AAMJ 2023

By Inten Meutia

SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING AND AUDIT COMMITTEE ATTRIBUTES

(EVIDENCE FROM BANKS IN INDONESIA)

Inten Meutia1*, Zulnaidi Yaacob2, Shelly F. Kartasari1

Accounting Program, Faculty of Economic, University Sriwijaya, Palembang 30126, Indonesia

²School of Distance Education, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang 11800, Malaysia Corresponding author; intenmeutia@unsri.ac.id

Abstract

This paper examined the effects of audit committee attributes on the sustainability reporting (SR) of commercial banks in Indonesia. This study conducted a cross-sectional and time-series analysis using a sample of 74 commercial banks from 2015 to 2019. This study investigated the audit committee attributes, including financial expertise, size, independence, and meeting frequency. The model under study was underpinned by the theory of legitimacy,—and stakeholders and agency. The results showed that the financial expertise of audit committee had an inverse relationship with SR disclosure. Meanwhile, there was a positive relationship between committee independence, bank size, bank age, and type of auditor with SR disclosure. These findings imply that the banks should further focus on the audit committee's attributes as an effective measure to produce quality SR disclosure. In addition, non-financial expertise, especially in the field of sustainability, is a skill that the audit committee needs to have.

Keywords: Sustainability reporting, Audit committee, Sustainable finance Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction

For many years, banks around the world have received continuous pressure from various stakeholders, such as shareholders, investors, media, NGOs, and customers, to practice responsible and ethical business operations. In response to this, financial institutions worldwide have on upward trends integrated sustainability issues into business practices.

However, for the sustainability business practices to be effective, a long-term business strategy must be put in place. For all managers, making a decision on the distribution of firm resources with a strong emphasis on long-term business strategy is a challenging task (Qiu et al., 2016). However, this challenging task is possible with the practice of effective corporate governance. Otherwise, a weak corporate governance may lead to financial crisis among business entities. The Enron and WorldCom case, and the financial crisis in 2008 are the major examples in business literature in relation to the critical role of corporate governance.

The aforementioned cases have urged the corporate world to revisit the financial reporting issues that may contribute to the company's failure. The related issues are tighter regulations, better corporate governance, and transparency of financial and non-financial information (Badolato *et al.*, 2014). These corporate governance practices are of utmost importance for the stakeholders to carry out more effective control, monitoring, and responsible management in order to increase the company's value (Crifo *et al.*, Eserig Olmedo, & Mottis, 2019). (Davidson *et al.*, 2005). Furthermore, corporate governance is believed to be an effective

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: 11 pt
Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Not Bold

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: Italic

measure to minimise the risk of business bankruptcy. In discussing corporate governance, two principles behind it are accountability and transparency. Transparency is a force that, if applied consistently, would have positive effects on the issues of corruption, governance, and accountability (Chen and Zhang, 2014).

In line with its strategic importance as discussed in the literature, sustainability reporting (SR) has attracted considerable attention from researchers, and has become a new critical direction for research in accounting and management since this reporting concept was first introduced by the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI). GRI stresses on the disclosure of environmental, social, and governance information in financial reports. The disclosure of these three groups of information would help companies adopt a sustainability strategy and provide adequate information for stakeholders to better evaluate a company's sustainability performance (Ozafi&Minrot209) aka&GpMijDl8(Dsmydorfi&CinDl) Hint (10) American (

Although the call for the implementation of corporate SR is getting louder among researchers and practitioners, the practice in Asia and other developing countries is still in its early stages compared to developed countries. Therefore, SR still remains underexplored and unknown. Consequently, further studies on the function of corporate governance in SR is necessary to bridge the gap in the literature, especially using data from an emerging and significant economy of Indonesia, the fourth largest economy in the world. To conduct this study, the relationship between the attributes of the Audit Committee and the SR of banks in Indonesia was tested.

Because of the importance of the audit committee's role in a company's disclosure, a study of the committee's influence on SR adds to the literature (Raimo *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, Adegboye et al. (2020) stated that the audit committee is the central focus of a company's corporate governance structure, particularly in terms of audit quality and financial disclosure supervision. Therefore, a number of researchers such as (Marzuki *et al.*, 2020; Bilal *et al.*, 2018; Chen and Komal 2018) and various corporate governance regulations have stressed the significance of the audit committee's responsibility in a company's disclosure proce 9 By achieving this research objective, the study would add to the growing body of literature on the effects of the audit committee attributes on the disclosure of information in SR.

This study has high interests in the banking sector because the players in this sector have the the control of the players in this sector have the players in the players in this sector have the players in the

This roadmap explains that sustainable development is no longer an option, but a necessity. This roadmap consists of four aspects: social, economic on vironmental and institutional aspect. It describes the conditions to be achieved regarding sustainable finance of Indonesia in the medium (5 years) and long (10 years) term for the financial services sector under the authority of the OJ on namely banking, capital market and IKNB.

In the medium term (2015-2019), activities to strengthen sustainable finance are focused on the basic framework of regulation and reporting systems, increasing understanding, knowledge and competence of human resources in the financial service industry, providing incentives, and coordinating with relevant agencies. Furthermore, in the long term (2020-2024), activities are focused on the integration of risk management, corporate governance, assessment of the

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: Italic

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

soundness of banks, and the development of an integrated sustainable financial information system (OJK, 2014 pp 16,19).

SR disclosure is essential for all stakeholders. Therefore, a study on the factors influencing the disclosure of sustainability information expands the literature and informs new knowledge to the stakeholders of banks. In this present study, the SR literature is expanded by presenting the effects of the audit committee on the sustainability disclosure using a sample from Indonesia, a large developing country. This study also provides additional evidence on the contribution of the audit committee attributes to the disclosure of sustainability information. The findings are useful to align the 'company's strategy, reassign internal priorities, and reposition the company in relation to SR. This present study is also significantly important to the regulators in Indonesia because it details the ways policies are issued by the OJK and ways practices are impacted in the industries.

The results of this study have implications to various stakeholders. For regulators, these findings provide new insights regarding the importance of the audit committee attributes to encourage the practice of SR. The higher attention given to the committee's audit function could promote a better practice of SR. Meanwhile, a bank would benefit from these findings in strategizing the effective measures when practicing SR. This study fills in the gap in the SR literature by presenting results from a study on banks in developing countries like Indonesia, where the regulators have a dominant role in determining SR implementation. The literature on SR practice in developing countries is still limited, leaving man sissues underexplored. At present, the SR is a disclosure expected by most stakeholders. This study aims to fill this important gap by analyzing the effect of four audit committee attributes (financial expertise, siz 18 dependence, and meeting frequency) on SR.

This paper is divided into several sections as follows. Section 1 is the introduction. Section 2 presents literature review and hypothesis development. Section 3 presents the research methodology as well as a sample description. Section 4 provides a discussion of the results of the empirical analysis. Section 5 provides conclusions, recommendations, and scope for further research.

