# THE SECOND SEMESTER STUDENTS' ENGAGEMENT IN ENGLISH ONLINE LEARNING AT ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

Thesis by

# **YUWITA**

NIM 06011281823039

**English Education Study Program** 

**Language and Arts Education Department** 



# FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

**INDRALAYA** 

2022

# THE SECOND SEMESTER STUDENTS' ENGAGEMENT IN ENGLISH ONLINE LEARNING AT ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

A thesis by

YUWITA

Student Number: 06011281823039
English Education Study Program
Department of Language and Arts Education
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

2022

Approved by, Advisor

Prlina, S.Pd., M.Pd., M.Ed. NIP. 197409082000122001

Certified by

Coordinator of English Education Study Program

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd. NIP. 197408022002121003

# THE SECOND SEMESTER STUDENTS' ENGAGEMENT IN ENGLISH ONLINE LEARNING AT ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

#### Yuwita

Student Number: 06011281823039

This thesis was defended by the writer in the final program examination and was approved the examination committee on:

Day: Monday

Date: August 1st, 2022

1. Chairperson: Erlina, S.Pd., M.Pd., M.Ed.

2. Member : Sary Silvhiany, M.Pd., M.A., P.hD.

Palembang, August 1st, 2022

Certified by,

Coordinator of English Education

Study Program,

Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 197408022002121003

# DECLARATION

I, the undersigned,

Name

: Yuwita

Student's Number

: 06011281823039

Study Program

: English Education

Certify that the thesis entitled "THE SECOND SEMESTER STUDENTS' ENGAGEMENT IN ENGLISH ONLINE LEARNING AT ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY" is my own work and I did not do any plagiarism or inappropriate quotation against the ethic and rules commended by Ministry of Education of Republic of Indonesia Number 17, 2010 regarding plagiarism in higher education. Therefore, I deserve to face court if I am found to have plagiarized this work.

Indralaya, 26<sup>th</sup>July 2022 The Undersigned,

Yuwita

06011281823039

# **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this thesis to:

My parents, mother (Angkut) and father (Dencik)

# **MOTTO**

The best among men are the ones that bring greatest benefits to many others.

Prophet Muhammad (Peace be Upon Him)

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises to Allah, the single power, the Lord of the universe, master of the day of judgment, God all mighty, for all blessings and mercies for giving me the knowledge and the strength to succeed in and complete this thesis entitled *The second semester students' engagement in English online learning at English education study program Faculty of teacher training and education Sriwijaya university*. Peace is upon Prophet Muhammad SAW, the great leader and good inspiration of world revolution. The writer is sure that this thesis would not be completed without the help, support, and suggestions from several sides.

The writer wouldlike to express her gratitude to Dr. Hartono, M.A., the Dean of TeacherTraining and Education Faculty. Dr. Didi Suhendi, S.Pd., M. Hum., the Head of the Department of Language and Arts Education. Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd., M.Pd., theCoordinator of English Education Study Program

Thus, the writer would also like to express her deepest thanks to all of those who had helped, supported, and suggested during the process of writing this thesis. I would like to dedicate my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my thesis and academic advisor, Erlina, S.Pd.,M.Pd., M.Ed. who have been willing to sacrifice her valuable time to encourage and to guide me throughout the completion of this thesis.

The writer very grateful to all the lectures at the English education study program sriwijaya university for their valuable courses during my study at this department. To all administration staff, librarians, janitors and all security personnel, she also express appreciation for their help and cooperation during her study.

The writer would like to thank to Fatimah, Iwi, Dia, Winie and Lalak who have helped and provided support in every phase of the researcher's college life, this gratitude also goes to my friends during college, seespa 2018.

The writer realizes that this thesis is far from perfect. However, the author hopes that this will be useful for researchers and all those who need information about students' engagement.

Indralaya, 26<sup>th</sup> July 2022

The writer.

