STUDENTS' ATTITUDE ASSESSMENT BASED ON 2013 CURRICULUM IN TEACHING ENGLISH AT SMP NEGERI 17 PALEMBANG

A THESIS

By

Yogi Novario Nandes

06011181924005

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM

LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT



FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

2022

STUDENTS' ATTITUDE ASSESSMENT BASED ON 2013 CURRICULUM IN TEACHING ENGLISH AT SMP NEGERI 17 PALEMBANG

A Thesis by:

Yogi Novario Nandes

06011181924005

English Education Study Program

Language and Arts Education Department

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

2023

Approved by:

Dra. Zuraida, M.Pd

NIP. 196205051988032004

Certified by:

Coordinator of English Education Study Program

Eryansyah, S.Pd., M.A., Ph.D. NIP. 196907181995121001

STUDENTS' ATTITUDE ASSESSMENT BASED ON 2013 CURRICULUM IN TEACHING ENGLISH AT SMP NEGERI 17 PALEMBANG

Yogi Novario Nandes

06011181924005

This thesis defended by the researcher in the final program examination and was approved the examination committee on:

Day: Wednesday

Date: January 4, 2023

EXAMINATION COMMITTEE APPROVAL:

1. Chairperson : Dra. Zuraida, M.Pd.

2. Member

123

: Prof. Sofendi, M.A., Ph.D.

1-0-

Palembang, January 2023

Certified by:

Coordinator of English Education

Study Program,

Eryansyah, S.Pd., M.A., Ph.D.

NIP. 196907181995121001

DECLARATION

I, the undersigned,

Name	: Yogi Novario Nandes
Student's Number	: 06011181924005
Study Program	: English Education

Certified that thesis entitled "Students' Attitude Assessment Based on 2013 Curiculum in Teaching English at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang" is my own work and I did not do any plagiarism or inappropriate quotation against the ethic and rules commended by the Ministry of Education of Republic Indonesia Number 17, 2010 regarding plagiarism in higher education. Therefore, I deserve to face the court if I am found to have plagiarized this work.

> Inderalaya, December 2022 The undersigned

> > Yogi Novario Nandes NIM. 06011181924005

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to my parents, Edi Safrianto, and Yuliani. I want to elevate their degree. My sister Lulu Amanda Nicola and My dearest brother M. Febri Al-Baaqi. I will love you guys forever!. To myself who continue and finish this thesis, to stay believe and overcome up and down situations.

MOTTO:

فَا نَّ مَعَ الْعُسْرِ يُسْرًا اِنَّ مَعَ الْعُسْرِ يُسْرًا

For indeed, with hardship [will be] ease, indeed, with hardship [will be] ease.

(Qur'an Surah Ash-Sharh {94}, verses 5-6)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

بِسْمِ اللهِ الرَّحْمٰنِ الرَّحِيْمِ

This research was written to fulfil the requirements to complete an S1 degree in the English language education study program at the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University. First, the researcher would like to express gratitude to Allah subhanahu 'ta'ala for the blessing of health and the ease with which this thesis can be completed. Shalawat accompanied by greetings, also poured out to the great prophet Muhammad ²⁸. May mercy always be poured out on him.

Furthermore, the researcher would like to express gratitude to all who have helped prepare this thesis. The researcher realize that this research would not have been completed without the support, guidance, assistance and encouragement of those who support, especially:

- Researcher beloved family, father and mother, sister, brother, and grandma. Edi Safrianto, Yuliani, Lulu Amanda Nicola, M. Febri Al-Baaqi, and Paini.
- 2. Researcher Academic advisor and Thesis advisor, Dra. Zuraida, M.Pd, has guided and supported the researcher in completing this thesis. The researcher very grateful to have an advisor like her because she is very kind and motivating, and she always reminds the researcher to worship and be professional and patient.
- 3. Sir Hariswan Putera Jaya, S.Pd, M.Pd. as the former Coordinator of English Education Study Program (2014-2022) and sir Eryansyah, S.Pd, M.A., Ph.D) as Coordinator of English Education Study Program (2022-2026). Thanks in advance for the knowledge that has been given and the experience that ha been conveyed
- 4. Miss Hesti Wahyuni Anggraini, S.Pd., M.Pd., assisted in validating the interviews and Focus Groups Discussion questions.
- 5. All lecturers and staff in the English Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University. Thank you for

the knowledge that has been given, the experience that has been conveyed, and the enthusiasm that is always given.