2. Literature Review

Over the last few decades, many companies voluntarily disclose governance, environmental and social information to gain stakeholder legitimacy as well as improving the companion (Gamerschlag *et al.*, 2011; Gangi *et al.*, 2019; Omran and Ramdhony, 2015). The Governance and Accountability Institute (GAI) reported that approximately 81% of S&P 500 companies issued a sustainability report in 2015 compared to less than 20% in 2011. By 2016, over 13,000 companies had produced more than 80,000 reports globally (D'Aquila, 2018).

Proponents of SR strongly believe that commitment to sustainability report will result in economic and non-economic returns for both companies and stakeholders. This is in line with the positive consequences of SR practices. For instance, SR leads to better external and internal decision making with greater transparency, leading to financial stability and social sustainability (Larrinaga-Gonzalez, 2007; Milne and Gray, 2013; Burritt and Schaltegger, 2010).

This research is grounded on two theories: the theory of legitimacy and stakeholders. According to Shehata (2014), these theories provide explanation for researchers when investigating CSR disclosure, and thus, they are applicable to SR disclosure as well. According to the legitimacy theory, disclosure of both financial and non-financial information in the annual report is necessary for companies to gain legitimacy for the decisions and practices put in place (Georgiou and Jack, 2011). The adequate disclosure of information in the annual report will

have a positive effect by meeting community expectation and increasing the company's legitimacy.

Branco and Rodrigues (2006) in their research on social responsibility of banks in Portugal, stated that legitimacy theory provided explanation for SR practices. In different perspectives, the stakeholder theory views that organizations carry out voluntary disclosure to meet the 'stakeholders' demands 13; i et al., 2014). To appreciate the different but complementing views of these two theories, 11 study used both legitimacy and stakeholder theory. This approach supported this present study to analyse the relationship between corporate governance and sustainability reports.

According to Beasley et al. (2009), the audit committee is one of the most critical elements of a good corporate governance framework. The existence of an audit committee, among others, aims to improve the effectiveness of board oversight, financial reporting quality, and better risk management while reducing the problem of information asymmetry. Understanding the importance of the audit committee's function in governance, the Indonesian central bank requires each bank to establish an audit committee board consisting of a minimum of three non-executive members. It must have at least one member with an educational background, and accounting and finance expertise.

In recent years, there has been increasing attention to the audit committee reg14 ing its role in the preparation of financial reports. The audit committee is considered to be more dynamic in reviewing financial statements to reduce the differences in perceptions between managers and external auditors (Be 'dard et al., 2004; Alzeba 2 & Sawan, 2015). This makes the company more likely to get qualified opinions, and to avoid accounting errors and non-compliance with accounting standards. In other words, the possibility that the company will have an ineligible opinion from the external auditor 15 to accounting errors and non-compliance with accounting standards is reduced. Therefore, the audit committee is vital in corporate governance practices (Zain et al., 2006).

A plethora of 9 udies on the role of the audit committee have been documented. One of the more studied is the audit committee's contribution to the quality of financial statement land voluntary disclosure (Zgarni et al., 2016). This is in line with the strategic role of the audit committee. According to Rainsbury et al. (2009), the audit committee is critical to facilitate the board of directors when providing financial and non-financial information to stakeholders. This information forms a reliable and valid base for the management performance evaluation to be carried out accurately, relevantly, timely and adequately, and to make the right decisions, Furthermore, Li et al. (2012) noted that an effective audit committee would improve the quality of disclosure and financial reports. Meanwhile, Samaha, Khlif, and Hussainey (2015) proved that an effective audit contrittee also increased voluntary disclosure level and quality. Other researchers have stated that the effectiveness of the audit committee depends on the characteri 8 s of the audit committee (Cohen et al., 2014; Inaam and Khamous 14 2016; Rochmah Ika and Mohd Ghazali, 2012). However, to support the audit committee to carry out its responsibilities efficiently, Madi et al. (2014) and Alqatamin (2018) emphasized that an audit committee required a combination of reliable experience, expertise, and capabilities of its committee members.

Although many empirical evidences about the relationship between audit committee attributes and voluntary disclosure have been reported, the findings are inconclusive, prompting more researches to be carried out as an effort to shed light on the related and emerging issues of audit committee. For example, Abdur Rouf (2011) and Samaha et al. (2015) documented the audit committee's positive influence on voluntary disclosure. In contrast, this positive relationship is not supported by Li et al. (2012) and Othman et al. (2014). Therefore, the role of the audit committee may depend mainly on the attributes of each individual.

The following paragraphs discuss the hypotheses of this study. Each hypothesis discusses the relationship between the audit committee attributes and SR disclosure.

Financial Expertise of Audit Committee and SR Dis 16 ure

According to the literature, the competences of the audit committee and the expertise of individual committee members contribute to the audit committee's expertise (Velte et al., 2018). As described in the next paragraphs, this would further contribute to improved financial reporting quality.

Beasley et al. (2009) observed a positive relationship between the audit committee's financial competence and the quality of financial reporting. Similarly, Badolato et al. (2014) reported that the reliability of earnings quality had a positive relationship with the audit committee's activity and responsibilities. In other studies, the positive relationship between audit committee expertise and disclosure is also proven (Mangena and Pike, 2005; Barros et al., 2013; Bilal et al., 128).

Research by Ji Yu et al. (2016) found that there was a positive and significant relationship tween the financial expertise of audit committee members and CSR disclosure. Thus, the more expert the committee members are, the higher the level of CSR disclosure. The findings of Ji Yuetal. (2016) confirmed that by Dhaliwal et al., Naiker, and Navissi (2010) whom which stated that the audit committee's ability to know and understand financial statements in supervising the co 4 pany's reporting process effectively required expertise in the financial sector. The findings by Shaukat and Qiu (2015) also stated that the greater the CSR measured by the financial expertise on the audit committee, the more proactive and comprehensive the company's CSR 4 stegy is, and the higher its environmental and social performance. Similarly, Akhtaruddin and Haron (2010) also found a positive relationship between audit committee financial expertise and the level of voluntary disclosure.

The presence of audit committee members with high c 8 pretence is strongly linked to higher quality information disclosure (Lary & Taylor, 2012). The inclusion of experts in the audit committee would increase the report's quality and improve planning, supervision, transparency, analysis, and integration of financial and non-financial data (Raimo et al., 2021). This also reported by Bravo (2019) that the financial expertise of female directors in accounting has significant contribution towards the disclosure of financial forward-looking information. Therefore, this study formulates the first hypothesis as follows:

H1: SR <u>Disclosure</u> is positively influenced by audit committee financial expertise

Audit Committee Size and SR Disclosure

The influence of audit committee size on the quality of reporting has attracted attention of researchers with the debate from the agency theory perspective. It is suggested that larger size of audit committee is associated with the ideas that larger group of size reflect larger resources in terms of expertise, experience, skills. in which would in turn improve the capacity if the committee in executing the audit (Lipton & Lorsch, 1992).

