



Hasanudin Hasanudin <hasanudin@mipa.unsri.ac.id>

[CH] Submission Acknowledgement: 14765

1 pesan

Krisztina LÁSZLÓ <chemical@pp.bme.hu>

31 Juli 2019 14.00

Kepada: Hasanudin Hasanudin <hasanudin@mipa.unsri.ac.id>

Dear Hasanudin Hasanudin,

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Kinetic model of crude palm oil hydrocracking over Ni/Mo ZrO₂-pillared bentonite catalyst" to Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL: <https://pp.bme.hu/ch/authorDashboard/submission/14765>

Username: hasanudin

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Krisztina LÁSZLÓ

Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering
<https://pp.bme.hu/ch>

[CH]



Participants

Krisztina László (chemical)

Hasanudin Hasanudin (hasanudin)

Messages

Dear Author,

your manuscript is under review. You always can check the website of the journal to follow the fate of your manucript.

Regards

Krisztina László

editor-in-chief

chemical
2019-08-28
12:51 PM

Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering
<https://pp.bme.hu/ch>

- ▶ Dear Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering Editor, I have read and carefully consider all reviewer's remark regarding our article entitled: Kinetic model of crude palm oil hydrocracking over Ni/Mo ZrO₂-pillared bentonite catalyst. Considering major revision I have to accomodate and accomplished, I would like to request an extension of 2 weeks (Oktober 10, 2019) for the submission of the revised paper, as I am providing with deeper and thorough discussion on the issues of reviewers requested. Thank you for your consideration, and I look forward to your reply Sincerely Yours Hasanudin Hasanudin

hasanudin
2019-09-26
01:41 AM

Dear Author,

as requested, new deadline for submitting the revised files is the 10th of October.

Best regards

Gábor Márk Tardy

gmtardy
2019-10-02
11:41 AM

[CH]



Participants

Krisztina László (chemical)

Hasanudin Hasanudin (hasanudin)

Messages

Note	From
<p>Dear Author,</p> <p>your manuscript is under review. You always can check the website of the journal to follow the fate of your manucript.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Krisztina László</p> <p>editor-in-chief</p> <hr/> <p>Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering https://pp.bme.hu/ch</p>	<p>chemical</p> <p>2019-08-28</p> <p>12:51 PM</p>
<p>▶ Dear Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering Editor, I have read and carefully consider all reviewer's remark regarding our article entitled: Kinetic model of crude palm oil hydrocracking over Ni/Mo ZrO₂-pillared bentonite catalyst. Considering major revision I have to accomodate and accomplished, I would like to request an extension of 2 weeks (Oktober 10, 2019) for the submission of the revised paper, as I am providing with deeper and thorough discussion on the issues of reviewers requested. Thank you for your consideration, and I look forward to your reply Sincerely Yours Hasanudin Hasanudin</p>	<p>hasanudin</p> <p>2019-09-26</p> <p>01:41 AM</p>
<p>Dear Author,</p> <p>as requested, new deadline for submitting the revised files is the 10th of October.</p> <p>Best regards</p> <p>Gábor Márk Tardy</p>	<p>gmtardy</p> <p>2019-10-02</p> <p>11:41 AM</p>



Hasanudin Hasanudin <hasanudin@mipa.unsri.ac.id>

[CH] Editor Decision: 14765

1 pesan

Gábor Márk Tardy <tardygabor@gmail.com>

6 September 2019 20.04

Kepada: Hasanudin Hasanudin <hasanudin@mipa.unsri.ac.id>, Addy Rachmat <addy.tea@gmail.com>, Muhammad Said <saidusman2011@gmail.com>, Karna Wijaya <karnawijaya@ugm.ac.id>

Dear Hasanudin Hasanudin, Addy Rachmat, Muhammad Said, Karna Wijaya,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering, "Kinetic model of crude palm oil hydrocracking over Ni/Mo ZrO₂-pillared bentonite catalyst".

Our decision is: **Resubmit for Review (Major revision)**

This means that we ask you to carefully consider the reviewer's remarks (see below), modify the paper accordingly, and then upload a major revision. **The deadline of the revision is 27th of September.**

Please go to the **Review page** of your paper. Scroll down to the **Revisions** section and press the **Upload File**. Please do not use the Discussion section to upload your files.