Yuwita

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Approval	i
CommitteeApproval	
Declaration	
Dedication	
Acknowledgement	vi
Abstract	
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2. Problems of the Study	
1.3. Objectives of the Study	
1.4. Significances of the Study	
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Students' Engagement	7
2.1.1. Definition of Student Engagement	
2.1.2. Aspects of Students' Engagement	
2.1.3. Factors affecting Students' Engagement	
2.1.4. The Importance of Student Engagement	
2.2. Online Learning	
2.2.1. Definition of Online Learning	
2.2.2. Type of Online Learning	
2.2.3. Advantages of online learning	
2.2.4. Disadvantages of online learning	
2.3. Student Engagement in Online Learning	
2.4. Student engagement in Language learning	
2.5. Previous related studies	
CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Research Design	29
3.2. Place and Time of the Study	
3.3. Population and Sample	30
3.4. Method of Collecting the Data	31
3.5. Validity and Reliability	32
3.5.1 Validity	32
3.5.2. Reliability	35
3.6. Method of Analyzing the Data	36
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND INTREPRETATION	
4.I. Findings	39
4.2. Interpretations	56
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	60
5.1 Conclusion	60

5.2. Suggestions		
LIST OF FIGURES		
Figure 1 Gender Distribution	40	
Figure 2 Domicile distrubution	41	
LIST OF TABLES		
Table 1 Population of the Study	31	
Table 3 Validity Test Results	33	
Table 4 . Relibility Test Results	35	
Table 5 Interval of Students' Engagement Category	36	
Table 6 Interval of Students' Engagement Category for Learner-Content		
Engagement, Learner-Instructor Engagement, Learner-Learner Engagement	37	
Table 7 Interval of Students' Engagement Category for Learner-Online Platform	n	
	38	
Table 8 Participants of the Study	40	
Table 9 Descriptive Statistics of Students' Engagement scale	42	
Table 10 The Cumulative Means of Engagement Scale Response	43	
Table 11 Cumulative Means of Type of Interaction and Engagement	44	
Table 12 Descriptive Statistics of Learner-Learner Engagement	44	
Table 13 The Cumulative Means of Learner-Learner Engagement Response		
Table 14 Descriptive Statistics Learner-Content Engagement		
Table 15 The Cumulative Means of Learner-Content Engagement Response		
Table 16 Descriptive Statistics Learner-Instructor Engagement	48	
Table 17 The Cumulative Means of Learner-Instructor Engagement Response	50	
Table 18 Descriptive Statistics of Learner-Online Platform	50	
Table 19 The Cumulative Means of Learner-Online Platform Engagement		
Response		
Table 20 The cumulative highest means in statement of each type of interaction		
and engagement	52	
Table 21 Test of Normality	53	
Table 22 Test of Homogeneity	54	
Table 23 Mann-Whitney U Test Based on Gender	55	
Table 24 Mann-Whitney U Test Based on Campus (Indralaya and Palembang).	55	

# LIST OF DIAGRAMS

Diagram 1 Distribution of Students' Engagement in English online learning	43
Diagram 2 Learner-Learner Engagement Distribution	45
Diagram 3Learner-Content Engagement Distribution	47
Diagram 4 Learner-Instructor Engagement Distribution	49
Diagram 5 Learner-Online Platform Engagament Distribution	51
LIST OF APPENDICES	
Appendix.1 Questionnaire of students' engagement	70
Appendix.2 Results for the questionnaire	72
Appendix.3 Type of Interaction and Enagement	74
Appendix.4 Usul Judul Skripsi	75
Appendix 5. SK Pembimbing	
Appendix 6. SK Penelitian	78
Appendix. 7.Thesis Consultation Card	79

# THE SECOND SEMESTER STUDENTS' ENGAGEMENT IN ENGLISH ONLINE LEARNING AT ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSTY

### **ABSTRACT**

The rapid spread of the COVID-19 virus has forced the learning process to be carried out online. Therefore, to achieve success in online learning, student engagement is one of the thing that must be improved. This quantitative research method used descriptive research design with a closed-ended questionnaire that was distributed to the second semester student of English education study program faculty of teacher training and education Sriwijaya University aims to investigate students' engagement, aspect of engagement and the significance difference between the participants in terms of gender and campus location. A total of 73 students participated in this study, the results of the study revealed that the level of the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester students' engagement in English online learning was in the high category (54.79%) on scale Students' Engagement in English Online Learning. Then, learner-learner engagement in which the students mostly engage in their online learning interaction with the "Respect peer differences" as the items with highest mean score (M=4.38). Then, it was also found that there was no significant difference in students' engagement based on gender and campus location.