The requirement for adequate size of audit committee is has been practiced by various stock exchange around the world. The number of audit committee members not being less than three people is a requirement widely used because this number is believed to be an indicator of effective monitoring (Hoitash, 2009). The bigger size of audit committee may indicate a wider knowledge and experience, resulting to a more effective audit committee (Sun and Liu, 2014; Alqatamin, 2018). However, more committee members would also result in more responsibilities, causing less effective monitoring process (Detthamrong et al., 2017).

The literature on the effects of audit committee size on financial reporting has inconsistent findings (Samaha et al., 2015). A study by Mangena and Pike (2005) was unable find a

significant relationship between audit committee size and voluntary disclosure in interim reports. However, other studies reported a positive relationship between audit committee size and SR disclosure. For example, Li et al. (2012) and Li et al. (2008) reported that the size of the audit committee had a positive effect on the intellectual capital disclosure. Another study by Mohammadi et al. (2020) also showed that the number of audit committee in the present the level of voluntary disclosure. Li et al. (2012) concluded that the greater the number of members, the better the ability of the audit committee to solve problems associated with the reporting process. Inaam and Khamoussi (2016) also emphasized that size was essential for monitoring a 'company's disclosure practices. Based on the above literature, this study formulates the second hypothesis as follows:

H2: SR Disclosure is positively influenced by audit committee size.

Independent Audit Committee and SR Disclosure

The audit committee's independence may affect the committee's meteric may an effectiveness (Sun et al., 2014), which would increase the credibility and quality of financial reporting information (Li et al., 2012). Kamarudin, Wan Ismail, and Samsuddin (2012) emphasized that one of the attributes of an effective corporate governance system was the audit committee's independence. The more independent the audit committee is, the more objective it becomes. Furthermore, Rahman and Ali (2006) also stated that the audit committee's independence and competence played a role in a mo gactive, effective, and efficient supervision. A study by Neifar and Jarboui (2018) showed that the audit committee's independence had a significant positive relationship with risk disclosure.

Meanwhile, several other studies have reported a negative relationship (Lopes and Rodrigues, 2007; Mangena and Pike, 2005). Research conducted by Song and Windram (2004) failed to prove that the audit committee played a relation reducing earnings management. Bédard and Gendron (2010) in their literature review on the relationship between the audit committee effectiveness and independence noted that 53 percent of studies had a positive relationship between audit committee independence and effectiveness. In comparison, 42 percent of studies did not show a significant relationship.

According to agency theory, a larger proportion of outside directors on the board can reduce opportunism and agency costs. This is possible because the composition tends to make the board more independent and objective when making decisions, besides having the potential for better monitoring (Fama and Jensen 1983). The existence of an independent director in the audit committee allows for efficient monitoring, which will affect management behaviour because they do not hav 4 personal relationship and thus, they can carry out their duties effectively and independently (Bedard and Gendron, 2010).

Furthermore, the audit committee's independence would limit manageme 4 ability to keep material hidden for personal gain (Allegrini & Greco, 2011) and reduce the possibility of collusion by managers and expropriation of shareholder wealth (Fama, 1980). Fama and Jensen (1983) also asserted that the effectiveness of monitoring manager's actions in disclosure could be increased by the existence of an independent audit committee. Therefore, the third hypothesis is as follows:

H3: SR <u>Disclosure</u> is positively influenced by audit committee independence.

Audit Committee Meeting and SR Disclosure

Refeidoesceptup igulayottuephik laademitanstaddeut neigheudemitanstaddeut neigheudemitansta

importance of the chairman and members of the audit committee audit committee members to allocate time to carry out their responsibilities. In summary, the more frequent the meetings are held, the more effective they will be in discussing audit reports and financial reports, including sustainability reports. The audit committee meetings are an important mechanism to influence the report's content and quality (Stewart & Munro, 200 14 Similarly, Salloum et al. (2014) emphasized that meeting frequency was a crucial factor in the reliability and efficiency of a 'company's activities. In short, the frequency of meetings is an essential characteristic of the audit committee. Therefore, council members who meet regularly are more likely to perform their monitoring and control responsibilities more effectively.

The number of audit committee meetings has positive relationship with company growth, profitabil 18 Barros *et al.* (2013), intellectual capital disclosure (Taliyang and Jusop (2011) and a better financial reporting quality and voluntary disclosure (Mohd Saleh *et al.*, 2007; Musallam, 2018). As a result of the above discussion, the fourth hypothesis is as follows:

H4: SR Disclosure is positively influenced by audit committee meetings.

This study analysed annual reports and sustainability reports from 74 commercial banks in Indonesia from 2015 to 2019. There were 79 commercial banks in Indonesia; however, only 74 banks met the selection criteria. The criteria used included the complete 19 of the required data, and availability of social, environmental and governance data either in the sustainability report or in the annual report during the study period. Sustainability reports and annual reports were obtained from the respective bank we 19 s. There were five banks that did not meet the criteria because they had not published sustainability reports or annual reports in five co 19 utive years in the 2015 - 2019 period.

The independent variables of this study were the financial expertise of audit committee, audit committee size, independence of the audit committee, and frequency of audit committee meetings. The dependent 19 jable of this study was SR disclosure, and was measured using the sustainability disclosure indicator based on POJK regulation number 51 of 2017, consisting of 57 disclosure items.

This study used three variables widely 18 pred in the literature as control variables: company size, company age 2 d audit firm. The natural logarithm of total assets was used to measure firm size while the audit firm was measured by a dummy variable, the big four and not 5 ig four.

To estimate the effects of independent variables on the dependent variable and a set of control variables, the following model was used:

 $SR := \beta 0 + \beta 1 FEAC_{it} + \beta 2 SZAC_{it} + \beta 3 INDAC_{it} + \beta 4 METAC_{it} + \beta 5 BSZ_{it} + \beta 6 AGE_{it} + \beta 7 QA_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$

The variables used in this study are explained in Table 11.

Research Variables

Variables	Symbol	Type	Measurement
SR Disclosure	SR	5 pendent	Index of SR disclosure based on OJK rule
Audit committee	FEAC	Independent	The variable is dummy. One of the audit committee
financial expertise			7 ember has a financial expertise and zero otherwise
Audit committee	<u>SZAC</u>	Independent	The number of audit committee members
Size			

Audit committee's	INDAC	Independent	The number of independent committee members
Independence			
Audit committee	METAC	Independent	The number of meetings every year
meeting frequency		5	
Bank size	BSZ	Control	The firm size is calculated by natural log of total sales of
			the company
Bank age	AGE	Control	Difference between the financial year and the year the
			bank was founded
Audit quality	<u>QA</u>	Control	The variable is dummy (1 for big 4 and 0 otherwise).