Please upload the following files:

- 1) a document that answers point-by-point the reviewers' comments. Please note that some of these answers might be relevant to be included to the revised text.
- 2) the revised final version of the paper in Word format (doc or docx).
- 3) the revised version of the paper with the changes tracked (doc or docx format).
- 4) each figure also in separate files. Format and quality requirements: <https://pp.bme.hu/ch/about/submissions>.

Your cooperation would help the copyediting process that will start after acceptance.

Best regards,

Gábor Márk Tardy
PPCE Editor

Reviewer#1

=====

- Authors claim that "the most suitable catalyst concluded so far for vegetable oil hydrocracking is Ni and Mo metals", but there is no reference in the manuscript that supports this claim strongly enough.
- Section 2.2. (Procedure) lacks some details necessary for reproducibility, i.e. "reactor was saturated by hydrogen gas to remove air at predetermined temperature for 15 minutes" (what is the predetermined temperature?); "CPO was pumped in by peristaltic pump at particular flow rate into the preheater" (which particular flow rate?).
- The authors state that "The remaining liquid in the flask after distillation consists of unreacted triglyceride from CPO reactant." Was this confirmed by analytical methods?
- What is the value of the velocity in the plug flow reactor model?
- How exactly the "software will decide initial value of k"? This is almost always the responsibility of the user.
- What does n and N in Eq. (1) mean? In general, a list of abbreviations would be helpful.
- Did the authors check the quality of the minimum obtained by fminsearch? At least the results of some local sensitivity study should be mentioned. See Chem. Eng. J. (Amsterdam, Neth.) 2007, 128 (2), 85–93., e.g.
- Literature review regarding the topic of catalyst deactivation is inadequate. It is missing from the introduction and only some vague references are given in Section 3.1. I suggest a more comprehensive review.
- The authors rightfully claim that coke formation is not the only factor involved in catalyst deactivation. On the other hand, there are more variables which can be used. I.e. Chem. Eng. Sci. 1954,3, 110–121, Energy&Fuels 2019, 33, 2575–2583, etc. The applicability of a deactivation model that only involves the catalyst lifetime is very narrow, i.e. the results cannot be generalized. The authors need to support their decision more strongly on this matter.
- What is the difference between figures 1 and 2 apart from the linearization? If fig. 2 is essentially a duplicate, I would rather remove it and include later some figures regarding concentration vs time of different lumps at different temperatures. Nevertheless, it is only a mild suggestion, this does not take away from the value of the manuscript.
- A little more emphasis could be put on the difference between the results of the two lumped models. Is there any disadvantage of using 6 lumps?

- There are several grammatical errors present in the manuscript. At a bare minimum, I would like to suggest using a spell and grammar checker (i.e. Grammarly) to tidy up the manuscript.
- MATLAB is usually written capitalized.
- The method ode45 uses is Runge-Kutta, not Runga-Kutta.

Reviewer#2

=====

1. Aim of research is not clearly stated, so we can not evaluate what is mainly explored in this study.
2. Authors calculated kinetics model of some reactions at varied temperature, but there is no definition how catalyst activity calculated, how coke concentration calculated etc.??
3. Authors mentioned that deactivation as function of coke formation on catalyst surface affect the kinetics of reaction. The statement is coming from reference. Is it positively occurred in this experiment. Need to proof it before modelling.
4. Figure 3: Relationship between $\ln(t)$ Vs $\ln(\phi)$. What is the main message of these plots?

Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering
<https://pp.bme.hu/ch>

Respond to Reviewer

Reviewer#1

1. Authors claim that "the most suitable catalyst concluded so far for vegetable oil hydrocracking is Ni and Mo metals", but there is no reference in the manuscript that supports this claim strongly enough.

Respond:

The claim supported by several reports that had been published in international journals and conference proceeding. The manuscript added with following references to support our claim as requested by reviewer.

2. Section 2.2. (Procedure) lacks some details necessary for reproducibility, i.e. "reactor was saturated by hydrogen gas to remove air at predetermined temperature for 15 minutes" (what is the predetermined temperature?); "CPO was pumped in by peristaltic pump at particular flow rate into the preheater" (which particular flow rate?).

Respond:

More detail procedure added to the manuscript i.e. hydrogen gas flowed for 15 minutes at various hydrocracking temperature setup: 673K, 698K, 723K, 748K and 773K.