**Keywords** :Students' engagement, Online learning, Engagement in online learning

#### CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents (1) background of the study, (2) problems of the study, (3) objectives of the study, (4) significances of the study.

# 1.1 Background of the Study

The World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020, has declared the novel corona virus diseases (COVID-19) outbreak a global pandemic (Cucinotta & Vanelli, 2020). This virus started with a report from China to the World Health Organization (WHO). There were 44 patients with severe pneumonia in an area namely Wuhan City, Hubei Province, China, to be precise on the last day of 2019 (Handayani et al., 2002). Corona Virus Diseases is a virus disperse easily from one person to another and within half year this virus has spread to all over the world, including Indonesia. Therefore, various efforts have been made to reduce the spread of this virus, such as: restricting their society's movement, implementing various internal activities (work from home, school from home, etc), social and physical distancing, and large-scale social restriction (PSBB). The Covid-19 pandemic forced many schools and colleges to remain closed temporarily (Dhawan, 2020). The government expects all human activities to be carried out at home, this also happens in the teaching and learning process that is expected to be carried out at home via online to minimize the spread of this virus.

In this pandemic era lecturers and students are expected to be able to adapt to this change, lecturers and students have to be prepared to change their conventional classes to online classes by increasing their ability to operate various latest technologies so that the online teaching and learning process can be carried out effectively. Online learning is defined as the creation and dissemination of the personal computer, the globalization of thought and other human acts, and the use of

technology in exchanging ideas, knowledge and providing access to more people (Natarajan, 2006). It is a web-based software for delivering, tracking, and managing courses through the internet applying technological advances to direct, design and deliver learning content, and to facilitate two-way communication between students and faculty (Mukhtar et al., 2020). This new way of teaching and learning brings students to a new era in education, the era of online learning. This new adjustment of the online learning platform challenges the lectures and students in the online learning environment by increasing the way teachers explain the material, including in English, and students should be ready to learn the subject from the teacher's explanation and the other source (Sari & Oktaviani, 2021). The teaching and learning process is carried out through learning applications, such as: their respective university's learning management system, zoom, google meet, whatsapp and various other online learning platforms.

However, there are several challenges faced by teachers and students in the implementation of online class. In this pandemic era where students required to stay at home to reduce the spread of corona virus, all of the teaching and learning activities should be done online. Some problems arise, online learning makes students quickly feel bored and less encourage, students less interested to join online learning because of the many tasks that make students lazy to do assignments (Hafida et al., 2020). Students are more stressed, lack of motivation, and easy get distracted (Yuzulia, 2021). Then, students do not show active participation in online learning, where students have strategies to avoid active participation (Coman et al., 2020). Lack of participation causes emotional withdrawal which causes lack of school identification that is related to nonparticipation in school resulting in unsuccessful school outcomes (Fredricks et al., 2004). Socialization is another problem in online learning. Students only communicate with their fellows and teachers digitally and never see teachers and other students in person, and thus the real-time sharing of ideas, knowledge and information is partially missing from the digital learning world

(Adnan, 2020). It was frustrating for students because of the lack of communication from teachers and the absence of feedback (Stone, 2019). Online learning also makes students undergo contemplation and isolated, therefore students need a very strong interest and time management skills in order to reduce such effects (Arkorful & Abaidoo, 2015).