Insert Table I here

Descriptive Statistic

Table 1-1 shows that the average audit committee size is 3, with a minimum value of two and a maximum of eight. The governance regulations require a minimum of three audit committee members. This data showed that the majority of banks followed this rule. The governance regulations also require audit committee meetings of at least four times a year. This was supported by the data that showed an average audit committee meeting of 4.38. Concerning member independence, most committee members were independent directors, as indicated by the average score of 0.557. Regarding finance expertise, all audit committee members in the bank in this study had the skills needed to become an audit committee.

<u>Table 2</u> <u>Descriptive Statistic Variables</u>

<u>Variables</u>	Symbol	<u>Mean</u>	Max	Min	<u>SD</u>
18 Disclosure	SR	0.532	0.774	0.225	0.126
Audit committee financial expertise	FEAC	0.491	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	0.410
Audit committee Size	SZAC	3.8	7	2	1.251
Audit committee's Independence	INDAC	2.77	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	0.084
Audit committee meeting frequency	METAC	4.38	<u>10</u>	<u>1</u>	2.68
Bank size	BSZ	24.001	<u>56.331</u>	4.665	2.551
Bank age	<u>AGE</u>	20.738	<u>54</u>	<u>4</u>	1.004

Observation (N = 370)

Insert Table II here

The average SR index was 0.532 percent, with a minimum value of 0.774 and a maximum of 0.225. This index was calculated based on the OJK regulations. The average value of the SR score indicated that most banks disclosed SR information at an early stage. This was reasonable considering that the OJK roadmap on SR was only created in 2014. The magnitude of the deviation between the interbank disclosure levels was not surprising given that the SR disclosure was only mandatory in 2019, and it has not yet been applied to all banks. Given that SR disclosure has become compulsory in Indonesia since 2019, it is expected that the quality of SR disclosure will be better in the future.

Model validity

To ensure the validity of the model, this study conducted several tests, including the normal distribution test, autocorrelation test, and multicollinearity. The model certainly did not have homoscedasticity. Table $\frac{\text{HI}-3}{2}$ shows that the research data is normally distributed based on all variables' values that are more than 0.05.

Formatted: Justified, Indent: First line: 0"

Table	3	
Model	Va	lidity

Variables	Symbols	Normality	Stationarity	Collinearity	Auto-	Heterosce	dasticity
					correlation		//
		Shapiro -	ADF test	VIF test	Durbin -	Breusch	koenk
		Wilk			Watson test	- Pagan	tes
SR Disclosure	SR	0.000	-18.147***		2.223	0.000	0.000
Audit	FEAC	0.000	_6.557***	2.273		0.000.0	0.000
Committee,							
Financial Principle 1997							A
expertise							V
Audit	SZAC	0.000	-6.557***	1.245		0.000	0.000
Committee size							\\
Audit	INDAC	0.000		5.030		0.000	0.000
Committee							11
independence							III.
Audit	METAC	0.000	-4.885***	2.129		0.000	0.000
Committee							W
12 etings							W
Bank size	BSZ	0.000	-1.848***	4.188		0.000	0.000
Bank age	AGE	0.000	-4.224***	2.212		0.000	0.000

Note: Significant at: ***1 percent level

Insert Table III here

Series stationarity is an assumption commonly used in research that uses time-series data. According to Gujarati (2(2)), autocorrelation may occur because the time series is non-stationary. This study used the unit root test via the Augmented Dicky-Fuller (ADF) parametric test to test the time-series stationarity. The test results in table ##-3 showed that the ADF test was statistically significant at the 1 percent level, meaning that the time-series data used between 2015 and 2019 was stationary.

A good linear model has several critical assumptions, including no dependence between the independent variables. If this assumption is not met, the linear model cannot be validly tested. For this reason, the variance inflation factor (VIF) value must be assessed. According to Gujarati (2003), a VIF score of higher than 10 indicates multicollinearity issues. Table #H-3 shows that all independent variables have a VIF value of less than 10. In other words, the research model did not have a serious multicollinearity.

Furthermore, to test the autocorrelation, the Durbin - Watson (D - W) test was carried out. The D-W value in table $\frac{HH-3}{2}$ (1.5 - 2.5) indicated absence of autocorrelation in this research model. Another assumption that must be met in the regression model is homoscedasticity. The p-value in table $\frac{HH-3}{2}$ showed values above 0.05, thus indicating that the model fulfilled the homoscedasticity assumption.

4. Results and Discussion

9

17	
Formatted	
Formatted	<u></u>
Formatted	<u></u>
Formatted	<u></u>
Formatted	(
Formatted	
Formatted	
	<u></u>
Formatted	
Formatted	[
Formatted	
Formatted	<u></u>
Formatted	
Formatted	
Formatted	
Formatted	<u></u>
Formatted	[
Formatted	
Formatted	
Formatted	(
Formatted	
Formatted	
Formatted	
Formatted	
Formatted	

Formatted

This study aimed to analyse the effects of audit committee attributes on SR disclosure. Table $\frac{IV4}{S}$ shows that the model under study is statistically significant. The p-value of the F test of the model was less than 5 percent.

<u>Table 4</u> Estimation Results of Model

1 <u>Variables</u>	Symbols	<u>B</u>	t-statistic	Probability
Audit Committee	FEAC.	-0.347	-3.016***	0.000
Financial expertise				
Audit Committee size	SZAC	0.436	2.369***	0.001
Audit Committee	INDAC	0.598	6.501 ***	0.001
Independence				
Audit Committee Meeting	METAC.	0.111	1.698**	0.040
Bank size	BSZ	0.173	1.592**	0.049
Bank age	AGE	0.401	3.435***	0.000
Audit quality	<u>AQ</u>	0.798	7.993***	0.000

R-squared = 0.837

Adjusted R-squared = 0.833

F- statistic 26.268

p - value 0.000

** and *** (Significance levels 5 and 1 percent)

Insert Table IV here

16

Table IV 4 shows that the audit committee's financial expertise has a significant and inverse relationship with SR. This finding did not support the H1: the audit committee's financial expertise and SR disclosure are positively correlated. This finding is consistent with the research by Buallay and Al-Ajmi (2019). These authors observed that the audit committee's financial expertise was significantly negatively associated with SR. In other words, these results indicate that the financial experts on the audit committee do not influence the level of SR disclosure. However, the findings reported in this present study were contrary to the findings by Mangena and Pike (2005), Barros et a 13 (013), and Bilal et al. (2018) who found that financial expertise was positively related to the quality of financial reports and voluntary disclosure. The findings of this study indicate that financial expertise may also need to be supported by non-financial (sustainability) expertise given that so far, the expertise of the audit committee has only focused on financial and accounting aspects.