CPO pumped into the reactor using peristaltic pump at feed rate variety based on weight hourly space velocity (WHSV): 60, 96, 120, 150 and 300 g/hour.

3. The authors state that "The remaining liquid in the flask after distillation consists of unreacted triglyceride from CPO reactant." Was this confirmed by analytical methods?

Respond:

It was confirmed by GC-MS data that the remaining liquid in the flask after distillation process is triglyceride from unreacted CPO

4. What is the value of the velocity in the plug flow reactor model?

Respond:

Weight hourly space velocity (*WHSV*) at 60, 96, 120, 150 and 300 g/hour.

5. How exactly the "software will decide initial value of k"? This is almost always the responsibility of the user.

Respond:

Initial value of k input by user was taken from reference data and Monte Carlo method

Nilai k awal diambil dari data literatur dan metode Monte Carlo.

6. What does n and N in Eq. (1) mean? In general, a list of abbreviations would be helpful.

Respond:

The n in Equation 1 is number of data, which written mistakenly but the correct version has been made

List of abbreviations in our opinion is not necessary because it is written in the corresponding text within the manuscript

7. Did the authors check the quality of the minimum obtained by `fminsearch`? At least the results of some local sensitivity study should be mentioned. See Chem. Eng. J. (Amsterdam, Neth.) 2007, 128 (2), 85 – 93., e.g.

Respond:

The quality of the minimum obtained was checked and revised was made in the manuscript. We determined the global minimum according to procedure displays on Fig.4 (Energy Fuels 2018, 32, 7245-7261).

8. Literature review regarding the topic of catalyst deactivation is inadequate. It is missing from the introduction and only some vague references are given in Section 3.1. I suggest a more comprehensive review.

Respond:

More comprehensive literature was added to the manuscript (Sudah direvisi)

9. The authors rightfully claim that coke formation is not the only factor involved in catalyst deactivation. On the other hand, there are more variables which can be used. I.e. Chem. Eng. Sci. 1954,3, 110–121, Energy&Fuels 2019, 33, 2575–2583, etc. The applicability of a deactivation model that only involves the catalyst lifetime is very narrow, i.e. the results cannot be generalized. The authors need to support their decision more strongly on this matter.

Respond:

We introduced more arguments about this matter within the manuscript as suggested.

10. What is the difference between figures 3 and 4 apart from the linearization? If fig. 4 is essentially a duplicate, I would rather remove it and include later some figures regarding concentration vs time of different lumps at different temperatures. Nevertheless, it is only a mild suggestion, this does not take away from the value of the manuscript.

Respond:

Figure 4 resembles steps need to obtain deactivation constant (k_d) and deactivation reaction order (n_d) calculated according to Equation 5. It helps reader understand how to acquire the parameters hence it is necessary to keep it in the manuscript.

11. A little more emphasis could be put on the difference between the results of the two lumped models. Is there any disadvantage of using 6 lumps?

Respond:

We have included within the manuscript that the best rate equation approximation involved all compounds both reactant and product. Since hydrocracking is a complex reaction involves so much compound produced, it is almost impossible to take into account all of them into reaction rate calculation hence the lump kinetic model introduced. In this model approach, the more lumps used in the model, the more group of compound included hence it contains less error.

12. There are several grammatical errors present in the manuscript. At a bare minimum, I would like to suggest using a spell and grammar checker (i.e. Grammarly) to tidy up the manuscript.

Respond:

Manuscript has been corrected (Sudah direvisi pada manuscript)

13. MATLAB is usually written capitalized.

Respond:

MATLAB has been capitalized

14. The method ode45 uses is Runge-Kutta, not Runga-Kutta.

Respond:

Renge-Kutta has been corrected

Reviewer#2

1. Aim of research is not clearly stated, so we can not evaluate what is mainly explored in this study.

Respond:

Aim of research added to the manuscript as requested. It is aimed to determine kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of CPO hydrocracking, which can be used as preliminary information on a scale up reactor design

2. Authors calculated kinetics model of some reactions at varied temperature, but there is no definition how catalyst activity calculated, how coke concentration calculated etc.??