Student engagement is a multidimensional construct (Christenson et al., 2012; Fredricks et al., 2004). Student involvement consists of several aspects. Student engagement is often defined in three ways. Behavioral engagement is student action that can be observed during learning activities. Emotional engagement refers to both positive and negative students' reactions to teachers, classmates, academics, and the school. Then, cognitive engagement refers to an investment idea. It includes thinking and willingness to exert the effort required to understand complex ideas and master difficult skills (Fredricks et al., 2004). Students' engagement in teaching and learning process is essential in both conventional and online classroom, student engagement take important roles in the success of teaching learning process (Susanti, 2020). It is because in online learning, students have fewer opportunities to be engaged. Therefore, teacher need to create opportunities for students' engagement in the online environment. Students engagement is a main focus in stimulating students to become active and more engaged in their process of learning. Then, it was found that students' engagement were positively correlated to the academic performance and achievement of the students. The more students involved in the work expected by the school, the higher the level of their achievement (Delfino, 2019; Lei et al., 2018). Lack of student involvement has become a serious concern for educators and policy makers because uninvolved students are more likely to struggle academically, drop out of school, and have problem behaviors (Fredricks et al., 2004). Students' engagement viewed as a reflection of learning processes, there is an important sense in which such involvement is one of the more significant outcomes (Krause & Coates, 2008). Student engagement focuses on the extent to which students are engaging in activities that higher education research has shown to be linked with high-quality learning outcomes. Student interaction is closely related to student academics, the higher the student interaction, the higher the student's academic performance, besides that interaction can also help students face obstacles in the student's academic process (Christenson et al., 2012). Increasing student involvement in schools is one of the efforts that schools can do to reduce the problems that occur in students (Fikrie & Ariani, 2019). Students' engagement increases students' satisfaction, enhances students' motivation to learn, reduces the sense of isolation, and improves students' performance in online courses(Martin & Bolliger, 2018). Students' involvement is not only a motivation but also a process and need amount of time which of course will have an impact on academic long management. Students involved in school are equally more successful academically.

As one of the state universities in Indonesia, Sriwijaya University also applies online learning as a learning medium during the pandemic. All study programs at Sriwijaya University apply this rule properly, all learning activities are carried out through a platform that can be accessed by lecturers and students from home. The teaching and learning process during this pandemic is generally carried out through various applications that are relevant to learning materials. The applications that are generally used bySriwijayaUniversity students are google meet, zoom, e-learning and whatsapp. These applications are used by students instead of the usual face-to-face meetings held in the classroom. The online class implements indirect meetings on a platform, students gather in a room in the application that allows virtual meetings to start learning activities. In this virtual room, students are asked to fill out absences, listen to lecturers' explanations, discuss, and present the learning outcomes. The application of this online learning has been carried out at the Sriwijaya University since March 2020 until now.

The participants of this study are the second semester students of English Education Study Program Sriwijaya University. These students enter college in 2021

at the same time as the covid pandemic. Thus, at the beginning of their study they have been doing online learning without ever doing face-to-face learning. As a new student at a university faced with online learning, second semester students are expected to have high student engagement so that learning objectives can be achieved properly. Based on the explanation above, the writer is interested in conducting a study entitled "The Second Semester Students' Engagement in English Online Learning at English Education Study Program Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Sriwijaya University".

## 1.2. Problems of the Study

The problems of this study are:

- 1. What is the level of students' engagement in English online learning?
- 2. Which aspects of engagement did the students mostly engage?
- 3. Is there any significant difference in students' engagement in online learning based on gender and campus location?

### 1.3. Objectives of the Study

Concerning the problems of the study as mentioned above, the objectives of this study are:

- 1. find out whether or not the students really engage in online teaching and learning activities.
- 2. describe the aspect which can make the students more engage in online teaching and learning activities.
- 3. find out any significant difference in students' engagement in online learning based on gender and campus location

### 1.4. Significances of the Study

The writer hopes this study can give contribution to the world of education, especially in online teaching and learning. It has two major significances:

- 1. Theoretically, this study will contribute to the theory of student engagement in English online learning and it gives benefit for researchers for futher study.
- 2. Practically, this study will give the empirical data about the students' engagement in English online learning. The result of this study can be useful for the students, lecturers, teachers who teach English. This study will also help researchers to help students to improve their engagement in teaching and learning process

## REFERENCES

- Adnan, M. (2020). Online learning amid the COVID-19 pandemic: Students perspectives. *Journal of Pedagogical Sociology and Psychology*, 1(2), 45–51. https://doi.org/10.33902/jpsp.2020261309
- Ali, I., Narayan, A. K., & Sharma, U. (2020). Adapting to COVID-19 disruptions: Student Engagement in Online Learning of Accounting. *Accounting Reerch Journal*.
- Apuke, O. D. (2017). Quantitative Research Methods: A Synopsis Approach. *Kuwait Chapter of Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 6(11), 40–47. https://doi.org/10.12816/0040336
- Arikunto, S. (2006). Prosedur Penelitian: Suatu Pendekatan Praktik. Rineka Cipta.
- Arkorful, V., & Abaidoo, N. (2015). The role of e-learning, advantages and disadvantages of its adoption in higher education. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 29.
- Bakia, M., Shear, L., Toyama, Y., & Lasseter, A. (2012). Understanding the Implications of Online Learning for Educational Productivity. *Educational Technology*, 1–75. http://ctl.sri.com/publications/displayPublication.jsp?ID=913
- Bergsmann, E. M., Lüftenegger, M., Jöstl, G., Schober, B., & Spiel, C. (2013). The role of classroom structure in fostering students' school functioning: A comprehensive and application-oriented approach. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 26(August), 131–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2013.05.005
- Carliner, S. (2004). An Overview of Online Learning.
- Chen, Z., Fang, Z., Fan, W., Edwards, A., & Zhang, K. (2017). CSTG: An effective framework for cost-sensitive sparse online learning. *Proceedings of the 17th SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, SDM 2017*, 759–767. https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611974973.85
- Christenson, S. L., Wylie, C., & Reschly, A. L. (2012). Handbook of Research on