Despite of that, according to Bilal et al. (2018), the audit committee still needs the expertise in the financial sector to allow the audit committee to support effective internal control. A possible explanation for the above finding is that the decisions regarding SR disclosure in Indonesia's banks are mostly made by the Board of Directors, which has the highest authority compared to the audit 2 mittee.

Table IV-4 shows that the audit committee's the has a positive relationship with SR disclosure. Therefore, H2 was accepted. It is evident that the size of the audit committee affects the SR disclosure in banks in Indonesia. The more the number of audit committee members, the more diverse 15 knowledge, education and background, so that 1 is can be a resource that has implications for improving the quality of sust 1 ability reports. The findings of this study are consistent with several studies that report a positive relationship between the audit committee size and voluntary disclosure (Bilal et al., 2018); Erin et al., 2021). These findings support the resource dependency agency theory, which states that a larger audit committee size

11 Formatted	
Formatted	
Formatted	[
Formatted	
Formatted	
Formatted	
Formatted	
Formatted Formatted	
Formatted	[]
Formatted	
Formatted	(III)
Formatted	
Formatted	(III)
Formatted	
Formatted	()
Formatted	
Formatted	<u> </u>
Formatted	
Formatted	[

allows more different experiences to be brought to the mee 7; (Madi et al., 2014). But, this finding is not in line with Adegboye et al., (2020) who find that smaller audit committee size tends to make the decision as regards sustainability reporting effectively compared to larger committee size, which could lead to less s 8 tainability performance.

Next, Table IV 4 also indicates that the audit committee members' independence has a signific 18 positive relationship with SR disclosure. Therefore, H3 was accepted. This finding proves that the audit committee's ind 15 pdence in 'Indonesia's banks influences the SR disclosure level of SR. In other words, the audit committee's independence has an impact on the practice of SR. The findings are in line with (Neifar & Jarboui, 3 18); Neifar and Jarboui (2018) Lietal., 2012; Appuhami & Tashakor 2017). This finding prove that audit committee formed exclusively of external and independent directors would resu 3 in better accountability and transparency for organisations. Independent audit committee are likely to make independent decisions to improve quality of SR disclosures.

Finally, Table IV-4 proves that the audit committee meetings' frequency is positively correlated to SR disclosure. Hence, H4 was supported. These findings prove that the number of meetings held by the audit committee can affect the banks' SR disclosure in Indonesia. Therefore, more frequent meetings are experience to consequent I2 ransparency of non-financial information in the SR disclosure is further encour 9 d. This finding is consistent with the previous studies (Barros et al., 2013; Musallam, 2018). The findings of the audit meeting prove the 13 he members of the audit committee are actively involved in issues related to the company's activities, including sustainability of sustainability reports, because they will have more tin 7 to discuss financial and non-financial reporting matters. This is in line with Yin et al., (2012) emphasize that when an audit committee meets frequently, they are usually more informed, more diligent, and more knowledgeable about situations and are better equipped to handle the situations

Bank size, which was the con 2.1 variable in this study, had a significant positive relationship with SR. This finding provides additional evidence that banks with large total assets tend to be more transparent in providing SR information. This finding is consistent with the literature (Adel et al., 2019; Chen et al., Hung & Lee 2017). Another control variable, bankage, was also proven to positively affect SR disclosure affect SR disclosure 1 stirvely. This shows that bank age may have influenced the information disclosed in SR. Therefore, the findings of this study provide evidence for both legitimacy and stakeholder theory.

The last control variable, audit quality, was proven to positively affect SR disclosure affect SR disclosure positively. This shows that the role of the auditorauditor's role is significant in encouraging SR disclosure. The finding is consistent with various studies examining the influence of big-four auditors on the quality of both financial and non-financial reports (Gaynor et al., 2016; Hussainey, 2009; Hammami & Hendijani Zadeh, 2019).

To confirm the findings, a robustness check was conducted A robustness check was conducted to confirm the findings using alternative measures for financial expertise. The financial expert variable was measured using the proportion of financial experts and the number of financial experts on the audit committee. The negative and significant relationship between financial experts as measured by the proportion of financial experts and the number of financial experts with SR is supported by this additional analysis. The results of the analysis confirm that the presence of a financial expert on the audit committee has a significant negative relationship with the publication of SR. The results of the robustness check are in table \(\frac{1}{2}\)5.

Table 5 Robustness Check Code Changed

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt,

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

<u>Variables</u>		Model 2 Proportion F	<u>E</u> <u>M</u>	Iodel 3 Number FE
FEAC		-0.087** (0.034)		-2.015** (0.031)
SZAC		2.216 **(0.021)		2.117**(0.019)
INDAC		3.027** (0.007)		2.897**(0.013)
<u>METAC</u>		1.987*** (0.001)		2.003**(0.020)
BSZ		1.858 **(0.039)		1.693***(0.001)
<u>AGE</u>		3.592 ***(0.001)		3.821 *** (0.001)
AQ		0.386 **(0.022)		0.219***(0.001)
Observation	370		370	
Adj. R ²	0.45	0	.43	

t statistics in parentheses; ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Insert Table V here

Overall, the results obtained confirm expectations regarding the audit committee's role as a mechanism capable of improving the quality of the Sustainability Report. Apart from the negative influence of financial expertise, three eharacteristics of the audit committee audit committee characteristics support higher quality SR disclosures by companies. These results confirm that the audit committee as part of governance, supports the company's strategy in

preparing sustainability reports to meet stakeholder expectations or gain legitimacy.

5. Conclusions

This paper reported an investigation on investigated the relationships between the audit committee attributes and SR disclosure of commercial banks in Indonesia from 2015 to 2019. The results showed that size, independence, and frequency of audit committee meetings had a significant positive effect on significantly positively affected SR disclosure as reflected by other researchers (Alligrini 2011; Appuhami, 2017; Mohammadi et al., 2020; Musalam, 2018). In contrast, the audit committee's financial expertise had a negative effect on SR disclosure, which finding is in line with the research by Wang at Hussainey (2013) whomis in line with the study by Wang and Hussainey (2013) who did not find a significant relationship between the audit committee's financial expertise and voluntary disclosure.

The results also imply that the characteristic of an adequate audit committee is a good sign of the company's attention to stakeholders, which is implemented through the publication of sustainability reports. This finding supports the stakeholder theory (Jizi, Salama, Dixon, & Stratling, 2014; Gibson, 2012) that companies seek to meet the expectations of their stakeholders by implementing good governance, including a good audit committee.

The findings of this study provide a significant perspective for regulators, especially for the Financial Services Authority (OJK) which oversees banking in Indonesia, including sustainability reporting. Considering that the attributes of the audit committee have a positive and significant impact on the disclosure of SR in banking in Indonesia, the OJK must increase the supervision of the audit committee so that the quality of SR disclosure also increases.

