



hamzah fkmunsri <hamzah@fkm.unsri.ac.id>

Invitation to review a manuscript for Malaria Journal -MALJ-D-21-00405

2 messages

Malaria Journal Editorial Office <em@editorialmanager.com>

27 July 2021 at 20:16

Reply-To: Malaria Journal Editorial Office <ayesha.siddiqka@springernature.com>

To: Hamzah Hasyim <hamzah@fkm.unsri.ac.id>

MALJ-D-21-00405

The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment-seeking behaviors among forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam

Mahesh Paudel; Kemi Tesfazghi; Hoa Nguyen; Sochea Phok; Shwetha Srinivasan; Jennifer Wheeler

Malaria Journal

Dear Mr. Hasyim,

I would like to invite you to review the manuscript above which has been submitted to Malaria Journal. Further details including the full abstract can be found at the end of this email.

If you are able to review this submission please click on this link:

<https://www.editorialmanager.com/maljl/l.asp?i=160058&l=WGY00LHP>

If you are unable to review this submission please click on this link:

<https://www.editorialmanager.com/maljl/l.asp?i=160059&l=DSVVAHFU>

We ask reviewers to return their report within 21 days of agreeing to review, however if you need more time please do let us know as we may be able to arrange an alternative deadline.

You are requested to submit your review online by using the Editorial Manager system.

Your username is: Hamzah

If you forgot your password, you can click the 'Send Login Details' link on the EM Login page at

<https://www.editorialmanager.com/maljl/>.

In order to keep delays to a minimum, please accept or decline this invitation online within the next three days. If you are unable to review the manuscript, we would be most grateful if you could suggest alternative reviewers.

Thank you for your time, and I look forward to hearing from you.

Best wishes,

Sara E Canavati, PhD, MSc

Malaria Journal

<https://malariajournal.biomedcentral.com/>

MALJ-D-21-00405

Research

The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment-seeking behaviors among forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam

Malaria Journal

Abstract: Background

Countries in the Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS) aim to eliminate all forms of malaria by 2030. In Cambodia and Vietnam Forest goers are at highest risk of malaria. Universal access to prompt diagnosis and treatment is a core malaria intervention. This can only be achieved by understanding the health seeking behaviors among the most vulnerable

groups and eliminating the barriers to prompt and effective treatment. This study aimed to improve understanding by exploring health seeking behaviors for febrile illness among populations at risk for malaria in Cambodia and Vietnam.

Methods

In 2019, researchers from Population Services International (PSI) conducted a population-based survey of forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam using respondent-driven sampling (RDS). In Cambodia two operational districts, Oral and Phnom Srouch, in Kampong Speu Province were included in the study. In Vietnam, communes located within 15 km of the forest edge in Binh Phuoc and Gia Lai provinces were selected. Adults who had spent at least one night per week or four nights per month in the forest over the past three months were considered potential participants for the study.

Results

75% in Cambodia and 65% in Viet Nam of forest goers sought treatment outside the home for illness. In Cambodia 39% sought treatment from the private sector, 32% from community health workers and 24% from public health facilities. In Vietnam, 62% sought care from community facilities, 29.3% from the private sector and 6.9% went to a public facility. Amongst forest goers who sought care, 33% in Cambodia and 52% in Vietnam did so within 24 hours.

Conclusions

This study is consistent with others that show that early diagnosis and treatment of malaria remains an obstacle to malaria elimination. This study also demonstrates that there are gaps in timeliness of care seeking amongst forest goers. The findings from this study around provider preference and delays in treatment seeking behaviors can be utilized to strengthen the design and targeting of malaria interventions and social behavior change strategies in order to accelerate malaria elimination in Cambodia and Vietnam.

****Our flexible approach during the COVID-19 pandemic****

If you need more time at any stage of the peer-review process, please do let us know. While our systems will continue to remind you of the original timelines, we aim to be as flexible as possible during the current pandemic.

This letter contains confidential information, is for your own use, and should not be forwarded to third parties.

Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at <https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy>. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/malj/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Malaria Journal Editorial Office <em@editorialmanager.com>
Reply-To: Malaria Journal Editorial Office <ayesha.siddiqka@springernature.com>
To: Hamzah Hasyim <hamzah.hasyim@gmail.com>

27 July 2021 at 20:16

[Quoted text hidden]



hamzah fkmunsri <hamzah@fkm.unsri.ac.id>

Thank you for agreeing to review a manuscript for Malaria Journal, MALJ-D-21-00405

2 messages

Malaria Journal Editorial Office <em@editorialmanager.com>

28 July 2021 at 05:06

Reply-To: Malaria Journal Editorial Office <ayesha.siddiqka@springernature.com>

To: Hamzah Hasyim <hamzah@fkm.unsri.ac.id>

Dear Mr. Hasyim,

Thank you for agreeing to review:

Manuscript Number: MALJ-D-21-00405

Title: The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment-seeking behaviors among forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam

submitted to: Malaria Journal

You can access the PDF by clicking this link: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/malj/l.asp?i=160075&l=EDXV8IWC>

If you are ready to submit your comments, you may click this link: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/malj/l.asp?i=160076&l=6D5Q1K33>

Please be aware that this link will expire after 1 click.

You can also submit your review by log onto the journal's website.

Your username is: Hamzah

If you forgot your password, you can click the 'Send Login Details' link on the EM Login page at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/malj/>.

We look forward receiving your review by 17 Aug 2021.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us. We appreciate your assistance.

With kind regards,

Sara E Canavati, PhD, MSc
Associate Editor

****Our flexible approach during the COVID-19 pandemic****

If you need more time at any stage of the peer-review process, please do let us know. While our systems will continue to remind you of the original timelines, we aim to be as flexible as possible during the current pandemic.

This letter contains confidential information, is for your own use, and should not be forwarded to third parties.

Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at <https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy>. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/malj/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Malaria Journal Editorial Office <em@editorialmanager.com>

28 July 2021 at 05:06

Reply-To: Malaria Journal Editorial Office <ayesha.siddiqka@springernature.com>

To: Hamzah Hasyim <hamzah.hasyim@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]



hamzah fkmunsri <hamzah@fkm.unsri.ac.id>

Thank you for your review for Malaria Journal - let us know how we can improve the reviewing process - [EMID:d56cce1b4cbf1972]

2 messages

Malaria Journal Editorial Office <em@editorialmanager.com>

8 August 2021 at 08:02

Reply-To: Malaria Journal Editorial Office <ayesha.siddiqka@springernature.com>

To: Hamzah Hasyim <hamzah@fkm.unsri.ac.id>

MALJ-D-21-00405

The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment-seeking behaviors among forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam
Malaria Journal

Dear Mr. Hasyim,

Thank you very much for your review of manuscript MALJ-D-21-00405, 'The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment-seeking behaviors among forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam'.

We greatly appreciate your assistance.

Best wishes,

Sara E Canavati, PhD, MSc

Malaria Journal

<https://malariajournal.biomedcentral.com/>

We really value your feedback! Please spend 1 minute to tell us about your experience of reviewing - click https://springernature.eu.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_cNPY5OM4ZC3PkON?J=12936

****Our flexible approach during the COVID-19 pandemic****

If you need more time at any stage of the peer-review process, please do let us know. While our systems will continue to remind you of the original timelines, we aim to be as flexible as possible during the current pandemic.

This letter contains confidential information, is for your own use, and should not be forwarded to third parties.

Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at <https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy>. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/malj/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Malaria Journal Editorial Office <em@editorialmanager.com>

8 August 2021 at 08:02

Reply-To: Malaria Journal Editorial Office <ayesha.siddiqka@springernature.com>

To: Hamzah Hasyim <hamzah.hasyim@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Close

**View Reviewer Comments for Manuscript
MALJ-D-21-00405
"The use of respondent - driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment
- seeking behaviours among forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam"**

Click the Reviewer recommendation term to view the Reviewer comments.

	Original Submission
Hamzah Hasyim (Reviewer 1)	Major Revision
(Reviewer 2)	Minor Revision
(Reviewer 3)	Minor Revision
Author	Response to Reviewers

Close

Overall reflections and a summary of the research - for

Manuscript Number:	MALJ-D-21-00405
Full Title:	The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment-seeking behaviors among forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam
Article Type:	Research

Reviewer

The research was of interest to the reviewer. Rapid diagnosis and treatment of malaria is a core intervention. This condition can only be achieved by understanding vulnerable groups' health-seeking behaviour and removing barriers to timely treatment. This study shows that early diagnosis and treatment of malaria remains a challenge. The study also found gaps in forest visitors' care-seeking timeliness. This study's findings on provider preferences and treatment-seeking delays can improve the targeting and design of malaria interventions and social behaviour change strategies in Cambodia and Vietnam. In line with the National Framework for Malaria Elimination, the Global Technical Strategy for Malaria aims to reduce case incidence and mortality by 90% by 2030.

However, the structure and content of the article need to be revised. As presented, the procedures were not described in enough detail. If the author decides to submit the manuscript, make necessary changes to this paper by completing the relevant recommendations of items in the article. After completing each offer, the reviewer can determine whether or not the authors' statement is appropriate. The author should read these recommendations for improving the study's reporting and respond to comments and suggestions on the page/line for each advice below.

One of the lovely articles considers being cited concerning Social determinants of malaria in an endemic area of Indonesia, which is available in <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-019-2760-8>

I recommend the manuscript published in the Malaria Journal after Major Revision.

Below is the paper's recommendation.