- Student Engagement. In *Handbook of Research on Student Engagement*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7
- Coman, C., Ţîru, L. G., Meseşan-Schmitz, L., Stanciu, C., & Bularca, M. C. (2020). Online teaching and learning in higher education during the coronavirus pandemic: Students' perspective. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *12*(24), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.3390/su122410367
- Commissiong, M. A. (2020). Student Engagement, Self-Regulation, Satisfaction, and Success in Online Learning Environments. *Walden Dissertations and Doctoral Studies Collection*, 42–165. https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/dissertations
- Cucinotta, D., & Vanelli, M. (2020). WHO declares COVID-19 a pandemic. *Acta Biomedica*, *91*(1), 157–160. https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v91i1.9397
- Delfino, A. P. (2019). Student engagement and academic performance of students of Partido State University. *Asian Journal of University Education*, *15*(1), 22–41. https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v15i3.05
- Dhawan, S. (2020). Online Learning: A Panacea in the Time of COVID-19 Crisis. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 49(1), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520934018
- Drost, E. A. (2011). Validity and reliability in social science research. *Education Research and Perspectives*, 38(1), 105–123.
- Fikrie, & Ariani, L. (2019). *KETERLIBATAN SISWA (STUDENT ENGAGEMENT)*DI SEKOLAH SEBAGAI SALAH SATU UPAYA PENINGKATAN

  KEBERHASILAN SISWA DI SEKOLAH. 103–110.
- Fredricks, J., Blumenfeld, P., & Paris, A. (2004). School Engagement: Potential of the Concept, State of the Evidence Authors (s): Jennifer A. Fredricks, Phyllis C. Blumenfeld and Alison H. Paris Published by: American Educational Research Association Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/3516. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59–109.
- Hafida, Lilih, Wilanika, Ludi, & Sapta. (2020). Penurunan Motivasi dan Keaktifan

- Belajar Siswa Selama Pembelajaran Daring Di Tengah Pendemi Covid-19. *Indonesian Journal Of Education Scince*, 2(2), 82.
- Hakimzadeh, R., Besharat, M. A., Khaleghinezhad, S. A., & Ghorban Jahromi, R. (2016). Peers' perceived support, student engagement in academic activities and life satisfaction: A structural equation modeling approach. *School Psychology International*, 37(3), 240–254. https://doi.org/10.1177/0143034316630020
- Handayani, D., Hadi, D. R., Isbaniah, F., Burhan, E., & Agustin, H. (2002). Multidrug resistant tuberculosis. *CPD Infection*, *3*(1), 9–12.
- Helen, L. (1993). Design: Descriptive Research Definitions of. *Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing*, 10(1), 154–157.
- Hiranrithikorn, P. (2019). Advantages and disadvantages online learning.pdf. 14–17.
- Hiver, P., Al-Hoorie, A. H., Vitta, J. P., & Wu, J. (2021). Engagement in language learning: A systematic review of 20 years of research methods and definitions. *Language Teaching Research*, *March*. https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688211001289
- Hrastinski, S. (2008). *Asynchronous and Synchronous e-learning*. 245–256. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-66942-5\_22
- Hu, M., & Li, H. (2017). Student engagement in online learning: A review.