In addition, the practical implication that regulators can make to support the company's sustainability program is to increase the non-financial expertise (sustainability) of the audit committee, instead of only the financial expertise. These findings also imply that the banks should further focus on the audit committee's attributes as an effective measure to produce qual 2. SR disclosure that meets the 'stakeholders' expectations.

One of the limitations of this study was that the samples in this study were banks in Indonesia that were relatively new to the sustainable finance policy; thus, the results found in this paper may not be applied to banks in other countries. This paper significantly contributes to the

Formatted Table

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

literature of SR since the issues with SR have been increasing among researchers and practitioners globally. Future research can be carried out by expanding the number of banks or conducting comparative studies between developing and developed countries. In addition—to that, further researches, further research on SR may involve sectors other [15] banks. Another research opportunity is to compare the sustainability report between Islamic banks and conventional banks to enrich research in the field of sustainability.

References

- Abdur Rouf. (2011). Corporate characteristics, governance attributes and the extent of voluntary disclosure in Bangladesh. African Journal of Business Management, 5(19), 7836-7845. https://doi.org/10.5897/ajbm10.1180
- Adel, C., Hussain, M. M., Mohamed, E. K. A., and asuony, M. A. K. (2019). Is corporate governance relevant to the quality of corporate social responsibility disclosure in large European companies? *International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, 27(2), 301–332. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-10-2017-0118
- Adegboye, A., Ojeka, S., Alabi, O., Alo, U., & Aina, A. (2020). Audit Committee Characteristics And Sustainability Performance In Nigerian Listed Banks. Business: Theory and Practice, 21(2), 469–476. https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2020.10463
- Agrawal, A., and Chadha, S. (2005). Corporate governance and accounting scandals. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 48(2), 371-486. https://doi.org/10.1086/430808
- Akhtaruddin Hasnah Haron, (2010). Board ownership, audit committees' effectiveness and corporate voluntary disclosures. Asian Review of Accounting, 18(1), 68 82. http://doi.org/10.1108/13217341011089649
- Allegrini, M., and Greco, G. (2011). Corporate boards, audit committees and voluntary disclosure: evidence from Italian Listed Companies. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 15 (3), 1-30. 10.1007/s10997-011-9168-3
- Alqatamin, R. M. (2018). Audit Committee Effectiveness and Company Performance: Evidence from Jordan. Accounting and Finance Research, 7(2), 48-60. https://doi.org/10.5430/afr.v7n2p48
- Alzeban, A., and Sawan, N. (2015). The impact of audit committee characteristics on the implementation of internal audit recommendations. *Journal of International Accounting*, *Auditing and Taxation*, 24, 61-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccaudtax.2015.02.005
- Appuhami, R., & Tashakor, S. (2017). The Impact of Audit Committee Characteristics on CSR Disclosure: An Analysis of Australian Firms. Australian Accounting Review, 27(4), 400–420. https://doi.org/10.1111/auar.12170Ameer, R., and Othman, R. (2012). Sustainability practices and corporate financial performance: A study based on the top global corporations. Journal of Business Ethics. 108. 61-79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-1063-y
- Badolato, P. G., Donelson, D. C., and Ege, M. (2014). Audit committee financial expertise and earnings management: The role of status. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 58(2), 208-230 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2014.08.006
- Barros, C. P., Boubaker, S., and Hamrouni, A. (2013). Corporate governance and voluntary disclosure in France. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 29(2), 561-578. https://doi.org/10.19030/jabr.v29i2.7657
- Be 'dard, J., Chtourou, S. M., and Courteau, L. (2004). The Effect of Audit Committee Expertise, Independence, and Activity on Aggressive Earnings Management. AUDITING: A Journal of Practice and Theory, 23(2), 13–35. https://doi.org/10.2308/aud. 2004.23.2.13

- Beasley, M. S., Carcello, J. V., Hermanson, D. R., and Neal, T. L. (2009). The audit committee oversight process. Contemporary Accounting Research, 26(1), 65-122. https://doi.org/10.1506/car.26.1.3
- Bédard, J., and Gendron, Y. (2010). Strengthening the Financial Reporting System: Can Audit Committees Deliver? *International Journal of Auditing*, 14(2), 174-210. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1099-1123.2009.00413.x
- Bilal, Chen, S., and Komal, B. (2018). Audit committee financial expertise and earnings quality: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 84, 253–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.11.048
- Boons, F., Montalvo, C., Quist, J., and Wagner, M. (2013). Sustainable innovation, business models and economic performance: An overview. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 45, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelepro.2012.08.013
- Bravo, Francisco; Alcaide-Ruiz, Maria Dolores. (2019). The disclosure of financial forward-looking information: Does the financial expertise of female directors make a difference?. Gender in Management, 34(2), 140-156. https://doi.org/10.1108/GM-09-2018-0120
- Buallay, A., and Al-Ajmi, J. (2019). The role of audit committee attributes in corporate sustainability reporting. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 21(2), 249–264. https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-06-2018-0085
- Burritt, R. L., and Schaltegger, S. (2010). Sustainability accounting and reporting: Fad or trend? Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal, 23(7), 829-846. https://doi.org/10.1108/0951357101_1080144
- Chen, J. J., and Zhang, H. (2014). The Impact of the Corporate Governance Code on Earnings Management - Evidence from Chinese Listed Companies. European Financial Management, 20(3), 596-632. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-036X.2012.00648.x
- Chen, R. C. Y., Hung, S.-W., and Lee, C.-H. (2017). Does corporate value affect the relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and stock returns? *Journal of Sustainable Finance and Investment*, 7(2), 188–196. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795. 2016.1272947
- Cohen, J. R., Hoitash, U., Krishnamoorthy, G., and Wright, A. M. (2014). The Effect of Audit Committee Industry Expertise on Monitoring the Financial Reporting Process. *The Accounting Review*, 89(1), 243–273. https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-50585
- Crifo, P., Escrig-Olmedo, E., & Mottis, N. (2019). Corporate Governance as a Key Driver of Corporate Sustainability in France: The Role of Board Members and Investor Relations. Journal of Business Ethics, 159(4), 1127–1146. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3866-6
- D'Aquila, J. M. (2018). CPA Journal 2000.pdf. Retrieved from https://www.cpajournal.com/ 2018/07/30/the-current-state-of-sustainability-reporting/
- Dhaliwal, D., Naiker, V., and Navissi, F. (2010). The Association Between Accruals Quality and the Characteristics of Accounting Experts and Mix of Expertise on Audit Committees.
- Detthamrong, U., Chancharat, N., and Vithessonthi, C. (2017). Corporate governance, capital structure and firm performance: Evidence from Thailand. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 42, 689–709. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2017.07.011
- Davidson, R., Goodwin-Stewart, J., and Kent, P. (2005). Internal governance structures and earnings management. Accounting and Finance, 45(2), 241–267. Error! Hyperlink reference not valid. j.1467-629x.2004.00132.x
- Detthamrong, U., Chancharat, N., and Vithessonthi, C. (2017). Corporate governance, capital structure and firm performance: Evidence from Thailand. Research in International Business and Finance, 42, 689–709. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2017.07.011
- Fama, E.F, & Jensen, M. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. Journal of Law and