Title and abstract

Title

The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment-seeking behaviors among forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam

Recommendation

Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract

Abstract

Recommendation

Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found

Introduction

Background/rationale

Recommendation

Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported

Objectives

Recommendation

State-specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses

Methods

Study design

Recommendation

Present critical elements of study design early in the paper

Setting

Recommendation

Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection

Participants

Recommendation

- (a) Give the eligibility criteria and the sources and methods of selection of participants

Variables

Recommendation

Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable

Data sources/ measurement

Recommendation *

For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of assessment methods (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group

Bias

Recommendation

Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias

Study size

Recommendation

Explain how the study size was arrived at

Quantitative variables

Recommendation

Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why

Statistical methods

Recommendation

- (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding
- (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
- (c) Explain how missing data were addressed

(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy

(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses

Results

Participants

*Recommendation**

(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—e.g. numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed

(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage

(c) Consider the use of a flow diagram

Descriptive data

*Recommendation**

(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g. demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders

(b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest

Outcome data

*Recommendation**

Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure

Main results

Recommendation

(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included

(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorised

(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful period

Other analyses

Recommendation

Report other analyses are done—e.g. analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses

Discussion

Key results

Recommendation

Summarise key results concerning study objectives

Limitations

Recommendation

Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias

Interpretation

Recommendation

Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, a multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence

Generalisability

Recommendation

Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results

Other information

Funding

Recommendation

Indicate the source funding for this study and, if applicable, the original research upon which this article is based.

***Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.**

Other comments:

Please consider extensive editing of English grammar and usage.

[Close](#)
MALJ-D-21-00405**"The use of respondent - driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment - seeking behaviours among forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam"****Original Submission****Hamzah Hasyim (Reviewer 1)**

Reviewer Recommendation Term:		Major Revision
Transfer Authorization	Response	
If this submission is transferred to another publication, do we have your consent to include your identifying information?	Yes	
If this submission is transferred to another publication, do we have your consent to include your original review?	No	
Custom Review Question(s):	Response	
Publons Reviewer Recognition. Springer Nature can send verification of this review directly to Publons (a subsidiary of Clarivate Analytics). If you would like to take advantage of this service, please click on the "Yes" option below. Your name, email address, title of the reviewed manuscript, name of the journal, and date of your review submission (the "Review Data") will then be transmitted to Publons after the final decision on the manuscript has been made. If you have already registered at Publons, they will notify you of the receipt of this review and update your profile as per your settings and their policy. If you are not registered with Publons, you will receive an email from them asking you to register in order for them to be able to recognize your review on your new profile page. Publons may use the Review Data to generate derivative metadata for the benefit of Publons and you as a reviewer, carefully considering the sensitivity of such information. For example, Publons may verify your record as a reviewer by updating your profile published on its webservice if you have registered for such service or help editors to identify candidate reviewers. Please find the details of processing in Publons' privacy policy https://publons.com/about/terms	Yes	
Level of interest Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:	An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests	
Quality of written English Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:	Needs some language corrections before being published	
Declaration of competing interests Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions: 1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or	I declare that I have no competing interests	

- lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
 3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
 4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
 5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?
 6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

Comments to Editor:

Dear Editor-in-Chief
Malaria Journal,

The reviewer was intrigued by the work. Malaria diagnosis and treatment is a key intervention. Understanding vulnerable groups' health-seeking behavior and removing barriers to timely treatment is required. This study shows that malaria diagnosis and treatment remain difficult, and found gaps in forest visitors' timeliness. The findings on provider preferences and treatment-seeking delays can help Cambodia and Vietnam target and design malaria interventions and social behavior change strategies. Global Technical Strategy for Malaria aims to reduce case incidence and mortality by 90% by 2030.

I recommend the Malaria Journal manuscript after Major Revision.

Comments to Author:

Dear Authors,

The research was of interest to the reviewer. Rapid diagnosis and treatment of malaria is a core intervention. This condition can only be achieved by understanding vulnerable groups' health-seeking behavior and removing barriers to timely treatment. This study shows that early diagnosis and treatment of malaria remains a challenge. The study also found gaps in forest visitors' care-seeking timeliness. This study's findings on provider preferences and treatment-seeking delays can improve the targeting and design of malaria interventions and social behavior change strategies in Cambodia and Vietnam. In line with the National Framework for Malaria Elimination, the Global Technical Strategy for Malaria aims to reduce case incidence and mortality by 90% by 2030.

However, the structure and content of the article need to be revised. As presented, the procedures were not described in enough detail. If the author decides to submit the manuscript, make necessary changes to this paper by completing the relevant recommendations of items in the article. After completing each offer, the reviewer can determine whether or not the authors' statement is appropriate. The author should read these recommendations for improving the study's reporting and respond to comments and suggestions on the page/line for each advice below.

One of the lovely articles considers being cited concerning Social determinants of malaria in an endemic area of Indonesia, which is available in <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-019-2760-8>

Below is the paper's recommendation.

Close

Close

MALJ-D-21-00405**"The use of respondent - driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment - seeking behaviours among forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam"****Original Submission****(Reviewer 2)**

Reviewer Recommendation Term:		Minor Revision		
Transfer Authorization	Response			
If this submission is transferred to another publication, do we have your consent to include your identifying information?	Yes			
If this submission is transferred to another publication, do we have your consent to include your original review?	Yes			
Custom Review Question(s):	Response			
Level of interest Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:	An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests			
Quality of written English Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:	Needs some language corrections before being published			
Declaration of competing interests Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future? 2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future? 3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript? 4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript? 5. Do you have any other financial competing interests? 6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper? If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.	I declare that I have no competing interests.			
Comments to Author:				
This is a straightforward descriptive study of malaria care-seeking behavior in forest-going populations in Cambodia and Vietnam. The method used has become standard in recent years, and the analysis is clear. Of course the extent to which the conclusions are generalizable to 'forest-goers' is debatable. In that regard, I do not understand why there is no analysis of possible differences in the behavior the of target populations in the				

two geographically disjunct provinces of Vietnam. Surely this analysis was done, and if nothing of interest was found, it should be reported.

Though perhaps a minor point, it would of interest to check the records clinics, private providers, VMWs, etc to verify the extremely high test positivity rates reported by respondents.

Overall a good paper, and worthy of publication.

Close

[Close](#)
MALJ-D-21-00405**"The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment-seeking behaviors among forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam"****Original Submission****(Reviewer 3)**

Reviewer Recommendation Term:		Minor Revision
Transfer Authorization	Response	
If this submission is transferred to another publication, do we have your consent to include your identifying information?	No	
If this submission is transferred to another publication, do we have your consent to include your original review?	Yes	
Custom Review Question(s):	Response	
Level of interest Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:	An article of importance in its field	
Quality of written English Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:	Acceptable	
Declaration of competing interests Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future? 2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future? 3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript? 4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript? 5. Do you have any other financial competing interests? 6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper? If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.	I declare that I have no competing interests.	
Comments to Author:		
See attachment		

Close

Author's Response To Reviewer Comments

[Close](#)

Review 1

1. Recommendation:

Accept with revision

2. Comments to Authors:

Manuscript #: MALJ-D-21-00405

Title: The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment-seeking behaviors among forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam

Overview and general recommendation:

This study aimed to improve understanding of health seeking behaviors among a high-risk malaria population (i.e., forest goers) in Cambodia and Vietnam to provide evidence for improvement of elimination strategies in these countries. The study used an effective method of respondent driven sample to enroll a high-risk population that would otherwise be hard to sample and used a well-defined eligibility criterion. Unweighted and weighted demographics and health seeking behavior information collected on questionnaires, as well as differences in place of preference for healthcare sector were reported. The authors concluded that there were gaps in timeliness of care seeking and that preference and reason of healthcare sector choice was different in Cambodia and Vietnam.

The paper was well written, with minor grammatical mistakes however those did not take away from the main points in the manuscript. The methodology was outlined well, and the statistical data analysis section was clear. I am a little concerned about the broad definition of "febrile illnesses" that was used to identify health seeking behaviors, but I understand that the non-specificity of malaria symptoms along other tropical vector-borne diseases require this broad definition. The authors briefly address the differences in malaria endemicity between Vietnam and Cambodia in the introduction, however, reasons for why a combined manuscript on health seeking behaviors of these countries is not addressed in the paper. Some other minor issues were the inclusion too many tables in the Results section of the manuscript and the lack of study limitations.

I recommend this paper for publication with minor revision which are explained in more detail below.

2.1. Major Comments

1. How have other vector borne diseases in the region impacted malaria elimination efforts? Given the authors' broad criteria of febrile illnesses it implies that there are combination of prevention and intervention methods addressing multiple diseases in these areas, how has that impacted malaria elimination? Also, authors need to address why this broad definition of symptoms was used in the study.

Given the forest related nature of malaria in the GMS, the researchers used Forest going and febrile illness as the selection criteria. In the Cambodia and Vietnam the national strategic plan defines suspected cases of malaria as presence of fever and other the presence of other factors such as forest going.

2. Which parasite comprises most of cases now if not *p. falciparum*?

Plasmodium falciparum cases no longer account for most cases in the subregion with *Plasmodium vivax* accounting for majority of the cases. In 2019, *Plasmodium falciparum* accounted for only 32% compared to 80% of all cases in 2012.

3. Should there have been more specificity in methods to ascertain health seeking behaviors in the two countries? Regardless of the common study population at risk (i.e., forest goers), are there not differences by countries that should've been explored to understand health seeking behaviors in their context?

We treated two countries as independent of each other, other reason was selection criteria was slightly different for Cambodia and Vietnam. For Cambodia, an additional inclusion criterion, having a fever in the last three months, was used. In Vietnam, individuals with or without fever symptoms in last three months were included in the study.

4. Authors provide 2 definitions of forest goers, one definition for Vietnam (line 16 – 21) and then another general

definition (line 25 – 30). Need to clarify if separate definitions were used for Vietnam and Cambodia.