  \*Proceedings 2017 International Symposium on Educational Technology, ISET 2017, 39–43. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISET.2017.17
- Hu, M., Li, H., Deng, W., & Guan, H. (2017). Student Engagement: One of the Necessary Conditions for Online Learning. *Proceedings - 5th International Conference on Educational Innovation through Technology, EITT 2016*, 122–126. https://doi.org/10.1109/EITT.2016.31
- Jaya, H. P. (2019). Learning styles used and english proficiency of the students of english education study program faculty of teacher training and education sriwijaya university. *Holistics*, 11(1), 17–22. https://jurnal.polsri.ac.id/index.php/holistic/article/view/1339

- Krause, K. L., & Coates, H. (2008). Students' engagement in first-year university. Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education, 33(5), 493–505. https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930701698892
- Lazareva, A. (2018). Factors affecting student engagement in online collaborative learning courses. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 716, 349–359. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73204-6\_39
- Lei, H., Cui, Y., & Zhou, W. (2018). Relationships between student engagement and academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Social Behavior and Personality*, 46(3), 517–528. https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.7054
- Lester, D. (2013). A review of the student engagement literature. *Focus on Colleges, Universities, and Schools*, 7(1), 1–8.
- M.Newmann, F., Wehlage, G. G., & Lamborn, S. D. (1992). Cultivating Teacher Engagement: Breaking the Iron Law of Social Class. In *Organizing for School Change* (Issue 7). https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203012543-16
- Madya, S. A., & Abdurahman. (2021). Online Learning Implementation in the Covid-19 Pandemic. Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Language and Arts (ICLA 2020), 539(Icla 2020), 26–31. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210325.005
- Martin, F., & Bolliger, D. U. (2018). Engagement matters: Student perceptions on the importance of engagement strategies in the online learning environment. *Online Learning Journal*, 22(1), 205–222. https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v22i1.1092
- Martínez-Mesa, J., González-Chica, D. A., Bastos, J. L., Bonamigo, R. R., & Duquia, R. P. (2014). Sample size: How many participants do I need in my research?
  Anais Brasileiros de Dermatologia, 89(4), 609–615.
  https://doi.org/10.1590/abd1806-4841.20143705
- McLaughlin, M., McGrath, D. J., Burian-Fitzgerald, M. A., Lanahan, L., Scotchmer, M., Enyeart, C., & Salganik, L. (2007). Student Content Engagement as a Construct for the Measurement of Effective Classroom Instruction and Teacher

- Knowledge. 24.
  http://www.air.org/sites/default/files/downloads/report/AERA2005Student\_Cont
  ent\_Engagement11\_0.pdf
- Mishra, S. B., & Alok, S. (2019). Handbook of research methodology. August 2017.
- Mitchell, I., & Carbone, A. (2011). A typology of task characteristics and their effects on student engagement. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *50*(5–6), 257–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2011.05.001
- Moore, M. G. (1989). Moore, M. G. (1989) Editorial: Three types of interaction. American Journal EDDL 5101 Educational Technology for Learning Three Types of Interaction. *Educational Technology for Learning*, *3*(2), 1–7. http://aris.teluq.uquebec.ca/portals/598/t3\_moore1989.pdf
- Mukhtar, K., Javed, K., Arooj, M., & Sethi, A. (2020). Advantages, limitations and recommendations for online learning during covid-19 pandemic era. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*, *36*(COVID19-S4), S27–S31. https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.COVID19-S4.2785
- Natarajan, M. (2006). Use of online technology for multimedia education. Information Services and Use, 26(3), 249–256. https://doi.org/10.3233/ISU-2006-26304
- Nursalam. (2008). Konsep dan Penerapan Metodologi Penenlitian Ilmu Keperawatan. Salemba Medika.
- Olapiriyakul, K., & Scher, J. M. (2006). A guide to establishing hybrid learning courses: Employing information technology to create a new learning experience, and a case study. *Internet and Higher Education*, *9*(4), 287–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2006.08.001
- Omar, N. D. @, Hassan, H., & Atan, H. (2012). Student Engagement in Online Learning: Learners Attitude Toward E-Mentoring. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 67(November 2011), 464–475. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.11.351