- 6. All the families in the organizations that the researcher have joined, BEM KM FKIP UNSRI, LDF BO BAROKAH FKIP UNSRI, BO CENDEKIA KM FKIP UNSRI, LDK NADWAH UNSRI, DPM KM UNSRI, Youlead Regional Palembang, and IKAMURA UNSRI. Thank you for the lessons and experience that have been given.
- 7. Region supervisor of YMBRILIaN Palembang regional office, kak Abi Pratama, PGC of YMBRILIaN Palembang regional office, kak Kiki Wiyandi, Scholarship mentor, kak Rahmat Juniansyah, kak Fahri Reza, kak Yusuf and mbak Balqis. Thank you for helping and directing the reseracher while the researcher was a recipient of the batch 5 Bright Scholarship.
- 8. All Bright Scholarship batch 5 family members, Rizki Fitriadin, Wahyu Yudistra, Heru, M. Iqbal Fitriyansyah, Muhammad Yahya Ayyash, Arip Hidayatul Fadillah, Faqih Imawan, Sendi Adi Pranata, Hansen Febriansyah, Raihan Ramadhan, Naufal Husain, Nur Kemala Debi Lestari, Arinda Wijayanti, Anggraini, Murni Kurnia Ningsih, Seri Dewi Murtasiah, Sherly Amanda, Cindy Laras Sapitri, Desy Rahma Fitriyanti, Sonia Putri Lestari, Rara Lorenza, Dinda Rozika Melita, and Ades Tiara Sapira. Thank you for being a family that strengthens and helps each other and always makes #BersamaSampaiAkhir.
- 9. Ma'am Dra. Hj. Tetrayanti, M.Si. as the Principal of SMP Negeri 17 Palembang, and Ma'am Ivan, the Deputy Head of Curriculum, which has allowed the researcher to research at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang.
- 10. The respondents and all parties that cannot be mentioned one by one who have helped and support the researcher to finished this Thesis.

Indralaya, January 2023

The Researcher

40

Yogi Novario Nandes

NIM. 06011181924005

TITLE PAGE
COMMITEE APPROVAL iii
DECLARATION OF PLAGIARISM iv
DEDICATION
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS ix
LIST OF TABLES xi
LIST OF FIGURES xii
LIST OF APPENDICES
ABSTRACT xiv
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background of the Study1
1.2 The Problems of Study
1.3 The Objectives of the Study
1.4 The Significance of the Study 4
CHAPTER II
LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Assesment
2.2 Attitudes Assesment
2.2.1 Scopes of Attitudes Assessment
2.3 Curriculum
2.4 2013 Curriculum
2.5 Previous Related Studies
CHAPTER III
METHODOLOGY16
3.1 The Design of the Study
3.2 The Variables of the Study 17
3.3 The Operational Variables Definitions17
3.4 Site and Participants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.5 The Techniques of Collecting the Data	19
3.5.1 Documentation	19
3.5.2 Interview	20
3.5.3 Focus Group Discussion	21
3.5.4 Validity	22
3.6 The Techniques of Analyzing the Data	22
3.6.1 Data Reduction	23
3.6.2 Data Display	23
3.6.3 Conlusion and Verification	23
3.6.4 Triangulation	24
CHAPTER IV	25
FINDINGS AND INTERPRETATIONS	25
4.1 Findings of the Study	25
4.1.1 Students' Attitudes Assesment Documentation Result	26
4.1.2 Students Forum Group Discussion Result	28
4.1.3 Interviews Result	45
4.2 The Interpretation of the Study	49
CHAPTER V	52
CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	52
5.1 Conclusions	52
5.2 Suggestions	53
REFERENCES	54
APPENDICES	58