Added. Please refer to page 7: "In both countries, men and women ages 18 years and above who spent at least one night per week or four nights per month in the forest over the past 3 months were considered potential participants for the study. For Cambodia, an additional inclusion criterion, having a fever in the last three months, was used. In Vietnam, individuals with or without fever symptoms in last three months were included in the study. Forest goers meeting these criteria who produced a coupon or who were selected as a seed were included in the study."

5. The inclusion criteria for Cambodia seemed more specific than those for Vietnam. Did the authors exclude participants that exhibited a fever from the sample to see how results were affected?

For Cambodia, participants included those having fever in last 3 months while in Vietnam those with no fever symptoms in last 3 months were also included. Study is therefore limited to specific population in Cambodia.

Please refer to page 7: "In both countries, men and women ages 18 years and above who spent at least one night per week or four nights per month in the forest over the past 3 months were considered potential participants for the study. For Cambodia, an additional inclusion criterion, having a fever in the last three months, was used. In Vietnam, individuals with or without fever symptoms in last three months were included in the study. Forest goers meeting these criteria who produced a coupon or who were selected as a seed were included in the study."

6. Does malaria follow a seasonal pattern in Vietnam or Cambodia? What was the collection period? Was sample affected by sampling in low-malaria season?

This study was conducted in high-medium malaria season. Refer to Page 7 "Data collection was conducted during high malaria season (22, 23), between October 20-24 in Cambodia and between September 15-26 in Vietnam."

7. Were endemic specific questions not included for the two countries to look at different needs in health seeking behavior?

Study focused on forest going behavior and healthcare behavior, we did not include endemic specific questions for this study.

8. Binary variables (yes/no) in table only need one row to be reported (yes)

Done for Table 4.

9. Consider adding Table 3 & 4 as supplemental tables

Done, moved to end

10. Table 6: only report the category ranked highest in the top, second, and third reason for place of preference. If complete table is preferred, consider labeling it as supplemental table.

Done, moved to end

11. Did authors account for multiple comparisons in the regressions performed in Table 7?

We have not done multiple comparison procedure for our analysis.

12. Did regressions account for age, sex, income, etc.?

Age and education has been considered as confounding variables. Sex and income has not been considered for analysis.

13. Are treatment services from private sectors advertised better in Cambodia?

Treatment from the private sector is perceived as convenient and a large proportion of the population first seek care in the private sector.

14. Discuss limitations of study.

Add in Page 24 "The data in this study were self-reported by participants and do not include observational behavioural data or data from health facilities. Some recall bias is therefore possible. In addition, social desirability could also have influenced some of the responses. Additionally, we did not attempt to calculate the network size in either of the study areas, and this information is not included in the study results. Finally, we treated the two samples as independent networks and thus did not perform comparative analyses between the two countries."

2.2. Minor Comments

N/A

Reviewer 3

Title and abstract

Title

The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment-seeking behaviors among forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam

Recommendation

Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract

Respondent driven sampling approach has been used for this study and this term is used for similar design, we are

unsure what commonly used term means in this case.

Abstract

Recommendation

Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found

Introduction

Background/rationale

Recommendation

Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported

Objectives

Recommendation

State-specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses

Added, Refer to page 6: "The objective of this study was to explore health seeking journeys for febrile illness among populations at risk for malaria in Cambodia and Vietnam. The study also explored forest goers' activities in the forest and key decision-making factors for timely diagnosis and treatment of febrile illness. The results of this study can inform malaria elimination interventions to better reach forest goers most at risk of malaria. "

Methods

Study design

Recommendation

Present critical elements of study design early in the paper

Revised methods section in pages 6-8

Setting

Recommendation

Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection

Added relevant information. Refer to pages 6-8

Participants

Recommendation

(a) Give the eligibility criteria and the sources and methods of selection of participants

Revised study population section page 7 "In both countries, men and women ages 18 years and above who spent at least one night per week or four nights per month in the forest over the past 3 months were considered potential participants for the study. For Cambodia, an additional inclusion criterion, having a fever in the last three months, was used. In Vietnam, individuals with or without fever symptoms in last three months were included in the study. Forest goers meeting these criteria who produced a coupon or who were selected as a seed were included in the study. Those who did not consent to participate or who did not have a coupon were not included in the study."

Variables

Recommendation

Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable

Refer to data analysis section in pages 9 and 10.

"The degree (social network size of individual forest goer) was defined as the number of forest goers 18 years and above that the participant knew who lived in the same community and had spent at least one night per week or four nights per month in forest. Forest goers were asked about reasons for going to the forest, the number of days they spend in forest, and the last time they were in forest. In addition, all were asked about febrile illness in last three months, whether any treatment was sought for the illness, and the place and time of seeking treatment. Descriptive analyses were conducted for these variables. Chi-square tests were performed to assess the relationship between health care seeking behavior and demographic variables such as age and gender and malaria risk perceptions. Multivariable logistic regression analyses were conducted to understand associations between preferred type of health facility and reasons for preferences. The dependent variable was the type of facility preferred. The main independent variable was the reason for preferring a specific type of health facility. Stepwise removal of independent variables ($p > 0.05$) was used to derive the final model. Each reason for preference was dummy coded as a separate variable for the analysis. Individuals with missing data were excluded from the analysis. Age and education were included as confounding variables in the model. All analyses were performed separately for the two countries, as networks in each country were assumed to be independent of each other. Furthermore, inclusion criteria for two countries were slightly different; fever in the last three months was an inclusion criterion in Cambodia but not in Vietnam."

Data sources/ measurement

Recommendation*

For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of assessment methods (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group

Refer to data analysis section in pages 9 and 10.

Bias

Recommendation

Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias

Added to limitation section on page 24

Study size

Recommendation

Explain how the study size was arrived at

Refer to pages 7 and 8 "Given the nature of the target population, a clear sampling frame was not available. In both countries, the sample sizes were calculated using a conservative proportion for the main outcome of 50% with a confidence level of 95% and a margin of error of 5%. The design effect was set at 2.0. The resulting required sample size was 675 participants for each country. Sample sizes were calculated separately for forest goers in Cambodia and Vietnam due to the assumption that the social networks of the forest goers in the two countries were independent of each other."

Quantitative variables

Recommendation

Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why

Refer to data analysis section in pages 9 and 10.

Statistical methods

Recommendation

- (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding
- (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
- (c) Explain how missing data were addressed
- (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy
- (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses

Refer to data analysis section in pages 9 and 10.

Results

Participants

Recommendation*

- (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—e.g. numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed

One of the reasons for using RDS was that we did not have potential numbers of forest goers. We used RDS to reach them through their network, but network size calculation was not part of this study.

- (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage

Study did not follow-up with those who received the coupon from their peer but chose not to participate. The reasons for non-participation in this case is not captured by the study. Reasons for participation has been captured in table 1.

- (c) Consider the use of a flow diagram

Descriptive data

Recommendation*

- (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g. demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders

Please refer to demographic section, pages 11 through 13

- (b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest

Unweighted sample presented in tables 2, 3 and 4

Outcome data

Recommendation*

Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure

Unweighted sample presented in tables.

Main results

Recommendation

- (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included
- (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorised
- (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful period

For the logistic regression; age and education has been taken as confounding variables. Tables revised as per new analysis. Please refer to data analysis section page 7. Category boundaries explained where relevant. Age -Page 12 "The age of forest goers ranged from 18 to 70 years in Cambodia, while in Vietnam age ranged from 20 to 60 years."

Other analyses

Recommendation

Report other analyses are done—e.g. analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses

F-value added for the logistic regression, pages 18-19

Discussion

Key results

Recommendation

Summarise key results concerning study objectives

Refer to discussion section

Limitations

Recommendation

Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias

Study limitation added to page 24 "The data in this study were self-reported by participants and do not include observational behavioural data or data from health facilities. Some recall bias is therefore possible. In addition, social desirability could also have influenced some of the responses. Additionally, we did not attempt to calculate the network size in either of the study areas, and this information is not included in the study results. Finally, we treated the two samples as independent networks and thus did not perform comparative analyses between the two countries."

Interpretation

Recommendation

Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, a multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence

Refer to discussion section

Generalisability

Recommendation

Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results

Other information

Funding

Recommendation

Indicate the source funding for this study and, if applicable, the original research upon which this article is based.

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Other comments:

Please consider extensive editing of English grammar and usage.

Edited for English and grammar

Close

RESEARCH

Open Access



The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment-seeking behaviours among forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam

Mahesh Paudel¹, Kemi Tesfazghi^{1*} , Hoa Nguyen², Sochea Phok³, Shwetha Srinivasan⁴ and Jennifer Wheeler¹

Abstract

Background: Countries in the Greater Mekong sub-region (GMS) aim to eliminate all forms of malaria by 2030. In Cambodia and Vietnam, forest-goers are at an increased risk of malaria. Universal access to prompt diagnosis and treatment is a core malaria intervention. This can only be achieved by understanding the healthcare-seeking behaviour among the most vulnerable groups and eliminating barriers to prompt and effective treatment. This study aimed to explore healthcare-seeking behaviours for febrile illness among populations at risk for malaria in Cambodia and Vietnam.

Methods: In 2019, researchers from Population Services International (PSI) conducted a population-based survey of forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam using respondent-driven sampling (RDS). In Cambodia two operational districts, Oral and Phnom Srouch in Kampong Speu Province were included in the study. In Vietnam, communes located within 15 km of the forest edge in Binh Phuoc and Gia Lai Provinces were selected. Adults who had spent at least one night per week or four nights per month in the forest over the previous three months were eligible for the study.