Respond:

We mentioned within the manuscript that catalytic activity is calculated according to Equation 2. Coke formation determined by means gravimetric method (weight increase in catalyst after hydrocracking process is deposit of coke)

3. Authors mentioned that deactivation as function of coke formation on catalyst surface affect the kinetics of reaction. The statement is coming from reference. Is it positively occurred in this experiment. Need to proof it before modelling.

Respond:

Deactivation as function of coke formation indeed reported by several authors. Here, we reported that this assumption leads to erroneous model and it needs to involve catalyst duration usage (ref. 22). Kinetic model we proposed in the manuscript used Equation 3.

4. Figure 3: Relationship between $\ln(t)$ Vs $\ln(\phi)$. What is the main message of these plots?

Respond:

Figure 3 provides slope and intercept needed by Equation 5 to calculate deactivation constant and deactivation rate order. In my opinion, it assists reader to apprehend the context.



Hasanudin Hasanudin <hasanudin@mipa.unsri.ac.id>

[CH] Editor Decision: 14765

1 pesan

Gábor Márk Tardy <tardygabor@gmail.com>

5 November 2019 17.58

Kepada: Hasanudin Hasanudin <hasanudin@mipa.unsri.ac.id>, Addy Rachmat <addy.tea@gmail.com>, Muhammad Said <saidusman2011@gmail.com>, Karna Wijaya <karnawijaya@ugm.ac.id>

Dear Hasanudin Hasanudin, Addy Rachmat, Muhammad Said, Karna Wijaya,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering, "Kinetic model of crude palm oil hydrocracking over Ni/Mo ZrO₂-pillared bentonite catalyst".

Our decision is: **Accept Submission**

Our Copy Editor will check all figure and bibliography requirements and lets typesetting start only when all of them are met. As soon as typesetting is ready, your paper will appear in the "online first" section of the journal and will immediately receive a DOI.

Thanks for your cooperation and best regards,

Gábor Márk Tardy
Budapest University of Technology and Economics
tardygabor@gmail.com

Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering
<https://pp.bme.hu/ch>

Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering
<https://pp.bme.hu/ch>



Hasanudin Hasanudin <hasanudin@mipa.unsri.ac.id>

[CH] Notification at Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering

1 pesan

Cecilia Liskay <cecilia.liskay@pp.bme.hu>

7 November 2019 18.34

Balas Ke: Krisztina LÁSZLÓ <chemical@pp.bme.hu>

Kepada: Hasanudin Hasanudin <hasanudin@mipa.unsri.ac.id>

Dear Editor,

This is an automatic message from Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering to notify you that your action may be needed:

You have been added to a discussion titled "Final version" regarding the submission "Kinetic model of crude palm oil hydrocracking over Ni/Mo ZrO₂-pillared bentonite catalyst".

The Web Link of the concerned paper is: <https://pp.bme.hu/ch/authorDashboard/submission/14765>

Sincerely Yours,
Krisztina LÁSZLÓ

Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering

<https://pp.bme.hu/ch>



Hasanudin Hasanudin <hasanudin@mipa.unsri.ac.id>

[CH] Notification at Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering

1 pesan

Alma Végheő <aveghseo@omikk.bme.hu>

14 Desember 2019 16.45

Balas Ke: Krisztina LÁSZLÓ <chemical@pp.bme.hu>

Kepada: Hasanudin Hasanudin <hasanudin@mipa.unsri.ac.id>

Dear Editor,

This is an automatic message from Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering to notify you that your action may be needed:

There is new activity in the discussion titled "Proofreading request" regarding the submission "Kinetic model of crude palm oil hydrocracking over Ni/Mo ZrO₂-pillared bentonite catalyst".

The Web Link of the concerned paper is: <https://pp.bme.hu/ch/authorDashboard/submission/14765>

Sincerely Yours,
Krisztina LÁSZLÓ

Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering
<https://pp.bme.hu/ch>

Final version



Participants

Cecília Liskay (cliskay)

Gábor Márk Tardy (gmtardy)

Hasanudin Hasanudin (hasanudin)