- Perveen, A. (2016). Synchronous and Asynchronous E-Language Learning: A Case Study of Virtual University of Pakistan. *Open Praxis*, 8(1), 21–39. https://doi.org/10.5944/openpraxis.8.1.212
- Petrus, I. (2019). Statistics in Education Course Materials. Noer Fikri Offset.
- Philp, J., & Duchesne, S. (2016). Exploring Engagement in Tasks in the Language Classroom. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, *36*, 50–72. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190515000094
- Raftery, J. N., Grolnick, W. S., & Flamm, and E. S. (2012). Families as Facilitators of Student Engagement: Toward a Home-School Partnership Model. In *Handbook of Research on Student Engagement*.
- Reeve, J. (2005). How Teachers Can Promote Students 'Autonomy During
  Instruction: Lessons from a Decade of Research. *Iowa Educational Research*and Evaluation Association Annual Conference.
- Reeve, J., & Jang, H. (2004). Enhancing Students' Engagement by Increasing

  Teachers' Autonomy Support. 34(October 2004). https://doi.org/10.1023/B
- Risten, R., & Pustika, R. (2021). Exploring students' attitude towards English online learning using MOODLE during COVID-19 pandemic at SMK Yadika Bandarlampung. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Learning*, 2(1), 8–15. http://jim.teknokrat.ac.id/index.php/english-language-teaching/index
- Roux, P. W., & Suzuki, K. (2017). Designing Online Instruction for Developing Cultural Intelligence (CQ): A Report from a Classroom-Based Workshop.

  International Journal for Educational Media and Technology, 11(1), 87–96.
- Sari, F. M., & Oktaviani, L. (2021). Undergraduate Students' Views on the Use of Online Learning Platform during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Teknosastik*, 19(1), 41. https://doi.org/10.33365/ts.v19i1.896
- Showkat, N., & Parveen, H. (2017). Non-Probability and Probability Sampling. July.
- Skinner, E., Furrer, C., Marchand, G., & Kindermann, T. (2008). Engagement and Disaffection in the Classroom: Part of a Larger Motivational Dynamic? *Journal*

- of Educational Psychology, 100(4), 765–781. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012840
- Song, D., Rice, M., & Oh, E. Y. (2019). Participation in Online Courses and Interaction With a Virtual Agent. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 20.
- Stone, C. (2019). Online learning in Australian higher education: Opportunities, challenges and transformations. *Student Success*, *10*(2), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.5204/ssj.v10i2.1299
- Sugiyono. (2009). Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R & D. Alfabeta.
- Sujana, S. A., Jaya, H. P., & Fiftinova, F. (2021). Social Adjustment and Academic Achievement of Efl Students At Higher Education. *The Journal of English Literacy Education: The Teaching and Learning of English as a Foreign Language*, 8(2), 138–149. https://doi.org/10.36706/jele.v8i2.14328
- Susanti, Y. (2020). The Students' Engagement in EFL Online Class. *Lingual: Journal of Language and Culture*, 10(2), 8. https://doi.org/10.24843/ljlc.2020.v10.i02.p02
- Tashakkori, A., & Creswell, J. W. (2007). Editorial: The New Era of Mixed Methods. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, *1*(1), 3–7.

  https://doi.org/10.1177/2345678906293042
- Werang, B. R., & Leba, S. M. R. (2022). Factors Affecting Student Engagement in Online Teaching and Learning: A Qualitative Case Study. *Qualitative Report*, 27(2), 555–577. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2022.5165
- Willms, J. D. (2003). Student engagement at school: A sense of belonging and participation. *OECD Retrieved from Www Pisa Oecd Org on March*, 1–84. https://doi.org/10.1787/19963777
- Yang, Y. F. (2011). Engaging students in an online situated language learning environment. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 24(2), 181–198. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2010.538700

- Yuhana, I., Alexander, A., & Kachik, A. (2013). Advantages and disadvantages of online training. *Education Varkenning*, 1(2), 13–19.
- Yulmiati, Y. (2018). an Analysis of Teachers' Ways in Stimulating Students' Interest in Teaching Learning Process At Vocational High School 9 Padang. *Tell-Us Journal*, *4*(1), 12–32. https://doi.org/10.22202/tus.2018.v4i1.2033
- Yuzulia, I. (2021). Wanastra: Jurnal Bahasa dan Sastra The Challenges Of Online
  Learning During Pandemic: Students' Voice. 13(1), 8–12.
  https://doi.org/10.31294/w.v12i1http://ejournal.bsi.ac.id/ejurnal/index.php/wanastra/8