Results: Some 75% of forest-goers in Cambodia and 65% in Vietnam sought treatment for illness outside the home. In Cambodia, 39% sought treatment from the private sector, 32% from community health workers, and 24% from public health facilities. In Vietnam, 62% sought care from community facilities, 29.3% from the private sector, and 6.9% went to a public facility. Among forest-goers who sought care, 33% in Cambodia and 52% in Vietnam did so within 24 h.

Conclusions: This study is consistent with others that show that early diagnosis and treatment of malaria remains an obstacle to malaria elimination. This study also demonstrates that there are gaps in timeliness of care seeking among forest-goers. The findings from this study around provider preference and delays in treatment-seeking can be used to strengthen the design and targeting of malaria interventions and social and behaviour change strategies to accelerate malaria elimination in Cambodia and Vietnam.

Background

Substantial progress has been made toward malaria elimination in the Greater Mekong Sub-region (GMS) where countries are working toward elimination of all forms of malaria by 2030. The number of reported malaria case declined by 86% and the number of malaria-related deaths declined by 97% between 2010

*Correspondence: ktesfazghi@psi.org

¹ Population Services International, 1120 19th St NW Suite 600, Washington, DC 20036, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

and 2019 [1, 2]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the GMS showed a 47% decline in malaria cases from 2019 to 2020. *Plasmodium falciparum* cases no longer account for most cases in the sub-region with *Plasmodium vivax* accounting for majority of the cases. In 2019, *P. falciparum* accounted for only 32% compared to 80% of all cases in 2012. Increased funding and improved vector control, testing and treatment mechanisms have led to a significant decline in cases.

In Cambodia and Vietnam malaria most often occurs in forest and forest-fringe areas. Individuals living or working near the forest have a higher risk of malaria incidence than people who live further from the forest [1]. In Vietnam, approximately 60% of reported malaria cases are among individuals sleeping in forests or on farms [3]. Malaria transmission in Vietnam is highly concentrated in the hilly and forested regions of southern and central provinces along the Laos and Cambodian border where the population is engaged in forest-related activities [4–7]. In Cambodia, a population movement framework (PMF) for malaria was developed [8]. The PMF identified forest workers as having the highest exposure to malaria and lowest access to services, mainly because of their proximity to forests, sub-optimal housing/sleeping conditions [hammocks out in open spaces, in tents or in makeshift shelters], fewer prevention practices, distance from health services, and mobility and lack of engagement with existing community mechanisms for the delivery of interventions or services [8–10].

The distribution of malaria cases in both countries is geographically heterogeneous. Malaria continues to disproportionately affect forest workers, populations living in forest-fringed areas, and migrants. There is substantial population movement within and between countries in the GMS, often driven by poverty, population growth, unemployment, and political oppression [8, 10, 11]. In Cambodia and Vietnam, forest-goers are a key target group for malaria elimination efforts [12].

The WHO Global Technical Strategy calls for ensuring universal access to malaria prevention, diagnosis and treatment as a fundamental pillar of achieving malaria elimination [13]. Despite steep declines in malaria cases, both countries still require significant effort to achieve their malaria elimination goals [2, 4]. Securing access to early diagnosis [usually within 24 h of the onset of symptoms] and treatment for forest-goers is critical [12]. Since the Alma Ata Declaration in 1978, international efforts to improve access to primary health care have focused on strengthening community health worker (CHW) programmes. Community-based interventions by CHWs have successfully improved access to early malaria case detection and treatment [14].

In Vietnam, village health workers (VHWs) are the backbone of the community-level health response. Although not full-time employees of the government, VHWs receive an allowance to engage in outreach activities, which are mainly focused on health promotion and prevention. In Cambodia, the village malaria worker (VMW) initiative was launched by the National Centre for Parasitology, Entomology and Malaria Control (CNM) in 2004 to expand access to early malaria diagnosis and treatment for communities in remote villages where access to health care may be compromised by geographic and economic barriers [15, 16]. In 2009, the VMW cadre was expanded to include mobile malaria workers (MMWs) to target remote populations with active case detection approaches [17].

While efforts to train and deploy health personnel are an important element of ensuring availability of services, ensuring universal access to diagnosis and treatment services can only be achieved by understanding healthcare-seeking behaviours among the most vulnerable groups and by eliminating the barriers they face throughout their healthcare-seeking journeys. In this context, understanding available healthcare-seeking options, perceptions of these services, and healthcare-seeking decision-making is particularly important. The objective of this study was to explore healthcare-seeking journeys for febrile illness among populations at risk for malaria in Cambodia and Vietnam. The study also explored forest-goers' activities in the forest and key decision-making factors for timely diagnosis and treatment of febrile illness. The results of this study can inform malaria elimination interventions to better reach forest goers most at risk of malaria.

Methods

A population-based survey of forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam using respondent-driven sampling [RDS] was conducted. Due to forest-goers' hard-to-reach and often mobile status, novel data collection methods were required to gain behavioural insights from this group to inform appropriate malaria elimination interventions. In Cambodia and Vietnam, this group is 'hidden' from the general population because of a need for forest-goers to avoid detection by law enforcement officers who regulate forest exploration. RDS is a modified form of snowball or chain referral sampling, which is used to sample hard-to-reach or hidden populations who are linked through social networks in situations where a sampling frame is difficult to obtain [18–21]. This approach uses initial study participants or 'seeds' to recruit peers from their social networks. The initial bias created by the non-probability-based selection is addressed using mathematical modelling that allows for inferences to be made about the sampled population [18–21]. Data collection was

conducted during high malaria season [22, 23], between 20 and 24 October in Cambodia and between 15 and 26 September in Vietnam.

Study population

In Cambodia two operational districts, Oral and Phnom Srouch, in Kampong Speu Province were selected. Within these districts, 63 villages were grouped into 21 clusters based on their location and proximity to each other. These districts were selected due to their high incidence of malaria, proximity to the forest, and ease of access to forest-goers. Residents in these forest-fringe areas were considered for the study. In Vietnam, communes located within 15 km of the forest edge in Binh Phuoc and Gia Lai Provinces were selected for the study. Since Vietnam did not have an official definition of forest-goers, for this study forest-goers in Vietnam were defined as people who live inside or within 15 km of the forest and go into the forest at least one night a week or two nights a month.

In both countries, men and women age 18 years and above who spent at least one night per week or four nights per month in the forest over the previous 3 months were considered potential participants for the study. For Cambodia, an additional inclusion criterion, having a fever in the last three months, was used. In Vietnam, individuals with or without fever symptoms in last three months were included in the study. Forest-goers meeting these criteria who produced a coupon or who were selected as a seed were included in the study.

Those who did not consent to participate or who did not have a coupon were not included in the study.

Sampling and forest-goer recruitment

Given the nature of the target population, a clear sampling frame was not available. In both countries, the sample sizes were calculated using a conservative proportion for the main outcome of 50% with a confidence level of 95% and a margin of error of 5%. The design effect was set at 2.0. The resulting required sample size was 675 participants for each country. Sample sizes were calculated separately for forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam due to the assumption that the social networks of the forest-goers in the two countries were independent of each other.

The initial seeds were purposefully selected based on criteria established at the start of the study. The seeds were selected with help from key informants, including community leaders, to ensure that they were representative of forest-goers, were well known, and had a substantial social network. Seeds were provided with uniquely coded coupons (three in Cambodia and five in Vietnam) and asked to recruit subsequent study participants meeting the criteria from within their networks (Fig. 1). The first set of recruits was referred to as the first wave. The first wave was asked to recruit peers as the second wave, and so on, until the estimated sample size was reached.




Fig. 1 Recruitment and interviewing process

Data collection and management

In both countries, the data collection team was divided into four groups with two data collectors per group. The data collectors were trained on the consent process, coupons tracking, incentives management, and the questionnaire and interview process. The data collectors used a tracking form to track the coupons, manage the incentives to the respondents, and track the total number of interviews conducted each day. This form was sent to the field manager, who was responsible for overall recruitment.

The study questionnaire was translated into Khmer and Vietnamese for Cambodia and Vietnam, respectively, and included sections on basic demographics, forest-going frequency and purpose, knowledge and availability of health services within the proximate community, preferences for health service providers, information regarding the most recent fever episode, healthcare-seeking behaviour, and knowledge of malaria transmission. The questionnaire had built-in checks for data quality and was administered using SurveyCTO in Cambodia and SurveyTOGO in Vietnam. The forms were submitted to a central server at the end of each day. Both the research team and field manager conducted onsite data quality checks in addition to online data checks on data collection server.

Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using the RDS function in Stata 15. Population weights were generated for each variable of interest to control for differences in social network size and homophily of the estimates. The degree (social network size of individual forest-goer) was defined as the number of forest-goers age 18 years and above, that the participant knew who lived in the same community and had spent at least one night per week or four nights per month in forest. Forest-goers were asked about reasons for going to the forest, the number of days they spend in forest, and the last time they were in forest. In addition, all were asked about febrile illness in last three months, whether any treatment was sought for the illness, and the place and time of seeking treatment. Descriptive analyses were conducted for these variables. Chi-square tests were performed to assess the relationship between healthcare-seeking behaviour and demographic variables such as age and gender and malaria risk perceptions. Multivariable logistic regression analyses were conducted to understand associations between preferred type of health facility and reasons for preferences. The dependent variable was the type of facility preferred. The main independent variable was the reason for preferring a specific type of health facility. Stepwise removal of independent variables ($p > 0.05$) was used to derive

the final model. Each reason for preference was dummy coded as a separate variable for the analysis. Individuals with missing data were excluded from the analysis. Age and education were included as confounding variables in the model. All analyses were performed separately for the two countries, as networks in each country were assumed to be independent of each other. Furthermore, inclusion criteria for two countries were slightly different; fever in the last three months was an inclusion criterion in Cambodia but not in Vietnam.