Messages

Note	From
<p>Dear Author,</p> <p>in order to start the copyediting and typesetting process of your paper we would kindly ask you to upload:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- the final version of your paper (not the revised),- the separate image files (png, jpg or tiff format) of each figures (please follow the requirements of the journal, see https://pp.bme.hu/ch/about/submissions). <p>When the final version is ready, please login the on-line journal system and select the mentioned paper by clicking on its author name/title. The program will open the Copyediting page where you should start the Copyediting Discussions by clicking on the Add discussion link. The files of the final version should be attached to this discussion by clicking on the Upload File link. The Message body should explain the roles of attached files. We expect an Article text source prepared with this MS Word Template. Figures should be uploaded as separate files and the text source should not embed them. However, the text source should clearly identify where figures are to be inserted by our copy editor.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Cecilia Liskay</p>	<p>cliskay 2019-11-07 11:16 AM</p>

[Add Message](#)

Final version**Participants**

Cecília Liskay (cliskay)

Gábor Márk Tardy (gmtardy)

Hasanudin Hasanudin (hasanudin)

Messages

Dear Author,

in order to start the copyediting and typesetting process of your paper we would kindly ask you to upload:

- the final version of your paper (**not the revised**),
- the separate **image files (png, jpg or tiff format)** of each figures (please follow the requirements of the journal, see <https://pp.bme.hu/ch/about/submissions>).

When the final version is ready, please login the on-line journal system and select the mentioned paper by clicking on its author name/title. The program will open the Copyediting page where you should start the Copyediting Discussions by clicking on the Add discussion link. The files of the final version should be attached to this discussion by clicking on the Upload File link. The Message body should explain the roles of attached files. We expect an Article text source prepared with this MS Word Template. Figures should be uploaded as separate files and the text source should not embed them. However, the text source should clearly identify where figures are to be inserted by our copy editor.

Yours sincerely,

Cecilia Liskay

cliskay

2019-11-07

11:16 AM

Note

From

Add Message

hasanudin, Author, Hasanudin Manuscript PPCE 2019
Final.pdf

hasanudin, Author, Hasanudin Manuscript PPCE 2019
Final.doc

hasanudin, Author, Fig01.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig02.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig03A.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig03B.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig03C.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig03D.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig03E.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig04A.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig04B.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig04C.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig04D.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig04E.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig05.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig06.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig07.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig08.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig09.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig10A.jpg (3)

hasanudin, Author, Fig10B.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Fig10C.jpg

Note


From

hasanudin, Author, Fig10D.jpg

hasanudin, Author, Figure captions.doc

hasanudin, Author, Fig10E.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Hasanudin Manuscript PPCE 2019
Final.pdf

 hasanudin, Author, Hasanudin Manuscript PPCE 2019
Final.doc

 hasanudin, Author, Fig01.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig02.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig03A.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig03B.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig03C.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig03D.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig03E.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig04A.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig04B.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig04C.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig04D.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig04E.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig05.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig06.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig07.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig08.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig09.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig10A.jpg (3)

 hasanudin, Author, Fig10B.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig10C.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Fig10D.jpg

 hasanudin, Author, Figure captions.doc

 hasanudin, Author, Fig10E.jpg

Copyediting: corrections




Participants

Cecília Liskay (cliskay)

Hasanudin Hasanudin (hasanudin)

Anna Dobóczy (adoboczi)

Messages

Note	From
<p>Dear Author,</p> <p>we have checked the final version of your paper before starting the typesetting process. We have made some corrections (using MS Word track changes) according to the requirements of the Author Guidelines of Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering.</p> <p>Please review these changes and let us know if you accept the modifications. We also have some requests in the comments of the docx, we would kindly ask you to answer these requests and correct your paper. Please use MS Word track changes function if you would like to modify the text.</p> <p>Please note: extensive or important changes on the final version, including changes to the list of authors, authors' affiliation or major changes to the title, are subject to editorial review.</p> <p>Please review the comments and correct your paper until 09 December 2019.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Anna Dobóczy (Copyeditor)</p> <p> adoboczi, Copyeditor, PPCE_14765_copyediting_changes_tracked.doc</p>	<p>adoboczi 2019-11-25 03:19 PM</p>
<p>▶ Dear Anna Dobóczy</p> <p>We have completed the revision of our paper final version.</p>	<p>hasanudin 2019-12-09 02:11 AM</p>

Copyediting: corrections



Participants

Cecília Liskay (cliskay)

Hasanudin Hasanudin (hasanudin)

Anna Dobóczy (adoboczi)

Messages

Dear Author,

we have checked the final version of your paper before starting the typesetting process. We have made some corrections (using MS Word track changes) according to the requirements of the [Author Guidelines of Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering](#).

