PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Is there a correlation between rainfall and soil moisture on peatlands in South Sumatra?

To cite this article: M Irfan et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1572 012040

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



IOP ebooks[™]

Bringing together innovative digital publishing with leading authors from the global scientific community.

Start exploring the collection-download the first chapter of every title for free.

Is there a correlation between rainfall and soil moisture on peatlands in South Sumatra?

M Irfan^{1,2}, O C Setva¹, F Virgo¹, Sutopo¹, M Ariani¹, A Sulaiman³ and I Iskandar^{1,2}

¹Department of Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sriwijaya University, Indonesia

²Graduate School of Sciences, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sriwijaya University, Indonesia

³Agency for Assessment and Application Technology, Jakarta, Indonesia

Email: iskhaq.iskandar@gmail.com; irfplg@yahoo.com

Abstract. Data of this study was obtained from direct measurement using an integrated observation system namely <u>SE</u>nsory data transmission <u>Service</u> <u>Assisted</u> by <u>M</u>idori <u>Engineering</u> laboratory (SESAME). The SESAME directly measures and records groundwater levels, soil moisture, skin temperature, and rainfall in peatland areas. There are two SESAME stations was used in this study, that are located in the Peatland Hydrological Unit (PHU) Lumpur River 1 and PHU Lumpur River 2. This study aims to find a correlation between Rainfall and Soil Moisture on peatlands in South Sumatra represented by the two PHUs. The results of statistical analysis show that rainfall has a significant linear correlation with soil moisture. The correlation coefficients obtained at PHU Lumpur River I and PHU Lumpur River II were 0.78, 0.64 respectively. Furthermore, the result of the empirical equation can be used to obtain the value of soil moisture based on the value of rainfall at this research location if one day soil moisture sensors are damaged.

1. Introduction

One of the important ecosystem types found in Indonesia is peatland. Peat is generally defined as the accumulation of plant remains found under conditions that are flooded with water, acid and low in nutrients. An area covered by a layer of peat is known as peat land [1]. Tropical peatlands cover an area of around 40 million ha, of which around 50% are located in Indonesia. That means around 10.8% of the land area in Indonesia is peat land. Indonesia's peatlands are spread on several islands, including Sumatra, Kalimantan, Sulawesi and Papua. Almost 35% of the total peatland in Indonesia is found on the island of Sumatra. The main distribution of peatlands on Sumatra Island is in Riau, Jambi and South Sumatra [2], [3].

Already known, that peatlands areas are vulnerable to fire. In 2015, the El Niño phenomenon are coincided with the positive Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) phenomenon. It is well known that El Niño phenomenon and positive IOD cause rain deficits in the Indonesian region [4], [5]. This causes extreme climate events in Indonesia to trigger many environmental problems. For example, forest fires during 2000-2002 have caused forest loss in Indonesia. In addition, previous studies have also revealed that fires on peatlands and forest vegetation in Indonesia in 1997, El Niño phenomenon produce about 0.81 and 2.57 Gt of carbon into the atmosphere [6].

The 9th International Conference on Theoretical	and Applied Physics (IC	CTAP)	IOP Publishing
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	1572 (2020) 012040	doi:10.1088/1742-6	596/1572/1/012040

To better predict forest fires, especially peat fires, since July 2017 the Indonesian government through the Peat Restoration Agency (BRG) has initiated a system of direct observation of hydrological and climatological parameters on peatlands in South Sumatra called SEnsory data transmission service Assisted by Midori Engineering laboratory (SESAME). The parameters measured are Rainfall (RF), Soil Temperature (T), Soil Moisture (SM), and Groundwater Level (GWL) [7][8].

Previous studies relating to the characteristics and correlations between hydrological and climatological parameters include: there has been a strong correlation between GWL and RF [9], [10], a linear correlation between GWL and SM [11], a strong correlation between RF and SM in low soil layers [12], hydrological characteristics and climatological variations on peat swamp areas around Mahakam and Kapuas [13]. The aim of this study is to determine the correlation between rainfall and soil moisture on peatland in South Sumatera using SESAME measurement data.



Figure 1. Map of the study locations.

2. Methodology

2.1. Data

The data of Rainfall and Soil Moisure was taken for the period of 1 July 2017 - 30 June 2018. The location of the study was done in the Peat Hydrology Unit (PHU) in Ogan Komering Ilir Regency, South Sumatra Province. This PHU has 2 locations where the hydrological and climatological parameter measuring devices are installed called the SESAME system. Both locations are Lumpur River 1 (SL1) and Lumpur River 2 (SL2). The coordinates are: -3.1436443, 105.1843584 (SL1); and -3.4583709, 104.9209707 (SL2). The map of the location as shown in Figure 1.