Ethical consideration

The study design and protocols for the study were reviewed and approved by the PSI Ethics Board, the National Ethics Committee for Health Research for Cambodia, and the Hanoi School of Public Health Ethics Board for Vietnam. Informed consent was obtained from participants prior to enrolment in the study.

Results

Recruitment

Among Cambodian forest-goers, 21 initial seeds recruited 654 study participants over 3–6 waves of recruitment. In Vietnam, 15 initial seeds recruited 633 study participants over 3–5 waves of recruitment. In both countries 90% of coupons were returned. Participation was voluntary, and those who received a coupon from a peer but were not interested in the study had no obligation to contact the study team.

All participants had spent a night in forest in the last week or at least four nights per month in the last 3 months. Most participants were recruited by their friends (96.9% in Cambodia and 92.3% in Vietnam) and had known the person who had recruited them for more than a year (91.6% in Cambodia and 79.3% in Vietnam). The forest-goers in Cambodia reported knowing fewer other forest-goers compared to those in Vietnam, with 40% of forest-goers in Cambodia knowing five or fewer and 48.9% of forest-goers in Vietnam knowing 10 or more other forest-goers. Table 1 shows the unweighted analysis of recruitment patterns in Cambodia and Vietnam.

Demographics

Most of the forest-goers in Cambodia were married (84.3%), male (85.5%), under 40 years old (73.2%), and had completed primary education (47.8%). Almost 80% of forest-goers earned their living through forest-related work but made very little, with 95.4% earning less than US\$500 per month and 18.3% reporting being part of an Identification of Poor (IDPoor) household. IDPoor is a mechanism developed by Cambodia Ministry of Planning to identify poor and vulnerable households for development programmes in Cambodia [24].

Table 1 Unweighted analysis of recruitment patterns

	Cambodia Unweighted proportion [N = 675]	Vietnam Unweighted proportion [N = 648]
Reasons for participation		
Interested in study topic	57.8	28.2
Wanted to help the community	14.1	59.7
My friend wanted me to participate	25.0	1.4
Incentive/gift	3.0	10.7
Others	0.2	–
Known the person		
< 6 months	4.4	11.4
6–12 months	0.9	1.9
More than a year	91.6	79.3
Missing	3.1	7.4
Number of other forest-goers known		
Five or less	40.0	26.1
6–10	34.5	24.1
More than 10	25.5	48.9
Don't know	–	0.9
Mean	12.04	21.04

In Vietnam, most of the sampled forest-goers were married (84.8%), male (95.2%), and between the ages of 25 and 34 years (52.5%). In Vietnam, forest-goers under 35 years constituted over 70% of all forest-goers sampled, while the age distribution in the Cambodian forest-goers was more evenly spread across the age groups. The age of forest-goers ranged from 18 to 70 years in Cambodia, while in Vietnam age ranged from 20 to 60 years. Most forest-goers in Vietnam made their living through agriculture, and like Cambodia, almost all (99.7%) made less than US\$500 per month.

Forest-goers in Vietnam were long-standing residents of their villages, with a majority (96.6%) having lived there for more than 10 years. In Cambodia, there was variation in duration of residence, with 25.5% of residents living in their village less than 10 years and 29.2% living there for 30 years or more. Table 2 shows the weighted demographic characteristics of forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam.

Forest-going and activities in the forest

The primary reason for going to the forest was cutting wood (85%) for Cambodian forest-goers while only 17.9% went for wood in Vietnam. Bamboo (15.4%) and charcoal (14.7%) were other reasons for going to the forest in Cambodia. In Vietnam, plantation work was the main reason for going to forest: 28% worked in cashew

plantations, 27.7% in wheat plantations, and 19.4% in rubber plantations. In Cambodia, 78.4% went to the forest for one reason only and 18% went for two or more reasons. Forest-goers in Vietnam reported spending more nights in the forest in the last 30 days compared to forest-goers in Cambodia. Over two-thirds (71.1%) of forest-goers in Cambodia and more than half (54.2%) in Vietnam reported spending seven nights or fewer in the forest in the last 30 days. Forest-goers in Vietnam reported spending a longer time in the forest, with 23.6% in Vietnam spending 8–14 nights and 22.3% spending 15 nights or more in the forest in the last 30 days. Comparatively, only 8.5% of forest-goers in Cambodia spent 15 nights or more in the forest. The interval between forest visits was longer in Cambodia. Almost one-fifth (19.8%) of forest-goers in Cambodia had an interval of 15 or more days since their most recent forest visit compared to 14% in Vietnam. Most forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam reported having been to the forest in the last seven days (69.8 and 76.6%, respectively) (Table 3).

Healthcare-seeking behaviour

All respondents from Cambodia and 65.8% of respondents from Vietnam reported having febrile illness in the last three months. More than half (52.2%) of respondents in Cambodia reported suspecting that their febrile illness was malaria, 13.4% had a cold, 10.1% had *Krunkdov/kdovkhlounr* (general fever), and 9.5% had typhoid. In Vietnam, 41.6% of the cases were flu, followed by malaria (30.1%), colds (15.5%), and other febrile illnesses (11.9%). Less than half (42.9%) of forest-goers in Vietnam had a blood test following the febrile illnesses and almost all who did (94.5%) visited a public health or community health facility for the blood test. In Cambodia, 66.5% of the forest-goers went for a blood test with 36.7% seeking testing from CHWs, followed by private health facilities (33.6%) and public health facilities, mostly health centres (28.0%). In Vietnam, 73.1% of those who received a blood test tested positive for malaria, while 62.5% tested positive for malaria in Cambodia.

Most forest-goers in both countries sought treatment for illness. Three-quarters (75%) of the forest-goers in Cambodia sought treatment outside of their home for febrile illness, 17.4% did not seek any treatment and 7.6% were treated in their home. In Vietnam, 65.3% of those with febrile illness sought treatment outside of their home and 7.3% sought treatment at their home. However, more than a quarter (27.4%) of those with febrile illness did not seek any treatment. Bivariable analysis showed that seeking treatment from any source was significantly associated with age ($\chi^2=9.7$, $p<0.001$) and gender ($\chi^2=27.3$, $p<0.001$) for Vietnam while results were not significant for Cambodia.

Table 2 Weighted demographic characteristics of forest-goers

	Cambodia		Vietnam	
	Weighted population proportion [Bootstrapped 95% CI]	Unweighted sample, N	Weighted population proportion [Bootstrapped 95% CI]	Unweighted sample, N
Gender				
Male	85.5 [80.9–89.1]	591	95.2 [91.5–98.0]	633
Female	14.5 [10.9–19.1]	84	4.8 [2.0–8.5]	15
Age [years]				
< 25	20.1 [16.3–24.2]	133	21.2 [16.4–25.9]	120
25–29	18.6 [15.2–22.3]	127	23.0 [18.5–27.5]	162
30–34	17.1 [13.5–20.8]	115	29.5 [24.3–34.6]	196
35–39	17.4 [13.8–21.0]	120	13.8 [8.7–18.9]	88
40+	26.7 [22.2–31.5]	180	12.6 [9.3–15.8]	82
Education				
Never attended school	14.4 [11.2–18.1]	100	6.0 [3.8–8.4]	38
Attended school, but never completed	24.8 [20.8–29.4]	198	35.5 [28.5–42.7]	148
Primary school	47.8 [42.5–52.7]	300	12.9 [9.6–16.3]	96
Lower Secondary school	11.7 [8.6–15.0]	70	11.6 [8.5–15.3]	121
Upper Secondary or Higher	1.3 [0.4–2.4]	7	13.0 [10.1–16.3]	140
No response	–	–	21.1 [13.8–30.2]	105
Income				
< \$500	95.4 [93.6–97.1]	637	99.7 [99.1–99.9]	640
> \$500	3.9 [2.4–5.5]	33	0.3 [0.05–0.9]	8
Don't know	0.8 [0.1–1.7]	5	–	–
ID Poor ^a	18.3 [14.1–22.5]	117	–	–
Occupation				
Agriculture	16.3 [13.0–20.0]	125	58.3 [51.1–64.3]	389
Forest-related	77.9 [73.6–81.8]	507	26.9 [21.6–32.9]	165
Others	5.7 [3.6–8.6]	43	15.0 [11.1–19.7]	94
Marital status				
Currently married	84.3 [80.5–87.7]	568	84.8 [79.8–89.2]	571
Single or formerly married	15.7 [12.3–19.5]	107	15.2 [10.8–20.2]	77
Time at place of residence				
10 years or less	25.3 [20.8–30.1]	156	3.4 [1.8–5.8]	39
11–20 years	26.9 [22.6–31.6]	184	8.6 [5.7–11.7]	79
21–30 years	27.9 [23.4–32.5]	199	44.5 [38.7–50.5]	267
More than 30 years	29.9 [15.6–24.5]	135	43.5 [37.8–49.2]	263

^a IDPoor is a mechanism developed by Cambodia Ministry of planning to identify poor and vulnerable households for development programs in Cambodia

In Cambodia, 39% of those seeking care for febrile illness first sought treatment from the private sector, followed by CHWs (32.4%) and public health facilities (24.1%). In Vietnam, 62.3% sought care from community facilities, 29.3% from the private sector, and only 6.9% from a public facility. The comparison between place of blood test and first place of treatment suggests that some forest-goers in Vietnam opted to go to the private sector after getting a blood test in the public sector. The first place of treatment was significantly associated with age ($\chi^2=2.4$, $p<0.05$) and perceived

malaria infection ($\chi^2=9.0$, $p<0.001$) in Cambodia. Associations were not significant for Vietnam. A similar shift between CHWs and private health facilities was observed in Cambodia. Among Cambodian forest-goers who sought care, 33.1% did so within 24 h, 38.4% sought care within 24–48 h and 28.5% waited for three or more days before seeking care for the febrile illness. In Vietnam, more than half (51.6%) of those who sought care for febrile illness did so within 24 h, 13.4% sought care in 24–48 h and 35% sought care after 48 h. Time to seeking treatment was associated with age ($\chi^2=3.4$,