Field Code Changed

- Economics, 26(2), 301-325. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/467037
- Gamerschlag, R., Möller, K., and Verbeeten, F. (2011). Determinants of voluntary CSR disclosure: empirical evidence from Germany. Review of Managerial Science, 5(2–3), 233–262. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-010-0052-3
- Gangi, F., Mustilli, M., and Varrone, N. (2019). The impact of corporate social responsibility (CSR) knowledge on corporate financial performance: evidence from the European banking industry. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 23(1), 110-134. https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-04-2018-0267
- Gaynor, L. M., Kelton, A. S., Mercer, M., and Yohn, T. L. (2016). Understanding the relation between financial reporting quality and audit quality. *Auditing*, 35(4), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-51453
- Georgiou, O., and Jack, L. (2011). In pursuit of legitimacy: A history behind fair value accounting. British Accounting Review, 43(1), 311-323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar. 2011.08.001
- Gibson, K. (2012). Stakeholders and Sustainability: An Evolving Theory. Journal of Business Ethics, (1), 15–25. doi:10.1007/s10551-012-1376-5
- Global Reporting Initiative. (2016). Gri 101: foundation 2016 101. GRI Standards.
- Gujarati, D. N. (2006). Econometria Básica. Basic Econometrics.
- Hammami, A., and Hendijani Zadeh, M. (2019). Audit quality, media coverage, environmental, social, and governance disclosure and firm investment efficiency: Evidence from Canada. International Journal of Accounting and Information Management, 29(1), 43-66. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-03-2019-0041
- Hassan, A., and Syafri Harahap, S. (2010). Exploring corporate social responsibility disclosure: the case of Islamic banks. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 3(3), 203-277. https://doi.org/10.1108/17538391011072417
- Hoitash, U., Hoitash, R., and Bedard, J. C. (2009). Corporate Governance and Internal Control over Financial Reporting: A Comparison of Regulatory Regimes. *The Accounting Review*, 84(3), 839–867. https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2009.84.3.839
- Hubbard, G. (2009). Measuring organizational performance: Beyond the triple bottom line. Business Strategy and the Environment, 18(3), 177-191. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.564
- Inaam, Z., and Khamoussi, H. (2016). Audit committee effectiveness, audit quality and earnings management: a meta-analysis. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 58(2), 179-196. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-01-2015-0006
- Ji Yu, J., Yang, X. and Zhang (2016). The effects of the existence and financial expertise of audit committees on 'firms' controversial activities evidence from IPOs. *Journal of Forensic and Investigative Accounting*, 8(3), 400-427. https://www.nacva.com/content.asp?admin=Y&contentid=406
- Jizi, M. I., Salama, A., Dixon, R., and Stratling, R. (2014). Corporate Governance and Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: Evidence from the US Banking Sector. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 125(4), 601–615. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1929-2
- Kamarudin, K. A., Wan Ismail, W. A., and Samsuddin, M. E. (2012). The Influence of CEO Duality on the Relationship between Audit Committee Independence and Earnings Quality. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 65, 919-924. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro. 2012.11.220
- Karamanou, I., and Vafeas, N. (2005). The association between corporate boards, audit committees, and management earnings forecasts: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 43(3), 453-486. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-679X.2005.00177.x
- Kumar, K., & Prakash, A. (2019). Examination of sustainability reporting practices in Indian banking sector. Asian Journal of Sustainability and Social Responsibility, 4(1), 2.

Field Code Changed

https://doi.org/10.1186/s41180-018-0022-2

- Larrinaga-Gonzalez, C. (2007). Sustainability reporting. In Sustainability Accounting and Accountability, 150–167. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/NOE0415384889.ch8
- Lary, A. M., & Taylor, D. W. (2012). Governance characteristics and role effectiveness of audit committees. Managerial Auditing Journal, 27(4), 336–354. https://doi.org/10.1108/02686901211217969
- Laskar, N., & Gopal Maji, S. (2018). Disclosure of corporate sustainability performance and firm performance in Asia. Asian Review of Accounting, 26(4), 414–443. https://doi.org/10.1108/ARA-02-2017-0029
- Leipziger, D. (2015). The OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. In The Corporate Responsibility Code Book: Third Edition. 572. https://doi.org/10.9774/gleaf.978178353 0670 21
- Li, J., Mangena, M., and Pike, R. (2012). The effect of audit committee characteristics on intellectual capital disclosure. British Accounting Review, 44(2), 98-110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar. 2012.03.003
- Li, J., Pike, R., and Haniffa, R. (2008). Intellectual capital disclosure and corporate governance structure in UK firms. Accounting and Business Research, 38(2), 137–159. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/00014788.2008.9663326
- Lopes, P. T., and Rodrigues, L. L. (2007). Accounting for financial instruments: An analysis of the determinants of disclosure in the Portuguese stock exchange. *International Journal of Accounting*, 42(1), 25-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intacc.2006.12.002
- Madi, H. K., Ishak, Z., and Manaf, N. A. A. (2014). The Impact of Audit Committee Characteristics on Corporate Voluntary Disclosure. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 164, 486–492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.11.106
- Mangena, M., and Pike, R. (2005). The effect of audit committee shareholding, financial expertise and size on interim financial disclosures. Accounting and Business Research, 35(4), 327–349. https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2005.9729998
- Meutia, I., Yaacob, Z., & F. Kartasari, S. (2021). Sustainability reporting: An overview of the recent development. Accounting and Financial Control, 3(1), 23–39. https://doi.org/10.21511/afc.03(1).2020.03
- Milne, M. J., and Gray, R. (2013). W(h)ither Ecology? The Triple Bottom Line, the Global Reporting Initiative, and Corporate Sustainability Reporting. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 118(1), 13-29. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1543-8
- Mohammadi, S., Saeidi, H., and Naghshbandi, N. (2020). The impact of board and audit committee characteristics on corporate social responsibility: evidence from the Iranian stock exchange. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, ahead-of-p(ahead-of-print). https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-10-2019-0506
- Mohd Saleh, N., Mohd Iskandar, T., and Mohid Rahmat, M. (2007). Audit committee characteristics and earnings management: Evidence from Malaysia, 15(2), 147-163. Asian Review of Accounting. https://doi.org/10.1108/13217340710823369
- Musallam, S. R. M. (2018). The direct and indirect effect of the existence of risk management on the relationship between audit committee and corporate social responsibility disclosure. Benchmarking An International Journal, 25(9), 4125-4138. https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-03-2018-0050
- Neifar, S., and Jarboui, A. (2018). Corporate governance and operational risk voluntary disclosure: Evidence from Islamic banks. Research in International Business and Finance, 46, 43-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2017.09.006
- Omran, M. A., and Ramdhony, D. (2015). Theoretical Perspectives on Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: A Critical Review. International Journal of Accounting and