The SESAME system is a telemetry system. The SESAME system can be expected in many ways even only for climate change countermeasures. The demand for the telemetry system is estimated more than 14,000 measurement spots for four application cases such as (i) control of the ground water level in peat land, (ii) estimation of the immobilized carbon dioxide amount in peat forest, (iii) early warning system against floods and other natural disasters, and (iv) weather observation [7].

Data that has been obtained will be analyzed statistically through linear regression analysis, linear correlation, and t test.

The 9th International Conference on Theoretical and Applied Physics (ICTAP)IOP PublishingJournal of Physics: Conference Series1572 (2020) 012040doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1572/1/012040

2.2. Linier regression

Linear regression analysis is used to form relationships between variables. This analysis can estimate the value of a variable with other variables through the regression line equation:

$$y = a + bx \tag{3.1}$$

where a is the intercept and b is the slope or gradient line. y is the dependent variable and x is independent regression. Then the constants a and b can be calculated using the following equation [14]:

$$a = \frac{(\sum y \sum x^2) - (\sum x \sum xy)}{N(\sum x^2) (\sum x)^2}$$
(3.2)

$$b = \frac{N(\sum x y) - (\sum x \sum y)}{N(\sum x^2)(\sum x)^2}$$
(3.3)

2.3. Linier correlation

Correlation is a way to determine how well two (or more) variables vary in time or space. The correlation coefficient can be written with [14]:

$$r_{xy} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(xi-x) - (yi-y)}{s_x s_y}$$
(3.4)

where s_x and s_y are standard deviations for two data records. For $r = \pm 1$, the data point (x, y) is along a straight line and the sample is said to have a perfect correlation. Where s_x and s_y are the values of each time-series standard deviation, which is defined as,

$$s_{x} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{i} - \overline{x})}.$$
(3.5)

2.4. t test

The t test is one of the statistical tests used to test the truth of a hypothesis which states that between two samples taken from the same population there is no significant difference. The t test for one sample belongs to the descriptive hypothesis. The t test is used to determine whether the independent variables partially have a significant or not effect on the dependent variable. The degree of significance (α) used is 0.01. To test the significance of two types of data can be calculated through the correlation coefficient between the two data, namely by calculating the t value using the following equation

$$t = r_{xy} \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{1-r_{xy}^2}}$$
(3.6)

where r_{xy} is the correlation coefficient was obtained and *n* is the amount of data. If the hypothesis follows the normal distribution *t* with the *n*-2 degrees of freedom and the critical limits of the normal distribution t usually at $\alpha = 0.05$, then we can determine the value of t t_{table} based on the t distribution table as shown in Table 1. If the value of t_{count}> t_{table} is obtained, the hypothesis is accepted, which means that between two samples taken from the same population there is no significant difference [15][16].

n	α					
	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0,005	
1	3.078	6.314	12.706	31.821	63.657	
2	1.886	2.920	4.303	6.965	9.925	
3	1.638	2.353	3.182	4.541	5.841	
4	1.533	2.132	2.776	3.747	4.604	
5	1.476	2.015	2.571	3.365	4.032	
6	1.440	1.943	2.447	3.143	3.707	
7	1.415	1.895	2.365	2.998	3.499	
8	1.397	1.860	2.306	2.896	3.355	
9	1.383	1.833	2.262	2.821	3.250	
10	1.372	1.812	2.228	2.764	3.169	
11	1.363	1.796	2.447	3.143	3.707	
12	1.356	1.782	2.365	2.998	3.499	

 Table 1. Critical value of t test

3. Result and Discussion

SESAME measurement data for period 1 July 2017 - 30 June 2018 are processed to obtain the time series graph. The time series graph obtained is shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3. Figure 2 shows that the number of rainfall at PHU Lumpur River 2 (SL2) is bigger than that of Lumpur river 1 (SL1). At both stations it was seen that the highest value of rainfall occurred in March 2018 and the lowest occurred in September 2017.



Figure 2. Time series graph of rainfall

Figure 3 shows that in general the value of soil moisture in PHU Lumpur River 1 is greater than that of Lumpur river 2. In both stations it was seen that the highest value of soil moisture occured in March 2018 and the lowest occured in September 2017.

AUG

JUL

SEP

OCT

NOV

SM SL1



APR

MAY

IUN



DEC

IAN

SM SL2

FFB

MAR

Figure 2 and Figure 3 show that the highest values of these two parameters occur in the same month, and so is the lowest value. These results indicate there is a correlation between rainfall and soil moisture, where the higher the rainfall, the higher the soil moisture and vice versa. But there is an interesting thing that the rainfall at SL2 is higher than rainfall SL1, whereas soil moisture at SL1 is higher than SL2. This is probably due to differences in the type of material in these two locations, where the material at SL1 is estimated to be more porous than in SL2 [17], [18].