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Scopes of Attitudes Assessment	9
Table 2: The Score Interval for Students Get Scores	17
Table 3: The Participants of the Study	19
Table 4: The Selected Students for Forum Group Discussion	21
Table 5: Research Data Collection Schedule	29
Table 6: The Students FGD Result from G1 & G2	30
Table 7: The Students FGD Result from G3 & G4	33
Table 8: The Students FGD Result from G5, G6, G7 & G8.	36
Table 9: FGD Results of Attitude Assessment off all Classes Carried out by th	ne
Teachers	39
Table 10: Results of Attitudes Assessment of all Classes that Teachers do not	or
Rarely Ask for Students	42
Table 11: Scopes of Attitudes Assessment Percentage by FGD Results	45
Table 12: Interviews Schedule	45

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Attitudes Assessment Journal Made by Teachers	27
Figure 2: Attitudes Assessment Rubric Made by Teachers	28
Figure 3: FGD Results of Attitudes Assessment off all classes carried out by	
teachers	43
Figure 4: Results of Attitudes Assessment of all classes that teachers do not or	
rarely ask for students	44

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Usul Judul Skripsi

Appendix B: Surat Keputusan Pembimbing Skripsi

Appendix C: Surat izin Penelitian FKIP

Appendix D: Surat Izin Penelitian KESBANGPOL

Appendix E: Surat Izin Penelitian DISDIK Palembang

Appendix F: Surat Keterangan Sudah Melakukan Penelitian

Appendix G: Surat Keterangan Pelaksanaan Kurikulum

Appendix H: Surat keterangan Validasi

Appendix I: Attitudes assessment journal made by teachers

Appendix J: Attitudes Assessment Rubric Made by Teachers

Appendix K: The Selected Students for Forum Group Discussion

Appendix L: Students Forum Group Discussion Attendance List

Appendix M: Forum Group Discussion Photo

Appendix N: Teachers Interview Attendance List

Appendix O: Teachers Interview Photo

Appendix P: Teachers Member Check

Appendix Q. Teachers Member Check photo

Appendix R: Interview Questions for teachers

Appendix S: Teachers Interview Transcript

Appendix T: Thesis Consultation card

STUDENTS' ATTITUDE ASSESSMENT BASED ON 2013 CURRICULUM IN TEACHING ENGLISH AT SMP NEGERI 17 PALEMBANG

ABSTRACT

This study aims to investigate the attitude assessment by teachers based on the 2013 curriculum in learning English, how the difficulties experienced by teachers at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang, and how teachers overcome difficulties in assessing student attitudes. This study was conducted at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang. There were two teachers and 46 participants of grade eight students. To answer the formulation of the problems of this study, the researcher used a qualitative research method, and the instruments used are Documentation, Focus Groups Discussion, and Interviews. The data of this study were obtained from a Journal and rubric made by the teachers, 39 items of an open-ended Focus Group Discussion, and ten openended questions from the interview. The results of this study indicated that the journal and the rubric grids are very good and follow ministry and culture regulations for the 2013 curriculum. From the Focus Group Discussions attended by students, the researcher obtained each of the results from the scope of attitude assessment as follows (1) Spiritual Attitudes 69.5% (2) Honest Attitudes 100% (3) Discipline Attitudes 100% (4) Attitudes of Responsibility 86,9% (5) Attitudes of Tolerance 93,4% (6) Attitudes of Mutual Cooperation 69,5% (7) Attitudes of Politeness 91,3% and (8) Attitudes of Confidence 34,7%. Finally, the interviews found that the teachers easily assessed students' attitudes. Even though there were difficulties, the teachers continued to innovate for a fair and equitable assessment. The teachers also assessed students' attitudes in and outside the learning process, such as students interacting with friends, students in the canteen, or selfassessments with peers.

Keywords : Attitudes, Attitudes Assesment, 2013 Curriculum

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter introduces (1) the background of the study, (2) the problems of the study, (3) the objectives of the study, and (4) the significance of the study.

1.1 Background of the Study

Assessment is one of the final processes of a teaching and learning process. According to Zuhera (2017), assessment is a systematic process of collecting data and information about an attribute, person, or object, either in the form of qualitative or quantitative data about the number, condition, ability or progress of an attribute, object or person/individual who assessed, without reference to value decisions.