Table 3 Weighted forest-going and activities in forest

	Cambodia		Vietnam	
	Weighted population proportion [Bootstrapped 95% CI]	Unweighted sample, N	Weighted population proportion [Bootstrapped 95% CI]	Unweighted sample, N
Reasons for going to the forest ^a				
Wood	85.1 [80.9–88.8]	675	17.9 [14.3–22.1]	648
Charcoal	14.7 [10.3–20.1]	675	–	–
Bamboo	15.4 [10.7–21.0]	675	–	–
Fishing	–	–	14.5 [11.4–17.9]	648
Rubber plantation	–	–	19.4 [14.1–25.6]	648
Cashew plantation	–	–	28.0 [21.8–34.4]	648
Wheat plantation	–	–	27.7 [22.4–33.3]	648
Others	7.5 [5.3–10.3]	675	35.5 [28.7–42.8]	648
Number of reasons for going to forest				
One	78.4 [73.9–82.7]	524	49.7 [43.8–55.6]	292
Two	18.6 [14.6–22.7]	123	41.7 [35.9–47.4]	250
Three or more	3.0 [1.6–4.6]	28	8.6 [6.0–11.2]	106
Nights spent in forest in last 30 days				
Short [≤ 7 days]	71.1 [66.8–74.8]	434	54.2 [47.5–60.8]	401
Medium [8–14 days]	20.5 [16.9–24.3]	161	23.6 [18.6–29.0]	138
Long [15+ days]	8.5 [6.4–10.9]	80	22.3 [16.7–28.3]	109
Last time in forest				
7 days or less	69.8 [65.0–74.7]	471	76.6 [71.1–82.1]	471
8–14 days	10.4 [7.6–13.1]	71	9.4 [4.8–14.0]	56
15+ days	19.8 [15.9–24.0]	133	14.0 [10.4–17.6]	121

^a Multiple response question

$p < 0.01$) in Cambodia. Results were not significant for gender or education.

Some 69.4% of the forest-goers in Cambodia sought treatment for their illness from one place while 30.6% went to two or more places. In Vietnam, a majority (58.2%) of forest-goers visited more than one place. Table 4 describes key healthcare-seeking behaviours of forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam.

Preferred place of treatment

Among forest-goers in Cambodia, private health facilities (41.2%) were the most preferred place of treatment for febrile illness, followed by CHWs (31.4%) and public health facilities (25.3%) (Table 5). In Vietnam, 44.5% preferred community health facilities, followed by private health facilities (39.3%) and public health facilities (10.8%). Table 5 describes forest-goers' preferred place of treatment in Cambodia and Vietnam. In both countries, preferred place of treatment was significantly associated with age of forest-goers (Cambodia: $\chi^2 = 3.3$, $p < 0.05$; Vietnam: $\chi^2 = 12.5$, $p < 0.001$). The results were not significant for education, income and gender.

Forest-goers were asked to rank their top three reasons for preferring a particular health facility. Forest goers

in Cambodia reported a mix of reasons for preferring a particular facility (Table 6). Proximity (37.7%), quality of service (16.3%) and trust in provider (15.2%) were some of the ranked top reasons for preferring a facility in Cambodia. Forest-goers in Vietnam were asked to rank their reasons for health facility preferences; this resulted in more clearly articulated drivers of provider preferences. Perceived proximity to provider (87.8% of forest-goers) was the top ranked reason for facility choice. Cost (68% of forest-goers) was the second ranked and availability of services (45.8%) a third top reason for choice of facility. Quality of services and trust in provider were not as frequently ranked as reasons for preferring a facility in Vietnam as in Cambodia.

In Cambodia, cost (OR = 5.16, $p < 0.001$) quality of service (OR = 2.28, $p < 0.001$), friendliness of service (OR = 2.29, $p < 0.001$) and availability of services (OR = 6.26, $p < 0.001$) were positively associated with the preference of public health facilities while trust in provider (OR = 0.36, $p < 0.001$) was negatively associated with public health facility preference (Table 7). The F-value of the model was 13.29 ($p < 0.001$), which implies that the independent variables significantly predict the dependent variable.

Table 4 Healthcare-seeking behaviour of forest-goers

	Cambodia		Vietnam	
	Weighted population proportion [Bootstrapped 95% CI]	Unweighted sample N	Weighted population proportion [Bootstrapped 95% CI]	Unweighted sample N
Fever in last 3 months				
Yes	100	675	65.8 [60.0–71.3]	427
Kind of febrile illness suspected by forest goers			<i>[n = 427]</i>	
Malaria	52.2 [47.3–57.2]	334	30.1 [23.1–38.1]	124
Dengue	4.8 [2.9–7.0]	29	1.0 [0.2–2.2]	6
Typhoid	9.5 [7.0–12.3]	70	–	
Cold	13.4 [10.0–17.3]	97	15.5 [10.8–21.0]	115
Flu	2.8 [1.4–4.2]	17	41.6 [33.4–50.1]	155
<i>Krunkdov/kdovkhounr</i> [general fever]	10.1 [7.4–13.2]	79	–	
Other/don't know	7.3 [5.0–9.8]	51	11.9 [4.6–19.6]	27
Blood testing			<i>[n = 427]</i>	
Had blood tested	66.5 [61.8–71.7]	459	42.9 [34.8–51.2]	199
Place of blood testing	<i>[n = 459]</i>		<i>[n = 199]</i>	
Public health facility	28.0 [20.4–36.7]	105	94.5 [89.7–98.2]	175
Private health facility [includes pharmacy/chemist/drug shop]	33.8 [26.5–41.2]	181	n/a	n/a
Community health worker	36.7 [27.9–45.8]	162	–	–
Others	1.5 [0.5–2.6]	11	5.5 [1.8–10.3]	24
Result of blood test	<i>[n = 459]</i>		<i>[n = 199]</i>	
Positive for malaria	62.5 [55.4–69.7]	253	73.1 [54.7–90.3]	116
Negative/inconclusive for malaria	21.2 [15.7–27.0]	114	20.3 [3.2–39.5]	12
Non-malaria diagnosis	15.5 [10.2–21.4]	85	6.6 [2.1–12.6]	71
No response	0.8 [0.1–2.4]	7	–	–
Sought treatment for illness from any source			<i>[n = 427]</i>	
Sought treatment from any source	82.6 [78.9–85.9]	526	67.8 [59.4–75.4]	303
Sought treatment for illness outside of home			<i>[n = 427]</i>	
Sought treatment outside home	75.0 [70.9–79.2]	481	65.3 [57.0–72.9]	279
First place sought treatment from	<i>[n = 526]</i>		<i>[n = 303]</i>	
Public health facility	24.1 [17.9–30.6]	111	6.9 [3.7–10.5]	21
Private health facility [includes pharmacy/chemist/drug shop]	39.0 [32.2–45.6]	252	29.3 [18.9–40.2]	81
Community health Worker	32.4 [25.9–39.4]	138	–	–
Community health Facility	–	–	62.3 [51.4–72.5]	187
Other	4.6 [2.6–6.9]	25	1.5 [0.3–4.1]	14
Time to seek treatment	<i>[n = 526]</i>		<i>[n = 303]</i>	
≤ 24 h [0–1 days]	33.1 [27.2–39.3]	174	51.6 [36.0–65.8]	81
48 h [2 days]	38.4 [32.7–44.2]	205	13.4 [8.0–20.2]	75
> 48 h [≥ 3 days]	28.5 [22.9–34.4]	146	35.0 [23.4–48.4]	147
Number of places sought treatment from	<i>[n = 525]</i>		<i>[n = 303]</i>	
One place	69.4 [63.6–74.6]	380	41.8 [33.0–50.2]	172
More than one	30.6 [25.4–36.3]	145	58.2 [49.8–67.0]	260
Second place of treatment	<i>[n = 525]</i>			
Public health facility	6.0 [3.7–9.0]	30	n/a	n/a
Private health facility [includes pharmacy, chemist, and drug shop]	22.0 [17.1–27.5]	104	n/a	n/a
Others	2.5 [0.9–4.6]	11	n/a	n/a
Sought treatment at one place only	69.4 [63.1–74.5]	380	n/a	n/a

Krunkdov/kdovkhounr is local term for general fever. Sub-sample (*n*) is presented in italics

Table 5 Preferred place of treatment

	Cambodia		Vietnam	
	Weighted population proportion [Bootstrapped 95% CI]	Unweighted sample N	Weighted population proportion [Bootstrapped 95% CI]	Unweighted sample N
Preferred place of treatment				
Public health facility	25.3 [20.3–30.7]	155	10.8 [7.9–13.9]	97
Private health facility [includes pharmacy, chemist, and drug shop]	41.2 [35.7–46.7]	337	39.3 [32.6–46.2]	208
Community health worker	31.4 [25.6–37.6]	168	–	–
Community health facility	–	–	44.5 [38.2–50.9]	308
Other	2.1 [0.8–3.7]	15	5.4 [3.3–8.1]	35

Table 6 Reasons for preference

	Cambodia		Vietnam	
	Weighted population proportion [Bootstrapped 95% CI]	Unweighted sample N	Weighted population proportion [Bootstrapped 95% CI]	Unweighted sample N
Top ranked reasons for place of preference				
Proximity	37.7 [32.7–43.1]	239	87.8 [84.2–91.0]	549
Second ranked reasons for place of preference				
Cost	36.5 [31.7–41.2]	208	68.0 [62.6–73.4]	417
Third ranked reasons for place of preference				
Availability of service	–	–	45.8 [39.4–52.2]	168
Trust in provider	38.8 [32.8–44.9]	194	16.1 [12.4–20.0]	131

[Refer to Additional file 1: Table S1 for complete table]

Trust in provider (OR = 1.74, $p < 0.05$) and other reasons (OR = 4.00, $p < 0.05$) were positively associated with preference of private facilities. Cost (OR = 0.23, $p < 0.001$), proximity (OR = 0.33, $p < 0.001$), recommended provider (OR = 0.13, $p < 0.01$) and availability of services were negatively associated with preference of private facilities in Cambodia. (F-value = 13.11, $p < 0.001$).

