_{\text{Crossref}}$
- 4 mgt.sjp.ac.lk 24 words < 1 %
- Ozgur Ozdemir. "Board diversity and firm performance in the U.S. tourism sector: The effect of institutional ownership", International Journal of Hospitality Management, 2020
- Farhana Hasbolah, Mohamad Hafiz Rosli, Siti Aisyah Omar. "A Preliminary Study Of Academicians' Perception Towards Women PN Boards In

## Malaysian Public Listed Companies", JAK (Jurnal Akuntansi) Kajian Ilmiah Akuntansi, 2020

Crossref

Crossref

- Jan Hunady. "Individual and institutional determinants of corruption in the EU countries: the problem of its tolerance", Economia Politica, 2017

  Crossref
- Hugh Grove, Mac Clouse, Tracy Xu. "Audit committee guidance for strengthened corporate governance", Corporate Board role duties and composition, 2020
- Rebekka Kager, Deborah Schanz, Rainer Niemann. "Estimation of Tax Values Based on IFRS Information: An Analysis of German DAX30 and Austrian ATX Listed Companies", Accounting in Europe, 2011  $^{\text{Crossref}}$
- Chang Seop Rhee, Sohee Woo, Dong Hyun Kim.

  "The Effect of Female Employment on Corporate Sustainability in Terms of Tax Avoidance", Sustainability, 2019

  Crossref
- Milligan, Keelin Ryan. "The Use of Bibliotherapy to 19 words < 1% Treat Children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Guide for Parents.", University of Hartford, 2020
- Samer Khalil, Mohamad Mazboudi. "Client Acceptance and Engagement Pricing following Auditor Resignations in Family Firms", AUDITING: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 2016

  Crossref

- Brenda Van Tendeloo, Ann Vanstraelen. "Earnings 17 words <1% Management and Audit Quality in Europe: Evidence from the Private Client Segment Market", European Accounting Review, 2011
- Bruno Maria Franceschetti. "Chapter 5 Does Financial Crisis Cause Earnings Management?", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018

  Crossref
- Daniel Dupuis, Martin Spraggon, Virginia Bodolica. "Family business identity and corporate governance attributes: Evidence on family-owned enterprises in the UAE", Corporate Ownership and Control, 2017  $_{\text{Crossref}}$
- Umar Butt. "Debt covenant violation, competition and cost of new debt", Australian Journal of Management, 2018

  Crossref
- Winifred U. Anake, Nsikak U. Benson, Imokhai
  Theophilus Tenebe, PraiseGod Chidozie Emenike,
  Godson R. E. E Ana, Suojiang Zhang. "Chemical speciation and health risks of airborne heavy metals around an industrial community in Nigeria", Human and Ecological Risk Assessment:
  An International Journal, 2018
  Crossref
- Midian Cristy Rori, Herman Karamoy, Hendrik Gamaliel. "Pengaruh Dana Pihak Ketiga, Fee Based Income dan Spread Interest Rate Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Perbankan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia)", JURNAL RISET AKUNTANSI DAN AUDITING "GOODWILL", 2017

- Adrian Buckley. "An introduction to security returns", The European Journal of Finance, 1999

  Adrian Buckley. "An introduction to security 11 words < 1%
- Feng Wang, Jingru Wang, Peipei Han, Yuewen Liu et al. "Relationship Between Tooth Loss and Sarcopenia in Chinese Suburban Community-dwelling Older Adults", Research Square Platform LLC, 2021
- Osswald, Benjamin. "Does Tax Risk Attenuate the Positive Association Between Internal and External 9 words <1% Information Quality?", The University of Wisconsin Madison, 2020
- Archie Rizkyanti Santoso, Munawir Yusuf, Arif Tri
  Setyanto. "The Correlation of Goal Orientation and Impostor Phenomenon Towards Academic Anxiety on Sebelas Maret University Students", Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Learning Innovation and Quality Education, 2020

  Crossref
- Gregorovious, Jaason. "Examination of the Effect of  $_{8 \text{ words}} < 1\%$  Integrated Reporting on Financial Performance of Publicly Traded Companies in the United States.", Northcentral University, 2021
- Lin, Tony Liang Ju. "Applying Deep Learning to Examine Tax Footnotes: A Study of Emotions and Tax Outcomes.", Drexel University, 2020

  ProQuest 8 words -<1%
- Lorenzo Patelli, Matteo Pedrini. "Is Tone at the Top  $_{8 \text{ words}}$  < 1% Associated with Financial Reporting

### Aggressiveness?", Journal of Business Ethics, 2013

Crossref

- 8 words = < 1%Mukherjee, Aparna. "Dynamics of Early Marriage 26 of Girls in Rural Uttar Pradesh: A Study into the Economic, Social and Human Rights Aspects.", International Institute for Population Sciences University, 2021 ProQuest
- 8 words < 1% Rotter, Allen Eric. "International Security and the Space Domain: Applying Traditional Theories of International Relations to the Astropolitical Environment.", The University of Alabama, 2021 ProQuest
- $_{8 \text{ words}}$  -<1%Sahin, Elif Gokce. "The Effect of Environmental 28 Transparency on the Financial Performance of Publicly Traded Companies in Turkey.", Harvard University, 2021

ProQuest

- 8 words < 1%Yiding Yue, Jinyou Zou. "The Role of Wealth and 29 Health in Insurance Choice: Bivariate Probit Analysis in China", 'Hindawi Limited', 2014 Internet
- $_{7 \text{ words}}$  < 1%Ifeanyi Adigwe, Thomas van der Walt. "Parental 30 mediation of online media activities of children in Nigeria: A parent-child approach", Computers in Human Behavior Reports, 2020

Crossref