The teachers conduct an assessment to assess students. According to Win (2012) there are three general ability domain in intellectual behaviors, there are Knowledge Assessment (Cognitive), Skills Assessment (Psychomotor), and Attitude Assessment (Affective). Among the three intellectual behaviours, the researcher feels that attitude assessment is the most challenging thing for a teacher because the teacher only focuses on assessing Skills and Knowledge.

Student attitudes become one of the crucial aspects of teachers assessment. Zuhera (2017) stated that one of the tasks of implementing the curriculum is to conduct an assessment (evaluation) of student learning outcomes. The assessment is functional and aims to get feedback for teachers about the extent to which the objectives of the teaching and learning process have been achieved.

Attitude assessment is part of the 2013 Curriculum. According to Zuhera (2017), the implementation of the 2013 curriculum has the aim of producing productive, creative, innovative, influential Indonesian people through strengthening attitudes, including religion, honesty, discipline, cleanliness, courage, responsibility, helpfulness, respect for others, courtesy, independence, and

cooperation as well as having integrated skills and knowledge as well as having noble character.

The 2013 curriculum also has embodiments in graduate competencies, content, processes, and assessments. Efforts made by the government to improve the quality of education are to continue to make efforts in improvement measures such as improving the quality of teachers and changing as well as improving the curriculum. The 2013 curriculum emphasizes activities and materials field, the teachers is required to have high skills in assessment attitude of students so that teachers face various obstacles in its implementation. To find out the obstacles teachers face, in-depth research is needed. This research is needed to be reference material regarding the benchmarks for assessing students carried out by the teacher in an objective and targeted manner. As is known, the task of the teachers when they are at school is in the classroom, not only teaching but also directing students doing assignments, supervising students, and conducting evaluations. So, teachers experience limited time in assessing student attitudes.

In this era, learning English is very important. In learning English, of course, the teachers use aspects of assessment. Teachers must realize that the results of the assessment of students are one indicator of success in learning. In English subject, in addition to skills assessment and knowledge assessment, teachers must also be able to assess students attitudes, how students dress, how students behave towards teachers, how students behave towards assignments given by the teachers, how students behave towards other students in the class when learning English, and how the behaviour of students in the classroom makes the researcher think that this is a very important thing to study.

In this study, the researcher is interested in conducting research on attitude assessment based on the 2013 curriculum located at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang. The researcher thinks that the students at this school, of course, have a good attitude and good English skills because it is a superior school in Palembang. The researcher chose SMP Negeri 17 Palembang because, based on the initial survey that the researcher conducted at the school, grades 8 and 9 still used the 2013 curriculum, while grade 7 had implemented the Merdeka curriculum. According to Jojor & Sihotang (2022), the Merdeka Curriculum is expected to recover in the learning process after the Covid-19 pandemic hit the Indonesian state. The 2013 curriculum has many demands in essential competencies. It has not clearly defined the character achievements that students must possess. Indeed this statement concludes that students in SMP Negeri 17 Palembang need to explore their attitude assessments, in this case, in English Subject.

This study aims to investigate the attitude assessment by teachers based on the 2013 curriculum in learning English, how the obstacles and difficulties experienced by teachers at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang and how teachers overcome difficulties in assessing student attitudes. Based on these objectives, this research is entitled "Students' Attitude Assessment Based on 2013 Curriculum in Teaching English at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang".

1.2 The Problems of Study

In relation to the background, the problems of the study are formulated into the following questions.

1) How is students' attitude assessment carried out in English teaching and learning process based on the 2013 curriculum at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang?

2) What difficulties teachers encouter in assessing students' attitudes in English teaching and learning process based on the 2013 curriculum at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang?

3) How do teachers overcome the difficulties in assessing students' attitudes in the English teaching and learning process based on the 2013 curriculum at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang?

1.3 The Objectives of the Study

Based on the problems above, the objectives of the study are to find out:

1) To know students' attitude assessment is carried out in English teaching and learning process based on the 2013 at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang.