Proximity (OR = 4.80, $p < 0.01$), recommended provider (OR = 9.22, $p < 0.001$) and trust in provider (OR = 1.65, $p < 0.05$) were associated positively with preference of CHWs in Cambodia. Quality of services and friendliness of service were negatively associated with preference of CHWs. The F-value of the model was 11.48 ($p < 0.001$).

In Vietnam, only availability of services (OR = 3.04, $p < 0.001$) was positively associated with preference of private health facilities. Other factors: proximity, cost, quality of service and friendliness of service, were negatively associated with preference of private providers. The F-value of the model was 18.75 ($p < 0.001$). Although community health facilities were the preferred place of almost half of forest-goers, none of the factors were positively associated with preference for this type of facility (F-value = 18.1, $p < 0.001$). Quality of services was not included in the public health facility analysis because it was rarely ranked as a reason for preference. Upon

dropping this variable, the remaining factors were not positively associated with a preference for public health facilities (F-value = 16.1, $p < 0.001$).

Discussion

In both countries most of the forest-goers were married men. Between 50 and 60% of forest-goers were under 35 years old in Vietnam and Cambodia. Forest-goers in Vietnam spent more nights in the forest in the last 30 days compared to forest-goers in Cambodia. Findings from this study are consistent with studies that have explored the behaviours of forest-goers in Vietnam and mobile migrant populations (MMPs) in western Cambodia, which found that forest-goers spend extended periods in the forest, sleep in temporary structures and do not routinely use long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) resulting in increased risk of malaria [25].

Care-seeking behaviours amongst forest-goers

This study is consistent with others that show that early diagnosis and treatment of malaria remain obstacles to malaria control and elimination activities. In Cambodia and Vietnam the national guidance is for all suspected malaria patients to receive a parasitological test [26]. However, this study demonstrates that among those

Table 7 Weighted odds ratios for the reasons for facility preference

Cambodia	Odds Ratio	p-value
Public facility		
Cost	5.16	< 0.001
Quality of service	2.28	< 0.001
Friendliness of service	2.29	< 0.001
Availability of service	6.26	< 0.001
Trust in provider	0.36	< 0.001
Private facility		
Proximity	0.33	< 0.001
Cost	0.23	< 0.001
Recommended provider	0.13	0.001
Availability of service	0.35	0.001
Trust in provider	1.74	0.003
Others	4.00	0.012
Community Health Workers		
Proximity	4.80	< 0.001
Recommended provider	9.22	0.001
Quality of service	0.34	< 0.001
Friendliness of service	0.37	0.001
Trust in provider	1.65	0.034
Vietnam	Odds Ratio	p-value
Public facility		
Proximity	0.01	< 0.001
Cost	0.06	< 0.001
Previous experience	0.03	< 0.001
Recommended provider	0.06	< 0.001
Friendliness of service	0.01	< 0.001
Availability of service	0.01	< 0.001
Trust in provider	0.02	< 0.001
Private facility		
Proximity	0.17	< 0.001
Cost	0.57	0.014
Quality of service	0.15	< 0.001
Friendliness of service	0.16	< 0.001
Availability of service	3.04	< 0.001
Community Health Facility		
Cost	0.12	< 0.001
Previous experience	0.09	< 0.001
Recommended provider	0.08	< 0.001
Quality of service	0.01	< 0.001
Friendliness of service	0.25	0.007
Availability of service	0.02	< 0.001
Trust in provider	0.06	< 0.001

most at risk of malaria, i.e., forest-goers in Cambodia, 25% either did not seek treatment at all or were treated at home (17.4 and 7.6%, respectively). This study also demonstrates that there are gaps in timeliness of care seeking among forest-goers. In Vietnam only 33% of forest-goers

sought care within the 24-h period recommended by WHO, whereas 38.4% sought care within 24–48 h and 28.5% did not seek care for more than 3 days. Among Cambodian forest-goers who sought care, only about one-third did so within 24 h. Prior qualitative research

provides further context for these study findings. These studies describe health-seeking journeys in the GMS context, where economic pressures drive forest activities and indicate that efforts to protect work time and corresponding income have a strong impact on decisions to abandon economic activities in the interest of seeking medical attention. These studies have found that an individual's healthcare-seeking behaviour is not a static construct but is influenced by the individual's classification of the illness [27]. Classification of an illness as 'small' or 'big' impacts the healthcare-seeking journey for that episode [15]. Ultimately, the perceived severity of an illness has an impact on decisions regarding if and when to seek care. Ironically, the combined impact of significant reductions in malaria incidence and mortality combined with increased access to healthcare at village level in both Vietnam and Cambodia has lessened the perceived severity of the disease and may compromise the perceived need to seek care promptly [27]. Furthermore, forest-goers often begin self-treatment when they are in the forest or before they go into the forest [5, 28].

Preferred source of care

All respondents in Cambodia [as part of the inclusion criteria] and more than 60% in Vietnam reported febrile illness in last three months. Of those reporting febrile illness, one in two in Cambodia and one-third in Vietnam mentioned that they had malaria. The majority of those seeking treatment for febrile illness in Cambodia sought private health care. This finding is consistent with a prior study from western Cambodia in which more than half of study participants sought care from the private sector [25]. Similarly, in a study conducted in the Bago region of Myanmar, migrant workers preferred to seek care from the private or informal healthcare sector because of convenience, trust and availability of low-cost anti-malarial medication [29]. In a study previously conducted in Kam-pot, Cambodia, a majority of forest-goers sought care in the private sector and cited factors influencing care-seeking behaviour that included proximity, reputation of the provider, trust, and perceived severity [15].

A review of qualitative literature from the Mekong region showed that despite free diagnosis and treatment services in the public sector, forest-goers often sought services in the private sector due to service quality and accessibility [10]. This apparent preference for the private sector is in contrast to the findings in this study in which a higher proportion of respondents in Vietnam sought care from community health facilities and only one-third sought care from the private sector [5]. These findings may be explained by the fact that Vietnam has a well-established public healthcare network that extends to the commune level and includes a strong community-level

health service. Anthropological studies in Vietnam also report strong confidence in the public health system at the community level [6]. However, concerns around gaps in coverage of the public health system for migrants have been observed in situations when national identification is required to access care, making private sector services a necessary option for care [5].

Community-based interventions are often a first source of treatment for malaria in remote areas where access to formal health services is limited [30]. In Cambodia, however, VMWs specialize only in malaria prevention and case management, which likely explains why they were not usually the first point of care among forest-goers in this study [15]. Furthermore, community volunteers often juggle service provision with paid employment and can be perceived as an unreliable service option due to unavailability in remote areas [10]. Just over 7% of forest-goers in both countries sought treatment at home in this study, consistent with previous studies that have identified the use of an array of treatment options, including traditional methods and over-the-counter drugs from pharmacies and other vendors for self-treatment [10].

The comparison between place of blood test and first place of treatment suggests that some forest-goers opt to go to the private sector after getting a blood test in the public sector; similar transitions between provider types were observed in Cambodia where patients shifted between using CHWs and the private sector. This use of multiple types of providers appears to be more prominent in Vietnam than in Cambodia, where a majority of forest-goers sought treatment from one place; in Vietnam, the majority visited more than one place. These findings suggest that provider preference is not static, but is influenced along the journey of the patient's experience.

This study shows that cost, quality of service, friendliness of service, and availability of services are key factors for choosing a public health facility in Cambodia. In a study conducted in rural Cambodia, villagers provided different reasons for trusting public and private providers. Public providers were trusted because of their abilities and the presence of an effective referral system. On the other hand, private providers were trusted because they were friendly, thorough and easy to contact [31]. However, in this study, trust in provider was negatively associated with preferring a public provider but positively associated with preferring a private facility. In Vietnam, cost, recommended provider and quality of service were positively associated with preferring a public health facility while only availability of services was positively associated with preferring a private health facility. Despite being the preferred place for almost half of the forest-goers, none of the factors measured in this study

was positively associated with a preference for community health facilities.

Limitations

The data in this study were self-reported by participants and do not include observational behavioural data or data from health facilities. Some recall bias is therefore possible. In addition, social desirability could also have influenced some of the responses. Additionally, there was no attempt to calculate the network size in either of the study areas, and this information is not included in the study results. Finally, the two samples were treated as independent networks and thus comparative analyses between the two countries were performed. In addition there may be other factors associated with facility preference that were not captured by this study, which could have impacted the results.

Conclusion

Forest-going remains a major risk factor for malaria in Cambodia and Vietnam; however, economic pressures compel people to undertake this activity. With the rapid decline of malaria in both countries, malaria has become more concentrated geographically and among the most at risk populations. Studies using respondent driven sampling are a powerful means of gathering insights into the behaviour of forest-goers who are otherwise difficult to access. The findings from this study about provider preference and delays in treatment-seeking behaviour can be used to strengthen the design and targeting of malaria interventions, as well as social and behaviour change strategies to accelerate malaria elimination in Cambodia and Vietnam.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-021-04001-9>.