Field Code Changed

- Financial Reporting, 5(2), 38. https://doi.org/10.5296/ijafr.v5i2.8035
- Orazalin, N., & Mahmood, M. (2019). Determinants of GRI-based sustainability reporting: evidence from an emerging economy. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 10(1), 140–164. https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-12-2018-0137
- Othman, R., Ishak, I. F., Arif, S. M. M., and Aris, N. A. (2014). Influence of Audit Committee Characteristics on Voluntary Ethics Disclosure. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 145, 330-342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.06.042
- Pérez, A., and Bosque, I. R. del. (2014). Customer CSR expectations in the banking industry. International Journal of Bank Marketing, 32(3), 1-49. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-09-2013-0095
- Persons, O. S. (2009). Audit committee characteristics and earlier voluntary ethics disclosure among fraud and no-fraud firms, 6(4), 284–297. International Journal of Disclosure and Governance. https://doi.org/10.1057/jdg.2008.29
- Qiu, Y., Shaukat, A., and Tharyan, R. (2016). Environmental and social disclosures: Link with corporate financial performance. The British Accounting Review, 48(1), 102–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2014.10.007
- Raimo, N., Vitolla, F., Marrone, A., & Rubino, M. (2021). Do audit committee attributes influence integrated reporting quality? An agency theory viewpoint. Business Strategy and the Environment, 30 (1), 522-534.
- Rainsbury, E. A., Bradbury, M., and Cahan, S. F. (2009). The impact of audit committee quality on financial reporting quality and audit fees. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting and Economics*, 5(1), 20-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcae.2009.03.002
- Rochmah Ika, S., and Mohd Ghazali, N. A. (2012). Audit committee effectiveness and timeliness of reporting: Indonesian evidence. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 27(4), 403– 424. https://doi.org/10.1108/02686901211217996
- Salloum, C., Azzi, G., and Gebrayel, E. (2014). Audit Committee and Financial Distress in the Middle East Context: Evidence of the Lebanese Financial Institutions, 2(1), 39-45. International Strategic Management Review. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ism.2014.09.001
- Samaha, K., Khlif, H., and Hussainey, K. (2015). The impact of board and audit committee characteristics on voluntary disclosure: A meta-analysis. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 24(C), 13-28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccaudtax.2014.11.00.
- Shehata, N. F. (2014). Theories and Determinants of Voluntary Disclosure. Accounting and Finance Research, 3(1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.5430/afr.v3n1p18
- Song, J., and Windram, B. (2004). Benchmarking Audit Committee Effectiveness in Financial Reporting. *International Journal of Auditing*, 8(3), 195–205. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/ j.1099-1123.2004.00090.x
- Stewart, J., and Munro, L. (2007). The Impact of Audit Committee Existence and Audit Committee Meeting Frequency on the External Audit: Perceptions of Australian Auditors. *International Journal of Auditing*, 11(1), 51-69. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1099-1123.2007.00356.x
- Sun, J., Lan, G., and Liu, G. (2014). Independent audit committee characteristics and real earnings management. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 29(2), 153-172. https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-05-2013-0865
- Sun, J., and Liu, G. (2014). Audit 'committees' oversight of bank risk-taking. Journal of Banking and Finance, 40(C), 376-387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2013.12.015
- Taliyang, S. M., and Jusop, M. (2011). Intellectual Capital Disclosure and Corporate Governance Structure: Evidence in Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 6(12), 109-117. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v6n12p109

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

- Velte, P. (2018). Is audit committee expertise connected with increased readability of integrated reports: Evidence from EU companies. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 16(2), 23–41. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.16(2).2018.03
- Yin, F., Gao, S., Li, W., & Lv, H. (2012). Determinants of audit committee meeting frequency: evidence from Chinese listed companies. Managerial Auditing Journal, 27(4), 425–444. https://doi.org/10.1108/02686901211218003
- Zain, M. M., Subramaniam, N., and Stewart, J. (2006). Internal 'Auditors' Assessment of their Contribution to Financial Statement Audits: The Relation with Audit Committee and Internal Audit Function Characteristics. *International Journal of Auditing*, 10(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1099-1123.2006.00306.x
- Zgarni, İ., Hlioui, K., and Zehri, F. (2016). Effective audit committee, audit quality and earnings management, 6(2), 138-155. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*. https://doi.org/10.1108/jaee-09-2013-0048

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16% SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- $\begin{array}{c} \text{ etd.uum.edu.my} \\ \text{ lnternet} \end{array} \hspace{0.2in} 150 \text{ words} 2\%$
- Amina Buallay, Jasim Al-Ajmi. "The role of audit committee attributes in corporate sustainability reporting", Journal of Applied Accounting Research, 2019 $_{\text{Crossref}}$
- onlinelibrary.wiley.com
 Internet

 78 words 1 %
- Sami R.M. Musallam. "The direct and indirect effect of the existence of risk management on the relationship between audit committee and corporate social responsibility disclosure", Benchmarking: An International Journal, 2018 $_{\text{Crossref}}$
- Shaban Mohammadi, Hadi Saeidi, Nader Naghshbandi. "The impact of board and audit committee characteristics on corporate social responsibility: evidence from the Iranian stock exchange", International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 2020 $_{\text{Crossref}}$

7	eprints.covenantuniversity.edu.ng	60 words —	1%
8	Abdifatah Ahmed Haji, Mutalib Anifowose. "Audit committee and integrated reporting practice: does internal assurance matter?", Managerial Auditing Journal Crossref	53 words — ırnal, 2016	1%
9	ir.jkuat.ac.ke Internet	53 words —	1%
10	Nancy Uddin, Vasundhara Chakraborty. "An Investigation of the Readability of Sustainability Reports", Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounts Crossref	41 words — nting, 2022	1%
11	Amr Soliman, Mostafa Abo-Hashema. "A MICROSIMULATION APPROACH TO EVALUATE OPERATIONS OF WEAVING SECTIONS AT URBAN UNCONVENTIONAL INTERSECTIONS IN CAIRO", Jour Azhar University Engineering Sector, 2016 Crossref	38 words — nal of Al-	1%
12	eprints.hud.ac.uk Internet	37 words —	1%
13	Olayinka Erin, Alex Adegboye, Omololu Adex Bamigboye. "Corporate governance and sustainability reporting quality: evidence from Niger Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Jo 2021 Crossref		1%
14	moam.info Internet	36 words —	1%



EXCLUDE QUOTES ON EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES

< 1%

OFF