2) To know difficulties teachers encouter in assessing students' attitudes in English teaching and learning process based on the 2013 curriculum at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang.

3) To know teachers overcome the difficulties in assessing students' attitudes in the English teaching and learning process based on the 2013 curriculum at SMP Negeri 17 Palembang.

1.4 The Significance of the Study

The researcher hopes that the results of this study can provide information to students, teachers, lecturers, and other researchers about the problem of attitude assessment. The researcher hopes that this research can help students know about the mechanisms and methods of attitude assessment carried out by teachers in school. For teachers, the researcher hopes that teachers can get motivated to be better at assessing student attitudes in the future. Besides that, teachers are expected to be more thorough in providing assessments to students, both in terms of knowledge, skills, and attitudes. For lecturers, the researcher hopes that this research can provide direction to lecturers in teaching their students later, especially in giving assessments from teachers to students, so that lecturers can also be sensitive to this attitude assessment problem. Finally, for other researchers, the researcher hopes that this research can be a helpful reference for further research related to attitude assessment in students.

REFERENCES

- Ambarwati, N. (2017). Analisis Penggunaan Penilaian Autentik Dalam
 Pembelajaran Pendidikan Pancasila dan Kewarganegaraan Pada Kurikulum
 2013 Revisi Kelas X Di SMA Negeri 1 Kartasura Tahun ajaran 2016/2017.
 Artikel Pendidikan, 2.
- Anggito, A., & Setiawan, J. (2018). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif* (1st ed.). CV Jejak. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=59V8DwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd &pg=PP1&dq=Macam+macam+wawancara+penelitian&ots=5HfynwgvHn &sig=M4PsrQ29JhW9iQft-3LdQ1lkFhk&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Macam macam wawancara penelitian&f=false
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L., & Sorensen, C. (2010). Introduction to Research in Education. In *News.Ge* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning. http://mis.kp.ac.rw/admin/admin_panel/kp_lms/files/digital/CoreBooks/Core Books in Economics Management and Rural Development/B106_ Initial to Research_ Introduction to research in education(BookFi).pdf
- Basuki, I., & Hariyanto. (2015). Assesmen Pembelajaran (1st ed.). Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Blackwell, W. (2020). *Qualitative Research Methotds* (2nd ed.). John Wiley and Sons,Inc.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2000). *Research Methods in Education* (5th ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203224342
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry Research Design* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Griffee, D. T. (2005). Research Tips: Interview Data Collection Issues and Decisions. *Journal of Developmental Education*, 28(3), 36–37.
- Jojor, A., & Sihotang, H. (2022). Analisis Kurikulum Merdeka dalam Mengatasi

Learning Loss di Masa Pandemi Covid-19 (Analisis Studi Kasus Kebijakan Pendidikan). *Edukatif : Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 4(4), 5150–5161. https://doi.org/10.31004/edukatif.v4i4.3106

- Kebudayaan, K. P. dan. (2017). Pedoman Penilaian Sikap, Pengetahuan dan Keterampilan KuriKulum 2013 Kementerian Pendidikan & Kebudayaan. Permendikbud.
- Khouly, A. (2009). Kebijakan dan Pengembangan Kurikulum. DPN Staf.
- Kunandar. (2013). Penilaian Autentik. PT Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Kurniawati, D., & Mawardi, M. (2021). Pengembangan Instrumen Penilaian Sikap Gotong Royong dalam Pembelajaran Tematik di Sekolah Dasar. *Edukatif : Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 3(3), 640–648. https://edukatif.org/index.php/edukatif/article/view/387
- Lutfi, R. (2017). Siswa, Koherensi, Pedagogis. *Analisis Perangkat Evaluasi*, 9–10.
- Mawaddah, Putri, R. M., Rambe, A. H., & Rodina, Si. (2022). Jurnal Pendidikan dan Konseling Pada Proses Pembelajaran Kurikulum 2013. Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Konseling, 4, 680–685. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31004/jpdk.v4i4.5301
- Mudjia, R. (2011). *Metode pengumpulan data penelitian kualitatif*. 1–4. http://repository.uin-malang.ac.id/1123/
- Mustafa, P. S., & Masgumelar, N. K. (2022). Pengembangan Instrumen Penilaian Sikap, Pengetahuan, dan Keterampilan dalam Pendidikan Jasmani. *Biormatika : Jurnal Ilmiah Fakultas Keguruan Dan Ilmu Pendidikan*, 8(1), 31–49. https://doi.org/10.35569/biormatika.v8i1.1093
- Nasution, S. (2019). Metode Research: Penelitian Ilmiah (1st ed.). Bumi Aksara.
- Nufus, S. H., & Gani, A. (2017). Pengembangan Instrumen Penilaian Sikap Berbasis Kurikulum 2013 Pada Pembelajaran Kimia Sma. *Jurnal Pendidikan*