Please review these changes and let us know if you accept the modifications. We also have some requests in the comments of the docx, we would kindly ask you to answer these requests and correct your paper. Please use MS Word track changes function if you would like to modify the text.

Please note: extensive or important changes on the final version, including changes to the list of authors, authors' affiliation or major changes to the title, are subject to editorial review.


Please review the comments and correct your paper until 09 December 2019.

Yours sincerely,

Anna Dobóczy (Copyeditor)

Note

From

 [adoboczi, Copyeditor, PPCE_14765_copyediting_changes_tracked.doc](#)

▶ Dear Anna Dobóczy

hasanudin

We have completed the revision of our paper final version.

2019-12-09

02:11 AM




Participants

Cecília Liskay (cliskay)

Hasanudin Hasanudin (hasanudin)

Anna Dobóczy (adoboczi)

Messages

Note	From
<p>Dear Author,</p> <p>Please check and correct your paper again, because reference [28] is missing from the text. Please correct and cite this reference within the text.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Anna Dobóczy (Copyeditor)</p> <p> adoboczi, Copyeditor, PPCE_14765_second_copyediting_changes_tracked.doc</p>	<p>adoboczi 2019-12-09 09:39 AM</p>
<p>▶ Dear Anna Dobóczy</p> <p>We have completed the revision of our paper final version. The corrected text you've made on missing data about reference and cite the paper as shown on track changes has been accepted. We corrected the error (ref. 29, 30 to ref. 28-29) as shown on track changes.</p> <p>I am looking forward for publication of our paper in your journal</p> <p>Best Regards</p> <p> hasanudin, Author, 14765-Article Text Source-68000-1-18-20191209.pdf</p> <p> hasanudin, Author, 14765-Article Text Source-68000-1-18-20191209.doc</p>	<p>hasanudin 2019-12-10 02:02 AM</p>

Add Message

Participants

Cecília Liskay (cliskay)

Hasanudin Hasanudin (hasanudin)

Anna Dobóczy (adoboczi)

Messages

Dear Author,

adoboczi

2019-12-09

09:39 AM

Please check and correct your paper again, because reference [28] is missing from the text. Please correct and cite this reference within the text.

Yours sincerely,

Anna Dobóczy (Copyeditor)



adoboczi, Copyeditor,

[PPCE_14765_second_copyediting_changes_tracked.doc](#)

▶ Dear Anna Dobóczy

hasanudin

2019-12-10

02:02 AM

We have completed the revision of our paper final version. The corrected text you've made on missing data about reference and cite the paper as shown on track changes has been accepted. We corrected the error (ref. 29, 30 to ref. 28-29) as shown on track changes.

I am looking forward for publication of our paper in your journal

Best Regards



hasanudin, Author, 14765-Article Text Source-68000-1-18-

Note [20191209.pdf](#)

From



hasanudin, Author, 14765-Article Text Source-68000-1-18-
[20191209.doc](#)

Add Message

Proofreading request




Participants

Cecília Liskay (cliskay)

Alma Véghseő (aveghseo)

Hasanudin Hasanudin (hasanudin)

Messages

Note	From
<p>Dear Author,</p> <p>please check your typeset proof carefully. Please mark any corrections in the margin of the proof (scan the corrected pages of the proofs and then upload them) or annotate the attached pdf.</p> <p>I have some comments and please read them and check Eq. (10) too!</p> <p>Corrections should be restricted to minor corrections (like typesetting errors, etc.). Extensive or important changes on page proofs, including changes to the list of authors, authors' affiliation or major changes to the title, are subject to editorial review.</p> <p>Please return any necessary corrections in three workdays.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Alma Véghseő</p> <p> aveghseo, Production Editor, Proof_PPCE_14765.pdf</p>	<p>aveghseo 2019-12-12 12:30 PM</p>
<p>▶ Dear Alma Véghseő</p> <p>I have checked my typeset proof carefully and reviewed your suggestions and finally I decided to do a minor revision that I have attached the revision in pdf format.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p>	<p>hasanudin 2019-12-14 03:25 AM</p>
Note	From

Hasanudin Hasanudin