Additional file 1: Table S1. Reasons for preference.

Acknowledgements

The study team is grateful to the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation for funding the study. We also thank Lorina McAdam, M. James Eliades, and Susannah Gibbs for their insights and support. The team also thanks the field teams in Cambodia and Vietnam for their tireless dedication to study implementation and use of findings for programmatic improvement.

Authors' contributions

MP contributed to study design, conducted the analysis, and contributed to the background, methods, results, discussion, and conclusion sections. KT was responsible for oversight of the study and contributed to the background, discussion, and conclusions sections. SP conducted RDS analysis for Cambodia and supervised the field data collection. HN conducted RDS analysis for Vietnam and supervised the field data collection. SS contributed to the data analysis and literature review. JW contributed to study design, provided guidance on the analysis and structure of the paper, and contributed to the

background, methods, results, discussion, and conclusion sections. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the PSI Research and Ethics Board [REB], as well as local boards in Cambodia [the National Ethics Committee for Health Research] and in Vietnam [the Hanoi School of Public Health].

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Population Services International, 1120 19th St NW Suite 600, Washington, DC 20036, USA. ²Population Services International, VinaFor Building, 127 Lò Đức, Phạm Đình Hổ Hai Bà Trưng, Hà Nội, Vietnam. ³Population Services International, 29 St 334, Phnom Penh, Cambodia. ⁴Population Services International, T4 Road, Unit 16, Donkoi Village, Sisattanak District, Vientiane Capital, Lao People's Democratic Republic.

Received: 22 July 2021 Accepted: 1 December 2021

Published online: 20 December 2021

References

- WHO. World Malaria Report 2019. Geneva, World Health Organization. 2019. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241565721>. Accessed 20 July 2020.
- WHO. Countries of the Greater Mekong making inroads in the race towards elimination. Geneva, World Health Organization. 2017. <https://www.who.int/malaria/publications/atoz/greater-mekong-bulletin-6/en/>. Accessed 2021.
- Canavati SE, Kelly GC, Quintero CE, Vo TH, Tran LK, Ohrt C, et al. Risk factor assessment for clinical malaria among forest-goers in a pre-elimination setting in Phu Yen Province, Vietnam. *Malar J*. 2019;18:435.
- Van Bortel W, Trung HD, le Hoi X, Van Ham N, Van Chut N, Luu ND, et al. Malaria transmission and vector behaviour in a forested malaria focus in central Vietnam and the implications for vector control. *Malar J*. 2010;9:373.
- Chen I, Thanh HNT, Lover A, Thao PT, Luu TV, Thang HN, et al. Malaria risk factors and care-seeking behaviour within the private sector among high-risk populations in Vietnam: a qualitative study. *Malar J*. 2017;16:414.
- WHO. National malaria programme review—Viet Nam Manila, Philippines. 2018.
- Gryseels C, Peeters Grietens K, Dierickx S, Xuan XN, Uk S, Bannister-Tyrrell M, et al. High mobility and low use of malaria preventive measures among the Jarai male youth along the Cambodia-Vietnam Border. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2015;93:810–8.
- Guyant P, Canavati SE, Chea N, Ly P, Whittaker MA, Roca-Feltrer A, et al. Malaria and the mobile and migrant population in Cambodia: a population movement framework to inform strategies for malaria control and elimination. *Malar J*. 2015;14:252.
- Dysoley L, Kaneko A, Eto H, Mita T, Socheat D, Borkman A, et al. Changing patterns of forest malaria among the mobile adult male population in Chumkiri District, Cambodia. *Acta Trop*. 2008;106:207–12.
- Nofal SD, Peto TJ, Adhikari B, Tripura R, Callery J, Bui TM, et al. How can interventions that target forest-goers be tailored to accelerate malaria

elimination in the Greater Mekong Subregion? A systematic review of the qualitative literature. *Malar J.* 2019;18:32.

11. Wangroongsarb P, Sudathip P, Satimai W. Characteristics and malaria prevalence of migrant populations in malaria-endemic areas along the Thai-Cambodian border. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health.* 2012;43:261–9.
12. National Institute of Malaria PaEN. National strategy for malaria control and elimination in the period 2011–2020 and orientation to 2030. Vietnam National Institute of Malaria, Parasitology, and Entomology, Hanoi. 2011.
13. WHO. Global technical strategy for malaria 2016–2030. Geneva, World Health Organization. 2015.
14. Yeung S, Van Damme W, Socheat D, White NJ, Mills A. Access to artemisinin combination therapy for malaria in remote areas of Cambodia. *Malar J.* 2008;7:96.
15. Liverani M, Nguon C, Sok R, Kim D, Nou P, Nguon S, et al. Improving access to health care amongst vulnerable populations: a qualitative study of village malaria workers in Kampot. Cambodia *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2017;17:335.
16. Hewitt S. A review of Cambodia's village malaria worker project. Phnom Penh: World Health Organization; 2012.
17. Canavati SE, Quintero CE, Lawford HL, Yok S, Lek D, Richards JS, et al. High mobility, low access thwarts interventions among seasonal workers in the Greater Mekong Sub-region: lessons from the malaria containment project. *Malar J.* 2016;15:434.
18. Johnston LG, Hakim AJ, Ditttrich S, Burnett J, Kim E, White RG. A systematic review of published respondent-driven sampling surveys collecting behavioral and biologic data. *AIDS Behav.* 2016;20:1754–76.
19. Heckathorn DD. Respondent-driven sampling: a new approach to the study of hidden populations. *Soc Probl.* 1997;44:174–99.
20. Heckathorn DD. Respondent-driven sampling II: deriving valid population estimates from chain-referral samples of hidden populations. *Soc Probl.* 2002;49:11–34.
21. Magnani R, Sabin K, Saidel T, Heckathorn D. Review of sampling hard-to-reach and hidden populations for HIV surveillance. *AIDS.* 2005;19(Suppl 2):S67–72.
22. Chhim S, Piola P, Housen T, Herbreteau V, Tol B. Malaria in Cambodia: a retrospective analysis of a changing epidemiology 2006–2019. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2021;18:1960.
23. Erhart A, Thang ND, Hung NQ, le Toi V, le Hung X, Tuy TQ, et al. Forest malaria in Vietnam: a challenge for control. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2004;70:110–8.
24. Royal Government of Cambodia. ID Poor Process. 2021. <https://www.idpoor.gov.kh/about/process>. Accessed 28 May 2021.
25. Ly P, Thwing J, McGinn C, Quintero CE, Top-Samphor N, Habib N, et al. The use of respondent-driven sampling to assess malaria knowledge, treatment-seeking behaviours and preventive practices among mobile and migrant populations in a setting of artemisinin resistance in Western Cambodia. *Malar J.* 2017;16:378.
26. Ministry of Health Kingdom of Cambodia. Cambodia Malaria Elimination Action Framework 2016–2020. Phnom Penh. 2016.
27. Taffon P. Keep the force to keep the order facing malaria in the Cambodian forest: an ethnographic study. *Medecins Sans Frontieres* (unpublished programme report). 2016.
28. Bannister-Tyrrell M, Gryseels C, Sokha S, Dara L, Sereiboth N, James N, et al. Forest goers and multidrug-resistant malaria in Cambodia: an ethnographic study. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2019;100:1170–8.
29. Win AYN, Maung TM, Wai KT, Oo T, Thi A, Tipmontree R, et al. Understanding malaria treatment-seeking preferences within the public sector amongst mobile/migrant workers in a malaria elimination scenario: a mixed-methods study. *Malar J.* 2017;16:462.
30. Wangroongsarb P, Satimai W, Khamsiriwatchara A, Thwing J, Eliades JM, Kaewkungwal J, et al. Respondent-driven sampling on the Thailand-Cambodia border. II. Knowledge, perception, practice and treatment-seeking behaviour of migrants in malaria endemic zones. *Malar J.* 2011;10:117.
31. Ozawa S, Walker DG. Comparison of trust in public vs private health care providers in rural Cambodia. *Health Policy Plan.* 2011;26(Suppl 1):i20–9.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year











At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions



← Completed Reviewer Assignments

Page: 1 of 1 (4 total assignments)

Action  	My Reviewer Number 	Manuscript Number 	Article Type 	Article Title 	Final Disposition 	Date Reviewer Invited 	Date Reviewer Agreed 	Date Review Due 
View Reviewer Comments View Attachments Send E-mail	1	MALJ-D-21-00646	Research	Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on malaria cases in health facilities in northern Ghana: a retrospective analysis of routine surveillance data	Accept	29 Dec 2021	05 Jan 2022	26 Jan 2022
Author Response View Reviewer Comments View Attachments Send E-mail	1	MALJ-D-21-00405R1	Research	The use of respondent - driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment - seeking behaviours among forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam	Accept	02 Nov 2021	03 Nov 2021	10 Nov 2021
View Reviewer Comments View Attachments Send E-mail	1	MALJ-D-21-00405	Research	The use of respondent - driven sampling to assess febrile illness treatment - seeking behaviours among forest-goers in Cambodia and Vietnam	Accept	27 Jul 2021	27 Jul 2021	17 Aug 2021
View Reviewer Comments View Attachments Send E-mail	2	MALJ-D-20-00773	Opinion	Beyond national indicators: adapting the Demographic and Health Surveys' sampling strategies and questions to better inform subnational malaria intervention policy	Accept	29 Dec 2020	07 Jan 2021	28 Jan 2021

Page: 1 of 1 (4 total assignments)