Sains Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education), 5(1), 44–51.

Nursobah. (2013). Kurikulum 2013. Grafika Jaya.

- Pratiwi, A. D. P. (2017). Analisis permasalahan dalam penilaian pembelajaran di sekolah. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan*, 2(1), 249–253.
- Rachmawati, R. (2020). Analisis Keterkaitan Standar Kompetensi Lulusan (Skl), Kompetensi Inti (Ki), Dan Kompetensi Dasar (Kd) Dalam Implementasi Kurikulum 2013. *Tatar Pasundan : Jurnal Diklat Keagamaan*, 12(34), 231– 239. https://doi.org/10.38075/tp.v12i34.73
- Roman, R., & Alexsandra, P. (2021). A phenomenological study of efl students' lived experiences about self-regulated learning strategies to develop speaking skills in an Ecuadorian UniversityNo Title. http://repositorio.utn.edu.ec/handle/123456789/10896
- Rusdwiana. (2008). Motivasi Belajar Siswa Kelas X SMA STELLA DUCE Bantul Tahun Ajaran 2007/2008 yang diungkap melalui metode Focus Group Discussion dan Implikasinya Terhadap Usulan Topik-Topik Bimbingan [Universitas Sanata Dharma]. https://repository.usd.ac.id/19859/2/031114015_Full.pdf
- Safitri, K., & Harjono, N. (2021). Pengembangan Instrumen Penilaian Sikap Sosial Aspek Tanggung Jawab Pembelajaran Tematik Terpadu Siswa Kelas 4 SD. Jurnal Pedagogi Dan Pembelajaran, 4(1), 111. https://doi.org/10.23887/jp2.v4i1.33352
- Sani, R. A. (2022). Penilaian Autentik (1st ed.). Bumi Aksara.
- Sarnoto, A. Z., & Andini, D. (2017). Sikap sosial dalam kurikulum 2013. Madani Institute / Jurnal Politik, Hukum, Pendidikan, Sosial Dan Budaya, 6(1), 59– 70. https://jurnalmadani.or.id/index.php/madaniinstitute/article/view/58
- Selviani, A., Martiah, A., & Pertiwi, A. (2022). Strategi Guru dalam Pencapaian Kriteria Ketuntasan Minimal (KKM) pada Mata Pelajaran Ekonomi. 3, 160– 169. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31004/jote.v4i2.8215

- Setiawan, A. E. (2020). Students' Perception on The Use of Kahoot! Application `in Reading Narrative Textat SMAN 3 Makassar [University of Muhammadiyah Makassar]. http://mpoc.org.my/malaysian-palm-oilindustry/
- Tiara, S. K., & Sari, E. Y. (2019). Analisis Teknik Penilaian Sikap Sosial Siswa Dalam Penerapan Kurikulum 2013 Di Sdn 1 Watulimo. *EduHumaniora / Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar Kampus Cibiru*, *11*(1), 21. https://doi.org/10.17509/eh.v11i1.11905

Win, A., Hasil, T., Menurut, B., & Merril, D. A. N. (2012). Domain Kognitif.

Zuhera, Y., Habibah, S., & Mislinawati. (2017). Kendala Guru dalam Memberikan Penilaian Terhadap Sikap Siswa dalam Proses Pembelajaran Berdasarkan Kurikulum 2013 di SD Negeri 14 Banda Aceh. *Ilmiah Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar*, 2(1), 73–87.