Data processing is then carried out to find correlation coefficients and empirical equations between the two parameters. The correlation coefficient obtained at SL1 is 0.78 and in SL2 is 0.64. The empirical equation obtained for SL1 is y = 0.0346x + 1.0783 and for SL2 is y = 0.0251x + 1.0537, where y is the soil moisture parameter and x is the rainfall parameter. The correlation graph is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Graph of rainfall vs. soil moisture of (a) SL1 and (b) SL2

To test the significance of the correlation of the two parameters, a t test was carried out. It has been obtained for SL1 the t_{count} value is 3.942 and for SL2 is 2.637, while the t_{table} value for the two parameters is 1.812. These results indicate that t_{count}> t_{table} means that the correlation of the two parameters is significant. These results are in line with previous research which obtained a strong correlation between rainfall and soil moisture on low land [12].

4. Conclusion

The analysis shows that the rainfall data is significantly correlated with the soil moisture data. Therefore, the result of the empirical equation can be used to obtain the value of soil moisture based on the value of rainfall at this research location if one day soil moisture sensors are damaged.

5. References

- [1] Yule, C.M. Loss of biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in Indo-Malayan peat swamp forests. 2010. Biodivers Conserv. **19** (2) 393–409.
- [2] Kobayashi, S. 2015. Peatland and peatland forest in Brunei Darussalam.
- [3] Osaki, M. and Tsuji, N. 2015. Tropical peatland ecosystems. Trop. Peatl. Ecosyst. 1–651.
- [4] Iskandar, I. et al. 2017. Evolution of 2015/2016 El Niño and its impact on Indonesia. AIP Conf. Proc. 1857.
- [5] Lestari, D.O., Sutriyono, E., Sabaruddin, and Iskandar, I. 2018. Severe Drought Event in Indonesia Following 2015/16 El Niño/positive Indian Dipole Events. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1011 (1).
- [6] Margono, B.A., Potapov, P.V., Turubanova, S., Stolle, F., and M. C. Hansen. 2014. Primary forest cover loss in indonesia over 2000-2012. Nat. Clim. Chang. 4 (8) 730–735.
- [7] "Project Formulation Survey " under the Governmental Commission on the Projects for ODA Overseas Economic Cooperation in FY. 2013. Summary Report Improvement of Wastewater Treatment System and Cyclic Use of Resource for Palm Oil Mill in Malaysia.
- [8] Irfan, M., et al. 2019. Some Insight Into Direct Observation of Hydrological Parameters in Peatland Area of the South Sumatera. Int. J. GEOMATE. **17** (60) 124–129.
- [9] Fistikoglu, O., Gunduz, O. and C. Simsek. 2016. The Correlation Between Statistically Downscaled Precipitation Data and Groundwater Level Records in North-Western Turkey. Water Resour Manag. 30 (15) 5625–5635.
- [10] Abdullahi, M.G. and Garba, I. 2016. Effect of Rainfall on Groundwater Level Fluctuation in Terengganu, Malaysia. J. Remote Sens. GIS. 4 (2).
- [11] Hamada, Y. et al. 2016. Guidebook for estimating carbon emissions from tropical peatlands in Indonesia. 47.
- [12] Li, B., Wang, L., Kaseke, K.F., Li, B., and M. K. Seely. 2016. The impact of rainfall on soil moisture dynamics in a foggy desert. PLoS One. **11** (10).
- [13] Hidayat, H. et al. 2017. Hydrology of inland tropical lowlands: The Kapuas and Mahakam wetlands. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. **21** (5) 2579–2594.
- [14] Altman, N. and Krzywinski, M. 2015. Simple linear regression. BMJ, 346 (7904) 999–1000.
- [15] Kim, T.K. 2015. Statistic and Probability. no. Table 2.
- [16] Irfan, M., Mardiansyah, W., Ariani, M. and A. Sulaiman. 2019. Is TRMM product good proxy for gauge precipitation over peat land area of the South Sumatera? Is TRMM product good proxy for gauge precipitation over peat land area of the South Sumatera?. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1282.
- [17] Smits, K.T., Sakaki, T., Limsuwat, A. and T. H. Illangasekare. 2010. Thermal Conductivity of Sands under Varying Moisture and Porosity in Drainage–Wetting Cycles. Vadose Zo. J. 9 (1) 172.
- [18] Walker, J.P., Willgoose, G.R., and J. D. Kalma. 2004. In situ measurement of soil moisture: A comparison of techniques. J. Hydrol. 293 (1–4) 85–99.

Acknowledgements

We thanks to the Peatland Restoration Agency for providing us the SESAME data. This study is supported by the Universitas Sriwijaya through the *Hibah Unggulan Kompetitif 2019* for the first author and the *Hibah Unggulan Profesi 2019* for the last author.